

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/111787>

This paper must be cited as:

Rizo, A.; Fuentes López, A.; Fernández Segovia, I.; Barat Baviera, JM. (2017). Development of a novel smoke-flavoured trout product: An approach to sodium reduction and shelf life assessment. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 211:22-29. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.04.031



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.04.031>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1 **Development of a novel smoke-flavoured trout product: an approach to sodium**  
2 **reduction and shelf life assessment**

3

4

5 **Arantxa Rizo, Ana Fuentes\*, Isabel Fernández-Segovia, José M. Barat**

6

7 *Departamento de Tecnología de Alimentos. Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino*  
8 *de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain*

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 \* Corresponding author at: Departamento de Tecnología de Alimentos, Universitat  
20 Politècnica de València, C° de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain Tel. +34 96 387 70 07;  
21 ext. 73664 fax: +34 96 387 73 69.

22 E-mail address: [anfuelo@upvnet.upv.es](mailto:anfuelo@upvnet.upv.es)

23 **Abstract**

24

25 This work aimed to develop a reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout product with similar  
26 physico-chemical traits and sensory quality to commercial smoked trout. In a first phase,  
27 a reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout product was developed by a novel smoke-  
28 flavouring process using water vapour permeable bags. In a second phase, the obtained  
29 product's microbial and physico-chemical quality was evaluated for 42 cold storage days.  
30 A smoke-flavoured trout product with similar physico-chemical characteristics and  
31 sensory acceptance to commercial smoked trout was achieved through smoke-flavouring  
32 with water vapour permeable bags. Partial substitution of NaCl for KCl led to a 42%  
33 sodium reduction in the smoke-flavoured trout and did not affect its physico-chemical  
34 traits, sensory attributes and hygienic quality throughout the storage. During shelf life  
35 study, no sample exceeded the limits of acceptance proposed for physico-chemical and  
36 microbial parameters, except for mesophilic bacteria, which limited the product shelf life  
37 to 1 month.

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 *Keywords:* Smoke flavouring; trout; NaCl; KCl; water vapour permeable bags; shelf life.

45 **1. Introduction**

46

47 Fish smoking techniques involve a salting step prior to smoking, which is essential in  
48 preservation, texture and product flavour terms. However, processed foods like “ready-  
49 to-eat” fish products are considered important contributors to dietary salt intake, which is  
50 linked to increased risk of cardiovascular disease. Some countries have implemented  
51 control measures, such as mandatory labelling for such products as “highly salted” to  
52 promote consumer awareness (WHO, 2009). Health authorities’ efforts to encourage low-  
53 sodium diets and increase fish intake render the development of less salty fish products  
54 is a relevant issue.

55 To achieve this goal, the food industry is attempting to reformulate recipes to reduce the  
56 sodium of its products, while maintaining food safety and consumer acceptance. The main  
57 strategy to adopt in order to reduce the sodium in these foodstuffs consists in the partial  
58 replacement of NaCl with other salts (KCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, K-lactate, etc.). Partially  
59 substituting NaCl for KCl is the best alternative to reduce sodium content, but the main  
60 limitation of using KCl is the bitter and metallic flavour that it confers foods if used at  
61 high levels (Toldrá and Barat, 2012), and 50:50 NaCl/KCl blends are the common  
62 practical industrial limit. However, this limit can vary depending on the type of food and  
63 presence of other ingredients, such as spices or smoke flavours, which can mask the  
64 residual flavours associated with using KCl. Hence the sodium substitution level in  
65 smoked products could be higher than in other kinds of food matrices (Fuentes et al.,  
66 2012; Mitchell et al., 2011).

67 In this context, a new methodology to obtain smoke-flavoured salmon based on a  
68 controlled salted process and the use of water vapour permeable (WP) bags has been  
69 developed (Rizo et al., 2015a). The process was found to effectively reduce handling,

70 brine waste and processing steps without affecting the smoke-flavoured fish's sensory  
71 acceptance and the physico-chemical quality (Rizo et al., 2016a, 2016b).

72 Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is one of the most produced aquacultured fish in  
73 Europe (FAO, 2014). Lower stable market prices, and its smaller whole “easy-to-handle”  
74 fillets, make trout a more profitable raw material for smoking than Atlantic salmon,  
75 especially given the close resemblance between the commercial smoked products of both  
76 species (Salánet al., 2006).

77 Thus we considered that a combined approach that would integrate partial NaCl  
78 replacement into the described smoke-flavouring process would provide high added value  
79 to smoke-flavoured trout products, which could meet the needs of both consumers and  
80 producers, who demand healthier fish products and improved process yields.

81 The objectives of this study were to: (a) develop a reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout  
82 product by the new smoke-flavouring process; (b) evaluate the obtained product's  
83 physico-chemical and microbial quality during storage.

84

## 85 **2. Material and methods**

86

### 87 *2.1. Materials*

88 Aquacultured trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were obtained from Piscifactorias Andaluzas,  
89 S.A (Granada, Spain). Fish specimens (commercial weight 300-700 g) were transported  
90 to the laboratory in polyspan boxes with ice and then stored at 4 °C until processing. were  
91 purchased from a local market in the city of Valencia (Spain) Trout were headed and  
92 gutted, and fillets were trimmed to remove bones before processing. Eighty-six trout  
93 fillets (average weight  $111\pm 25$  g) were employed for the complete test (38 for the first  
94 phase and 48 for the second).

95 NaCl and KCl salts were supplied by Panreac Química, S.A. (Barcelona, Spain) and  
96 natural liquid smoke HARDWOOD AFS 10 was provided by Amcan Ingrédients Ltd.,  
97 Le Chesnay, France). The water vapour permeable bags (WP) used for smoking-salting  
98 were supplied by TUB-EX ApS (Taars, Denmark) (polyamide mix; size: 200×300×0.04  
99 mm; water vapour transmission rate: 5.0 g/50 μ/m<sup>2</sup>/24 h (38 °C/50% RH).

100 Two smoked trout batches of three different brands were analysed to establish the target  
101 smoke-flavoured trout's physico-chemical parameters (moisture, salt content and a<sub>w</sub>).  
102 Commercial samples were purchased in local supermarkets, transported to the laboratory  
103 and analysed 15 days before expiration date. The commercial samples were, at  
104 distribution point, sliced, vacuum packed, and stored at 4 °C. Pack weights was 100 g and  
105 the ingredients given in the labels were the same in all the cases: trout, salt and natural  
106 smoked. Raw material of these products was aquacultured rainbow trout from different  
107 EU countries (Norway, Denmark, and France). Fish was processed using traditional cold-  
108 smoking techniques. The raw material of these products was aquacultured rainbow trout,  
109 processed according to traditional cold-smoking techniques: dry salting, followed by a  
110 smoking step in a smoking chamber.

111 All the reagents and culture media were provided by Scharlau Chemie, S.A. (Barcelona,  
112 Spain).

113

## 114 *2.2. Experimental design*

### 115 *2.2.1. Phase I: Developing a reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout product*

116 The optimal conditions for obtaining smoke-flavoured trout were established by studying  
117 the effect of the amount of salt doses and processing time on the final product physico-  
118 chemical properties. These conditions were set to obtain smoke-flavoured trout with

119 similar characteristics to currently marketed products. The values considered as reference  
120 were obtained from the analysed commercial products.

121 Trout fillets were subjected to a simultaneous smoking-salting procedure based on the use  
122 of water vapour permeable (WP) bags (Fig. 1a) following the method developed by Rizo  
123 et al. (2015a). Diluted liquid smoke was applied to fish by spraying fish surface for 30 s.  
124 In this phase, three salt dose concentrations were considered, 2, 4, and 6 g /100 g salt  
125 fresh trout, as were two processing times, 12 h and 24 h. Then trout samples were vacuum-  
126 packaged (Tecnotrip mod. EV-25-CD, Barcelona, Spain) in highly water vapour  
127 permeable (WP) bags. It should be noted that vacuum packaging was used merely to  
128 ensure good initial contact between fish and the WP bag. The smoke-flavouring process  
129 was carried out at 60% relative humidity (RH) and 5 °C in a drying chamber (Binder mod.  
130 KBF. Tuttlingen, Germany). After the processing time, trout samples were removed from  
131 the bags and were placed in saturated brine under constant stirring for 30 s to remove any  
132 traces of salt attached to surfaces. Finally, fillets were dried with absorbent paper and  
133 weighed. The obtained smoke-flavoured trout was characterised by analyses of moisture,  
134 chloride content,  $a_w$  and weight loss ( $\Delta M_t$ ). The sensory acceptance of the obtained  
135 products was also evaluated.

136 After establishing the appropriate processing conditions (4 g/100 g of salt dose, 24 h), the  
137 sodium reduction approach was applied (Fig. 1b). Trout fillets were processed by using a  
138 salt mixture of 50% KCl-50% NaCl (w/w) and 100% NaCl (control samples). The  
139 percentage of substitution was selected according to the results obtained in a previous  
140 work (Fuentes et al., 2011), which concluded that NaCl can be replaced with up to 50%  
141 KCl without affecting the smoke-flavoured fish sensory and physico-chemical traits. The  
142 obtained samples were characterised by physico-chemical and microbiological analyses,  
143 and a sensory test was conducted.

144

145 *2.2.2. Phase II: Physico-chemical and microbial quality during storage*

146 The objective of the second phase was to evaluate the quality and shelf life of the novel  
147 reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout fillets obtained in Phase I. For this purpose,  
148 samples were vacuum-packaged and stored for 42 days at 4 °C. The physico-chemical and  
149 microbiological analyses were performed on the smoke-flavoured products (reduced-  
150 sodium and control) on cold storage days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42. On each sampling  
151 day, three bags were analysed by salt formulation (n=3). Duplicate analyses were  
152 performed on each sample, except for pH, which was measured in quintuplicate.

153

154 *2.3. Analytical determinations*

155 *2.3.1. Physico-chemical analyses*

156 Moisture and lipid content were determined in accordance with AOAC methods 950.46  
157 and 991.36, respectively (AOAC, 1997). Chloride content was determined after sample  
158 homogenisation in distilled water using an automatic Sherwood Chloride Analyser Model  
159 926 (Sherwood Scientific Ltd., Cambridge, UK). The same extract was used to determine  
160 sodium and potassium contents by absorption spectrophotometry using a Perkin-Elmer  
161 spectrophotometer, model 3100 (Norwalk, CT, USA). pH measurements were taken by a  
162 micropH 2001 digital pH-meter (Crison Instruments, S.A., Barcelona, Spain) with a  
163 puncture electrode (Crison 5231) at five different locations on the fish fillets. Water  
164 activity ( $a_w$ ) was measured with an Aqualab dew point hygrometer model 4TE (Decagon  
165 Devices, Inc., Washington, USA). Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) and  
166 trimethylamine nitrogen (TMA-N) contents were determined by steam distillation  
167 according to the method described by Malle and Tao (1987). The thiobarbituric acid  
168 (TBA) index was measured by a spectrophotometric method with some minor



169 modifications (Tarladgis et al., 1960), results are expressed as mg malonaldehyde (MDA)  
170 / kg fish sample.

171

### 172 *2.3.2. Texture measurements*

173 A texture profile analysis (TPA) and a shear force test were performed on the smoke-  
174 flavoured trout fillets with a Texture Analyser TA.XT2<sup>®</sup> (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey,  
175 UK) equipped with a load cell of 250 N. Previously skinned fillets were cut to obtain  
176 parallelepiped pieces (3 x 2 cm) from the dorsal part of fillets. Measurements were taken  
177 of the samples at room temperature.

178 For the TPA analysis, a flat-ended cylindrical plunger SMS P75 (75 mm diameter) was  
179 employed. This plunger was pressed into the sample at a constant speed of 1 mm/s until  
180 it reached 50% of sample height. Force-distance curves were processed to obtain  
181 hardness, chewiness, adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness and resilience parameters.

182 For the shear force test a HDP/BS Warner-Bratzler cell was used, which sliced the  
183 samples perpendicularly to the muscle orientation at a constant speed of 1 mm/s using a  
184 90° angle inverted knife. Shear force was determined by the maximum force (N) recorded.

185

### 186 *2.3.3. Colour determinations*

187 Colour determination was performed in the flesh of trout fillets. A Minolta CM-700-d  
188 photocolourimeter (Minolta, Osaka, Japan) was used, equipped with a 10° observer and  
189 illuminant D65. Using the CIE L\*a\*b\* coordinates the overall colour differences ( $\Delta E$ ) of  
190 the recently smoke-flavoured samples (day 0) compared with each storage study sampling  
191 day were determined.

192

193 2.4. *Microbiological analyses*

194 Mesophilic bacteria and *Enterobacteriaceae* were determined according to the methods  
195 standards provided by ISO (ISO, 2003, 2004a), respectively.

196

197 2.5 *Sensory analyses*

198 A sensory assessment was made to determine the smoke-flavoured trout product sensory  
199 acceptance. This test was conducted with the smoke-flavoured trout samples obtained  
200 under different processing conditions (salt dose: 2, 4, 6 g/100 g NaCl; processing time:  
201 12 h and 24 h) and with a commercial smoked trout sample. Attributes like appearance,  
202 colour, odour, smoke odour, taste, saltiness and global acceptance were evaluated. Tests  
203 were done on semi-structured scales with 8 cm lines and three anchor points (0 =  
204 unpleasant, 4 = acceptable, and 8 = pleasant) for all the attributes, except for smoke odour  
205 and saltiness, where the anchors corresponded to insufficient, optimum and excessive (0,  
206 4, and 8, respectively). A selected trained panel of seven assessors with experience in  
207 smoked fish assessment performed the sensory evaluation. Two sessions (one per  
208 processing time) were conducted, during which panellists were served four randomised  
209 samples on the same dish (3 smoke-flavoured trout samples and 1 commercial sample).

210 A triangle test (ISO, 2004b) was carried out to test for similarity between the reduced  
211 smoke-flavoured trout and the control samples (100% NaCl). Test sensitivity, given by  $\alpha$   
212 and  $\beta$ -risk was established as 0.05. The sensory assessment was made by 66 untrained  
213 panellists, who received a set of three samples and were informed that two were alike and  
214 one was different. They were asked to report which sample they believed to be different.

215

## 216 2.6. *Statistical analysis*

217 Statistical treatment of the data was performed using the Statgraphics Centurion software  
218 (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA). In Phase I, a one-way ANOVA was  
219 conducted to discriminate among means. The least significant difference (LSD)  
220 procedure was used to test for the differences between averages at the 5% significance  
221 level. During the storage study, physico-chemical and microbiological data were analysed  
222 with a multifactor ANOVA to evaluate the effect of salt formulation and storage time.  
223 Tukey's test procedure was used to test for any differences between means ( $p < 0.05$ ).

224

225

## 226 **3. Results and discussion**

227

### 228 *3.1 Phase I: developing a reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout product*

#### 229 *3.1.1. Smoking-salting process optimisation*

230 The physico-chemical parameters of the commercial smoked trout of three different  
231 brands were used to establish the reference values for the smoke-flavoured trout product  
232 (Table 1).

233 Wide variability was found among the physico-chemical parameters of all three brands,  
234 which occurred with other studies reported for smoked fish (Cornu et al., 2006). Given  
235 the differences found among brands, the average of the three brands was established as a  
236 reference value (62 g /100 g H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.4 g 100 g NaCl/,  $a_w = 0.957$ ).

237 To achieve a smoke-flavoured trout product with similar physico-chemical traits to those  
238 established as a reference of commercial products, three salt doses (2, 4 and 6 g/100 g  
239 salt) and two processing times (12 h and 24 h) were tested.

240 As expected, the smoke-flavouring process significantly lowered the moisture and  $a_w$   
241 values, and salt concentration increased compared with fresh trout (Fig. 2). The longer  
242 the processing time and the higher the salt dose, the lower the moisture and water activity.  
243 Salt content in the smoke-flavoured samples increased with salt dose, but a longer  
244 processing time did not significantly affect salt concentration. In contrast, weight loss was  
245 affected by processing time, but not by salt dose, which agrees with previous results from  
246 a similar study done with smoke-flavoured cod (Rizo et al., 2016a). This could be due to  
247 the fact that a higher salt dose led to a higher water loss and a higher salt gain, therefore  
248 salt dose does not affect total weight loss.

249 After the process, no exudate was collected from the bags of any samples as the WP bags  
250 were permeable enough to allow all the water released by fish muscle to completely  
251 evaporate, which thus reduced brine waste. Similar results have been reported for salmon  
252 and cod obtained by smoke flavouring with WP (Rizo et al., 2015a; 2016a). These results  
253 confirmed that trout can be salted, dried and smoked in a single step inside WP bags by a  
254 controlled process. This implies reducing not only brine waste, but also the handling and  
255 processing steps, compared with traditional methods in which salting, drying and/or  
256 smoking are carried out separately.

257 Of all the tested conditions, salting with 4 g/100 g NaCl for 24 h produced a smoked trout  
258 product that had the closest physico-chemical values to the reference ones.

259 The NaCl content of these samples corresponded to a sodium chloride content of 5.7  
260 g/100 mL NaCl the liquid phase. This value fulfilled the Codex standard for smoked fish,  
261 smoke-flavoured fish and smoked dried fish (Codex, 2013), in which a minimum content  
262 of 5 g/100 mL NaCl is required to ensure complete protection against *Clostridium*  
263 *botulinum* at storage temperatures between 3°C and 10°C. This salt content would ensure  
264 an  $a_w$  value equal or lower than 0.97 in the food product. FSA guideline suggests that if

265  $a_w$  is the controlling factor for safety, an  $a_w$  of 0.97 or below should be achieved  
266 throughout all components of the food product (ESA, 2017). Different studies have  
267 established that that apart from the reduced  $a_w$ , there is no added bacteriostatic or  
268 bactericidal effect, imposed by the different cations of  $\text{Na}^+$  or  $\text{K}^+$ . Therefore, NaCl can  
269 be replaced by KCl without risking the microbiological safety (Bildas and Lambert,  
270 2008).

271 An acceptance test was carried out to check the acceptability of the obtained products.  
272 The smoke-flavoured trout samples obtained by the novel methodology and commercial  
273 smoked trout (brand 3) as a control sample were evaluated (Table 2).

274 All the samples obtained scores above 4 for all the evaluated attributes, which indicates  
275 that sensory acceptance was satisfactory. The smoke-flavoured samples generally  
276 obtained a higher score for appearance, colour and odour than the commercial samples,  
277 regardless of processing time and salt dose. Regarding saltiness, the samples processed  
278 with a 6 g/100 g salt dose and the commercial samples scored furthest from the optimal  
279 value for all the processing times. The panellists considered that the saltiness of these  
280 samples was excessive. For taste and global acceptance, the samples processed for 24 h  
281 scored higher than the commercial samples, but the samples processed for 12 h obtained  
282 lower acceptability scores. This evaluation revealed that the smoke-flavoured trout  
283 sensory attributes were perceived with the same degree of acceptance as the commercial  
284 smoked trout. This finding indicates that this methodology is suitable for obtaining a  
285 smoke-flavoured trout product with adequate sensory quality. These results are consistent  
286 with those reported for the smoke-flavoured salmon obtained by the same technique (Rizo  
287 et al., 2015a).

288 According to the sensory and physico-chemical results, a processing time of 24 h and a  
289 salt dose of 4 g/100 g were selected to develop reduced sodium smoke-flavoured trout.

290

291 *3.1.2. Developing reduced sodium products*

292 Table 3 shows the recently analysed parameters in the smoke-flavoured trout in which  
293 NaCl was replaced with KCl and the control samples were salted with 100% NaCl.

294 The moisture, chloride content and  $a_w$  of the obtained smoke-flavoured products were  
295 similar to the reference values established in Phase I, and fulfilled the minimum salt  
296 content ( $z^{\text{NaCl}}=5$  g/100 mL salt) expressed as chloride content ( $z^{\text{Cl}^-}=3$  g/100 mL salt), as  
297 set out by the above-mentioned standard for smoked fish, smoke-flavoured fish and  
298 smoked dried fish (*Codex*, 2013). No significant differences in these parameters, or in  
299  $\Delta\text{Mt}$  and pH, were observed according to the salt formulation used. The obtained results  
300 showed that the mixture of salts employed allowed an approximate 42% reduction of  
301 sodium content compared with the control samples.

302 The TBA index was used to evaluate the secondary lipid oxidation products which  
303 produce characteristic and undesirable off-odours. Similar values were recorded for both  
304 sample types (0.12 mg MDA/kg), which were lower than those reported in other studies  
305 into smoked fish (Bugueño et al., 2003; Fuentes et al., 2011). These lower values could  
306 be related to the refrigeration temperatures employed throughout the process (5°C) as  
307 processing temperatures influence the formation of secondary oxidation compounds  
308 (Espe et al., 2002; Goulas and Kontominas, 2005)

309 As with the TBA index, no differences were observed in the TVB-N and TMA-N values,  
310 mesophilic bacteria and *Enterobacteriaceae* between the samples obtained with KCl-  
311 NaCl and the control samples. According to these results, the recently trout smoke-  
312 flavoured product offered adequate hygienic quality. Salt replacement did not affect trout  
313 texture, except for chewiness which obtained higher values for the KCl-NaCl samples  
314 (Table 3). Regarding colour, partial NaCl substitution had no significant effect on the

315 recently smoke-flavoured samples. Liquid smoke application on fish, and also the initial  
316 variability among the fresh fish fillets employed, reduce the possible differences that  
317 using different salts could have (Fuentes et al., 2012). No exudate was observed in any of  
318 the bags because, as described above, the liquid released by samples evaporated  
319 completely through the WP bags during the process.

320 A triangle test for similarity was carried out to check if there were any perceptible  
321 differences between the samples salted with KCl-NaCl and the control samples.  
322 According to the results obtained (23 correct responses of 66 evaluations), no more than  
323 20% of the consumers were able to detect differences between the samples with a  
324 confidence level of 0.05 ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -risk). The use of high replacement levels of NaCl with  
325 KCl above 40-50% can diminish flavour intensity and produce bitter tastes, but the  
326 replacement level varies according to food product type, and presence of significant levels  
327 of smoke flavours and spices can help mask the bitter taste conferred by  $K^+$  (Mitchell et  
328 al., 2011).

329

### 330 *3.2. Phase II: physico-chemical and microbial quality during storage*

331 Figure 3 illustrates the evolution of the TBA index, TVB-N, TMA-N, mesophilic bacteria  
332 and *Enterobacteriaceae* in the smoke-flavoured samples.

333 The TBA values increased for both sample types throughout storage. Samples obtained  
334 with KCl-NaCl displayed lower lipid oxidation than control samples. The values of both  
335 sample types remained generally lower than the limits proposed by Connell (1995) of 1-  
336 2 mg MDA/kg of fish flesh, at which fish can develop an objectionable odour. So shelf  
337 life was not limited by lipid oxidation.

338 TVB-N is a common indicator of spoilage for many fish species. No sample exceeded the  
339 upper limit of acceptability of spoilage established for smoked fish of 30-40 mg N/100 g

340 (Dalgaard, 2000). In this study, the TVB-N concentration increased throughout the  
341 storage period from 14 to 23 mg N/100 g of fish (Fig. 3), which agrees with the results  
342 reported by Alçiçek (2011) for liquid-smoked trout stored under vacuum conditions. The  
343 same tendency was found for TMA-N, for which 10-15 mg/100 g was the upper limit for  
344 this parameter (Connell, 1995). No differences were found between salt formulations in  
345 the TVB-N and TMA-N values, which remained far below the limits of acceptability  
346 previously mentioned (40 mg TVB-N/100 g and 15 TMA-N/100 g, respectively),  
347 throughout the study period.

348 Mesophilic bacteria significantly increased for all the samples during storage, but did not  
349 reach the value established as the upper tolerable limit for cold-smoked fish (7 log cfu/g)  
350 (ICMSF, 1986) until day 35. Some studies have found high mesophilic bacteria levels in  
351 cold-smoked salmon ( $10^7$ - $10^8$  cfu/g) before signs of spoilage became apparent, which  
352 sometimes make them unreliable as quality indicators of cold-smoked fish (Joffraud et  
353 al., 2006; Løvdal et al., 2015).

354 High levels of *Enterobacteriaceae* are related to poor hygiene practices during handling  
355 and can determine the shelf-life of the product (González-Rodríguez et al., 2002).  
356 *Enterobacteriaceae* counts remained below the limit of acceptability for these  
357 microorganisms (3 log cfu/g) throughout the study (FSA, 2017)., which indicates a good  
358 level of hygiene during smoking-salting. No differences in the evolution of these  
359 microorganisms during storage were recorded according to the salt used. This could  
360 suggest that partial sodium replacement did not affect microbial fish spoilage, which  
361 agrees with Fuentes et al. (2011), who found no differences for mesophilic bacteria and  
362 *Enterobacteriaceae* growth when liquid-smoked sea bass was salted with a 50%  
363 NaCl:50% KCl mixture and by 100% NaCl. Several studies have confirmed similar  
364 antimicrobial activity of KCl and NaCl at an equivalent  $a_w$  (Bidlas and Lambert, 2008;



365 Boziaris et al., 2007). According to these results, the shelf life of smoke-flavoured trout  
366 would be around 1 month, regardless of salt formulation.

367 Partial NaCl replacement and storage time did not result in any significant changes in the  
368 colour of samples, except for lightness (Table 4). The increase in L\* coordinate could be  
369 attributed to water loss from samples during storage, which is retained in the plastic that  
370 covered the samples, as reported in other studies into smoked fish (Fuentes et al., 2012;  
371 Rizo et al., 2015b).

372 Texture profile analysis (TPA) and shear force test were carried out to determinate the  
373 effect of sodium replacement and storage time on the texture of fish muscle. In general,  
374 TPA parameters were not affected by the type of salt employed (Table 4). Only  
375 adhesiveness and springiness exhibited slight differences during the whole study;  
376 however there is not a clear tendency during the whole study. Similar results were  
377 obtained by Fuentes et al. (2012) who established that a partial sodium replacement did  
378 not affect the texture of smoked sea bass.

379 Regards to shear force test, significant differences were observed depending on storage  
380 time and salt formulation; however, tendencies of changes were not uniform in any case.  
381 These values were in the range of those observed in different studies by other fish species  
382 with similar calibre and composition (Gómez-Estaca, et al., 2010).

383

#### 384 **4. Conclusions**

385 Smoke-flavoured trout was achieved with similar physico-chemical characteristics and  
386 sensory acceptance to commercial smoked trout by means of the novel smoke-flavouring  
387 process using WP bags. Partial substitution of NaCl with KCl led to an approximate 42%  
388 sodium reduction of smoke-flavoured trout, and affected neither its physico-chemical  
389 characteristics, sensory features nor self-life under cold storage.

390 The smoke-flavouring process with WP bags is a fast convenient option to obtain high  
391 quality products, minimizing handling, and reducing processing steps and brine wastes.  
392 Moreover, this new method could be of interest to producers for reducing sodium content  
393 in fish products, which contributes to reductions in dietary sodium intake and satisfies the  
394 increasing consumer demands for these products.

395

396

### 397 **Acknowledgements**

398

399 The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Tub-Ex Aps (Taars, Denmark) for the  
400 supply of the water vapour permeable bags and for providing all the necessary technical  
401 information. Arantxa Rizo would like to thank the Universitat Politècnica de València for  
402 the FPI grant.

403

### 404 **References**

405

406 Alçiçek, Z. (2011). The effects of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) oil concentration on liquid-  
407 smoked vacuum-packed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum, 1792) fillets  
408 during chilled storage. *Food Chemistry*, 128(3), 683–688.

409 AOAC (1997). *Official methods of analysis*, (16th ed.). Washington: Association of  
410 Official Analytical Chemists.

411 Bidlas, E., & Lambert, R. J. W. (2008). Comparing the antimicrobial effectiveness of  
412 NaCl and KCl with a view to salt/sodium replacement. *International Journal of Food*  
413 *Microbiology*, 124(1), 98–102.

414 Boziaris, I. S., Skandamis, P. N., Anastasiadi, M., & Nychas, G. J. E. (2007). Effect of  
415 NaCl and KCl on fate and growth/no growth interfaces of *Listeria monocytogenes*

416 Scott A at different pH and nisin concentrations. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,  
417 102, 796–805

418 Bugueño, G., Escriche, I., Martínez-Navarrete, N., Camacho, M., & Chiralt, A. (2003).  
419 Influence of storage conditions on some physical and chemical properties of smoked  
420 salmon (*Salmo salar*) processed by vacuum impregnation techniques. *Food*  
421 *Chemistry*, 81, 85–90.

422 *Codex Alimentarius*. (2013). Standard for smoked fish, smoke-flavoured fish and smoke-  
423 dried fish (311-2013). Rome: FAO/WHO.

424 Connell, J. J. (1995). *Control of fish quality* (4th ed.). London: Fishing News Books  
425 Limited.

426 Cornu, M., Beaufort, A, Rudelle, S., Laloux, L., Bergis, H., Miconnet, N., Delignette-  
427 Muller, M. L. (2006). Effect of temperature, water-phase salt and phenolic contents  
428 on *Listeria monocytogenes* growth rates on cold-smoked salmon and evaluation of  
429 secondary models. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 106(2), 159–68.

430 Dalgaard, P. (2000). Freshness, quality and safety in seafood. Flair-Flow Europe  
431 Technical Manual F-FE 380A/00. URL: <http://flairflow4.vscht.cz/seafood00.pdf>.  
432 [Accessed 30.02.16].

433 Espe, M., Nortvedt, R., Lie, Ø. & Hafsteinsson, H. (2002). Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*,  
434 *L*) as raw material for the smoking industry. II: Effect of different smoking methods  
435 on losses of nutrients and on the oxidation of lipids. *Food Chemistry*, 77(1), 41–46.

436 FAO (2014). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2014.  
437 <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3720e.pdf> [Accessed 25/03/2016].

438 FSA, Food Standard Agency (2017). Vacuum packaging and modified atmosphere  
439 packing of food. Control factors for *Clostridium botulinum*.  
440 <http://vacuumpackagingtraining.food.gov.uk/module3/section1/>[Accessed 15/03/2017].

441 Fuentes, A., Fernández-Segovia, I., Barat, J. M., & Serra, J. A. (2011). Influence of  
442 sodium replacement and packaging on quality and shelf-life of smoked sea bass  
443 (*Dicentrarchus labrax L.*). *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 44(4), 917–923.

444 Fuentes A., Fernández-Segovia, I., Serra, J.A., & Barat, J.M. (2012). Effect of partial  
445 sodium replacement on physicochemical parameters of smoked sea bass during  
446 storage. *Food Science and Technology International*, 18(3), 207-217.

447 Gómez-Estaca, J., Giménez, B., Gómez-Guillén, C., Montero, P. (2010). Influence of  
448 frozen storage on aptitude of sardine and dolphinfish for cold-smoking process. *LWT-*  
449 *Food Science and Technology*, 43(8), 1246-1252.

450 González-Rodríguez, M.N., Sanz, J.J., Santos, J.Á., Otero, A., & García-López, M.L.  
451 (2002). Numbers and types of microorganisms in vacuum-packed cold-smoked  
452 freshwater fish at the retail level. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 77(1-  
453 2), 161–168.

454 Goulas, A. E., & Kontominas, M. G. (2005). Effect of salting and smoking-method on  
455 the keeping quality of chub mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*): biochemical and sensory  
456 attributes. *Food Chemistry*, 93(3), 511–520.

457 ICMSF (1986). *Sampling plans for fish and shellfish*. In ICMSF (Ed.). *Microorganisms*  
458 *in foods* (2nd ed., Vol. 2. pp. 181–196). Toronto, Canada: University of Toronto Press.

459 ISO (2003). ISO 4833: 2003. *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs*. Horizontal  
460 method for the enumeration of microorganisms. Colony-count technique at 30°C.  
461 Geneva, Switzerland.

462 ISO (2004b). ISO 21528-2: 2004. *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs -*  
463 *Horizontal methods for the detection and enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae - Part 2:*  
464 *Colony-count method*. Geneva, Switzerland.

465 ISO (2004a). ISO 4120: 2004. *Sensory analysis. Methodology. Triangle test*. Geneva,  
466 Switzerland:.

467 Joffraud, J. J., Cardinal, M., Cornet, J., Chasles, J. S., Léon, S., Gigout, F., & Leroi, F.  
468 (2006). Effect of bacterial interactions on the spoilage of cold-smoked salmon.  
469 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 112(1), 51–61.

470 Løvdal, T. (2015). The microbiology of cold smoked salmon. *Food Control*, 54, 360–  
471 373.

472 Malle, P., & Tao, S.H. (1987). Rapid quantitative determination of trimethylamine using  
473 steam distillation. *Journal of Food Protection*, 50(9), 756–760.

474 Mitchell, M., Brunton, N.P., & Wilkinson, M.G. (2011). Current salt reduction strategies  
475 and their effect on sensory acceptability: a study with reduced salt ready-meals.  
476 *European Food Research and Technology*, 232(3), 529–539.

477 Özoğul, F., & Özoğul, Y. (2000). Comparison of methods used for determination of total  
478 volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Turkish*  
479 *Journal of Zoology*, 24(1), 113–120.

480 Rizo, A., Mañes, V., Fuentes, A., Fernández-Segovia, I., & Barat, J.M. (2015a). A novel  
481 process for obtaining smoke-flavoured salmon using water vapour permeable bags.  
482 *Journal of Food Engineering*, 149, 44-50.

483 Rizo, A., Mañes, V., Fuentes, A., Fernández-Segovia, I., & Barat, J.M. (2015b).  
484 Physicochemical and microbial changes during storage of smoke-flavoured salmon  
485 obtained by a new method. *Food Control*, 56, 195–201.

486 Rizo, A., Fuentes, A., Fernández-Segovia, I., & Barat, J. M. (2016a). Smoke-flavoured  
487 cod obtained by a new method using water vapour permeable bags. *Journal of Food*  
488 *Engineering*, 179, 19–27.

489 Rizo, A., Fuentes, A., Fernández-Segovia, I., & Barat, J. M. (2016b). Feasibility of  
490 processing temperatures on the quality and shelf-life of smoke-flavoured cod. *LWT -*  
491 *Food Science and Technology*, 69, 546–553.

492 Salán, E. O., Galvão, J. A., & Oetterer, M. (2006). Use of smoking to add value to the  
493 salmoned trout. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, 49(1), 57–62.

494 Tarladgis, B. G., Watts, B. M., Younathan, M. T., & Dugan, L. R., Jr. (1960). A distillation  
495 method for the quantitative determination of malonaldehyde in rancid foods. *Journal*  
496 *of American Oil Chemists Society*, 37, 44-48.

497 Toldrá, F., & Barat, J. (2012). Strategies for salt reduction in foods. *Recent Patents on*  
498 *Food, Nutrition & Agriculture*, 4, 19–25.

499 World Health Organization (2009). National Salt Initiatives implementing the EU  
500 Framework for salt reduction initiatives.  
501 [http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph\\_determinants/life\\_style/nutrition/documents/na](http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph_determinants/life_style/nutrition/documents/national_salt_en.pdf)  
502 [tional\\_salt\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph_determinants/life_style/nutrition/documents/national_salt_en.pdf). [Accessed 22/03/2016].  
503

504 **Captions**

505

506 **Fig 1.** Trout smoking-salting (phase I): process optimisation (a). Developing the reduced sodium  
507 product (b)

508

509 **Fig. 2.** Moisture (a), NaCl content (b), water activity (c) and weight loss ( $\Delta Mt$ ) of the smoke-  
510 flavoured trout samples obtained by using different salt doses (2%, 4%, and 6% NaCl) and  
511 different processing times (12 h (grey colour) and 24 h (black colour)). Mean values $\pm$ SD (n=3).  
512 Bars indicate standard deviation. The dashed line represents the reference value. Different lower  
513 case and capital letters indicate significant differences for the salt dose and processing times  
514 factors, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ).

515

516 **Fig. 3.** Evolution of the TBA index (a), TVB-N (b), and TMA-N (c), mesophilic bacteria (d),  
517 *Enterobacteriaceae* (e) of the smoke-flavoured trout samples obtained with different salt  
518 formulations (KCl-NaCl (black colour) and NaCl (grey colour)) for 42 storage days at 4°C. Bars  
519 indicate standard deviation. The dashed line represents unacceptable levels in each figure.  
520 Different lower case and capital letters indicate significant differences for the salt type (S) and  
521 storage times (T) factors, respectively ( $p < 0.05$ )

522

523