Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/120997

This paper must be cited as:

Martinez Alvaro, M.; Blasco Mateu, A.; Hernández, P. (2018). Effect of selection for intramuscular fat on the fatty acid composition of rabbit meat. animal. 12(10):2002-2008. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117003494



The final publication is available at http://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117003494

Copyright Cambridge University Press

Additional Information

# Effect of selection for intramuscular fat on the fatty acid composition of

- 2 rabbit meat
- M. Martínez-Álvaro, A. Blasco and P. Hernández
- 4

1

- 5 Institute for Animal Science and Technology, Universitat Politècnica de
- 6 València, 46022 Valencia, Spain.
- 7
- 8 Corresponding author: Pilar Hernández. E-mail: <a href="mailto:phernan@dca.upv.es">phernan@dca.upv.es</a>
- 9
- Short title: correlated responses to intramuscular fat selection
- 11

#### 12 **Abstract**

- 13 Intramuscular fat (IMF) content and composition are relevant for the meat
- industry due to their effect on human health and meat organoleptic properties. A
- divergent selection experiment for IMF of Longissimus dorsi (LD) muscle was
- performed in rabbits during eight generations. The aim of this study is to
- 17 estimate the correlated responses to selection for IMF on the fatty acid
- composition of LD. Response to selection for IMF was 0.34 g/100g of LD,
- representing 2.4 phenotypic SD of the trait. High-IMF line showed 9.20% more
- monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and 0.39%, 9.97% and 10.3% less n-3, n-

6 and polyunsaturated fatty acids (**PUFA**) respectively, than low-IMF line. The main MUFA and PUFA individual fatty acids followed a similar pattern, except for C18:3n-3 that was greater in the high-IMF line. We did not observe differences between lines for the percentage of total saturated fatty acids (SFA). although high-IMF line showed greater C14:0 and C16:0 and lower C18:0 percentages than low-IMF line. Heritability estimates were generally high for all fatty acids percentages, ranging from 0.43 to 0.59 with a SD around 0.08, showing an important genetic component on these traits. Genetic correlations between IMF and LD fatty acid percentages were strong and positive for C14:0, C16:1, C18:1n-9, and MUFA, ranging from 0.88 to 0.97, and strong and negative for C18:0, C18:2n-6, C20:4n-6, n-6 and PUFA, ranging from -0.83 to -0.91. These correlations were accurately estimated, with SD ranging from 0.02 to 0.06. The genetic correlations between IMF and other fatty acids were estimated with lower accuracy. In general, phenotypic and genetic correlations were of the same order. Our experiment shows that selection for IMF strongly affects the fatty acid composition of meat, due the high heritabilities of fatty acids and their high genetic correlations with IMF.

38

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Keywords: correlated responses, genetic parameters, intramuscular fat,selection, fatty acids.

Implications: Increasing intramuscular fat (IMF) by selection is a successful way for improving meat quality. However, this study shows that selection for IMF has important consequences in the fatty acid (FA) percentages, some of them negative. These results should be considered when selecting for IMF to improve meat quality.

## Introduction

Increasing intramuscular fat (IMF) content of meat by genetic selection is an effective way to improve its tenderness (Zhao *et al.*, 2007 in chickens) and flavor (Schwab *et al.*, 2009 in pigs). However, selection for IMF could produce changes in the fatty acid composition that can influence nutritional, organoleptic and technological properties of meat. Great amounts of monounsaturated (MUFA) and saturated (SFA) fatty acids improve meat flavor (Carrapiso *et al.*, 2003 and Burkett, 2009) but nutritional institutions recommend reducing the intake of SFA (World Health Organization, 2008). Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) are beneficial from a nutritional point of view, but can lead to undesirable flavors, to a decrease in the melting point of fat, and to a shortened shelf life of the meat (Wood *et al.*, 2004).

Three previous selection experiments for IMF have been performed (Sapp *et al.*, 2002 in cattle, Zhao *et al.*, 2007 in chickens and Schwab *et al.*, 2009 in pigs), but only the experiment in pigs reported correlated responses in the fatty acid composition of meat (Burkett, 2009). We have developed two experimental rabbit lines divergently selected during eight generations for IMF, to study the genetics and metabolism of IMF deposition (Martínez-Álvaro *et al.*, 2016a, b and 2017a, b). Rabbit is a good genetic model for other livestock species because permits having large samples at reasonable costs. Rabbit has also importance as livestock species in several countries (FAO-STAT, 2014).

The aim of this study is to estimate the correlated responses to selection for IMF on fatty acid composition and their genetic parameters. This is the first time

that the genetics of IMF and meat fatty acid composition is studied in rabbits.

## **Materials and methods**

74 Animals

A divergent selection experiment for IMF in rabbits was performed during 8 generations. Animals came from a synthetic rabbit line. The base population consisted of 13 males and 83 females, and then, lines selected for high and low IMF had approximately 8 males and 40 females per generation. Two full sibs (a male and a female) of the first parity of each doe were slaughtered at 9 wk of

age and their IMF content was measured in Longissimus dorsi (LD) muscle. All dams were ranked according to the average of the two phenotypic IMF values obtained from their offspring. The 20% best dams provided all females for the next generation. Each sire was mated with five does, and to reduce inbreeding only one male progeny of the sire, from highest ranked mate, was selected for breeding the next generation. Normally, the first parity was used to collect the IMF data and the second parity to provide the rabbits for next generation, although exceptionally some IMF measurements were made on the second or third parity. More details of this experiment can be found in Martínez-Álvaro et al. (2016a). A total of 2 713 rabbits were considered in the pedigree file, from which 1 511 were evaluated. A total of 173 rabbits from the eighth generation were used to study the correlated responses to selection on fatty acid composition of LD; 82 from the high-IMF line and 91 from the low-IMF line. Litters were homogenized at birth up to 9 kits per litter. Rabbits were reared collectively from weaning to slaughter, and were fed ad libitum with a commercial diet with an average composition of 15.1% CP, 14.5% crude fibre and 2.48% of fat. Fatty acid composition of the diet (% of total fatty acids) was 0.49% of C14:0, 19.4% of C16:0, 0.68% of C16:1, 2.77% of C18:0, 20.5% C18:1n-9, 48.1% of C18:2n-6, 6.80% of C18:3n-3 and 1.26% of C>20. Animals were slaughtered using electrical stunning and exsanguination. After slaughter,

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

carcasses were chilled for 24h at 4°C. All experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Universitat Politècnica de València Research Ethics Committee, according to council directive 2010/63/EU (European Commission Directive, 2010).

Intramuscular fat and fatty acids measurements

After refrigeration, LD was excised, minced, freeze-dried and scanned with near infrared spectroscopy to measure IMF and fatty acid composition, applying the calibration equations previously developed by Zomeño *et al.* (2012) with some modifications. Intramuscular fat and fatty acid contents were obtained in g /100g of LD muscle on a fresh basis. Fatty acids were expressed as percentage of total fatty acids. Fatty acids studied were the major individual fatty acids C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:0, C18:1n-9, C18:2n-6, C18:3n-3 and C20:4n-6 and the SFA, MUFA, n-3, n-6 and PUFA groups, which included the major fatty acids cited above and all identified minor fatty acids (i.e. C15:0 and C17:0 for SFA, C18:1n-7 for MUFA and C20:2n-6, C20:3n-6, C20:5n-3, C22:4n-6, C22:5n-3 and C22:6n-3 for PUFA).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics and phenotypic correlations between IMF and fatty acid percentages of LD were estimated with data from all generations, after

- correcting data by line-generation-season, parity order and sex fixed effects.
- Direct and correlated responses to selection were estimated as the phenotypic
- differences between high and low-IMF lines at the eight generation of selection.
- Phenotypic differences between lines were estimated with the model

$$v = Xb + Wc + e$$

Data were assumed to be conditionally distributed as

126 
$$\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \sigma_e^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{Xb} + \mathbf{Wc}, \mathbf{I}\sigma_e^2)$$

- in which **b** is the vector with the fixed effects of line (high-IMF and low-IMF),
- month, sex and parity order; c is the vector of common litter random effects,  $\sigma_e^2$
- is the residual variance, **X** and **W** are known incidence matrices and **I** is an
- identity matrix. Common litter random effects were assumed to be distributed as

- in which  $\sigma_c^2$  is the common litter variance.
- 133 Heritabilities and genetic correlations with IMF were estimated by fitting a
- bivariate animal model, with the same effects for all traits

Data were assumed to be conditionally distributed as

$$137 \quad \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{y}_2 \end{pmatrix} | \ \boldsymbol{b}_1, \ \boldsymbol{b}_2, \ \boldsymbol{u}_1, \ \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ \boldsymbol{c}_1, \ \boldsymbol{c}_2, \ \boldsymbol{R} \sim \textit{N} \\ \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{X} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{b}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{b}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Z} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{W} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{W} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{c}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{c}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{R} \right)$$

in which  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  are the vectors of fixed effects (month, sex and parity order);  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  are the vectors of additive genetic effects;  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are the vectors of common litter effects; x, z and z are known incidence matrices, and z is the residual co (variance) matrix between the two traits.

Sorting data by individuals, additive effects were distributed as

143 
$$\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{G_0})$$

common litter effects were distributed as

145 
$$\mathbf{c} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{0}})$$

and residuals were distributed as

147 
$$\mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \, \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{0}})$$

where **G**<sub>0</sub>, **C**<sub>0</sub> and **R**<sub>0</sub>, are 2 x 2 genetic additive, common litter, and residual (co)variance matrices between the two traits respectively; **A** is the relationship matrix, **I**<sub>m</sub> is an identity matrix of the same order as the number of levels of common litter effects, and **I**<sub>n</sub> is an identity matrix of the same order as the number of individuals. All effects were assumed to be independent between them.

Bayesian inference was used, with bounded flat priors for all unknowns.

Marginal posterior distributions were estimated using Gibbs sampling (see Blasco, 2001, 2017). Descriptive statistics and phenotypic differences between lines were computed with the programme Rabbit developed by the Institute for

Animal Science and Technology (Valencia, Spain). After some exploratory analyses, results were based on Monte Carlo Markov chains consisting of 60 000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 10 000, and only one of every 10 samples were saved for inferences. Phenotypic correlations and genetic analyses were computed with the software TM (Legarra et al., 2008). After some exploratory analyses results were based on Monte Carlo Markov chains consisting of 1 000 000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 200 000; only one of every 100 samples were saved for inferences. In all analyses, convergence was tested using the Z criterion of Geweke and Monte Carlo sampling errors were computed using time-series procedures included in the Rabbit and TM programs. In all cases, Monte Carlo standard errors were small and lack of convergence was not detected by the Geweke test. The parameters obtained from the marginal posterior distributions of the phenotypic differences between high and low-IMF lines were the median of the difference (D), the highest posterior density region at 95% (HPD<sub>95%</sub>), and the probability of the difference being greater than zero when D > 0 or lower than zero when D < 0 ( $P_0$ ). We considered 1/3 of the phenotypic standard deviation of a trait as a relevant value (r), and we calculated the probability of relevance (probability of the difference being greater than r when D > 0 or lower than r when D < 0) ( $P_r$ ). For heritabilities, we estimated the median of each marginal

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

posterior distribution, the HPD<sub>95%</sub>, and the limit k of the interval [k, 1] with 80% probability, i.e. the guaranteed value with probability of 80% (**k**<sub>80%</sub>). For genetic and phenotypic correlations, we estimated the median of each marginal posterior distribution, the HPD<sub>95%</sub>, the probability of being greater than 0 when the median is positive or lower than 0 when the median is negative (P<sub>0</sub>), and the guaranteed value with probability of 80%; i. e., the limit k of the interval [k, 1] when the median is positive or [-1, k] when the median is negative with 80% probability. A more detailed description of these features can be found in Blasco, (2017).

## **Results and discussion**

## Intramuscular fat composition

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics of IMF content and fatty acid composition of LD. On average, IMF was 1.04 g/100g of LD. Percentages of SFA and PUFA were similar (38.2% and 41.8%, respectively), while MUFA percentage was lower (24.8%). Polyunsaturated fatty acids were mainly composed by n-6 (39.5%), whereas n-3 represented a lower percentage (2.92%). Linoleic (C18:2n-6), palmitic (C16:0), and oleic (C18:1n-9) acids were the most abundant fatty acids in rabbit meat, according to the fatty acid composition of the diet. They were followed by stearic (C18:0) and arachidonic acids (C20:4n-

6), whereas other fatty acids (C14:0, C16:1 and C18:3n-3) represented minor 198 199 percentages. These results are in agreement with other studies in rabbits 200 (reviewed by Dalle Zotte, 2002). Ratios PUFA/SFA, n-6/n-3 and MUFA/SFA are healthy indicators of meat. In 201 our experiment, PUFA/SFA ratio was 1.09, above the minimum of 0.60 202 recommended by the World Health Organization (2008). However, the n-6/n-3 203 not favorable (13.5), it was higher than the nutritional 204 ratio was 205 recommendations for human diets of 5-10 (World Health Organization, 2008) due to the high amount of C18:2n-6 in rabbit meat. The ratio MUFA/SFA was 206 0.65. We did not find a nutritional recommendation range for this ratio, although, 207 in general, it is recommended to replace the intake of SFA by unsaturated fatty 208 acids (World Health Organization, 2008). In comparison with other species, 209 210 rabbit meat shows greater PUFA, C18:2n-6 and C18:3n-3 percentages and PUFA/SFA ratio than pig, cattle and sheep, and lower n-6/n-3 ratio than pig, 211 cattle and chicken (reviewed by Dalle Zotte, 2002). However, MUFA/SFA ratio 212 213 in rabbit meat is lower than in other species (Dalle Zotte, 2002). Overall, the fatty acid composition of rabbit meat makes it a high quality meat from a 214 nutritional point of view. 215

Response to selection and correlated responses in fatty acid composition of LD Table 2 shows the direct response to selection for IMF and correlated responses in fatty acid composition of LD estimated as differences between high-IMF and low-IMF lines. Comparisons between lines should be done at the same stage of maturity, and our lines were approximately at the same stage (Pascual et al., 2015). Response to selection for IMF was 0.34 g/100g of LD, representing 2.4 phenotypic SD of the trait, with a probability of the difference between lines being relevant  $P_r = 1.00$ . Other authors obtained great responses to selection for IMF in cattle (Sapp et al., 2002), chickens (Zhao et al., 2007) and pigs (Schwab et al., 2009), in line with our results. Selection for IMF led to great modifications in the fatty acid composition of LD (Table 2). The high-IMF line showed greater MUFA and lower PUFA percentages than the low-IMF line. The differences between high-IMF and low-IMF lines for these fatty acid groups were both relevant ( $P_r = 1.00$ ) and of similar magnitude. Within PUFA, both n-6 and n-3 were relevantly lower in the high-IMF line ( $P_r = 1.00$ ), being the differences between lines greater for n-6 (3.8 phenotypic SD) than for n-3 (1.4 phenotypic SD). We did not observe differences between lines for the SFA percentage. High-IMF line showed relevantly greater amounts of SFA, MUFA, n-6, n-3 and PUFA groups and of all individual fatty acids in absolute terms (g / 100 g of LD) respect to the low-IMF

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

line (data not shown), due to its greater amount of IMF. Differences in the fatty acid percentages between lines are the consequence of a greater proportion of triglycerides (stored in adipocytes) respect to phospholipids (located in cells membranes) in the high-IMF than in the low-IMF line. In general, phospholipid fraction shows greater percentages of all individual PUFA whereas triglycerides fraction is richer in all MUFA and SFA. The faster increase of MUFA and SFA respect to PUFA when fatness increases is well documented (reviewed by De Smet et al., 2004 and Wood et al., 2008 in several farm species). We have studied the differences between lines on the fatty acid ratios MUFA/SFA, PUFA/SFA and n-6/n-3 in order to evaluate the effect of selection for IMF from a nutritional point of view. On one hand, high-IMF line showed greater MUFA/SFA ratio (0.57) respect to the low-IMF line (0.33), which implies an improvement of meat quality in the high-IMF line. The mean for the MUFA/SFA ratio in the eight generation was 0.45, differing from the mean of the whole selection experiment showed in Table 1. The n-6/n-3 ratio was also more favorable in the high-IMF (11.6) than in the low-IMF line (13.1), due to the greater differences between lines in n-6 than in n-3. In contrast, selection for high IMF led to a detriment of the PUFA/SFA ratio respect to selection for low IMF, which was 0.98 in the high-IMF line and 1.23 in the low-IMF line. However,

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

in both cases, PUFA/SFA ratio was above the minimum of 0.60 recommended 256 257 by the World Health Organization (2008). 258 Modifications in the IMF content and in its fatty acid composition could also affect organoleptic and technological meat quality traits such as flavor, fat 259 consistence and shelf life (Wood et al., 2004). However, in a previous study we 260 did not find differences between our rabbit lines in organoleptic properties 261 (Martínez-Álvaro et al., 2016b). 262 In general, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated individual fatty acids showed 263 similar patterns as their groups in correlated responses (Table 2), but this was 264 not observed for individual SFA. High-IMF line showed greater percentages of 265 individual SFA C14:0 and C16:0 but lower percentage of C18:0 than low-IMF 266 line, and differences between lines were relevant. Rabbits, and mammals in 267 general, are able to synthetize SFA and MUFA from glucose through 268 lipogenesis de novo, which produces primarily C16:0. In contrast, PUFA are 269 entirely derived from the diet. Previous studies observed that high-IMF line 270 showed greater lipogenic activities than low-IMF line in several tissues such as 271 LD, perirenal fat and liver (Martínez-Álvaro et al., 2017a and b). These findings 272 explain the greater proportion of C14:0 and C16:0 individual SFA and total 273 MUFA observed in the high-IMF line in comparison to the low-IMF line, and 274 consequently, its lower proportion of PUFA. However, high-IMF line showed 275

lower percentage of C18:0. This is explained because C18:0 percentage is 276 greater in phospholipids than in the triglycerides fraction in rabbits (Alasnier et 277 278 al., 1996; Cambero et al., 1991a and b; Otake et al., 1971). This particularity is not observed in other species (Les<mark>e</mark>igneur-Meynier and Gandemer, 1991 and 279 Burkett, 2009 in pigs and Wood et al., 2004 in a review including pigs, lambs 280 281 and cattle). Concerning individual MUFA, high-IMF line had relevantly greater C18:1n-9 and 282 283 C16:1 percentages ( $P_r = 1.00$ , Table 2). The ratio between C18:1n-9 and C18:0 is a common indicator of the stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) activity (Attie et 284 al., 2002), enzyme responsible for the synthesis of main MUFA from their SFA 285 forms. This ratio was 1.98 for high-IMF line and 1.09 for low-IMF line, indicating 286 greater SCD activity in the high-IMF line. Within PUFA, high-IMF line showed 287 lower C18:2n-6 and C20:4n-6 percentages than low-IMF line ( $P_r = 1.00$ ), but 288 greater percentage of C18:3n-3 (P<sub>r</sub> = 1.00). In rabbits, C18:3n-3 percentage is 289 much greater in triglycerides than in phospholipids (Otake et al 1971 and 290 291 Alasnier et al., 1996). In other species such as pig, C18:3n-3 is similar in both fractions (reviewed by De Smet et al., 2004, Wood et al., 2004 and 2008), or it 292 is only slightly greater in triglycerides (Burkett, 2009). 293 Our results are in close agreement with findings of the selection experiment for 294 IMF in pigs (Burkett, 2009). The line of pigs selected for high IMF showed more 295

MUFA percentages and lower PUFA percentages respect to a control line, with the exception of C18:3n-3 (Burkett, 2009), and no significant differences for SFA percentage. In a simulation study in pigs, Ros-Freixedes *et al.*, (2012) expected a positive response to selection in C18:1n-9 percentage when selecting by IMF and other traits, including IMF. Some studies compared the fatty acid composition in several genetic rabbit lines differing in their IMF, but they did not show any common pattern (Gašperlin *et al.*, 2006 and Hernández *et al.*, 2008).

## Heritabilities of the traits

For all traits, the differences between the genetic means of the high-IMF and low-IMF lines estimated with the animal model matched with the phenotypic differences between lines (Table 2), which corroborates the model used for the genetic analysis and then, the estimated parameters.

Table 3 shows the heritabilities (**h**<sup>2</sup>) of fatty acid composition of LD. In general, fatty acid composition showed high heritabilities. Percentages of MUFA, n-6 and PUFA groups displayed the greatest h<sup>2</sup> estimates (from 0.56 to 0.59), showing guaranteed values from 0.50 to 0.52 with 80% of probability. Their major fatty acids, C18:1n-9 and C16:1 for MUFA and C18:2n-6 and C20:4n-6 for n-6 and PUFA, also showed great h<sup>2</sup> estimates (from 0.43 to 0.53). However, n-3 group

and its major fatty acid C18:3n-3, showed lower estimates (0.15 to 0.18). 316 Percentage of SFA group showed a low  $h^2$  (0.12 with a  $k_{80\%} = 0.07$ ) because of 317 318 the low h<sup>2</sup> of its main component, C16:0, although other important individual SFA percentages such as C18:0 and C14:0 displayed high heritabilities. 319 Heritability estimates were high for the major fatty acids (except for C18:3n-3 320 and C16:0). Even though C18:2n-6 should come from diet, our results show that 321 there is an important genetic control for all these fatty acids accumulation in 322 IMF, whereas this was not observed for C18:3n-3 (Table 3). Several studies 323 report high to moderate h<sup>2</sup> estimates for IMF fatty acid percentages (Burkett et 324 al., 2009; Sellier et al., 2010 and Ibáñez-Escriche et al., 2016 in pigs and Nogi 325 et al., 2016 in cattle), with some exceptions. The h2 estimate of C18:3n-3 326 percentage reported by Ibáñez-Escriche et al. (2016) was moderate (0.22) and 327 328 those reported by Sellier et al. (2010) and Nogi et al. (2011) were null. In these three cases, h<sup>2</sup> of C18:3n-3 was lower than h<sup>2</sup> of the other fatty acids, which is 329 in line with our results in rabbits. Only Burkett et al. (2009) reported a low h<sup>2</sup> for 330 C16:0, near 0. 331

332

333

334

335

Correlations between IMF and fatty acid composition

Table 4 shows the phenotypic and genetic correlations ( $r_g$ ) between IMF and fatty acid composition of LD. To our knowledge, there are no previous reports of

rg among IMF and fatty acid composition of meat in rabbits. Estimates of rg between IMF and fatty acid percentages of LD were strong and positive for C14:0, C16:1, C18:1n-9 and MUFA (0.88 to 0.97), and strong and negative for C18:0, C18:2n-6, C20:4n-6, n-6 and PUFA ( -0.83 to -0.91). Because of the high values of these correlations, 1 511 animals were enough to obtain quite accurate estimates (Table 4). Phenotypic correlations between IMF and these fatty acids were of the same order or slightly lower than rg (Table 4). The rg of IMF with n-3 was negative, whereas with C18:3n-3 was positive. The rg of IMF with C16:0 and SFA percentages were low (0.48 and 0.30 respectively) with wide HPD<sub>95%</sub>, but we can still say that they were positive with high probability (P<sub>0</sub> = 0.99 and 0.94, respectively). Phenotypic correlations between IMF and percentages of n-3, C18:3n-3, C16 and SFA showed the same sign as their corresponding  $r_g$  (P<sub>0</sub>=1.00) but their medians were lower. Our study reports strong rg between IMF and most of the major fatty acids percentages (except for C16:0 and C18:3n-3), suggesting that, as IMF increases, there is a rapid dilution of PUFA in MUFA and in C14:0. This dilution is due to the difference in fatty acid composition between the muscle phospholipids and triglycerides (De Smet et al., 2004). In pigs, the genetic correlations of IMF with PUFA and C18:2n-6 percentages were negative and strong (-0.80 and -0.84, respectively) in line with our estimates, and the genetic correlation between IMF and C14:0

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

was 0.50, lower than ours (Burkett *et al.*, 2009). Their other genetic correlations were estimated with very low accuracy due to their low amount of data (n = 663). In general, our results showed stronger correlations between IMF and fatty acid composition of meat than the correlations reported in other studies in pigs (Suzuki *et al.*, 2006 and Ros-Freixedes *et al.*, 2014) and cattle (Nogi *et al.*, 2011 and Buchanan *et al.*, 2015). A couple of genes (*ELOVL6* and *SCD*) affecting MUFA and SFA content without modifying IMF have been detected in pigs (reviewed by Estany *et al.*, 2016). However, the strong genetic correlations between IMF and most fatty acids estimated in rabbits leave few options to change the fatty acid composition of LD without varying IMF.

## **Conclusions**

Our study shows substantial changes in the fatty acid composition of LD when selecting for IMF. High-IMF line showed increased MUFA and decreased n-6, n-3 and PUFA percentages in comparison to low-IMF line, and percentages of the main MUFA and PUFA individual fatty acids followed a similar pattern as groups, except for C18:3n-3 that was greater in the high-IMF line. We did not observe differences between lines for the percentage of SFA group, but we found greater C14:0 and C16:0 percentages in the high-IMF and lower percentage of C18:0. Thus, the increase of IMF content by selection could

impair nutritional quality of the meat. The high heritabilities estimated for most of the fatty acids, together with the high genetic correlations with IMF, explain the great effect of selection for IMF on the fatty acid composition of meat.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by project AGL2014-55921-C2-01-P from the Spanish National Research Plan. M. Martínez-Álvaro acknowledges a FPI grant (BES-2012-052655) from the Economy Ministry of Spain. The authors thank Federico Pardo and Marina Morini for their technical assistance.

#### References

Alasnier C, Rémington H and Gandemer G 1996. Lipid characteristics associated with oxidative and glycolytic fibres in rabbit muscles. Meat Science 43, 213-224. Alasnier C, and Gandemer G 1998. Fatty acid and aldehyde composition of individual phospholipids classes of rabbit skeletal muscles in related to the metabolic type of the fibre. Meat Science 48, 225-235. Attie AD, Krauss RM, Gray-Keller MP, Brownlie A, Miyazaki M, Kastelein JJ, Lusis AJ, Stalenhoef FH, Stoehr JP, Hayden MR and Ntambi JM 2002. Relationship between stearoyl-CoA desaturase activity and plasma triglycerides in human and mouse hypertriglyceridemia. Journal of lipid research 43, 1899-1907.

Blasco A 2001. The Bayesian controversy in Animal Breeding. J. Anim. Sci. 79, 2023-

- 397 2046.
- 398 Blasco A 2017. Bayesian data analysis for animal scientists. Springer, NY, USA
- 399 Buchanan JW, Reecy JM, Garrick DJ, Duan Q, Beitz DC and Mateescu RG 2015.
- 400 Genetic parameters and genetic correlations among triacylglycerol and phospholipid
- fractions in Angus cattle. Journal of Animal Science 93, 522–528.
- Burkett JL 2009. The effect of selection for intramuscular fat on fatty acid composition
- in Duroc pigs. Graduate Theses and Dissertations. Paper 10539, Iowa State University,
- 404 Ames, IA, USA.
- 405 Cambero MI, de la Hoz L, Sanz B and Ordóñez A 1991a. Lipid and fatty acid
- composition of rabbit meat: Part 1. Apolar fraction. Meat Science 29, 153-166.
- 407 Cambero MI, de la Hoz L, Sanz B and Ordóñez A 1991b. Lipid and fatty acid
- 408 composition of rabbit meat: Part 2. Phospholipids. Meat Science 29, 167-176.
- 409 Carrapiso Al, Bonilla F and García C 2003. Effect of crossbreeding and rearing system
- on sensory characteristics of Iberian ham. Meat Science 65, 623-629.
- Dalle Zotte A 2002. Perception of rabbit meat quality and major factors influencing the
- rabbit carcass and meat quality. Livestock Production Science 75, 11–32.
- De Smet S, Raes K and Demeyer D 2004. Meat fatty acid composition as affected by
- fatness and genetic factors: a review. Animal Research 53, 81–98.
- 415 Estany J, Ros-Freixedes R, Tor M and Pena RN 2016. Genetics and breeding for
- intramuscular fat and oleic acid content in pigs. Journal of Animal Science 94, 380-381.

- 417 European Commission Directive 2010. Council, EPAE 2010/63/EU on the protection of
- 418 animals used for scientific purposes. Institute for Health and Consumer Protection,
- 419 Ispra, Italy, b7.
- 420 FAO Stat. 2014. Food and Agriculture Organization. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en
- Gašperlin L, Polak T, Rajar A, Skvarèa M and Lender B 2006. Effect of genotype, age
- at slaughter and sex on chemical composition and sensory profile of rabbit meat. World
- 423 Rabbit Science 14, 157–166.
- 424 Hernández P, Cesari V and Blasco A 2008. Effect of genetic rabbit lines on lipid
- content, lipolytic activities and fatty acid composition of hind leg meat and perirenal fat.
- 426 Meat Science 78, 485–491.
- 427 Ibáñez-Escriche N, Magallón E, González E, Tejeda JF and Noguera JL 2016. Genetic
- 428 parameters and crossbreeding effects of fat deposition and fatty acid profiles in Iberian
- pig lines. Journal of Animal Science 94, 28-37.
- Legarra A, Varona L and López de Maturana E 2008. TM: Thershold model. GenoToul
- 431 Bioinformatics, Tolouse, France. Accessed on May 7, 2017 from
- 432 http://genoweb.toulouse.inra.fr/~alegarra/tm\_folder/
- Leseigneur-Meyner A and Gandemer G 1991. Lipid composition of pork muscle in
- relation to the metabolic type of the fibres. Meat Science 29, 229-241.
- 435 Martínez-Álvaro M, Hernández P and Blasco A 2016a. Divergent selection on
- intramuscular fat in rabbits: Responses to selection and genetic parameters. Journal of
- 437 Animal Science 94, 4993-5003.
- 438 Martínez-Álvaro M, Penalba V, Blasco A and Hernández P 2016b. Effect of divergent

- selection for intramuscular fat on sensory traits and instrumental texture in rabbit meat.
- 440 Journal of Animal Science 94, 5137-5143.
- 441 Martínez-Álvaro M, Agha S, Blasco A and Hernández P 2017a. Muscle lipid
- 442 metabolism in two rabbit lines divergently selected for intramuscular fat. Journal of
- 443 Animal Science. doi: 10.2527/jas2017.1371.
- 444 Martínez-Álvaro M, Paucar Y, Satué K, Blasco A and Hernández P 2017b. Liver
- metabolism traits in two rabbit lines divergently selected for intramuscular fat. Animal.
- 446 doi: 10.1017/S1751731117002695
- Nogi T, Honda T, Mukai F, Okagaki T and Oyama K 2011. Heritabilities and genetic
- correlations of fatty acid compositions in *longissimus* muscle lipid with carcass traits in
- Japanese Black cattle. Journal of Animal Science 89, 615–21.
- 450 Otake Y, Watanabe M and Nakazato T 1971. Fatty acid composition of rabbit meat
- 451 lipid. Japanese Journal of Zootechnical Science 42, 162-167.
- Pascual M de los D, Calle EW and Blasco A 2015. Comparison of degrees of maturity
- of rabbit lines selected for different traits. World Rabbit Science 23, 155-161.
- Ros-Freixedes R, Reixach J, Tor M and Estany J 2012. Expected genetic response for
- oleic acid content in pork. Journal of Animal Science 90, 4230-4238.
- Ros-Freixedes R, Reixach J, Bosch L, Tor M and Estany J 2014. Genetic correlations
- 457 of intramuscular fat content and fatty acid composition among muscles and with
- subcutaneous fat in Duroc pigs. Journal of Animal Science 92, 5417–5425.

- 459 Sapp RL, Bertrand JK, Pringle TD and Wilson DE 2002. Effects of selection for
- 460 ultrasound intramuscular fat percentage in Angus bulls on carcass traits of progeny.
- 461 Journal of Animal Science 80, 2017–2022.
- Schwab CR, Baas TJ, Stalder KJ and Nettleton D 2009. Results from six generations
- of selection for intramuscular fat in Duroc swine using real-time ultrasound. I. Direct
- and correlated phenotypic responses to selection. Journal of Animal Science 87, 2774-
- 465 2780.
- Sellier P, Maignel L and Bidanel JP 2010. Genetic parameters for tissue and fatty acid
- 467 composition of backfat, perirenal fat and longissimus muscle in Large White and
- 468 Landrace pigs. Animal 4, 497-504.
- Wood JD, Richardson RI, Nute GR, Fisher AV, Campo MM, Kasapidou E, Sheard PR,
- and Enser M 2004. Effects of fatty acids on meat quality: A review. Meat Science 66,
- 471 21–32.
- Wood JD, Enser M, Fisher AV, Nute GR, Sheard PR, Richardson RI, Hughes SI and
- Whittington FM 2008. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: a review.
- 474 Meat Science 78, 343-358.
- 475 World Health Organization 2008. Interim summary of conclusions and dietary
- 476 recommendations on total fat and fatty acids. Joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on
- fats and fatty acids in human nutrition, 10-14.
- Zhao GP, Chen JL, Zheng MQ, Wen J and Zhang Y 2007. Correlated responses to
- 479 selection for increased intramuscular fat in a Chinese quality chicken line. Poultry
- 480 Science 86, 2309–2314.

- Zomeño C, Juste V and Hernández P 2012. Application of NIRS for predicting fatty
- acids in intramuscular fat of rabbit. Meat Science 91, 155-159.

483

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of intramuscular fat (g/100g of muscle) and fatty acid composition of Longissimus dorsi muscle (% of total fatty acids) of rabbits from the whole experiment (n = 1511).

Trait <sup>1</sup>	Mean	SD	CV x 100
IMF	1.04	0.14	13.4
C14:0	1.41	0.32	22.4
C16:0	26.6	1.05	3.95
C18:0	9.83	0.66	6.67
SFA	38.2	1.28	3.35
C16:1	1.60	0.53	33.1
C18:1n-9	21.5	1.85	8.60
MUFA	24.8	2.47	9.95
C18:2n-6	28.1	1.59	5.65
C18:3n-3	1.92	0.19	9.87
C20:4n-6	7.10	0.95	13.4
n-3	2.92	0.27	9.39
n-6	39.5	2.64	6.68
PUFA	41.8	2.74	6.54

<sup>1</sup>IMF = intramuscular fat; SFA = saturated fatty acids, C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids, C16:1 + C18:1n-7 + C18:1n-9; n-3 = C18:3n-3 + C20:5n-3 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3; n-6 = C18:2n-6 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C22:4n-6; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids, C18:2n-6 + C18:3n-3 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:5n-3 + C22:6n-3.

**Table 2** Differences between high and low intramuscular fat (IMF) rabbit lines for IMF (g/100g of muscle) and fatty acid composition of Longissimus dorsi muscle (% of total fatty acids) in the eighth generation (n = 173).

Trait <sup>1</sup>	$D^2$	HPD <sub>95%</sub> <sup>3</sup>		P <sub>0</sub> <sup>4</sup>	r <sup>5</sup>	P <sub>r</sub> <sup>6</sup>
IMF	0.34	0.29,	0.39	1.00	0.05	1.00
C14:0	0.75	0.60,	0.90	1.00	0.11	1.00
C16:0	0.63	0.18,	1.08	1.00	0.35	0.89
C18:0	-1.87	-2.22,	-1.54	1.00	0.22	1.00
SFA	-0.31	-0.91,	0.33	0.83	0.43	0.36
C16:1	1.15	0.89,	1.41	1.00	0.18	1.00
C18:1n-9	6.66	5.69,	7.67	1.00	0.62	1.00
MUFA	9.20	7.88,	10.6	1.00	0.82	1.00
C18:2n-6	-4.70	-5.36,	-4.03	1.00	0.53	1.00
C18:3n-3	0.20	0.10,	0.30	1.00	0.06	1.00
C20:4n-6	-3.36	-3.84,	-2.86	1.00	0.32	1.00
n-3	-0.39	-0.50,	-0.29	1.00	0.09	1.00
n-6	-9.97	-11.2,	-8.68	1.00	0.88	1.00
PUFA	-10.3	-11.6,	-8.98	1.00	0.91	1.00

<sup>1</sup>SFA = saturated fatty acids, C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0; MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acids, C16:1 + C18:1n-7 + C18:1n-9; n-3 = C18:3n-3 + C20:5n-3 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3; n-6 = C18:2n-6 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:4n-6; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids, C18:2n-6 + C18:3n-3 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:5n-3 + C22:4n-6 + C20:5n-3 + C22:6n-3.

<sup>2</sup>D = median of the marginal posterior distribution of the difference between high and low-IMF lines.

 $^{3}$ HPD<sub>95%</sub> = highest posterior density region at 95% of probability.

 $^{4}P_{0}$  = probability of the difference being greater than zero when D >0 or lower than zero when D <0.

<sup>5</sup>r = relevant value, proposed as 1/3 of the standard deviation of the trait.

<sup>6</sup>P<sub>r</sub> = probability of relevance (probability of the difference being greater than r when D > 0 or lower than r when D < 0).

519

516

503

504

**Table 3** Heritabilities of fatty acid composition of Longissimus dorsi muscle (in % of total fatty acids) in rabbits.

522

523

526527

531

532533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

Trait <sup>1</sup>	Median <sup>2</sup>	HPD <sub>95%</sub> 3	k <sub>80%</sub> <sup>4</sup>
C14:0	0.43	0.29, 0.60	0.37
C16:0	0.16	0.04, 0.31	0.11
C18:0	0.42	0.29, 0.56	0.36
SFA	0.12	0.02, 0.25	0.07
C16:1	0.43	0.30, 0.59	0.37
C18:1n-9	0.53	0.39, 0.68	0.46
MUFA	0.56	0.41, 0.72	0.50
C18:2n-6	0.50	0.35, 0.67	0.43
C18:3n-3	0.18	0.05, 0.33	0.12
C20:4n-6	0.50	0.36, 0.65	0.44
n-3	0.15	0.06, 0.26	0.11
n-6	0.59	0.44, 0.74	0.52
PUFA	0.59	0.44, 0.75	0.52

 $^{1}$ SFA = saturated fatty acids, C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0; MUFA =

525 monounsaturated fatty acids, C16:1 + C18:1n-7 + C18:1n-9; n-3 = C18:3n-3 + C20:5n-

3 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3; n-6 = C18:2n-6 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 +

C22:4n-6; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids, C18:2n-6 + C18:3n-3 + C20:2n-6 +

528 C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:5n-3 + C22:4n-6 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3.

<sup>2</sup>Median = median of the marginal posterior distribution of the heritability.

<sup>3</sup>HPD<sub>95%</sub> = highest posterior density region at 95% of probability.

 $^{4}$ k<sub>80%</sub> = limit of the interval [k, 1] at 80% of probability.

**Table 4** Phenotypic and genetic correlations between intramuscular fat and fatty acid composition of Longissimus dorsi (in % of total fatty acids) in rabbits.

Trait <sup>1</sup>	Phenotypic correlation					Genetic correlation				
	Median <sup>2</sup>	HPC	) <sub>95%</sub> <sup>3</sup>	$P_0^4$	k <sub>80%</sub> <sup>5</sup>	Median <sup>2</sup>	HPD <sub>95%</sub> 3		$P_0^4$	k <sub>80%</sub> <sup>5</sup>
C14:0	0.75	0.73,	0.78	1.00	0.74	0.97	0.93,	1.00	1.00	0.96
C16:0	0.34	0.29,	0.38	1.00	0.32	0.48	0.13,	0.80	0.99	0.32
C18:0	-0.68	-0.71,	-0.66	1.00	-0.67	-0.91	-0.98,	-0.80	1.00	-0.86
SFA	0.21	0.15,	0.26	1.00	0.18	0.30	-0.06,	0.66	0.94	0.14
C16:1	0.83	0.81,	0.85	1.00	0.82	0.96	0.90,	1.00	1.00	0.94
C18:1n-9	0.76	0.74,	0.78	1.00	0.75	0.88	0.79,	0.95	1.00	0.84
MUFA	0.79	0.77,	0.81	1.00	0.78	0.89	0.81,	0.96	1.00	0.85
C18:2n-6	-0.62	-0.66,	-0.59	1.00	-0.61	-0.83	-0.94,	-0.69	1.00	-0.77
C18:3n-3	0.33	0.28,	0.38	1.00	0.30	0.59	0.23,	0.92	1.00	0.44
C20:4n-6	-0.78	-0.80,	-0.75	1.00	-0.77	-0.89	-0.96,	-0.81	1.00	-0.86
n-3	-0.37	-0.42,	-0.32	1.00	-0.35	-0.71	-0.99,	-0.43	1.00	-0.58
n-6	-0.88	-0.89,	-0.87	1.00	-0.87	-0.89	-0.96,	-0.81	1.00	-0.85
PUFA	-0.82	-0.83,	-0.80	1.00	-0.81	-0.88	-0.95,	-0.81	1.00	-0.85

- <sup>1</sup>SFA = saturated fatty acids, C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0; MUFA =
- monounsaturated fatty acids, C16:1 + C18:1n-7 + C18:1n-9; n-3 = C18:3n-3 + C20:5n-
- 3 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3; n-6 = C18:2n-6 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 +
- 546 C22:4n-6; PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acids, C18:2n-6 + C18:3n-3 + C20:2n-6 +
- 547 C20:3n-6 + C20:4n-6 + C20:5n-3 + C22:4n-6 + C22:5n-3 + C22:6n-3.
- <sup>2</sup>Median = median of the marginal posterior distribution of the correlation.
- $^{3}$ HPD<sub>95%</sub> = highest posterior density region at 95% probability.
- $^{4}P_{0}$  = probability of the correlation being greater than 0 when the median is positive, or
- lower than 0 when the median is negative.

541

542

 $^{5}$ k<sub>80%</sub> = limit of the interval [k, 1] at 80% of probability.