

# INDEX

INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. Botanical description.....	3
1.2. Taxonomy .....	4
1.3. Origin .....	4
1.4. Economical relevance.....	5
1.5. Apricot cultivation .....	6
1.5.1. Soil preferences and rootstocks .....	6
1.5.2. Self-compatibility.....	7
1.5.3. Pests and diseases.....	7
1.5.4. Fruit quality .....	8
1.6. Apricot breeding.....	10
1.6.1. Main programs in the world.....	11
1.6.2. Breeding programs in Spain .....	12
1.6.3. Germplasm and genetic resources for apricot breeding .....	13
1.6.4. Genetic control of fruit quality traits .....	14
OBJECTIVES.....	15
CHAPTER I: Nutraceutical profiles of apricots ( <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L.) as a source of fruit quality traits for breeding. ....	19
I.1. Abstract .....	21
I.2. Introduction.....	22
I.3. Material and Methods.....	23
I.3.1. Plant Material .....	23
I.3.2. Sample processing and HPLC analysis.....	24
I.3.3. Pomological characterization .....	25
I.3.4. Data analysis.....	25
I.3.5. Sweetness Index (SI) and Total Sweetness Index (TSI) .....	25
I.4. Results .....	26

I.4.1. Sugars .....	26
I.4.2. Organic acids .....	28
I.4.3. Ascorbic acid.....	31
I.4.4. Pomological traits.....	32
I.4.5. Correlations and Principal Component Analysis .....	36
I.5. Discussion.....	37
I.6. Conclusions.....	39
 CHAPTER II: Sugar content and sugar-related gene expression in apricot fruits ( <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> ) for quality breeding .....	41
II.1. Abstract .....	43
II.2. Introduction.....	44
II.3. Materials and Methods .....	45
II.3.1. Plant Material.....	45
II.3.2. Sample processing and HPLC analysis.....	46
II.3.3. Selection of apricot genes related to sugar content .....	46
II.3.4. Phylogenetic analysis of sugar related genes.....	46
II.3.5. Gene expression .....	48
II.4. Results .....	48
II.4.1. Apricot sugar content.....	48
II.4.2. Sugar QTL-related genes selection and phylogenetic analysis.....	49
II.4.3. Gene expression .....	52
II.5. Discussion.....	53
II.6. Conclusions.....	55
 CHAPTER III: Polyphenol content in apricot fruits.....	57
III.1. Abstract .....	59
III.2. Introduction.....	60
III.3. Material and methods.....	62
III.3.1. Plant Material .....	62

III.3.2. Extraction and HPLC of phenolic compounds .....	63
III.3.3. Data analysis.....	64
III.4. Results .....	65
III.4.1. Total polyphenols content .....	65
III.4.2. Polyphenols compounds .....	66
III.4.3. Principal components analysis .....	67
III.4.4. Contribution of the resistant cultivar ‘Goldrich’ to the quality traits studied. ....	69
III.5. Discussion.....	70
III.5.1. Total polyphenol content .....	70
III.5.2. Contribution of the PPV-resistant ‘Goldrich’ variety to fruit quality .....	72
III.6. Conclusions.....	72
CHAPTER IV: Insights of phenolic pathway in fruits: transcriptional and metabolic profiling in apricot ( <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> ) .....	73
IV.1. Abstract.....	75
IV.2. Introduction .....	76
IV.3. Materials and Methods.....	78
IV.3.1. Plant material .....	78
IV.3.2. HPLC analysis.....	79
IV.3.3. Obtention of gene sequences and cis-acting elements motif identification .....	79
IV.3.4. Gene expression.....	80
IV.3.5. Data analysis .....	81
IV.4. Results .....	82
IV.4.1. Apricot polyphenol content .....	82
IV.4.2. Putative orthologous and phylogenetic analysis .....	84
IV.4.3. Gene expression.....	86
IV.4.4. Contribution of ‘Goldrich’ to phenolic compounds content and genetic expression.....	86
IV.4.5. Relationships between gene expression and phenolic compound accumulation.....	88
IV.4.6. Cis-acting elements analysis.....	89

IV.5. Discussion.....	91
IV.5.1. Polyphenol content.....	91
IV.5.2. Genes and its inference in polyphenols pathway .....	92
IV.6. Conclusions .....	93
GENERAL DISCUSSION .....	95
GENERAL CONCLUSIONS .....	101
REFERENCES .....	105
ANNEX I. Supplementary Figures .....	131
ANNEX II. Supplementary Tables.....	137
ANNEX III. Supplementary Documents .....	165

## FIGURE INDEX

Figure 1. Details of apricot morphology: (A) Tree; (B) Leaves; (C) Buds; (D) Flowers.; (E) Fruit. Source: IVIA.....	3
Figure 2. Total production (t) and cultivated area (ha) of apricot worldwide from 2009 to 2019 (FAOSTAT, 2021).....	5
Figure 3. Worldwide and Mediterranean Basin apricot production (t) in 2019 (FAOSTAT, 2021).....	6
Figure 4. Apricot PPV symptoms in leaves, fruits, and stone (Rubio et al., 2009).....	8
Figure 5. PPV-resistant ‘Dama Taronja’ (A) and ‘Dama Rosa’ (B) apricot fruits (Badenes et al., 2018). Scale bars in centimetres.....	13
Figure 6. Significant correlations among variables analysed ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).....	28
Figure 7. Principal Component Analysis for 2017 for pomological and nutraceutical data. Left: first and second components; Right: first and third components.....	36
Figure 8. Sugar content profile in the studied accessions (g/100g DW).....	49
Figure 9. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees. A: SDH proteins; B: FK1 proteins; C: SUS proteins; D: SPS proteins. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches.....	51
Figure 10. Gene expression of studied apricot genes.....	52
Figure 11. Polyphenol compounds concentration: Neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid and Rutin. Data from 2016. A: Concentration in peel; B: Concentration in flesh.....	65
Figure 12. Plot of the variables studied and accessions in the space defined by the two first PC.....	68
Figure 13. Phenolic biosynthesis pathway.....	77
Figure 14. Examples of apricot fruits from Mediterranean varieties used as genitors in the breeding program with high redblush on the skin. This trait resulted related to anthocyanin content. A: Fruits from ‘Ginesta’; B: Fruits from ‘Palau’.....	83
Figure 15. Chlorogenic (A), neochlorogenic (B), rutin (C) and quercetin-3-glucuronide (D) contents (mg/100g DW) in 2019 and 2020.....	83
Figure 16. Neighbour-Joining phylogenetic tree for the proteins encoded by PAL (A), DFR (B) and FSL (C) genes. Data was bootstrapped 1000 times. Numbers close to each branch represents the percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test. Trees are drawn to scale according to evolutionary distances (p-distance), included under each tree representing the number of substitutions per site.....	85
Figure 17. Genetic expression (average of both years of study) of ParPAL1 (A), ParPAL2 (B), ParDFR (C) and ParFLS2 (D). Bars represent standard deviation. Different letters represent statistically significant differences.....	86

Figure 18. Significant correlations from the linear regression model for each compound. ....	89
Figure 19. Analysis of cis-acting elements on 1500bp upstream from start codon (ATG) sequences of Prunus armeniaca DFR, PAL and FLS genes. MRE: MYB-like Recognition Element; MYC: MYC-like recognition sequence; TATA: TATA box-like; BOXLCOREDCPAL: Consensus of the putative "core" sequences of box-L-like PAL promoter region. ....	90

## TABLE INDEX

Table 1. Taxonomic classification of <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> .....	4
Table 2. Profiles of sugar content in flesh (g/100 g fresh weight (FW)) and peel (g /100 g Dry Weight (DW)) during 2016, 2017 and 2019. ....	26
Table 3. Profiles of organic acids content in flesh (g/100 g FW) and peel (g /100 g DW) during 2016, 2017 and 2019. ....	29
Table 4. Ascorbic acid content in flesh (mg/100 g FW) and peel (g /100 g DW) during 2016, 2017 and 2019. ....	31
Table 5. Pomological traits measured during 2016, 2017 and 2019.....	33
Table 6. Plant material and sugar content (g/100g DW).....	45
Table 7. Studied genes and synteny between <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> and <i>Prunus persica</i> and <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> . ....	47
Table 8. Peach genes and sugar-related QTLs in <i>Prunus persica</i> . ....	50
Table 9. Plant material. ....	62
Table 10. Pomological traits measured in the genotypes studied related to fruit size and firmness. 3-years average ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences among genotypes.....	63
Table 11. Phenolic compounds: Neochlorogenic, chlorogenic, rutin and quercetin-3-glucuronide. 3-years average ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences among genotypes. ....	66
Table 12. Variable contribution to Principal Components, eigenvalues, and cumulative variance in the PCA. ....	68
Table 13. General Linear Model for phenolic compounds and pomological traits to test the 'Goldrich' effect and interaction. SSi: Sum of Squares; SS relative: SSi/SStotal; Year: environmental effect due to the year; G61: genetic main effect of 'Goldrich'; Year x G61.....	69
Table 14. Variables studied and 'Goldrich' contribution. C: General average value of the population studied. G <sub>Goldrich</sub> : 'Goldrich' contribution. G <sub>Goldrich</sub> relative: Relative contribution of 'Goldrich' to the general average. Confidence intervals at 95%. ....	70
Table 15. Plant material used in the study, pedigree, and origin .....	78

Table 16. Used primers in the studied and reference genes amplification. ....	81
Table 17. Polyphenol total content (mg/100gDW). Average ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences among genotypes. Varieties with * produced fruits with a redblush of skin >50%.....	82
Table 18. 'Goldrich' contribution to phenolic content: Sum of squares (SS) and model parameters coefficients. SSr: SS relative; SSt: SS total; P-v: P-value; Gr: 'Goldrich' relative; Sig: Significance.....	87
Table 19. 'Goldrich' contribution to genetic expression: Sum of squares and model parameters coefficients. SSr: SS relative; SSt: SS total; Pv: P-value; Gr: 'Goldrich' relative; Sig: Significance.....	88
Table 20. Pearson correlation coefficients among compounds and gene expression.....	88

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES INDEX

Figure S1. Profiles of sugar content in flesh (g/100 g fresh weight (FW)) and peel (g /100 g dry weight (DW)) during 2016, 2017 and 2019.....	133
Figure S2. Profiles of organic acids content in flesh (g/100 g FW) and peel (g /100 g DW) during 2016, 2017 and 2019.....	134
Figure S3. Ascorbic acid content in flesh (mg/100 g FW) and peel (mg /100 g DW) during 2016, 2017 and 2019.....	135

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES INDEX

Table S1. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (upper triangular matrix) and significance levels (lower triangular matrix) among variables analyzed.....	139
Table S2. Sweetness estimation, SI and TSI were calculated according to Magwaza and Opara (2015).....	144
Table S3. Used primers in the qPCR amplification for sugar-related and reference genes.....	144
Table S4. Sugar content (g/100gDW) in the studied accessions in 2019 and 2020.....	145
Table S5. Homologous proteins in other species which sugar content in fruit has economically importance in <i>Prunus persica</i> (A) and <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> (B). Ev: E-Value .....	146
Table S6. Gene expression. Mean, standard deviation (sd) and significance (sg) .....	150
Table S7. Polyphenol total concentration in flesh (mg/100g FW) and peel (mg/100g DW) for each year and three years average (mean ± standard deviation). Different letter means significant differences among genotypes.....	151
Table S8. Neochlorogenic acid concentration in peel (mg/100g DW) in 2016, 2017, 2018 and three years average. Mean ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences.....	151
Table S9. Chlorogenic acid concentration in peel (mg/100g DW) in 2016, 2017, 2018, and three years average. Mean ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant.....	152
Table S10. Rutin concentration in peel (mg/100g DW) in 2016, 2017, 2018, and three years average. Mean ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences.....	152

Table S11. Quercetin-3-glucuronide content in peel (mg/100gDW) in 2016, 2017, 2018 and three years average. Mean ± standard deviation. Different letter means significant differences.....	153
Table S12. <i>Prunus persica</i> and <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> synteny and protein identity.....	153
Table S13. <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> and <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> protein identity.....	154
Table S14. p-distance for PAL (A), DFR (B) and FLS (C ) proteins. ....	155
Table S15. Similarity (1-p-distance) among protein sequences of PAL (A), DFR (B), FLS (C).....	157
Table S16. Genetic expression of studied genotypes. Different letter means significant differences among genotypes.....	159
Table S17. Linear regression model in caffeoate-derivates. ....	160
Table S18. Linear regression model in flavonols. ....	162

## SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTS INDEX

Document S1. Acceptance mail of the publication “Nutraceutical profiles of apricots ( <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L.) as a source of fruit quality traits for breeding” (Chapter 1) in the Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research.....	167
--	-----