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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new technique to construct a family of divided differences for designing derivative-free iterative methods for solving nonlinear systems is proposed. By using these divided differences any kind of iterative methods containing a Jacobian matrix in its iterative expression can be transformed into a "Jacobian-free" scheme preserving the order of convergence. This procedure is applied on different schemes, showing theoretically their order and error equation. Numerical experiments confirm the theoretical results and show the efficiency and performance of the new Jacobian-free schemes.
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## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear systems are of interest to engineers, physicists, mathematicians and other scientists, because the modelization of many nonlinear problems arising in different fields of science is made by means of a nonlinear system of equations.

Let $F(x)=0$ be a system of nonlinear equations, where $F: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the coordinate functions of $F, F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{n}(x)\right)^{T}$. Nonlinear systems are difficult to solve, the solution $\bar{x}$ usually is obtained by linearizing the nonlinear problem or using a fixed point function $G: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, which leads to a fixed point iteration scheme. There are many finding-root methods for systems of nonlinear equations. The most famous one is the second order Newton method,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $F$ evaluated at $k$ th iteration.
In recent decades, many authors have tried to design iterative procedures with better efficiency and higher order of convergence than Newton's scheme (see, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 8, 9, 10, and the references therein). Most of them need to evaluate the Jacobian matrix at one or more points per iteration. One of the difficulties of using these methods is the computation of the Jacobian matrix, that in some cases, may not exist, or when it exists, for high dimensional cases, computing the Jacobian matrix is too costly or even in some cases unviable. Therefore, some authors have tried to omit the Jacobian matrix, replacing it by a divided difference operator. The simplest one is Steffensen's scheme [11, obtained by replacing the Jacobian matrix in Newton's method by a first-order divided difference, preserving the second order of convergence,

$$
x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}-\left[z^{(k)}, x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right),
$$

[^0]where $z^{(k)}=x^{(k)}+F\left(x^{(k)}\right)$, being $[\cdot, \cdot ; F]: \Omega \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the divided difference operator of $F$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as (see [11)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y ; F](x-y)=F(x)-F(y), \text { for any } x, y \in \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Despite both Newton an Steffensen schemes have quadratic convergence, it has been proved that the stability of Steffensen'method, defined as the dependence on the initial estimation, is very bad compared with that of Newton one. This was analyzed in [12] and in [13], proving that, in the scalar case $f(x)=0$, the stability of the iterative schemes without derivatives was improved when $z=x+\gamma f(x)$ for small values of $\gamma$. A different 25 approach for improving the stability of derivative-free methods was imtroduced by Amat et al. in 14 ]

Nevertheless, one of the problems of replacing Jacobian matrices by divided-differences is that, in many iterative methods, the new derivative-free scheme has not the same order as the initial one. For example, let us consider the multidimensional extension of the fourth-order Ostrowski's method [15, 16, 17]

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[2\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; F\right]-F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

that reaches cubic convergence when $F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is replaced by the non-symmetric kind of divided difference (2). Also, many other fourth order methods do not preserve the order of convergence, such as Jarratt's [18] scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\frac{2}{3}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =x^{(k)}-J\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\left[6 F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)-2 F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[3 F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]$, fourth-order Sharma's method [19, denoted by $\mathrm{M}_{4,3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \\
x^{(k+1)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[3 I-2\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; F\right]\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Montazeri et al. 20] fourth-order method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\frac{2}{3}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[\frac{23}{8} I-3\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)+\frac{9}{8}\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2}\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and Hueso et al. 21] iterative scheme,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\frac{2}{3}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[-\frac{1}{2} I+\frac{9}{8} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}+\frac{3}{8}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a fourth-order iterative method and is denoted originally as $\mathrm{M1}_{4}$. All of them are examples of iterative schemes that never preserve the order of convergence when a non-symmetric divided difference (2) is used as an approximation of the Jacobian matrix.

In the same way, an example of sixth-order iterative method that does not preserve the order of convergence by replacing the Jacobian matrices by divided difference (2), is Sharma's scheme [19], denoted by
$\mathrm{M}_{6,3}$ whose iterative expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
z^{(k)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[3 I-2\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; F\right]\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =z^{(k)}-\left[3 I-2\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} ; F\right]\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Some examples of eighth order iterative methods whose order of convergence decrease when Jacobian matrices are replaced by divided differences as (2) are: Sharma and Arora [22] eighth-order method with three steps that is denoted by $\mathrm{NLM}_{8}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
z^{(k)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[\frac{13}{4} I-H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(\frac{7}{2} I-\frac{5}{4} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =z^{(k)}-\left[\frac{7}{2} I-H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(4 I-\frac{3}{2} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)$. Also, two eighth-order methods from Cordero et al. in [23]; the first one is described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
z^{(k)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[\frac{5}{4} I-\frac{1}{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2}\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =z^{(k)}-\left[\frac{3}{2} I-\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2}\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is denoted by CCGT1, and second one, denoted by CCGT2, is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
z^{(k)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[\frac{1}{4} I+\frac{1}{2}\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2}\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =z^{(k)}-\left[\frac{1}{2} I+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{2}\right]\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, Cordero et al. in [24] proposed to use, for scalar functions $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the divided difference $f^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \approx \frac{f\left(z_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k}\right)}{z_{k}-x_{k}}$ with $z_{k}=x_{k}+\gamma f\left(x_{k}\right)^{m}$, for preserving the order of convergence of an optimal iterative method of order $2^{r}$, it is necessary to use $m \geq r$.

In this paper, we prove that if $[x, x+G(x) ; F]$ is used as estimation of the Jacobian matrix, where $G: D \subseteq$ $\mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $G(x)=\left(f_{1}^{m}(x), f_{2}^{m}(x), \ldots, f_{n}^{m}(x)\right)^{T}$ then, the divided difference is an approximation of ${ }_{40}$ order $m$ of the Jacobian matrix of function $F(x)$ at the point $x$. Also we show that by choosing suitable $m$, the order of convergence of any iterative method can be preserved, when we replace the Jacobian matrix by this estimation. Therefore, this result extend to the multidimensional case that obtained by the authors in [24].

The rest of paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the order of the new approximations of the Jacobian 45 matrix are investigated and some properties are proved. In Section 3, we apply these divided differences on some iterative methods and prove their order of the convergence when these Jacobian approximations are used. Finally, in Section 4 we present some numerical results to show the efficiency and performance of the new Jacobian-free schemes.

## 2. Development of divided differences with desirable order

 preliminaries: the following notation can be found in [25, but we introduce it in the following for the sake of completeness. Let $F: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be sufficiently Fréchet differentiable in $D$. The $q$ th derivative of $F$ at $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the $q$-linear function $F^{(q)}(u): \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $F^{(q)}(u)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is easy to observe that1) $F^{(q)}(u)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q-1}, \cdot\right) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
2) $F^{(q)}(u)\left(v_{\sigma_{1}}, \ldots, v_{\sigma_{q}}\right)=F^{(q)}(u)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)$, for any permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$.

From these properties, we can use the following notation:
i) $F^{(q)}(u)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q}\right)=F^{(q)}(u) v_{1} \cdots v_{q}$.
ii) $F^{(q)}(u) v^{q-1} F^{(p)}(u) v^{p}=F^{(q)}(u) F^{(p)}(u) v^{q+p-1}$.

So, by using the previous expressions, we can write the Taylor expansion of $F$ around solution $\bar{x}$ of $F(x)=0$, when the Jacobian $F^{\prime}(\bar{x})$ is nonsingular, as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left(e+\sum_{q=2}^{p-1} C_{q} e^{q}\right)+O\left(e^{p}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=x-\bar{x}$ and, for $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(l)}(x)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left(\sum_{k=l}^{p-1} \frac{k!}{(k-l)!} C_{k} e^{k-l}\right)+O\left(e^{p-l}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=I$ and $C_{q}=\frac{1}{q!}\left[F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right]^{-1} F^{(q)}(\bar{x}), q \geq 2$. In this paper, we use the notation $\mathcal{L}_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ instead ${ }_{65}$ of $\mathcal{L}(\overbrace{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^{n}}^{q \text { times }}$, for compactness.

The formula of Gennochi-Hermite (see [11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x+h, x ; F]=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}(x+t h) d t, \quad \forall x, h \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows us to calculate the Taylor expansion of the divided difference operator in terms of the successive derivatives of $F$,

$$
[x+h, x ; F]=\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{1}{(j+1)!} F^{(j+1)}(x) h^{j}+O\left(h^{p+1}\right), \quad \forall x, h \in D
$$

By denoting $y=x+h$ and using the error at both points, $e=x-\bar{x}, e_{y}=y-\bar{x}$, the Taylor expansion of the divided difference (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[y, x ; F]=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+C_{2}\left(e_{y}+e\right)+C_{3}\left(e_{y}^{2}+e_{y} e+e^{2}\right)+C_{4}\left(e_{y}^{3}+e_{y}^{2} e+e_{y} e^{2}+e^{3}\right)+\ldots\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we use these expressions to prove the following result.
70 Theorem 2.1. Let $F$ be a nonlinear operator $F: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with coordinate functions $f_{i}$, $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \geq 1$. Let us consider the divided difference operator $[x+G(x), x ; F]$, where $G(x)=\left(f_{1}^{m}(x), f_{2}^{m}(x), \ldots, f_{n}^{m}(x)\right)^{T}$, then the order of the divided difference $[x+G(x), x ; F]$ as an approximation of the Jacobian matrix $F^{\prime}(x)$ is $m$.

Proof. Let $g_{i}(x), i=0,1,2, \ldots$ be the coordinate functions of $G(x)$. Let us consider the Taylor expansion of $g_{i}(x)$ around $\bar{x}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{i}(x)= & g_{i}(\bar{x})+\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{1}}} e_{j_{1}}+\sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{2}} \partial x_{j_{1}}} e_{j_{1}} e_{j_{2}}+\sum_{j_{3}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{3} g_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{3}} \partial x_{j_{2}} \partial x_{j_{1}}} e_{j_{1}} e_{j_{2}} e_{j_{3}} \\
& +\ldots+\sum_{j_{l}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{n} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{r} g_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{1}}^{r_{1}} \partial x_{j_{2}}^{r_{2}} \ldots \partial x_{j_{l}}^{r_{i}}} e_{j_{1}}^{r_{1}} e_{j_{2}}^{r_{2}} \ldots e_{j_{l}}^{r_{l}}+\ldots, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{s} \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$ for $s=1,2, \ldots, l$ and $r=r_{1}+r_{2}+\ldots+r_{l}, e=x-\bar{x}$ and $e_{j_{s}}=x_{j_{s}}-\bar{x}_{j_{s}}$, for $s=1,2, \ldots, l$ is the $j_{s}$ th coordinate of error $e$.

We can write (9), as

$$
g_{i}(x)=A_{1}^{i} e+A_{2}^{i} e^{2}+\ldots+A_{m-1}^{i} e^{m-1}+A_{m}^{i} e^{m}+A_{m+1}^{i} e^{m+1}+\ldots
$$

where $A_{t}^{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $t=1,2, \ldots$
Since

$$
\frac{\partial^{r} g_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{1}}^{r_{1}} \partial x_{j_{2}}^{r_{2}} \ldots \partial x_{j_{l}}^{r_{l}}}=m(m-1) \cdots(m-r+1) f_{i}^{m-r}(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial^{r} f_{i}(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{j_{1}}^{r_{1}} \partial x_{j_{2}}^{r_{2}}}=0, \quad \text { for all } r<m
$$

so $A_{t}^{i}=0$ for $t=1,2, \ldots, m-1$ and we have

$$
g_{i}(x)=A_{m}^{i} e^{m}+A_{m+1}^{i} e^{m+1}+O\left(e^{m+2}\right)
$$

By defining multilinear operator $A_{t}=\left[A_{t}^{1}, A_{t}^{2}, \ldots, A_{t}^{n}\right]$ for $t=1,2, \ldots$, the Taylor series of $G(x)$ around $\bar{x}$ can be written as

$$
G(x)=A_{m} e^{m}+A_{m+1} e^{m+1}+O\left(e^{m+2}\right),
$$

so we define the error at $y$ as

$$
e_{y}=y-\bar{x}=x+G(x)-\bar{x}=e+G(x) .
$$

85 Now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[e+C_{2} e^{2}+C_{3} e^{3}+C_{4} e^{4}+C_{5} e^{5}+C_{6} e^{6}+C_{7} e^{7}\right]+O\left(e^{8}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Taylor expansion of $F(x)$ around $\bar{x}$. By applying Gennochi-Hermite formula (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{[y, x ; F]=} & F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+C_{2}\left(e_{y}+e\right)+C_{3}\left(e_{y}^{2}+e_{y} e+e^{2}\right)+C_{4}\left(e_{y}^{3}+e_{y}^{2} e+e_{y} e^{2}+e^{3}\right)+\ldots\right] \\
= & F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e+3 C_{3} e^{2}+\ldots+m C_{m} e^{m-1}+\left(C_{2} A_{m}+(m+1) C_{m+1}\right) e^{m}\right.  \tag{11}\\
& \left.+\left(C_{2} A_{m+1}+C_{3} A_{m}+(m+2) C_{m+2}\right) e^{m+1}+\cdots\right]
\end{align*}
$$

As the Taylor expansions of $F^{\prime}(x)$ and $[x, y ; F]$ around $\bar{x}$ coincide in the first $m$ terms, so the order of divided difference $[x+G(x), x ; F]$ is exactly $m$.

The following corollary can be obtained from the previous result.
${ }_{90}$ Corollary 2.1. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, the central divided difference operator $[x+G(x), x-G(x) ; F]$, where $G(x)=\left(f_{1}^{m}(x), f_{2}^{m}(x), \ldots, f_{n}^{m}(x)\right)^{T}$, is of order $2 m$.

Proof. Firstly, let us notice that the divided difference $[x+G(x), x-G(x) ; F]$ can be written as

$$
[x+G(x), x-G(x) ; F]=\frac{1}{2}([x+G(x), x ; F]+[x, x-G(x) ; F]) .
$$

By using 11, Taylor expansions of $[x+G(x), x ; F]$ and $[x, x-G(x) ; F]$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[x+G(x), x ; F]=} & F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e+3 C_{3} e^{2}+\ldots+m C_{m} e^{m-1}+\left(C_{2} A_{m}+(m+1) C_{m+1}\right) e^{m}\right. \\
& +\left(C_{2} A_{m+1}+C_{3} A_{m}+(m+2) C_{m+2}\right) e^{m+1}+\left(C_{2} A_{m+2}+C_{3} A_{m+1}+C_{4} A_{m}+(m+3) C_{m+3}\right) e^{m+2} \\
& +\cdots+\left(C_{2} A_{m+m-1}+C_{3} A_{m+m-2}+\cdots+C_{m-1} A_{m}+2 m C_{2 m}\right) e^{2 m-1} \\
& +\left(C_{2} A_{2 m}+\left(C_{3} A_{m}^{2}+A_{2 m-1}\right)+C_{4} A_{2 m-2}+(2 m+1) C_{2 m+1}\right) e^{2 m} \\
& +\left(C_{2} A_{2 m+1}+\left(C_{3} A_{m} A_{m+1}+C_{3} A_{m+1} A_{m}+C_{4} A_{m}^{2}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\left.C_{4} A_{2 m-1}+C_{5} A_{2 m-2}+(2 m+2) C_{2 m+2}\right)\right) e^{2 m+1}+O\left(e^{2 m+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[x, x-G(x) ; F]=} & F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e+3 C_{3} e^{2}+\ldots+m C_{m} e^{m-1}+\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{m}\right)+(m+1) C_{m+1}\right) e^{m}\right. \\
& +\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{m+1}\right)+C_{3}\left(-A_{m}\right)+(m+2) C_{m+2}\right) e^{m+1} \\
& +\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{m+2}\right)+C_{3}\left(-A_{m+1}\right)+C_{4}\left(-A_{m}\right)+(m+3) C_{m+3}\right) e^{m+2} \\
& +\cdots+\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{m+m-1}\right)+C_{3}\left(-A_{m+m-2}\right)+\cdots+C_{m-1}\left(-A_{m}\right)+2 m C_{2 m}\right) e^{2 m-1} \\
& +\left(\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{2 m}\right)+\left(C_{3}\left(-A_{m}\right)^{2}+\left(-A_{2 m-1}\right)\right)+C_{4}\left(-A_{2 m-2}\right)+(2 m+1) C_{2 m+1}\right) e^{2 m}\right. \\
& +\left(C_{2}\left(-A_{2 m+1}\right)+\left(C_{3}\left(-A_{m}\right)\left(-A_{m+1}\right)+C_{3}\left(-A_{m+1}\right)\left(-A_{m}\right)+C_{4}\left(-A_{m}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+C_{4}\left(-A_{2 m-1}\right)+C_{5}\left(-A_{2 m-2}\right)+(2 m+2) C_{2 m+2}\right)\right) e^{2 m+1}+O\left(e^{2 m+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }_{95}$ Let us remark that all the terms of order $m, m+1, \ldots, 2 m-1$ of $[x+G(x), x ; F]$ and $[x-G(x), x ; F]$, which contain $A_{m}, A_{m+1}, A_{m+2}, \ldots$ are opposite; so, the order of the central divided difference is $2 m$.

In the next section, we construct Jacobian-free iterative methods from known ones by replacing the Jacobian matrices in their respective iterative expressions by $m$ th-order non-symmetric divided differences. We show that the original order of convergence is preserved if the appropriate value of $m$ is employed.

## 3. Applying divided differences on some iterative methods

In the following, we use the divided differences introduced in the previous section on some known iterative methods of different orders of convergence. We show that, when a divided difference of suitable order is applied on the iterative methods as an approximation of the different Jacobian matrices involved, the obtained method preserves the order of convergence of the previous one. Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 give us a 5 good tool for designing Jacobian-free iterative methods with desirable order. The following result shows that $m \geq 2$ in the definition of $G(x)$ is enough to preserve the order of the iterative method NLM $_{8}$. Similar results can be obtained for any iterative method of arbitrary order of convergence.

Theorem 3.1. Let $F: D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be sufficiently differentiable function at each point of an open neighborhood $D$ of $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, that is a solution of $F(x)=0$ and let us suppose the initial estimation $x^{(0)}$ is close enough to $\bar{x}$. Let us assume that $F^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous and nonsingular in $\bar{x}$. Then, sequence $\left\{x^{(k)}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ obtained from iterative expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)} & =x^{(k)}-\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right), \\
z^{(k)} & =y^{(k)}-\left[\frac{13}{4} I-H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(\frac{7}{2} I-\frac{5}{4} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\right]\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right), \\
x^{(k+1)} & =z^{(k)}-\left[\frac{7}{2} I-H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(4 I-\frac{3}{2} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\right]\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1}\left[y^{(k)}+G\left(y^{(k)}\right), y^{(k)} ; F\right]$ and $G(x)=\left(f_{1}^{m}(x), f_{2}^{m}(x), \ldots, f_{n}^{m}(x)\right)^{T}$, converges to $\bar{x}$ with order eight if $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Let

$$
F\left(x^{(k)}\right)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[e^{(k)}+C_{2} e^{(k)^{2}}+C_{3}\left(e^{(k)}\right)^{3}+C_{4} e^{(k)^{4}}+C_{5} e^{(k)^{5}}+C_{6} e^{(k)^{6}}+C_{7} e^{(k)^{7}}\right]+O\left(e^{(k)^{8}}\right)
$$

be the Taylor expansion of $F\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ about $\bar{x}$, where $e^{(k)}=x^{(k)}-\bar{x}$ and $C_{k}=\frac{1}{k!}\left[F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right]^{-1} F^{(k)}(\bar{x}), k \geq 2$. So the expansion of $F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is

$$
F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e^{(k)}+3 C_{3} e^{(k)^{2}}+4 C_{4} e^{(k)^{3}}+5 C_{4} e^{(k)^{4}}+6 C_{5} e^{(k)^{5}}+7 C_{6} e^{(k)^{6}}\right]+O\left(e^{(k)^{7}}\right)
$$

According to Theorem 2.1, $\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]$ for $m=2$ is an approximation of order 2 of Jacobian matrix $F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ and according to 11 its Taylor expansion is
$\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e^{(k)}+\left(C_{2} A_{2}+3 C_{3}\right) e^{(k)^{2}}+\left(C_{2} A_{3}+3 C_{3} A_{2}+4 C_{4}\right) e^{(k)^{3}}\right]+O\left(e^{(k)^{4}}\right)$, so, its inverse can be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1}=\left[I+X_{2} e^{(k)}+X_{3} e^{(k)^{2}}+X_{4} e^{(k)^{3}}\right]\left[F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\right]^{-1}+O\left(e^{(k)^{4}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also a second order approximation of $\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right]^{-1}$. The terms of this development are obtained from

$$
\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1}\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]=\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1}=I
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{2}=-2 C_{2}, \\
& X_{3}=4 C_{2}^{2}-3 C_{3}-C_{2} A_{2}, \\
& X_{4}=-C_{2} A_{3}+\left(4 C_{2}^{2}-3 C_{3}\right) A_{2}-8 C_{2}^{3}+6 C_{2} C_{3}+6 C_{3} C_{2}-4 C_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by applying $\sqrt{12}$, for the first step of NLM $_{8}$ method we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{y}^{(k)}= & \left(y^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right)=\left(x^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right)-\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(x^{(k)}\right) \\
= & C_{2} e^{(k)^{2}}+\left(C_{2} A_{2}+2 C_{3}-2 C_{2}^{2}\right) e^{(k)^{3}}+\left(C_{2} A_{3}-3\left(C_{2}^{2}-C_{3}\right) A_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+4 C_{2}^{3}-4 C_{2} C_{3}-3 C_{3} C_{2}+3 C_{4}\right) e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, by using for $\left[y^{(k)}+G\left(y^{(k)}\right), y^{(k)} ; F\right]$ as an approximation for $F^{\prime}\left(y^{(k)}\right)$ we have $\left[y^{(k)}+G\left(y^{(k)}\right), y^{(k)} ; F\right]=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[I+2 C_{2} e_{y}^{(k)}+\left(C_{2} A_{2}+3 C_{3}\right)\left(e_{y}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(C_{2} A_{3}+3 C_{3} A_{2}+4 C_{4}\right)\left(e_{y}^{(k)}\right)^{3}\right]+O\left(\left(e_{y}^{(k)}\right)^{4}\right)$, where $e_{y}^{(k)}=y^{(k)}-\bar{x}$. From 12 and 13 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(x^{(k)}\right)= & {\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1}\left[y^{(k)}+G\left(y^{(k)}\right), y^{(k)} ; F\right] } \\
= & I-2 C_{2} e^{(k)}+2 C_{2} e_{y}^{(k)}+\left(4 C_{2}^{2}-3 C_{3}-C_{2} A_{2}\right) e^{(k)^{2}}-4 C_{2} e^{(k)} C_{2} e_{y}^{(k)} \\
& +\left(-C_{2} A_{3}+\left(4 C_{2}^{2}-3 C_{3}\right) A_{2}-8 C_{2}^{3}+6 C_{2} C_{3}+6 C_{3} C_{2}-4 C_{4}\right) e^{(k)^{3}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{4}}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

So, by substituting $\sqrt{12}$ and $(14)$ into the second step of the method, its error can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{z}^{(k)} & =\left(z^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right)=\left(y^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right)-\left[\frac{13}{4} I+H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(-\frac{7}{2} I+\frac{5}{4} H^{(k)}\right)\right]\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(y^{(k)}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(28 C_{2}^{3}+C_{2} C_{3}-3 C_{3} C_{2}\right) C_{2} e^{(k)^{5}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{6}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, Taylor development of $F\left(z^{(k)}\right)$ about $\bar{x}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z^{(k)}\right)=F^{\prime}(\bar{x})\left[e_{z}^{(k)}+O\left(\left(e_{z}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{z}^{(k)}=z^{(k)}-\bar{x}$. Finally, by using 12, 14, 15, and 16 for the third step we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{(k+1)}= & \left(z^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right)-\left[\frac{7}{2} I-H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(4 I-\frac{3}{2} H\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\right]\left[x^{(k)}+G\left(x^{(k)}\right), x^{(k)} ; F\right]^{-1} F\left(z^{(k)}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[560 C_{2}^{6}+28 C_{2} C_{3} C_{2}^{3}+20 C_{2}^{4} C_{3}-84 C_{3} C_{2}^{4}-60 C_{2}^{3} C_{3} C_{2}+C_{2} C_{3} C_{2} C_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-3 C_{2} C_{3}^{2} C_{2}-3 C_{3} C_{2}^{2} C_{3}+9 C_{3} C_{2} C_{3} C_{2}\right] C_{2} e^{(k)^{8}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{9}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{O}$ is the error equation of the original Ostrowski's method 3 .
On the other hand, the error equation of Jacobian-free $\mathrm{M}_{4,3}$ method for $m=2$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\left(5 C_{2}^{3}+2 C_{2}^{2} A_{2}-3 C_{2} A_{2} C_{2}-C_{3} C_{2}\right) e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right) \\
& =E_{M_{4,3}}+\left(2 C_{2}^{2} A_{2}-3 C_{2} A_{2} C_{2}\right) e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{M_{4,3}}$ is the error of the original $\mathrm{M}_{4,3}$ scheme.
2) The error of modified Jarratt's method for $m=3$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\left[C_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}-C_{2} C_{3}+\frac{1}{9} C_{4}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right) \\
& =E_{J}+\left[\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{J}$ is the error of the original Jarratt's procedure.
Also, the error of modified Montazeri's scheme for $m=3$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\left[5 C_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}-C_{2} C_{3}+\frac{1}{9} C_{4}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right) \\
& =E_{S}+\left[\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

being $E_{S}$ the error of the original method.
The error for the Jacobian-free fourth order method $\mathrm{M1}_{4}$ for $m=3$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \left.=\left[\frac{8}{3} C_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}-C_{2} C_{3}+\frac{1}{9} C_{4}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O e^{(k)^{5}}\right) \\
& =E_{M 1_{4}}+\left[\frac{1}{36} F^{\prime}(\bar{x})^{3} C_{2}+\frac{1}{4} C_{2} A_{3}\right] e^{(k)^{4}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{5}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{M l_{4}}$ is the error of the original method.
3) The error for modified sixth order method $\mathrm{M}_{6,3}$ for $m=3$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & \left(-6 C_{2}^{2} C_{3} C_{2}-5 C_{3} C_{2}^{3}+C_{3}^{2} C_{2}+30 C_{2}^{5}+C_{2}^{2} A_{2} C_{2} A_{2}+2 C_{2}^{2} A_{2} C_{3}-6 C_{2} A_{2} C_{3} C_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-5 C_{2} A_{2} C_{2} C_{3}\right) e^{(k)^{6}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{7}}\right) \\
= & E_{M_{4,3}}+\left(C_{2}^{2} A_{2} C_{2} A_{2}+2 C_{2}^{2} A_{2} C_{3}-6 C_{2} A_{2} C_{3} C_{2}-5 C_{2} A_{2} C_{2} C_{3}\right) e^{(k)^{6}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{7}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

being $E_{M_{6,3}}$ is the error of the original scheme.
4) The error for Jacobian-free eight order methods CCGT1 and CCGT2 for $m=2$ are respectively

$$
E=\left(C_{2} C_{3}-3 C_{3} C_{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} C_{2} C_{3} C_{2}-\frac{3}{2} C_{3} C_{2}^{2}\right) e^{(k)^{8}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{9}}\right)=E_{C C G T 1}
$$

and

$$
E=\left(4 C_{2}^{3}+C_{2} C_{3}-3 C_{3} C_{2}\right)\left(2 C_{2}^{4}-\frac{3}{2} C_{3} C_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{2} C_{3} C_{2}\right) e^{(k)^{8}}+O\left(e^{(k)^{9}}\right)=E_{C C G T 2}
$$

where $E_{C C G T 1}$ and $E_{C C G T 2}$ are respectively the error of the original CCGT1 and CCGT2 methods.
From Corollary 3.1, it can be conjectured that if appropriate $m$-powers are used in the divided differences scheme is preserved respect to the original one.

Moreover, let us notice the relation between the order of first step of above iterative methods and the value of parameter $m$ (the order of divided difference) that is needed to hold the order of convergence. In Ostrowski's and NLM $_{4,3}$ schemes, the order of convergence is preserved with $m=2$, because the first step already has order 2 and it is held with the divided difference. In Jarratt', Montazeri's and $\mathrm{M1}_{4}$, with $m=2$ and linear convergence at the first step, we need $m=3$. This is the main difference between this conjecture and the scalar-case conjecture presented in [24].

Let us also note that we use forward divided differences in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1, but in general case, any divided difference with the same or higher order can be used. For example, if we use central divided difference, all the results in the Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 are satisfied with $\frac{m}{2}$, when $m$ is even and with $\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ when $m$ is odd, but in these cases the computational effort of computing symmetric divided differences is higher than non-symmetric ones.

## 4. Numerical results

In this section, we numerically estimate the Jacobian matrices involved in some iterative methods of different orders of convergence, by using the proposed technique. These experiments show that by using suitable values of $m$ in the divided differences, the order of convergence of all iterative methods are preserved. By using the approximated computational order of convergence (ACOC) introduced in [26] as

$$
p \approx A C O C=\frac{\ln \left(\left\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\| /\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\|\right)}{\ln \left(\left\|x^{(k)}-x^{(k-1)}\right\| /\left\|x^{(k-1)}-x^{(k-2)}\right\|\right)}
$$

we check the theoretical order of convergence $p$ for the resulting Jacobian-free methods.

All the experiments have been carried out in Matlab 2017 with variable precision arithmetics and the stopping criteria are $\left\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\|<10^{-200}$ and $\left\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\|<10^{-200}$; the iterations terminated when both criteria are satisfied. Moreover, the computational time $(T)$ in seconds is calculated by taking the mean of 10 performances of the program for each method. These calculations have been made with an Intel Core processor i $7-4700 \mathrm{HQ}$ with a CPU of 2.40 GHz and 8.0 GB of RAM memory.

Example 1. Let us consider the following nonlinear system

$$
x_{i}-\cos \left(2 x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{j}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

The solution of this problem is $\bar{x}=(0.5149,0.5149, \ldots, 0.5149)^{T}$. We use $n=20$, initial guess $x^{(0)}=$ CCGT1 and CCGT2 preserve the order of convergence for $m \geq 2$ in case of non-symmetric divided differences and for $m \geq 1$ when central divided differences are used.

Example 2. Consider the following nonlinear system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}-1 & =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
x_{n}^{2} x_{1}-1 & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution of this problem is $\bar{x}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$. We use $n=9$ and the initial guess $x^{(0)}=(1.25,1.25, \ldots, 1.25)^{T}$. We list numerical results of this problem in Table 2. In this example also the stopping criteria $\left\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\|<$ $10^{-200}$ and $\left\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\|<10^{-200}$ are used and the iterations finish when both criteria are satisfied. Similar results to Example 1 for Ostrowski', Jarratt', Montazeri's, M14 $, \mathrm{M}_{4,3}, \mathrm{M}_{6,3}$, CCGT1 and CCGT2 methods have been obtained, except in case of Ostrowski's scheme, that now only converges with symmetric divided differences.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, a new technique to transform iterative schemes for solving nonlinear systems into Jacobianfree ones is designed, preserving the order of convergence in all cases. The key fact of this new approach is the $m$-th power of the coordinate functions of $F(x)$, that needs different values depending on the order of the first step of the method. This general procedure has been checked, both theoretical and numerically, showing the preservation of the order of convergence and very precise results when the appropriate values of $m$ are employed.
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| Method |  | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ostrowski | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 3.0000 7 $1.0556 \mathrm{e}-522$ $2.3171 \mathrm{e}-1567$ 24.7808 | 4.0000 6 $6.434 \mathrm{e}-796$ $4.4011 \mathrm{e}-3183$ 20.7786 | 4.0000 5 $1.3326 \mathrm{e}-273$ $2.0519 \mathrm{e}-1094$ 17.4160 | 4.0000 5 $1.3313 \mathrm{e}-248$ $2.0438 \mathrm{e}-994$ 17.3520 | 4.0000 6 $6.9935 \mathrm{e}-521$ $1.0102 \mathrm{e}-2082$ 20.6182 | 4.0000 6 $5.512 \mathrm{e}-774$ $6.0053 \mathrm{e}-3096$ 20.6350 |
| Jarratt | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 2.0000 9 $1.8967 \mathrm{e}-298$ $1.1244 \mathrm{e}-596$ 30.9306 | 3.0000 6 $7.36 \mathrm{e}-203$ $5.0423 \mathrm{e}-608$ 20.6839 | 4.0000 6 $6.6505 \mathrm{e}-716$ $1.3704 \mathrm{e}-2862$ 20.4614 | 4.0000 5 $9.802 \mathrm{e}-239$ $5.6118 \mathrm{e}-955$ 17.5762 | 3.0000 7 $1.4213 \mathrm{e}-416$ $4.0302 \mathrm{e}-1249$ 24.4436 | 4.0000 6 $3.1146 \mathrm{e}-609$ $5.7205 \mathrm{e}-2437$ 20.7386 |
| Montazeri | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 2.0000 9 $8.0421 \mathrm{e}-290$ $2.0214 \mathrm{e}-579$ 31.9957 | 3.0000 6 $1.0054 \mathrm{e}-202$ $1.2853 \mathrm{e}-607$ 21.4287 | 4.0000 6 $1.0812 \mathrm{e}-712$ $1.0478 \mathrm{e}-2849$ 21.1808 | 4.0000 5 $1.6694 \mathrm{e}-233$ $9.8714 \mathrm{e}-934$ 18.3136 | 3.0000 7 $3.1573 \mathrm{e}-410$ $4.4176 \mathrm{e}-1230$ 25.5962 | 4.0000 6 $1.9742 \mathrm{e}-580$ $1.9305 \mathrm{e}-2321$ 20.8158 |
| $\mathrm{M1}_{4}$ | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 2.0000 9 $7.8556 \mathrm{e}-296$ $1.9288 \mathrm{e}-591$ 37.2992 | 3.0000 6 $8.1396 \mathrm{e}-203$ $6.8204 \mathrm{e}-608$ 24.6983 | 4.0000 6 $8.4371 \mathrm{e}-715$ $3.6617 \mathrm{e}-2858$ 24.3969 | 4.0000 5 $2.0844 \mathrm{e}-236$ $1.5647 \mathrm{e}-945$ 20.3472 | 3.0000 7 $1.1085 \mathrm{e}-414$ $1.9118 \mathrm{e}-1243$ 28.6396 | 4.0000 6 $1.4123 \mathrm{e}-595$ $3.2981 \mathrm{e}-2382$ 24.1269 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4,3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACOC } \\ & \text { iter } \\ & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & \quad T(s) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3.0000 7 $1.0978 \mathrm{e}-485$ $2.6059 \mathrm{e}-1456$ 23.5693 | 4.0000 6 $1.5927 \mathrm{e}-801$ $1.2259 \mathrm{e}-3205$ 20.5748 | 4.0000 5 $4.1405 \mathrm{e}-267$ $3.8606 \mathrm{e}-1068$ 17.0164 | 4.0000 5 $1.4506 \mathrm{e}-240$ $5.8154 \mathrm{e}-962$ 17.0648 | 4.0000 6 $1.1359 \mathrm{e}-466$ $8.1349 \mathrm{e}-1866$ 19.3329 | 4.0000 6 $8.0945 \mathrm{e}-737$ $5.639 \mathrm{e}-2947$ 19.5433 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{6,3}$ | ACOC iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 4.0000 6 $2.3177 \mathrm{e}-690$ $1.309 \mathrm{e}-2760$ 22.3429 | 6.0000 5 $3.111 \mathrm{e}-884$ $1.3047 \mathrm{e}-5304$ 18.5369 | 6.0000 5 $1.2841 \mathrm{e}-1158$ $3.783 \mathrm{e}-6951$ 18.4719 | 6.0000 5 $2.3186 \mathrm{e}-1097$ $1.3109 \mathrm{e}-6583$ 18.4323 | 6.0000 5 $7.1803 \mathrm{e}-497$ $1.4689 \mathrm{e}-2979$ 18.5955 | 6.0000 5 $9.7547 \mathrm{e}-808$ $7.2695 \mathrm{e}-4846$ 19.0419 |
| $\mathrm{NLM}_{8}$ | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $2.1596 \mathrm{e}-810$ $2.3699 \mathrm{e}-4861$ 34.8867 | 8.0000 4 $3.5541 \mathrm{e}-429$ $9.563 \mathrm{e}-3433$ 28.0154 | 8.0000 4 $5.181 \mathrm{e}-644$ $1.9502 \mathrm{e}-5151$ 30.6090 | 8.0000 4 $3.2962 \mathrm{e}-503$ $5.2342 \mathrm{e}-4025$ 32.7031 | 8.0000 4 $2.4708 \mathrm{e}-276$ $5.2172 \mathrm{e}-2210$ 29.0760 | 8.0000 <br> 4 <br> $2.5985 \mathrm{e}-602$ <br> $7.8071 \mathrm{e}-4818$ <br> 28.6488 <br> 8.000 |
| CCGT1 | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $2.4863 \mathrm{e}-810$ $5.5194 \mathrm{e}-4861$ 38.9875 | 8.0000 4 $4.4171 \mathrm{e}-441$ $2.129 \mathrm{e}-3529$ 31.0323 | 8.0000 4 $3.1812 \mathrm{e}-584$ $1.5412 \mathrm{e}-4674$ 30.7696 | 8.0000 4 $3.5715 \mathrm{e}-585$ $3.8893 \mathrm{e}-4682$ 30.4730 | 8.0001 4 $4.1596 \mathrm{e}-290$ $1.3167 \mathrm{e}-2321$ 31.1474 | 8.0000 4 $2.2693 \mathrm{e}-401$ $1.0332 \mathrm{e}-3211$ 30.4134 |
| CCGT2 | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $2.4242 \mathrm{e}-810$ $4.7416 \mathrm{e}-4861$ 20.3045 | 8.0000 4 $3.851 \mathrm{e}-437$ $2.0147 \mathrm{e}-3497$ 16.2168 | 8.0000 4 $6.6644 \mathrm{e}-588$ $1.6209 \mathrm{e}-4703$ 16.8689 | 8.0000 4 $1.2459 \mathrm{e}-559$ $2.4177 \mathrm{e}-4477$ 16.2802 | 8.0000 4 $7.25 \mathrm{e}-286$ $3.1795 \mathrm{e}-2287$ 16.3636 | 8.0000 4 $2.6366 \mathrm{e}-397$ $9.7269 \mathrm{e}-3179$ 18.0748 |

Table 1: Numerical results for Example 1

| Method |  | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ostrowski | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACOC } \\ & \text { iter } \\ & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & \quad T(s) \end{aligned}$ | - | - - - - - |  |  | 4.0000 6 $1.3441 \mathrm{e}-398$ $8.462 \mathrm{e}-1593$ 5.0964 | 4.0000 6 $3.3016 \mathrm{e}-461$ $8.8018 \mathrm{e}-1844$ 5.2681 |
| Jarratt | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 2.0000 11 $5.2343 \mathrm{e}-368$ $4.1096 \mathrm{e}-735$ 9.5910 | 3.0000 8 $8.0284 \mathrm{e}-599$ $5.1747 \mathrm{e}-1795$ 6.9994 | 4.0000 6 $2.0748 \mathrm{e}-251$ $1.6816 \mathrm{e}-1003$ 5.2894 | 4.0000 6 $1.5966 \mathrm{e}-415$ $4.8132 \mathrm{e}-1661$ 5.3013 | 3.0000 7 $7.7224 \mathrm{e}-401$ $1.5351 \mathrm{e}-1201$ 6.1825 | 4.0000 6 $3.5737 \mathrm{e}-458$ $1.2082 \mathrm{e}-1831$ 5.2895 |
| Montazeri | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.0000 11 $5.656 \mathrm{e}-351$ $4.7986 \mathrm{e}-701$ 10.0803 | 3.0000 8 $4.9847 \mathrm{e}-514$ $1.2386 \mathrm{e}-1540$ 7.3430 | 4.0000 7 $7.018 \mathrm{e}-717$ $3.2794 \mathrm{e}-2865$ 6.6295 | 4.0000 6 $1.8639 \mathrm{e}-228$ $6.2586 \mathrm{e}-912$ 5.8841 | 3.0000 7 $1.7672 \mathrm{e}-359$ $1.8395 \mathrm{e}-1077$ 6.4126 | 4.0000 6 $1.1391 \mathrm{e}-365$ $8.7289 \mathrm{e}-1461$ 5.5373 |
| $\mathrm{M1}_{4}$ | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0000 \\ & 11 \\ & 1.1821 \mathrm{e}-360 \\ & 2.0961 \mathrm{e}-720 \\ & 11.0443 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0000 8 $6.0568 \mathrm{e}-557$ $2.222 \mathrm{e}-1669$ 7.8800 | 4.0000 6 $1.4753 \mathrm{e}-209$ $5.000 \mathrm{e}-836$ 6.1416 | 4.0000 5 $1.5747 \mathrm{e}-287$ $1.3666 \mathrm{e}-1148$ 5.9678 | 3.0000 7 $5.5116 \mathrm{e}-381$ $5.5808 \mathrm{e}-1142$ 6.9497 | 4.0000 6 $1.1004 \mathrm{e}-433$ $3.258 \mathrm{e}-1733$ 6.5187 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{4,3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACOC } \\ & \text { iter } \\ & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3.0000 7 $2.5134 \mathrm{e}-254$ $6.3511 \mathrm{e}-761$ 6.1787 | 4.0000 6 $8.2802 \mathrm{e}-337$ $2.2633 \mathrm{e}-1345$ 5.4226 | 4.0000 6 $1.1479 \mathrm{e}-347$ $9.0031 \mathrm{e}-1389$ 5.3997 | 4.0000 6 $7.127 \mathrm{e}-351$ $1.3378 \mathrm{e}-1401$ 5.4180 | 4.0000 6 $3.1622 \mathrm{e}-512$ $1.8517 \mathrm{e}-2047$ 4.9456 | 4.0000 6 $6.746 \mathrm{e}-480$ $1.0739 \mathrm{e}-1917$ 5.0737 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{6,3}$ | ACOC iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 4.0000 6 $1.1353 \mathrm{e}-391$ $6.6456 \mathrm{e}-1564$ 5.7468 | 6.0000 5 $6.349 \mathrm{e}-395$ $1.168 \mathrm{e}-2366$ 4.8483 | 6.0000 5 $2.3965 \mathrm{e}-393$ $6.1844 \mathrm{e}-2357$ 4.9717 | 6.0000 <br> 5 <br> $3.8747 \mathrm{e}-401$ <br> $1.1047 \mathrm{e}-2403$ <br> 4.8520 | 6.0000 5 $3.8633 \mathrm{e}-589$ $1.8241 \mathrm{e}-3532$ 4.7767 | 6.0000 5 $1.7044 \mathrm{e}-560$ $8.0021 \mathrm{e}-3360$ 4.8018 |
| $\mathrm{NLM}_{8}$ | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $1.172 \mathrm{e}-213$ $9.3593 \mathrm{e}-1278$ 8.805712 | 8.0000 5 $2.2812 \mathrm{e}-710$ $2.6583 \mathrm{e}-5678$ 8.9025 | 8.0000 5 $1.0217 \mathrm{e}-801$ $4.303 \mathrm{e}-6409$ 9.1058 | 8.0000 5 $7.2979 \mathrm{e}-854$ $2.9161 \mathrm{e}-6826$ 9.5024 | 8.0000 5 $7.0254 \mathrm{e}-1283$ $2.151 \mathrm{e}-10258$ 9.3471 | 8.0000 4 $8.4744 \mathrm{e}-211$ $9.6411 \mathrm{e}-1682$ 9.6289 |
| CCGT1 | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $2.164 \mathrm{e}-257$ $3.708 \mathrm{e}-1540$ 8.6980 | 8.0000 5 $3.9021 \mathrm{e}-1339$ $1.6385 \mathrm{e}-10711$ 8.0312 | 8.0000 4 $3.6681 \mathrm{e}-269$ $9.9916 \mathrm{e}-2152$ 6.3344 | 8.0000 5 $3.8264 \mathrm{e}-1410$ $1.55 \mathrm{e}-8458$ 7.9075 | 8.0000 4 $6.9258 \mathrm{e}-301$ $1.6136 \mathrm{e}-2405$ 6.8772 | 8.0000 4 $3.5186 \mathrm{e}-353$ $7.1616 \mathrm{e}-2824$ 6.7768 |
| CCGT2 | ACOC <br> iter $\begin{aligned} & \left\\|x^{(k+1)}-x^{(k)}\right\\| \\ & \left\\|F\left(x^{(k+1)}\right)\right\\| \\ & T(s) \end{aligned}$ | 6.0000 5 $2.094 \mathrm{e}-244$ $3.0446 \mathrm{e}-1462$ 5.1881 | 8.0000 5 $1.2852 \mathrm{e}-1043$ $5.6736 \mathrm{e}-8346$ 5.2219 | 8.0000 5 $2.4221 \mathrm{e}-1394$ $9.9717 \mathrm{e}-8364$ 5.2360 | 8.0000 4 $1.3845 \mathrm{e}-229$ $1.0286 \mathrm{e}-1833$ 4.0400 | 8.0000 4 $1.5801 \mathrm{e}-226$ $2.9612 \mathrm{e}-1809$ 4.1747 | 8.0000 4 $5.4932 \mathrm{e}-258$ $6.3184 \mathrm{e}-2061$ 4.0705 |
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