



Covering Properties of $C_p(X)$ and $C_k(X)$

J. C. Ferrando^a, M. López-Pellicer^b

^aOperations Research Center, Universidad Miguel Hernández, E-03202 Elche, Spain
^bIUMPA, Professor Emeritus, Universitat Politècnica de València, E-46022 Valencia, Spain

Abstract. Let X be a Tychonoff space. We survey some classic and recent results that characterize the topology or cardinality of X when $C_p(X)$ or $C_k(X)$ is covered by certain families of sets (sequences, resolutions, closure-preserving coverings, compact coverings ordered by a second countable space) which swallow or not some classes of sets (compact sets, functionally bounded sets, pointwise bounded sets) in $C(X)$.

1. Preliminaries

Unless otherwise stated, X will stand for an infinite Tychonoff space. We denote by $C_p(X)$ the linear space $C(X)$ of real-valued continuous functions on X equipped with the pointwise topology τ_p . The topological dual of $C_p(X)$ is denoted by $L(X)$, or by $L_p(X)$ when provided with the weak* topology. We denote by $C_k(X)$ the space $C(X)$ equipped with the compact-open topology τ_k . A family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ of subsets of a set X is a *resolution* for X if it covers X and verifies that $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$. A family of bounded sets in a locally convex space E that swallows the bounded sets is called a *fundamental family of bounded sets*. Definitions not included in this paper can be found in [6, 18, 49].

2. Countable coverings for $C_p(X)$

The following folklore result can be found in [49, Proposition 9.18]. Velichko's theorem can be found in [1, I.2.1 Theorem] or in [49, Theorem 9.12].

Theorem 2.1. *The space $C_p(X)$ admits a fundamental sequence of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is finite.*

Theorem 2.2 (Velichko). *The space $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of compact sets if and only if X is finite.*

Next theorem extends Velichko's result to relatively countably compact sets.

Theorem 2.3 (Tkachuk-Shakhmatov [75]). *$C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of relatively countably compact sets if and only if X is finite.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C30, 46A03

Keywords. Lindelöf Σ -space, K -analytic space, analytic space, cosmic space

Received: 20 August 2020; Accepted: 04 September 2020

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

Research supported by Grant PGC2018-094431-B-I00 of Ministry of Science, Innovation & Universities of Spain.

Email addresses: jc.ferrando@umh.es (J. C. Ferrando), mlopezpe@mat.upv.es (M. López-Pellicer)

Theorem 2.5 below extends Tkachuk-Shakhmatov theorem to pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets. Recall that a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of real-valued functions defined on X is *pointwise eventually constant* [34] if for each $x \in X$ there is a constant $f(x)$ such that $f_n(x) = f(x)$ for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.4 (Ferrando-Kąkol-Saxon [34, Theorem 3.1]). $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of relatively sequentially complete sets if and only if X is a P -space.

Proof. Assume that $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Q_n$ with Q_n relatively sequentially complete for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a uniformly bounded pointwise eventually constant sequence in $C_p(X)$ with limit f in \mathbb{R}^X . Let us denote by $C^b(X)$ the Banach space of all continuous and bounded functions on X equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Fix $k > 0$ such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_\infty \leq k$.

Since $\{C^b(X) \cap Q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable covering of $C^b(X)$, according to the Baire category theorem there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the closure B_p of $C^b(X) \cap Q_p$ in $C^b(X)$ has an interior point in the norm topology. So, if D denotes the closed unit ball of $C^b(X)$, there are $\epsilon > 0$ and $h \in Q_p$ with $h + \epsilon D \subseteq B_p$. Since $f_n \in kD$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\{h + \epsilon k^{-1} f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq B_p$. As $C^b(X) \cap Q_p$ is norm dense in B_p , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $g_n \in C^b(X) \cap Q_p$ with $|g_n(x) - (h + \epsilon k^{-1} f_n)(x)| < n^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$. Since $\{h + \epsilon k^{-1} f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a pointwise eventually constant sequence that converges to $h + \epsilon k^{-1} f$, clearly $g_n \rightarrow h + \epsilon k^{-1} f$ pointwise on X . Using the fact that Q_p is relatively sequentially complete, it turns out that $h + \epsilon k^{-1} f \in C(X)$. Hence $f \in C(X)$. But, as follows from [34, Theorem 1.1], a Tychonoff space X is a P -space if and only if each uniformly bounded pointwise eventually constant sequence in $C_p(X)$ converges in $C_p(X)$. So, X is a P -space. For the converse note that if X is a P -space, then $C_p(X)$ is sequentially complete [8]. \square

Theorem 2.5 (Ferrando-Kąkol-Saxon [34, Corollary 3.2]). $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets if and only if X is finite.

Proof. If $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Q_n$ with each Q_n pointwise bounded and relatively sequentially complete, Theorem 2.4 ensures that X is a P -space. If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an infinite sequence in X , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\alpha_n > 0$ with $\sup_{g \in Q_n} |g(x_n)| < \alpha_n$. But [49, Lemma 9.5] provides $f \in C(X)$ with $f(x_n) = \alpha_n$, i. e., such that $f \notin Q_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction. Thus X must be finite. \square

Theorem 2.6 (Tkachuk, [69, 3.11 Theorem]). If $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of functionally bounded sets, then X is pseudocompact and each countable subset of X is closed, discrete and C^* -embedded in X .

Proof. (Sketch) Let us call σ -bounded a space which is covered by countably many functionally bounded sets and assume that $C_p(X)$ is σ -bounded. If X is not pseudocompact, it contains a closed homeomorphic copy Y of \mathbb{N} , hence C -embedded [39, Problem 3L]. Since the restriction map $T : C_p(X) \rightarrow C_p(Y)$ defined by $Tf = f|_Y$ is continuous and onto, this implies that $C_p(Y)$ is σ -bounded. Hence $C_p(\mathbb{N}) = \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$ is covered by a sequence of compact sets and Velichko’s theorem ensures that \mathbb{N} must be finite, a contradiction. On the other hand, since $C_p(X, I) = \{f \in C(X) : -1 \leq f \leq 1\}$ is a retract of $C_p(X)$, it turns out that $C_p(X, I)$ is σ -bounded. If Z is a non-closed countable subset of X and $y \in \bar{Z} \setminus Z$, it is not hard to show that $M = \{f \in C_p(X, I) : f(y) = 0\}$ is also covered by countably many functionally bounded sets $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. But one can determine a function $f \in M$ such that $f \notin F_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [69, 3.7 Lemma] for details). So, such Z does not exist. Finally, it is well-known that a subspace S of X is C^* -embedded if and only if $\overline{S}^{\beta X} = \beta S$. If each countable set in X is closed, it can be seen that each countable set A is discrete and C^* -embedded if and only if $\overline{A}^{\beta X} = \beta A$, [69, 3.8 Proposition]. With the help of this result one can show that if $C_p(X, I)$ is σ -bounded, every countable subset of X is discrete and C^* -bounded [69, 3.9 Theorem]. \square

3. Uncountable coverings for $C_p(X)$

Recall that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space if it is a continuous image of a space that can be perfectly mapped onto a second countable space [1, 57]. Also, X is a Lindelöf Σ -space if and only if it is *countably K -determined* [63], i. e., if there is an upper semi-continuous (*usc*) map T from a subspace Σ of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ into the family $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact subsets of X such that $\bigcup \{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\} = X$. This is equivalent to saying that (i) $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Sigma\}$ covers X and (ii) if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in Σ and $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point in $T(\alpha)$. A space X is *K -analytic* (resp. *quasi-Suslin*) if there is a map T from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ into $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (resp. into the family of countably compact sets in X) such that (i) $\{T(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ covers X and (ii) if $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x_n \in T(\alpha_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a cluster point contained in $T(\alpha)$ (see [76, I.4.2 and I.4.3]). Each σ -compact (σ -countably compact) space is K -analytic (resp. quasi-Suslin). A space X is *analytic* if it is a continuous image of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Each analytic space is K -analytic, each K -analytic space is quasi-Suslin and Lindelöf Σ , and each Lindelöf Σ -space is Lindelöf. A family \mathcal{N} of subsets of X is a *network* for X if for any $x \in X$ and any open set U in X with $x \in U$ there is some $P \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in P \subseteq U$. The *network weight* $nw(X)$ of X is the least cardinality of a network of X , and a space X is called *cosmic* if $nw(X) = \aleph_0$. Alternatively, X is a cosmic space if and only if it is a continuous image of a separable metric space [56]. So, each analytic space is cosmic. Conversely, every K -analytic cosmic space is analytic [49, Proposition 6.4]. Moreover, $C_p(X)$ is a cosmic space if and only if X is cosmic [56, Proposition 10.5]. A family \mathcal{N} of subsets of a space X is a *network modulo a family* \mathcal{A} of subsets of X if for each open set V of X and for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subseteq V$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $A \subseteq N \subseteq V$. A space is Lindelöf Σ if and only if it admits a countable network modulo a covering by compact sets [49, Proposition 3.5]. Hence, every cosmic space is a Lindelöf Σ -space. A space X is *angelic* if relatively countably compact sets in X are relatively compact and for every relatively compact subset A of X each point of \overline{A} is the limit of a sequence of A , [36]. A space X is *projectively σ -compact* if each separable metrizable space Y that is a continuous image of X is σ -compact. Clearly, every σ -bounded space (in the sense of Theorem 2.6) is projectively σ -compact [3, Proposition 1.1], and every projectively σ -compact cosmic space is σ -compact (see [49, Proposition 9.4] or [60]). A space $C_p(X)$ is said to be *Lindelöf Σ -framed* (or *K -analytic-framed*) in \mathbb{R}^X if there is a Lindelöf Σ -space (resp. a K -analytic space) S in \mathbb{R}^X such that $C(X) \subseteq S$. A family \mathcal{N} of subsets of a topological space X is called a *cs^* -network at a point* $x \in X$ if for each sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X converging to x and for each neighborhood O_x of x there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq O_x$ and the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in N\}$ is infinite [38]; \mathcal{N} is a *cs^* -network* in X if \mathcal{N} is a cs^* -network at each point $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf Σ -framed in \mathbb{R}^X , then vX is a Lindelöf Σ -space and $C_p(X)$ is angelic.*

Proof. First statement after the conditional comes from [59, Theorem 3.5] or [22, Theorem 3]. For the second use the first and [62, Theorem 3], since $C_p(X)$ is angelic whenever $C_p(vX)$ is angelic. \square

Lemma 3.2 (Ferrando-Kąkol, [29, Lemma 1]). *Let X be nonempty and Z be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^X . If Z has a countable network modulo a cover \mathcal{B} of Z by pointwise bounded subsets, then $Y = \bigcup \{\overline{B} : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$, closures in \mathbb{R}^X , is a Lindelöf Σ -space such that $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable network modulo a cover \mathcal{B} of Z consisting of pointwise bounded sets. Set $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{\overline{T}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\overline{B} : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$, closures in \mathbb{R}^X , and $Y = \bigcup \mathcal{B}_1$. Let us show that \mathcal{N}_1 is a network in Y modulo the compact cover \mathcal{B}_1 of Y . In fact, if U is a neighborhood in \mathbb{R}^X of \overline{B} , use \overline{B} compactness to get a closed neighborhood V of \overline{B} in \mathbb{R}^X contained in U . Since \mathcal{N} is a network modulo \mathcal{B} in Z there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $B \subseteq T_n \subseteq V \cap Z$, which implies that $\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{T}_n \subseteq U$. According to Nagami’s criterion [1, IV.9.1 Proposition], Y is a Lindelöf Σ -space such that $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$. \square

Theorem 3.3 (Ferrando-Kąkol, [29, Proposition 1]). *The following asserts are equivalent*

1. $C_p(X)$ admits a resolution of pointwise bounded sets.
2. $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X .

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a resolution for $C_p(X)$ of bounded sets, denote by B_α the closure of A_α in \mathbb{R}^X and put $Z = \bigcup\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$. Clearly each B_α is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^X and Z is a quasi-Suslin space [11, Proposition 1] such that $C_p(X) \subseteq Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$. As each quasi-Suslin space Z has a countable network modulo a resolution \mathcal{B} of Z consisting of countably compact sets (see [20, Proof Theorem 8]) and every countable compact subset of \mathbb{R}^X is pointwise bounded, Lemma 3.2 assures that $Y = \bigcup\{\bar{B} : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space, hence Lindelöf, such that $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$. As each set \bar{B} with $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is compact, and $\{\bar{B} : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a resolution for Y , again Y is a quasi-Suslin space. Since every Lindelöf quasi-Suslin space is K -analytic and $C_p(X) \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$, it turns out that $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X . For the converse, note that each K -analytic space has a resolution consisting of compact sets [67]. \square

Theorem 3.4 (Arkhangel’skiĭ-Calbrix, [4, Theorem 2.3]). *If $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X , then X is projectively σ -compact.*

Proof. Assume $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X . Let Y be a separable metric space that is a continuous image of X , say $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Consider the pullback $f^* : \mathbb{R}^Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^X$ defined by $f^*(g) = g \circ f$, which is a linear homeomorphism onto $f^*(\mathbb{R}^Y)$ with closed range [1, 0.4.6 Proposition]. If S is a K -analytic space such that $C(X) \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$, then $f^*(C(Y)) \subseteq S \cap f^*(\mathbb{R}^Y)$, which is a K -analytic subspace of \mathbb{R}^X , since $S \cap f^*(\mathbb{R}^Y)$ is closed in S . Hence $T := (f^*)^{-1}(S) \cap \mathbb{R}^Y$ is a K -analytic subspace of \mathbb{R}^Y such that $C(Y) \subseteq T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^Y$, i. e., $C_p(Y)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^Y . So, if \mathbb{R}_+ are the nonnegative real numbers, since there exists a (strictly increasing) homeomorphism from \mathbb{R} onto \mathbb{R}^+ , there exists a K -analytic subspace M of \mathbb{R}_+^Y such that $C^+(Y) := C(Y) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^Y$ is contained in M . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(M)$, where $\mathcal{K}(M)$ designates the family of compact sets of M , an usc map such that $\bigcup\{\varphi(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\} = M$. Define $\lambda : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^Y$ by $\lambda(\alpha) = \inf \varphi(\{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} : \beta \leq \alpha\})$. As $\{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} : \beta \leq \alpha\}$ is a compact set in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\varphi(\{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} : \beta \leq \alpha\})$ is a compact set in M and the infimum is with respect to the pointwise ordering of \mathbb{R}^Y , hence $\lambda(\alpha)(y) = \inf\{\varphi(\beta)(y) : \beta \leq \alpha\} > 0$ for each $y \in Y$. Clearly $\lambda(\alpha) \leq \lambda(\beta)$ whenever $\beta \leq \alpha$, and if $f \in C^+(Y) \subseteq M$ there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f \in \varphi(\gamma)$, so that $\lambda(\gamma) \leq f$. Let (\bar{Y}, d) be a metric compactification of Y . For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ set $K_\alpha = \bigcap\{\bar{Y} \setminus B(y, \lambda(\alpha)(y)) : y \in Y\}$, where $B(y, \lambda(\alpha)(y)) = \{z \in \bar{Y} : d(y, z) < \lambda(\alpha)(y)\}$ is the open ball in \bar{Y} of center y and radius $\lambda(\alpha)(y) \geq 0$. Clearly K_α is a compact set in $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$, and we claim that $\{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a compact resolution for $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$ that swallows the compact sets in $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$. The relation $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$ comes from $\lambda(\beta) \leq \lambda(\alpha)$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$. In addition, if Q is a compact set in $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$, the function $h : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $h(y) = d(y, Q)$ belongs to $C^+(Y)$ when restricted to Y . So, there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lambda(\gamma) \leq h|_Y$. Thus $d(y, z) \geq \lambda(\gamma)(y)$ for every $y \in Y$ and $z \in Q$. In other words, $Q \cap \bigcup\{B(y, \lambda(\alpha)(y)) : y \in Y\} = \emptyset$, which means that $Q \subseteq K_\gamma$. In this circumstances, Christensen’s theorem [15, Theorem 3.3] shows that $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$ is a Polish space, so an absolute G_δ [51, Chapter 6, Problem K]. Consequently, Y is an F_σ of the compact space \bar{Y} , i. e., Y is a σ -compact space. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If $C_p(X)$ admits a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets, then X is projectively σ -compact.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.6 (Ferrando-Kąkol, [29, Corollary 1]). *Let X be a cosmic space. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is σ -compact.*

Proof. The ‘only if’ statement is consequence of Corollary 3.5 and the fact, mentioned earlier, that each projectively σ -compact cosmic space is σ -compact. For the ‘if’ part note that if $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$ with each K_n compact, the family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with

$$A_\alpha = \{f \in C(X) : \sup_{x \in K_n} |f(x)| \leq \alpha(n), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a resolution for $C(X)$ consisting of pointwise bounded sets. \square

Theorem 3.7 (Calbrix [9, Theorem 2.3.1]). *If $C_p(X)$ is analytic, then X is σ -compact.*

Proof. If $C_p(X)$ is analytic, it is cosmic. Hence X is also a cosmic space [56, Proposition 10.5]. Since $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic, it has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets (actually, of compact sets [67]). So, Theorem 3.6 ensures that X is σ -compact. \square

Corollary 3.8. *If X is metrizable, the following are equivalent.*

1. $C_p(X)$ is analytic.
2. X is σ -compact.
3. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$ follows from Theorem 3.7 and, as mentioned above, $2 \Rightarrow 3$ always holds true. On the other hand, if $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets, then $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X by Theorem 3.3 and angelic by Lemma 3.1. But if X is metrizable, $C_p(X)$ is angelic if and only if X is separable [49, Corollary 6.10]. Consequently, for metrizable X , the fact that $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets entails that X is a cosmic space. So, Theorem 3.6 yields the implication $3 \Rightarrow 2$. Finally, if X is a metrizable σ -compact space then X is separable. Thus $C_p(X)$ is analytic by a classic result of Christensen [15, Theorem 3.7] (cf. Theorem 4.4 below). Hence $2 \Rightarrow 1$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *If $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets, then X is pseudocompact.*

Proof. If X is not pseudocompact, then $C_p(X)$ contains a complemented (linearly homeomorphic) copy of \mathbb{R}^ω . If P is a continuous linear projection from $C_p(X)$ onto the linear subspace \mathbb{R}^ω the (linear) restriction map $T : C_p(C_p(X)) \rightarrow C_p(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ given by $T\varphi = \varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^\omega}$ is continuous and onto, for if $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ then $\psi \circ P \in C(C_p(X))$ and $T(\psi \circ P) = \psi$ due to $Pg = g$ for every $g \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$. Hence T carries a resolution from $C_p(C_p(X))$ onto $C_p(\mathbb{R}^\omega)$ made up of pointwise bounded sets. Since \mathbb{R}^ω is metrizable, Corollary 3.8 shows that \mathbb{R}^ω is a σ -space, which is not true. \square

Theorem 3.10 (Tkachuk [71, 2.8 Theorem]). *$C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets if and only if it is K -analytic.*

Proof. If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets, then $C_p(X)$ is a quasi-Suslin space [11, Proposition 1]. But, according to Lemma 3.1, the space $C_p(X)$ is angelic, and every quasi-Suslin angelic space is K -analytic [11]. The converse can be found in [67] or in [49, Theorem 3.2]. \square

The following result was stated and proved by Tkachuk, [71, 3.9 Theorem]. However, it can also be derived as a consequence of Valdivia’s closed graph theorem for K -analytic spaces [76, Chapter I] (as mentioned in [71]), which is the approach we choose.

Theorem 3.11. *Assume $C_p(X)$ is a Baire space. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets if and only if X is countable and discrete.*

Proof. According to Theorem 3.10, if $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets then $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic. Hence $C_p(X)$ is a locally convex space which is both Baire and K -analytic, so a separable Fréchet space by [76, I.4.3.(21)]. This forces to $C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^X$ with X countable. Hence X is countable and discrete. \square

Theorem 3.12 (Arhangel’skiĭ). *If $C_p(X)$ is both Baire and a Lindelöf Σ -space, then X is countable.*

Proof. Let us prove this result with the additional assumption that X is realcompact. A proof of the general case can be found in [71, 3.8 Theorem]. If $C_p(X)$ is a Baire space, it is barrelled, i. e., each closed absorbing absolutely convex set is a neighborhood of the null function. Hence, by the Buchwalter-Schmets theorem, the functionally bounded sets in X are finite [8] (see also [1, I.3.4 Theorem]). If $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space, then νX is a Lindelöf Σ -space by Lemma 3.1 (see also [59, Theorem 3.5]). Since by assumption $X = \nu X$, it turns out that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space with finite compact sets. Consequently X must be countable [1, IV.6.15 Proposition]. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let $C_p(X)$ be a Baire space. If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets, then X is countable.*

Proof. This follows from a general property of locally convex spaces which assures that each locally convex Baire space E with a resolution of bounded sets is metrizable (see [50, Corollary 1]). Let us try a direct approach. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a resolution for $C_p(X)$ consisting of absolutely convex pointwise bounded sets. Define $\beta(1) = n_1$, $\beta(i + 1) = \alpha(i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $B_\beta := n_1 \overline{\text{abx}(A_\alpha)}$ where $\text{abx}(A_\alpha)$ stands for the absolutely convex cover of A_α and the closure is in \mathbb{R}^X . Thus $Z := \bigcup\{B_\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^X , and each set B_β is compact with $B_\alpha \subseteq B_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$. So, Z is a locally convex Baire space with a resolution of compact sets. By [31, Theorem 1], Z is a separable Fréchet space. Hence $C_p(X)$ is metrizable, so X must be countable. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let X be a paracompact locally compact space. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is σ -compact.*

Proof. As follows from [7, 9.10 Theorem 5] the space X is the topological sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ of a family $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ of locally compact σ -compact (pairwise disjoint) subspaces of X . Consequently, $C_p(X) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} C_p(X_\alpha)$ isomorphically. By the previous equality, $C_p(X)$ contains a copy of \mathbb{R}^A . If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets, the subspace \mathbb{R}^A of $C_p(X)$ also has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets. Since \mathbb{R}^A is a Baire space, Theorem 3.13 shows that A must be countable. So, X is σ -compact. The converse also holds as shown in the ‘if’ part of Theorem 3.6. \square

The preceding theorem was originally stated as a part of [10, Proposition 2.2] assuming $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic.

Theorem 3.15 (Tkachuk, [71, 3.7 Theorem]). *$C_p(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets if and only if X is countable and discrete.*

Proof. Assume $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution for $C_p(X)$ of compact sets that swallows the compact sets of $C_p(X)$. We claim that compact subsets of X are finite. Otherwise there exists an infinite compact set K in X . Since, according to Theorem 3.10, $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic, it turns out that $C_p(C_p(X))$ is angelic [24, Theorem 78]. As K is embedded in $C_p(C_p(X))$, it must be a Fréchet-Urysohn compact, so there is a non trivial sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that converges to some $x \in K$. Let $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$, so that S is a countable compact set, hence metrizable. Thus, there is a linear extender map $\varphi : C_p(S) \rightarrow C_p(X)$, i. e., such that $\varphi(f|_S) = f$ for every $f \in C(X)$, which embeds $C_p(S)$ into a closed linear subspace of $C_p(X)$, [5, Proposition 4.1]. Therefore the metrizable space $C_p(S)$ also has a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets in $C_p(S)$. According to Christensen’s theorem [24, Theorem 94] this means that $C_p(S)$ is a Polish space. Hence, [1, I.3.3 Corollary] ensures that the compact set S is discrete, hence finite. This contradiction ensures that the compact sets in X are finite.

Since $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic, Lemma 3.1 asserts that vX is a Lindelöf Σ -space. But a Lindelöf Σ -space with finite compact sets is countable [1, IV.6.15 Proposition], so X is countable. On the other hand, if Q is a compact set in $C_p(X)$ there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $Q \subseteq A_\gamma$. Hence, $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution of compact sets for the metrizable space $C_p(X)$ that swallows the compact sets of $C_p(X)$. So, again $C_p(X)$ is a Polish space by Christensen’s theorem, and one more time [1, I.3.3 Corollary] asserts that X is discrete.

For the converse, note that $C_p(X)$ coincides with $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ whenever X is countable and discrete. Then $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with $A_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : |x_n| \leq \alpha_n\}$ is a resolution for $C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of compact sets that swallows the compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. \square

Theorem 3.16 (Ferrando-Gabrielyan-Kąkol [28, Theorem 3.3]). *$C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is countable. In other words, $C_p(X)$ has a fundamental resolution of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is countable.*

Proof. (Sketch) If $C_p(X)$ admits a fundamental resolution of pointwise bounded sets one can fix [28, Theorem 3.3] a countable family of closed sets (some of them may be empty) $\mathcal{K} = \{K_n(\alpha) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ in X enjoying the properties:

1. $K_n(\alpha) \subseteq K_{n+1}(\alpha)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
2. $K_n(\alpha) \supseteq K_n(\beta)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$.
3. $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n(\alpha) = X$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
4. For every increasing closed covering $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of X there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $K_n(\gamma) \subseteq V_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then it turns out that the family $\mathcal{N} := \{N_{mn}(\alpha) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$, where

$$N_{mn}(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in C(X) : |f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \quad \forall x \in K_n(\alpha) \right\}$$

and $N_{mn}(\alpha) := \{0\}$ if $K_n(\alpha)$ is empty, is a countable cs^* -network at the origin in $C_p(X)$ (see [28, Proposition 3.2] or [24, Claim 108] for details). So, according to [65, Theorem 2.3], X must be countable. \square

Recall that a locally convex space E is a *quasi-(LB)-space* if E has a resolution consisting of *Banach disks*, i. e., of absolutely convex bounded sets D whose linear span E_D is a Banach space when equipped with the Minkowski functional of D as a norm.

Theorem 3.17 (Valdivia, [77]). *If E is a quasi-(LB)-space, there exists a resolution for E consisting of Banach disks that swallows the Banach disks of E .*

Proof. (Sketch) Let $\{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a resolution for E consisting of Banach disks. For $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define the absolutely convex set

$$C_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = \bigcup \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}, \alpha(i) = n_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and U a neighborhood of the origin in E it can be easily seen that there exists $k(\alpha, U) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C_{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)} \subseteq kU$. So, if we set $F_{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)} := \text{span}(C_{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)})$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F_\alpha := \bigcap \{F_{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, the sequence

$$\{F_\alpha \cap k^{-1}C_{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a base of absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin in the linear subspace F_α of a locally convex topology τ_α stronger than the relative topology of E . In fact, it turns out that $\{(F_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a family Fréchet spaces [77, Proposition 21] which covers E . Now, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ set $\bar{\alpha}(i) = \alpha(2i - 1)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and define

$$Q_\alpha = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha(2k) \cdot (F_{\bar{\alpha}} \cap C_{\bar{\alpha}(1), \dots, \bar{\alpha}(k)})$$

The family $\{Q_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is clearly a resolution for E , and consists of Banach disks. It remains to prove that this family swallows the Banach disks of E . In order to establish this statement, choose a Banach disk D in E and consider the Banach space E_D . Then consider the canonical inclusion $J : E_D \rightarrow E$ and put $U_{n_1, \dots, n_k} := J^{-1}(C_{n_1, \dots, n_k})$. As $E_D = \bigcup \{U_{n_1} : n_1 \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $U_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = \bigcup \{U_{n_1, \dots, n_k, n_{k+1}} : n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\overline{U_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)}}$, closure in E_D , is a neighborhood of the origin in E_D for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, using the fact that $\text{Int}(\overline{U_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)}}) \subseteq U_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [77] or [49, Proposition 3.21] for details), if $x \in E_D$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda x \in U_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)}$, which implies that $J(x) \in F_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)}$. This shows that $J(E_D) \subseteq F_\beta$. So, by the closed graph theorem J is a continuous linear map from E_D into F_β . Hence, if we choose a sequence $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} such that

$$D \subseteq m_k \cdot (F_\beta \cap C_{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(k)})$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, setting $\gamma(2k) = m_k$ and $\gamma(2k - 1) = \beta(k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $D \subseteq Q_\gamma$. \square

Theorem 3.18 (Ferrando-Gabrielyan-Kąkol [28, Proposition 3.6]). *Let X be a P -space. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is countable and discrete.*

Proof. If X is a P -space then $C_p(X)$ is locally complete [34, Theorem 1.1], i. e., each pointwise bounded set is contained in a Banach disk. So, according to Theorem 3.17 there exists a resolution for $C_p(X)$ consisting of Banach disks that swallows the pointwise bounded sets in $C_p(X)$. Hence, X is countable by Theorem 3.16. But every countable P -space is discrete. \square

Alternatively, one may use the fact that $C_p(X)$ is a Baire space (note that $C_p(X)$ is pseudocomplete [72, Section 1.5, p. 46] whenever X is a P -space and use [72, Problem 464]). Then apply Theorem 3.13 to conclude that X must be countable, hence discrete.

Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in a locally convex space E is called *local null* or *Mackey convergent* to zero [52, 28.3] if there is a closed disk B in E such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in the normed space E_B . Each local null sequence in E is a null sequence.

Theorem 3.19 (Ferrando, [25, Theorem 12]). *$C_p(X)$ admits a resolution of convex compact sets that swallows the local null sequences in $C_p(X)$ if and only if X is countable and discrete.*

Proof. We may assume that $C_p(X)$ admits a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ of absolutely convex compact sets swallowing the local null sequences in $C_p(X)$. If $T : C_p(vX) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ denotes the restriction map $Tg = g|_X$ we proceed as in [49, Proposition 9.14] to show that the family $\mathcal{A} = \{T^{-1}(A_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution for $C_p(vX)$ consisting of (absolutely convex) compact sets, with the additional benefit that \mathcal{A} swallows the local null sequences in $C_p(vX)$. So, we may assume without loss of generality that X is realcompact or, equivalently, that $C_p(X)$ is bornological [8]. Hence, we denote as above by $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ a resolution for $C_p(X)$, with X realcompact, consisting of absolutely convex compact sets that swallows the local null sequences in $C_p(X)$.

Let \mathcal{M} denote the family of all local null sequences in $C_p(X)$. Since $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ swallows the members of \mathcal{M} , the Mackey* topology $\mu(L(X), C(X))$ of $L(X)$ is stronger than the topology τ_{c_0} on $L(X)$ of the uniform convergence on the local null sequences of $C_p(X)$. As in addition $\sigma(L(X), C(X)) \leq \tau_{c_0}$, we conclude that $(L(X), \tau_{c_0})' = C(X)$. Moreover, since we are assuming that $C_p(X)$ is bornological, its τ_{c_0} -dual $(L(X), \tau_{c_0})$ is complete by [52, 28.5.(1)].

We claim that every compact set in X is finite. Indeed, if K is a compact set in X , the homeomorphic copy $\delta(K)$ of K in $L_p(X)$ is compact, i. e., $\delta(K)$ is a $\sigma(L(X), C(X))$ -compact set in $L(X)$. So, the completeness of $(L(X), \tau_{c_0})$, together with Krein's theorem and the fact that τ_{c_0} is a locally convex topology of the dual pair $\langle L(X), C(X) \rangle$, ensures that the weak* closure $Q = \overline{\text{abx}(\delta(K))}$ in $L(X)$, where $\text{abx}(\delta(K))$ stands for the absolutely convex hull of $\delta(K)$, is a compact set in $L_p(X)$, hence a strongly bounded set. Since $C_p(X)$ is quasi-barrelled [47, 11.7.3 Corollary], the strongly bounded sets in $L(X)$ are finite-dimensional. Therefore the set $\delta(K)$, as a linearly independent system of vectors in $L(X)$, must be finite. Thus K is finite as well.

Since $vX = X$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space by Lemma 3.1 and as we know each Lindelöf Σ -space with finite compact sets is countable [1, IV.6.15 Proposition], X is countable. So $C_p(X)$ is a metrizable space. But in a metrizable locally convex space, the local null sequences and the null sequences are the same [52, 28.3.(1) c)]. Furthermore, if M is a compact set in the metrizable space $C_p(X)$, then M lies in the closed absolutely convex cover of a null sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, [52, 21.10.(3)]. So, if $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A_\gamma$, thanks to the fact that A_γ is a closed absolutely convex set, it turns out that $M \subseteq A_\gamma$. Therefore $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a compact resolution for $C_p(X)$ that swallows the compact sets of $C_p(X)$. So, $C_p(X)$ is a Polish space by Christensen's theorem [24, Theorem 94]. But then [1, I.3.3 Corollary] asserts that X is discrete. The converse is obvious. \square

Theorem 3.20 (Ferrando, [25, Theorem 16]). *$C_p(X)$ has a resolution of absolutely convex pointwise bounded sequentially complete sets that swallows the null sequences if and only if X is countable and discrete.*

Proof. It can be readily seen that there is no loss of generality if we assume X to be realcompact. If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ of the stated characteristics and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a null sequence in $C_p(X)$, there is

$\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f_n \in A_\gamma$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i f_i \in A_\gamma$ for every $\xi \in \ell_1$ with $\|\xi\|_1 \leq 1$ and A_γ is sequentially complete, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i f_i \in A_\gamma$ for every $\xi \in \ell_1$ with $\|\xi\|_1 \leq 1$. So, the Banach disk

$$Q := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i f_i : \xi \in \ell_1, \|\xi\|_1 \leq 1 \right\}$$

is contained in A_γ . Now, it can be proved as in [52, 20.10.(6)] that $Q = \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^{00}$, the absolute bipolar of the null sequence $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since each local null sequence is a null sequence, the dual of $(L(X), \tau_{c_0})$ is $C(X)$, so $\sigma(L(X), C(X)) \leq \tau_{c_0} \leq \mu(L(X), C(X))$. As $C_p(X)$ is bornological, the space $L(X)$ is $\mu(L(X), C(X))$ -complete. So, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.19, with the help of Krein’s theorem we establish that each compact set in X is finite. Now, using the fact that the resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ consists of pointwise bounded sets, Lemma 3.1 asserts that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space. Thus X must be countable, [1, IV.6.15 Proposition], so $C_p(X)$ is metrizable.

If M is a compact set in the metrizable space $C_p(X)$, as mentioned above M lies in the closed absolutely convex cover of a null sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. So, if $\{f_n\} \subseteq A_\gamma$ then $M \subseteq A_\gamma$. Thus $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution for $C_p(X)$ that swallows the compact sets of $C_p(X)$. Since each set A_α is precompact in $C_p(X)$ and sequentially complete, the metrizability of $C_p(X)$ ensures that A_α is compact in $C_p(X)$. Hence $C_p(X)$ is a Polish space by Christensen’s theorem. Thus X is discrete. The converse is clear, since each (absolutely convex) compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is pointwise bounded and sequentially complete. \square

Another result of this type, which we state without proof is the following.

Theorem 3.21 (Ferrando, [25, Theorem 33]). *Let X be first countable. $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the Cauchy sequences if and only if X is countable.*

4. Uncountable coverings for $C_k(X)$

Theorem 4.1 (Ferrando-Moll, [35, Corollary 5]). *The space $C_k(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets if and only if it is K -analytic.*

Proof. If $C_k(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets, so does $C_p(X)$. So, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 ensure that νX is a Lindelöf Σ -space and $C_p(X)$ is angelic. Therefore $C_k(X)$ is angelic as well [36, 3.3 Theorem]. Since $C_k(X)$ is a quasi-Suslin space, necessarily $C_k(X)$ must be K -analytic [11]. \square

Theorem 4.2 (Gabrielyan-Kąkol [37, Corollary 2.10]). *Let X be metrizable. $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets if and only if X is σ -compact.*

Proof. If $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets, $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets. So, Corollary 3.8 assures that X is σ -compact. Conversely, if $\{K_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an increasing sequence of compact sets in X covering X then $\Delta_m = \{(x, x) : x \in K_m\}$ is compact in the metric space $(X \times X, d)$. Hence, the sequence $\{U_{m,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where

$$U_{m,n} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d((x, y), \Delta_m) < n^{-1}\}$$

is a basis of the system of neighborhoods of Δ_m . Let us encode in each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ a whole sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by considering a bidimensional array whose i^{th} file is formed by coordinates $(\alpha_i(1), \alpha_i(2), \dots, \alpha_i(n), \dots)$ of α_i and defining α by setting $\alpha(1) = \alpha_1(1)$, $\alpha(2) = \alpha_1(2)$, $\alpha(3) = \alpha_2(1)$, $\alpha(4) = \alpha_1(3)$, $\alpha(5) = \alpha_2(2)$, $\alpha(6) = \alpha_3(1)$, $\alpha(7) = \alpha_1(4)$, \dots and so on. Conversely, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we may extract a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ from α as indicated above. Then let A_α be the absolutely convex set

$$\left\{ f \in C(X) : \sup_{(x,y) \in U_{m,\alpha_m(n)}} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \sup_{x \in K_m} |f(x)| \leq \alpha_m(1) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in K_m$ and $1/n < \epsilon$. Setting $U_{m,n}(x) := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in U_{m,n}\}$, each $f \in A_\alpha$ satisfies

$$\sup_{y \in U_{m,\alpha_m(n)}(x)} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \sup_{(z,y) \in U_{m,\alpha_m(n)}} |f(z) - f(y)| \leq n^{-1} < \epsilon.$$

As $U_{m,\alpha_m(n)}(x)$ is a neighborhood of x , this means that A_α is equicontinuous at x . So all sets A_α are equicontinuous. In addition, since $\sup_{f \in A_\alpha} |f(z)| \leq \alpha_m(1)$ if $z \in K_m$, we see that A_α is pointwise bounded and closed. Hence A_α is a compact set in $C_k(X)$.

On the other hand, if \mathcal{K} is a compact set in $C_k(X)$, the fact that X is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space guarantees that \mathcal{K} is equicontinuous (Ascoli’s theorem). Since \mathcal{K} is equicontinuous at each $x \in K_m$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\epsilon(m, n, x) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y \in B(x, \epsilon(m, n, x))} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \tag{1}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{K}$, where $B(x, \epsilon)$ stands for the open ball of center at x and radius $\epsilon > 0$.

Setting $U = \bigcup_{z \in K_m} B(z, \epsilon(m, n, z)) \times B(z, \epsilon(m, n, z))$, if $(x, y) \in U$ there is $z \in K_m$ such that $x, y \in B(z, \epsilon(m, n, z))$, so $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f(z)| + |f(z) - f(y)| < n^{-1}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}$. As $\Delta_m \subseteq U$ there is $r(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Delta_m \subseteq U_{m,r(m,n)} \subseteq U$. Thus

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in U_{m,r(m,n)}} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

On the other hand, the fact that \mathcal{K} is a compact set for the compact-open topology ensures that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \sup_{x \in K_m} |f(x)| \leq k_m$. Hence, setting α such that $\alpha_m(n) = r(m, n)$, we may assume that $\alpha_m(1) \geq k_m$. All this says that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq A_\alpha$. As $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$, the family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is as stated. \square

Corollary 4.3 (Ferrando [23, Proposition 3]). *Let X be a metrizable space. $C_k(X)$ has a fundamental bounded resolution if and only if X is σ -compact.*

Proof. If X is σ -compact, Theorem 4.2 ensures that $C_k(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets that swallows the compact sets. So, $C_k(X)$ has a bounded resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ consisting of closed absolutely convex bounded sets. As X is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, $C_k(X)$ is complete and consequently each A_α is a Banach disk. So, Theorem 3.17 provides a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ for $C_k(X)$ consisting of Banach disks that swallows the Banach disks, hence the bounded sets in $C_k(X)$. Thus, $C_k(X)$ has a fundamental bounded resolution. The converse comes from Corollary 3.8. \square

Theorem 4.4 (Christensen [15, Theorem 3.7]). *Let X be a separable metric space. $C_k(X)$ is analytic if and only if X is σ -compact.*

Proof. If $C_k(X)$ is analytic then $C_p(X)$ is analytic as well, so Calbrix’s theorem ensures that X is σ -compact. If X is σ -compact then $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets by Theorem 4.2. Hence $C_k(X)$ is K -analytic by Theorem 4.1. As X is a separable metric space, it is a cosmic space, and so is $C_p(X)$. So, $C_p(X)$ being K -analytic and cosmic is analytic. Hence $C_p(X)$ must be submetrizable by the second statement of [24, Theorem 85] (see [66, Proposition 6.3]). Consequently, $C_k(X)$ is K -analytic and submetrizable, hence analytic by the first statement of [24, Theorem 85]. \square

If \mathcal{N} is a uniformity for a (nonempty) set X , we denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ the uniform topology defined by \mathcal{N} . A base $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ of \mathcal{N} is called a \mathfrak{G} -base if $U_\beta \subseteq U_\alpha$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$. There is no loss of generality by assuming that each U_α is a symmetric vicinity. On the other hand, if $\{\mathcal{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is the family of all admissible uniformities for a completely regular space (X, τ) , the smallest uniformity \mathcal{U}_{λ_0} that makes all τ -continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ uniformly continuous, is called the *Nachbin uniform structure* of X , [61].

Theorem 4.5 (Ferrando, [21, Theorem 1]). $C_k(X)$ has a resolution consisting of equicontinuous sets if and only if there exists an admissible uniformity for X , larger than or equal to the Nachbin uniformity, with a \mathfrak{G} -base.

Proof. Assume \mathcal{N} is a uniformity for X which contains the Nachbin uniform structure and let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a \mathfrak{G} -base of \mathcal{N} . If $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, encode $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in α as indicated in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and define

$$P_\alpha = \left\{ f \in C(X) : \sup_{(x,y) \in U_{\alpha_n}} |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

We claim that $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution for $C_k(X)$ consisting of equicontinuous sets. In fact, since if $\alpha \leq \beta$ then $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, clearly $P_\alpha \subseteq P_\beta$. On the other hand, if $f \in C(X)$, since \mathcal{N} is larger than the Nachbin uniformity, f is \mathcal{N} -uniformly continuous on X . Bearing in mind that $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a \mathfrak{G} -base of \mathcal{N} , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 1/n$ whenever $(x, y) \in U_{\alpha_n}$, which shows that $f \in P_\alpha$ for α defined as above. Finally, let us see that each set P_α is equicontinuous. Indeed, given $\epsilon > 0$ take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n < \epsilon$. According to the definition of P_α there is $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, which we extract from α as explained earlier, such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ whenever $(x, y) \in U_{\alpha_n}$ and this happens for every $f \in P_\alpha$, which shows that P_α is uniformly equicontinuous, hence equicontinuous.

For the converse, suppose that $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution of $C_k(X)$ consisting of equicontinuous sets. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define

$$V_\alpha = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \sup_{f \in P_\alpha} |f(x) - f(y)| < \alpha(1)^{-1}\}.$$

If $\alpha \leq \beta$ then $P_\alpha \subseteq P_\beta$, which implies that $V_\beta \subseteq V_\alpha$. Let us see that $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a base of some uniformity \mathcal{N} for X . First observe that the diagonal $\Delta(X) = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is contained in each V_α , so no V_α is empty. On the other hand, clearly $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a filter-base with $V_\alpha^{-1} = V_\alpha$. In addition, if $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies that $\beta \geq \alpha$ with $\beta(1) \geq 2\alpha(1)$ we claim that $V_\beta \circ V_\beta \subseteq V_\alpha$. Indeed, if $(x, y) \in V_\beta \circ V_\beta$ there is $z \in X$ with $(x, z), (z, y) \in V_\beta$. Hence $|f(x) - f(z)| < \beta(1)^{-1}$ and $|f(z) - f(y)| < \beta(1)^{-1}$ for every $f \in P_\beta$. So, $|f(x) - f(y)| < 2\beta(1)^{-1} \leq \alpha(1)^{-1}$ for all $f \in P_\alpha \subseteq P_\beta$, which shows that $(x, y) \in V_\alpha$.

Let us check that \mathcal{N} is an admissible uniformity for X , i. e., that $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ coincides with the original topology of X . Since X is completely regular, it suffices to show that X and $(X, \tau_{\mathcal{N}})$ have the same continuous functions. Take $f \in C(X)$, pick an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and choose $\epsilon > 0$. Then select $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $f \in P_\alpha$ and $\alpha(1)^{-1} < \epsilon$. Clearly

$$V_\alpha(x_0) = \{y \in X : (x_0, y) \in V_\alpha\}$$

is a $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$ -neighborhood of x_0 , and since $|f(x) - f(y)| < \alpha(1)^{-1} < \epsilon$ for every $(x, y) \in V_\alpha$, we have in particular that $|f(x_0) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $y \in V_\alpha(x_0)$. This shows that f is continuous at x_0 under $\tau_{\mathcal{N}}$. Assume conversely that $f \in C(X, \tau_{\mathcal{N}})$ and fix $x_0 \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $|f(x_0) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for every $y \in V_\alpha(x_0)$. But, since P_α is equicontinuous at x_0 , there exists a neighborhood V of x_0 of the original topology of X such that $\sup_{h \in P_\alpha} |h(y) - h(x_0)| < \alpha(1)^{-1}$ for every $y \in V$. Hence if $x \in V$ then $\sup_{h \in P_\alpha} |h(x) - h(x_0)| < \alpha(1)^{-1}$, which according to the definition of V_α means that $x \in V_\alpha(x_0)$. This shows that $V \subseteq V_\alpha(x_0)$ and thus $|f(x_0) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $y \in V$. So f is continuous at x_0 under the original topology of X and $f \in C(X)$.

Let us finally check that the uniformity \mathcal{N} generated by the base $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is larger than the Nachbin uniformity. We have to prove that every real-valued continuous function on X is \mathcal{N} -uniformly continuous. Now, given $f \in C(X)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, taking advantage of the fact that $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution of $C(X)$, we can choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\gamma(1)^{-1} < \epsilon$ and $f \in P_\gamma$. Consequently, for each $(x, y) \in V_\gamma$ it happens that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \gamma(1)^{-1} < \epsilon$, which shows that f is \mathcal{N} -uniformly continuous, as stated. \square

Corollary 4.6. Let X be a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. If $C_k(X)$ is K -analytic then there exists an admissible uniformity for X , larger than or equal to the Nachbin uniformity, with a \mathfrak{G} -base.

Theorem 4.7 (Ferrando-Gabrielyan-Kąkol, [27, Theorem 1.8]). $C_k(X)$ has a resolution consisting of weakly compact sets that swallows the weakly compact sets if and only if X is countable and discrete.

Proof. First we claim that if $C_k(X)$ has a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ consisting of weakly compact sets that swallows the weakly compact sets in $C_k(X)$, each compact set in X is finite. As $C_p(X)$ admits a resolution of compact sets, it is K -analytic by Theorem 3.10, so $C_p(C_p(X))$ is angelic by Lemma 3.1. Hence, each compact set of $X \hookrightarrow C_p(C_p(X))$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. If there exists an infinite compact set K in X , then K contains an infinite convergent sequence that, together with its limit, is homeomorphic to a metrizable compact subset Q of βX . Thus, there is a continuous linear extender map $\varphi : C_p(Q) \rightarrow C_p(\beta X)$, [5]. If $S : C_p(\beta X) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ is the restriction map $Sg = g|_X$, the mapping $\psi = S \circ \varphi$ is a continuous linear extender, i. e., $\psi(f)|_Q = f$ for every $f \in C(Q)$. This ensures that the linear map $\psi : C(Q) \rightarrow C_k(X)$ (weak), where $C_k(X)$ (weak) stands for the space $C_k(X)$ equipped with its weak topology, has closed graph. Since $C(Q)$ is a Banach space and $C_k(X)$ (weak) has a resolution of compact sets, the closed graph theorem [31, Theorem 1] ensures that $\psi : C(Q) \rightarrow C_k(X)$ (weak) is continuous, so weakly continuous.

A routine procedure shows that the family $\{\psi^{-1}(A_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a resolution for the Banach space $C(Q)$ consisting of weakly compact sets. If P is a compact set under the weak topology of $C_k(Q)$, then $\psi(P)$ is a compact set in $C_k(X)$ (weak). Hence, there is a $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\psi(P) \subseteq A_\gamma$, so that $P \subseteq \psi^{-1}(A_\gamma)$. This means that $\{\psi^{-1}(A_\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ swallows the compact sets of $C(Q)$ (weak). But it is shown in [55] that for compact Q , if the Banach space $C(Q)$ has a resolution of weakly compact sets that swallows the weakly compact sets, then Q is finite. Thus Q must be a finite set, a contradiction

Finally, since each compact set in X is finite, one has $C_k(X) = C_k(X)$ (weak) = $C_p(X)$. So X must be countable and discrete by Theorem 3.15. \square

A Fréchet space E is called a *Strongly Weakly Countably Generated* (briefly a SWCG) space if every bounded set in $(E', \mu(E', E))$ is metrizable. Equivalently, E is a SWCG space if given a base of closed absolutely convex neighborhoods of zero $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $2U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an absolutely convex weakly compact set $K \subseteq E$ such that for every weakly compact (absolutely convex) set $L \subseteq E$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\alpha(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $L \subseteq \alpha(n)K + U_n$ [30, Theorem 9]. A Fréchet space E is called *Strongly Weakly K -Analytic* (briefly SWKA) space if $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$ admits a compact resolution that swallows the $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact sets.

If E is a Fréchet space with a base of closed absolutely convex neighborhoods of zero $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $2U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ for E is called *weakly compactly generated* if there exists an absolutely convex weakly compact set K such that

$$A_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha(n)K + U_n)$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Clearly $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$, and the condition imposed to the base implies that each A_α is closed. Hence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a weakly compact resolution for E , as follows from [30, Claim 6].

Theorem 4.8. A Fréchet space E is SWCG if and only if E has a weakly compactly generated resolution that swallows the weakly compact sets.

Proof. Assume that E is a SWCG space, and let $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a base of closed absolutely convex neighborhoods of the origin such that $2U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ set $A_\alpha := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha(n)K + U_n)$, where K is the absolute convex weakly compact set mentioned after the definition of SWCG space. Clearly $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ is a weakly compactly generated resolution for E . If $L \subseteq E$ is a weakly compact set in E , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\gamma(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L \subseteq \gamma(n)K + U_n$, so that $L \subseteq A_\gamma$. Hence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ swallows the weakly compact sets of E .

Assume conversely that E contains a weakly compactly generated resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ that swallows the weakly compact sets. Then there exists a weakly compact absolutely convex set Q such that $A_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha(n)Q + U_n)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$. If L is any weakly compact set in E there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $L \subseteq A_\gamma$, hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one gets $L \subseteq \gamma(n)Q + U_n$. So E is a SWCG space. \square

Theorem 4.9 (Ferrando-Kąkol, [30, Theorem 22]). *If $C_k(X)$ is a Fréchet space, the following statements are equivalent*

1. $C_k(X)$ is a SWCG space.
2. $C_k(X)$ is a SWKA space.
3. X is countable and discrete.

Proof. Clearly $1 \Rightarrow 2$. Equivalence $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$ is consequence of Theorem 4.7. If X is countable and discrete then $C_k(X) = \mathbb{R}^X$ is reflexive, so $3 \Rightarrow 1$. \square

5. Closure-preserving coverings for $C_p(X)$

A closure-preserving covering of $C_p(X)$ is a generalization of a locally finite covering. A covering \mathcal{F} of a space X is called *closure-preserving* if

$$\overline{\bigcup \{F : F \in \mathcal{G}\}} = \bigcup \{\overline{F} : F \in \mathcal{G}\}$$

for any $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 5.1 (Guerrero, [40, Corollary 2.7]). *$C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by closed σ -countably compact sets if and only if X is finite.*

Proof. First let us suppose that \mathcal{F} is a closure-preserving covering of $C_p(X)$ by closed σ -compact subspaces. Note that X must be pseudocompact. Otherwise $C_p(X)$ has a closed homeomorphic copy of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and hence $C_p(\mathbb{N})$ has a closure-preserving covering \mathcal{G} by closed σ -compact subspaces. As the space $C_p(\mathbb{N})$ is separable, there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{G}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{G}_0 = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{G}_0} = C_p(\mathbb{N})$, which means that $C_p(\mathbb{N})$ is covered by a countable family of compact sets. Thus \mathbb{N} should be finite by Velichko’s theorem, a contradiction.

If $f \in C(X)$ we claim that $f(X)$ is finite. Indeed, if $Y := f(X)$ since Y is a separable metric space the space $C_p(Y)$ is separable. On the other hand, as X is pseudocompact and Y is second countable f is an \mathbb{R} -quotient map [72, S.154, Fact 3], so the pullback $f^* : C_p(Y) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ defined by $f^*(g) = g \circ f$ embeds $C_p(Y)$ in $C_p(X)$ as a closed subspace [1, 0.4.10 Proposition]. Therefore, $C_p(Y)$ is covered by a closure-preserving family \mathcal{M} of closed σ -compact subspaces and there exists a countable subfamily \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{M} such that $\bigcup \mathcal{N} = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{N}} = C_p(Y)$. Again Velichko’s theorem implies that Y must be finite.

Since $f(X)$ is finite for every $f \in C(X)$, the space X must be finite. If not there is a countable discrete subspace $D = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in X and a countable family of open sets $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $U_n \cap D = \{x_n\}$ and $\overline{U_i} \cap \overline{U_j} = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. So, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $f_n \in C(X)$ with $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ such that $f_n(x_n) = 1$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ if $x \in X \setminus U_n$. Then clearly $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \in C(X)$ but $f(X) \supseteq D$, which is infinite, a contradiction.

If the closure-preserving covering consists of closed σ -countably compact sets instead of closed σ -compact sets, we get the same conclusion by using the Tkachuk-Shakhmatov theorem instead of Velichko’s theorem.

Conversely, \mathbb{R}^n can always be covered by a countable family of compact balls. \square

Theorem 5.2 (Guerrero, [40, Corollary 2.8]). *If $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by countably compact sets then X is finite.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a closure-preserving cover of $C_p(X)$ by countably compact sets. If X is not pseudocompact, there is a sequence $\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{F} with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{F_n} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. So, $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is covered by a countable family of pseudocompact sets. In this case Theorem 2.6 forces \mathbb{N} to be pseudocompact, a contradiction. So, X is pseudocompact.

Then [1, 3.4.23 Theorem] shows that each member of \mathcal{F} is a compact set. Hence, \mathcal{F} is a closure-preserving cover of $C_p(X)$ by compact sets, and the conclusion follows from the preceding theorem. \square

Lemma 5.3 (Guerrero, [40, Lemma 2.10]). *Let X be an infinite compact space. If $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by subspaces of density less than or equal to an infinite cardinal κ then $w(X) \leq \kappa$.*

Proof. We shall restrict ourselves to the case $\kappa = \aleph_0$, what will be used later. So, assume $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering \mathcal{F} by closed separable subspaces. Proceed by contradiction by supposing $w(X) > \aleph_0$. It suffices to consider the case $w(X) = \aleph_1$.

Since $d(C_p(X)) = iw(X) = w(X) = \aleph_1$ [58], there is $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $|\mathcal{F}_0| \leq \aleph_1$ and $C_p(X) = \bigcup \mathcal{F}_0$. This covering can be rewritten as $\{F_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$, and if we define $G_\alpha = \bigcup \{F_\beta : 0 \leq \beta < \alpha\}$ for every $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$, clearly $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an increasing closure-preserving covering of $C_p(X)$ by separable subspaces which swallows the separable sets in $C_p(X)$.

As X is embeddable in $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$, let us consider the natural projections $\pi_\alpha : X \rightarrow [0, 1]^\alpha$ for $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ define $Z_\alpha = \pi_\alpha(X)$ and set $M_\alpha := \pi_\alpha^*(C(Z_\alpha))$, where $\pi_\alpha^* : C_p(Z_\alpha) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ is the pullback of π_α , defined as usual by $\pi_\alpha^*(h) = h \circ \pi_\alpha$. It can be easily seen that the family $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is another increasing covering of $C_p(X)$ such that $d(M_\alpha) = w(Z_\alpha) \leq \aleph_0$. So, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists $\alpha \leq \beta < \omega_1$ with $M_\alpha \subseteq G_\beta$. Conversely, for each $\beta < \omega_1$ there exists $\beta \leq \gamma < \omega_1$ with $\overline{G_\beta} \subseteq M_\gamma$.

Note that X cannot be embedded in $[0, 1]^\alpha$ for any $\alpha < \omega_1$, otherwise if $X \hookrightarrow [0, 1]^\gamma$ then $w(X) = |\gamma| = \aleph_0$, a contradiction. This entails that for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists $\beta < \omega_1$ with $\beta \geq \alpha$ such that both $G_\alpha \subseteq M_\beta$ and the natural projection $\pi_{\alpha,\beta} : Z_\beta \rightarrow Z_\alpha$ is not injective. So we may get an increasing sequence of countable ordinals $\{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\overline{G_{\alpha_{2n-1}}} \subseteq M_{2n} \subseteq G_{\alpha_{2n+1}}$ and the projection $\pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}} : Z_{\alpha_{2n}} \rightarrow Z_{\alpha_{2n-1}}$ is not injective. Let $\gamma := \sup \{\alpha_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and for each n choose two different points $x_n, y_n \in Z_{\alpha_{2n}} \subseteq Z_\gamma$ with $\pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}, \gamma}(x_n) = \pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}}(x_n) = \pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}}(y_n) = \pi_{\alpha_{2n-1}, \gamma}(y_n)$.

According to [40, Lemma 2.9] there is $g \in C(Z_\gamma)$ whose restriction to $\{x_n, y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is injective, so that $g(x_n) \neq g(y_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that $\text{supp } g \not\subseteq Z_{\alpha_{2n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in other words, g does not belong to $C(Z_{\alpha_{2n}})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, the function $f = \pi_\gamma^*(g) \in \pi_\gamma^*(C(Z_\gamma)) = M_\gamma$ does not belong to $M_{\alpha_{2n}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $f \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty M_{2n} = G_\gamma$, the latter equality because both \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} are increasing, $G_{\alpha_{2n-1}} \subseteq M_{\alpha_{2n}} \subseteq G_{\alpha_{2n+1}}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{G} = C(X)$.

On the other hand, let a finite subset A of X and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let

$$U_f = \{h \in M_\gamma : |h(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon, x \in A\}$$

be a neighborhood of f in the relative topology of M_γ . If $\pi_\gamma(x) = \pi_\gamma(y)$ for $x, y \in A$ then $f(x) = f(y)$, so we may assume $\pi_\gamma(x) \neq \pi_\gamma(y)$ for each pair $x, y \in A$. In this case there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi_{\alpha_{2l}, \gamma}$ is one-to-one on $\pi_\gamma(A)$. Hence $\pi_{\alpha_{2l}} = \pi_{\alpha_{2l}, \gamma} \circ \pi_\gamma$ is one-to-one on A . So, we can choose $\varphi \in C(Z_{\alpha_{2l}})$ such that $\varphi(\pi_{\alpha_{2l}}(x)) = f(x)$ for each $x \in A$.

Since $h := \varphi \circ \pi_{\alpha_{2l}} = \pi_{\alpha_{2l}}^*(\varphi) \in M_{2l} \subseteq M_\gamma$, clearly $h \in U_f$. So, $f \in \overline{M_{2l}}$ and consequently $f \in \overline{M_{2l}} \subseteq \overline{G_{\alpha_{2n+1}}} \subseteq G_{\alpha_{2n+3}} \subseteq G_\gamma$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 5.4 (Guerrero, [40, Corollary 2.13]). *Let X be an infinite compact space. $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by separable subspaces if and only if X is metrizable.*

Proof. If X is a compact metrizable space, then $C_p(X)$ is separable. Let D be a countable dense subspace of $C_p(X)$. For every $f \in C(X)$ put $D_f := D \cup \{f\}$. Then clearly $\mathcal{F} = \{D_f : f \in C(X)\}$ is a closure-preserving covering of $C_p(X)$ by separable subspaces. Conversely, if the space $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by separable subspaces, Lemma 5.3 with $\kappa = \aleph_0$ yields $w(X) \leq \aleph_0$. Since X is compact, this implies that X must be metrizable. \square

Theorem 5.5 (Guerrero, [40, Corollary 2.14]). *Let X be an infinite compact space. $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by second countable subspaces if and only if X is countable.*

Proof. If $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving covering by second countable subspaces, then $C_p(X)$ admits a closure-preserving cover by separable subspaces. Hence X is metrizable by the previous theorem and,

consequently, $C_p(X)$ is separable. This clearly implies that $C_p(X)$ has indeed a countable covering by second countable subspaces, so we may apply [70, Corolary 1.7] to guarantee that X is countable. \square

For the following lemma, given a function $f \in C^b(X)$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$ let

$$I(f, \epsilon) = \{g \in C^b(X) : \|f - g\|_\infty \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Lemma 5.6 (Guerrero-Tkachuk [43, Proposition 2.1 (a)]). *If \mathcal{F} is a closure-preserving covering of $C_p(X)$ by closed subspaces, there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \in C^b(X)$ such that $I(f, \epsilon) \subseteq F$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. We claim that the family $\{F \cap C^b(X) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is also a closure-preserving covering by closed subspaces of the Banach space $C^b(X)$ equipped with the supremum-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Indeed, since the Banach topology τ_u is stronger than the pointwise topology, denoting $C^b(X)$ by G , if $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ one has

$$\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} F \cap G = G \cap \overline{\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} F}^{\tau_p} \supseteq G \cap \overline{\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} F \cap G}^{\tau_p} \supseteq \overline{\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} F \cap G}^{\tau_u} \supseteq \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} F \cap G.$$

By [68, Theorem 2.5] there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \in C^b(X)$ for which there is an open ball

$$B(f, \delta) = \{g \in C^b(X) : \|f - g\|_\infty < \delta\}$$

centered at f in the Čech-complete space $(C^b(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, such that $B(f, \delta) \subseteq F \cap G$. Hence, if $\epsilon = \delta/2$ we get $I(f, \epsilon) \subseteq F$. \square

Theorem 5.7 (Guerrero-Tkachuk [43, Corollary 2.5]). *If \mathcal{P} is a hereditary topological property and $C_p(X)$ has a closure-preserving cover \mathcal{F} by closed subspaces such that each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ has property \mathcal{P} , both $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ and $C_p(X)$ have property \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Under these hypotheses we claim that some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ contains a homeomorphic copy of $C_p(X)$. By Lemma 5.6 there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \in C^b(X)$ such that $I(f, \epsilon) \subseteq F$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then the map $\varphi : C_p(X, [0, 1]) \rightarrow C_p^b(X)$ defined by

$$\varphi(g) = 2\epsilon\left(g - \frac{1}{2}\right) + f$$

is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi(C(X, [0, 1])) = I(f, \epsilon)$. Since F has the hereditary property \mathcal{P} , the set $I(f, \epsilon)$ also has property \mathcal{P} and consequently $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ has property \mathcal{P} . But $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ contains $C_p(X, (0, 1))$, which is homeomorphic to $C_p(X)$. So $C_p(X)$ also has property \mathcal{P} . \square

Theorem 5.8 (Guerrero-Tkachuk [43, Theorem 2.7]). *Let \mathcal{P} be a closed hereditary topological property. If $C_p(X)$ has a closure-preserving cover \mathcal{F} by closed subspaces such that each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ has property \mathcal{P} , then $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ has property \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Again Lemma 5.6 provides $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \in C^b(X)$ such that $I(f, \epsilon) \subseteq F$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. By the proof of Theorem 5.7 the subspace $I(f, \epsilon)$ of $C_p^b(X)$ is homeomorphic to $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ and closed in F , so $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ has property \mathcal{P} . \square

Remark 5.9. *Applications of the preceding results.* Theorem 5.7 applies for instance to the Fréchet-Urysohn property and metrizability. Theorem 5.8 applies to K -analyticity, Lindelöf Σ -property and normality. Concerning realcompactness, if $C_p(X)$ has a closure-preserving cover \mathcal{F} by closed subspaces such that each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is realcompact, Theorem 5.8 ensures that the space $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is realcompact. Since $C(X, (0, 1))$ can be obtained from $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ by throwing out a union of G_δ -subsets of $C_p(X, [0, 1])$, it turns out that $C(X, (0, 1))$ is realcompact (see [72, Problem 408]). Hence $C_p(X)$ is realcompact.

Corollary 5.10 (Guerrero-Tkachuk [43, Proposition 2.20]). *If X is a Lindelöf Σ -space and $C_p(X)$ has a closure-preserving cover by closed Lindelöf Σ -subspaces then $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space.*

Proof. According to Theorem 5.8, $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ must be a Lindelöf Σ -space. So [1, IV.9.17 Theorem] ensures that $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. \square

6. Domination by a second countable space

Given a Tychonoff space M , a family of sets \mathcal{A} of another Tychonoff space X is said to be M -ordered (or ordered by M) if $\mathcal{A} = \{A_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$, where $\mathcal{K}(M)$ denotes the family of all compact sets in M , and $P \subseteq Q$ in M implies $A_P \subseteq A_Q$. The space X is said to be M -dominated (or dominated by the space M) if X has an M -ordered covering \mathcal{A} consisting of compact sets (an M -ordered compact covering).

Theorem 6.1 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 2.1(a) Theorem]). *Every Lindelöf Σ -space is dominated by a second countable space.*

Proof. An equivalent definition of Lindelöf Σ -space says that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space if and only if there exists a second countable space M and a compact-valued usc map $T : M \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that $\bigcup \{T(x) : x \in M\} = X$. If K is a compact set in M , define $A_K = \bigcup \{T(x) : x \in K\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{A} = \{A_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ is an M -ordered cover consisting of compact sets. \square

The class of spaces dominated by second countable spaces has good stability properties [14, 2.1 Theorem].

Theorem 6.2 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 2.2 Proposition]). *The following relations are equivalent for a Tychonoff space X .*

1. X has a resolution consisting of compact sets.
2. X is $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -dominated.
3. X is dominated by a Polish space.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 2. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ be a resolution for X of compact sets. If $P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$, define $\alpha_P \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $\alpha_P(i) = \max \pi_i(P)$, where $\pi_i : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the canonical i^{th} -projection. Clearly $\alpha_P \leq \alpha_Q$ if $P \subseteq Q$ and if we set $A_P := A_{\alpha_P}$ for every $P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$, then $\mathcal{A} = \{A_P : P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})\}$ is an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -ordered family of compact sets which covers X . The latter because if $x \in X$ there is $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $x \in A_\gamma$, and the set $Q_\gamma := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} : \alpha(i) \leq \gamma(i) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is compact in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and verifies that $\alpha_{Q_\gamma} = \gamma$. So $x \in A_{Q_\gamma}$.

2 \Rightarrow 1. Let $\{A_P : P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})\}$ be an $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -ordered compact cover of X . If $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ let $Q_\gamma \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ be the previously defined set that verifies the equality $\alpha_{Q_\gamma} = \gamma$. Then the family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ with $A_\gamma := A_{Q_\gamma}$ verifies that $A_\gamma \subseteq A_\delta$ if $\gamma \leq \delta$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} covers X . For if $x \in X$ there is $P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ with $x \in A_P$. So, if $\sigma(i) = \max \pi_i(P)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then $A_P \subseteq A_\sigma$ and hence $x \in A_\sigma$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is a resolution for X by compact sets.

2 \Rightarrow 3 is clear. Finally, if X is dominated by a Polish space M , there is an M -ordered compact cover $\mathcal{A} = \{A_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$. Since M is a Polish space, there is an open continuous map $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow M$ from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto M . Consider the family $\mathcal{F} = \{A_{\varphi(Q_\alpha)} : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$. If $x \in X$ there is a compact set K in M such that $x \in A_K$ and there exists $P \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ such that $\varphi(P) = K$ [18, 5.5.8]. If $\sigma(i) = \max \pi_i(P)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then $P \subseteq Q_\sigma$ and hence $K = \varphi(P) \subseteq \varphi(Q_\sigma)$ so that $x \in A_{\varphi(Q_\sigma)}$. Hence \mathcal{F} covers X and clearly \mathcal{F} is a $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -ordered compact covering for X . So X is $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -dominated. This shows that 3 \Rightarrow 2. \square

Theorem 6.3 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 2.4 Corollary]). $C_p(X)$ is dominated by a Polish space if and only if it is K -analytic.

Proof. If $C_p(X)$ is dominated by a Polish space, by the previous theorem $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of compact sets. So $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic by Theorem 3.10. Conversely, if $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic, it has a resolution of compact sets [67]. Thus, according to Theorem 6.2, $C_p(X)$ is dominated by a Polish space. \square

Lemma 6.4. *If X is dominated by a second countable space, X has a countable network modulo a covering by countably compact sets and $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf Σ -framed in \mathbb{R}^X .*

Proof. If X is dominated by a second countable space M , the first statement of the consequent follows from [14, 2.6 Proposition], where one should notice that the fact that M is second countable is critical. The second follows from [71, 2.7 Proposition]. \square

Theorem 6.5 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 2.15 Theorem]). *$C_p(X)$ is dominated by a second countable space if and only if it is a Lindelöf Σ -space.*

Proof. Sufficiency is Theorem 6.1. For the necessity assume that $\{F_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ is an M -ordered compact covering of $C_p(X)$. Apply Lemma 6.4 to show that $C_p(C_p(X))$ is Lindelöf Σ -framed in \mathbb{R}^X and then Lemma 3.1 to get that $vC_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. Then apply [1, IV.9.5 Theorem] to conclude that vX is also a Lindelöf Σ -space, which guarantees that the space $C_p(vX)$ is angelic [25, Theorem 78].

If $T : C_p(vX) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ denotes the restriction map $Tf = f|_X$, it can be easily seen that $\{G_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$, where $G_K = T^{-1}(F_K)$ is an M -ordered compact covering of $C_p(vX)$. Since $C_p(vX)$ is dominated by a second countable space, Lemma 6.4 asserts that $C_p(vX)$ has a countable network modulo a covering by countably compact subsets. But $C_p(vX)$ angelicity ensures that $C_p(vX)$ has a countable network modulo a covering by compact sets. So, according to [1, IV.9.1 Proposition], $C_p(vX)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space. Consequently $C_p(X)$, as a continuous image of a Lindelöf Σ -space, is also a Lindelöf Σ -space. \square

Domination of each subspace of $C_p(X)$ by a second countable space also leads to some interesting properties. We state the following theorem without proof (see [14] for details).

Theorem 6.6 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 2.18 Proposition]). *If every subspace of $C_p(X)$ is dominated by a second countable space, then $C_p(X)$ is cosmic.*

A Tychonoff space X is *strongly dominated* by M if there exists an M -ordered compact covering \mathcal{F} of X that swallows the compact sets in X . Strong domination by second countable spaces has been extensively studied in [14, 41, 45, 74]. Under CH it is shown in [14, 3.10 Theorem] that, for compact X , if $C_p(X)$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, X must be countable. The CH is removed in [41], where it is proved that, assuming $C_p(X)$ is a strongly dominated by a second countable space, if X is separable, scattered, second countable, compact or pseudocompact, then X is countable. Theorem 6.8 below extends this result to all Tychonoff spaces.

Lemma 6.7 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Lemma 3.4.5]). *Let X be an uncountable Lindelöf Σ -space. Assume $C_p(X)$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space M , and let $\{F_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ be an M -ordered compact covering of $C_p(X)$ that swallows the compact sets in $C_p(X)$. Then there exists a family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ of compact sets of \mathbb{R}^X such that $Q_K \subseteq Q_L$ if $K \subseteq L$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{Q}$ contains the linear subspace $\Sigma(X)$ of all countable supported functions of \mathbb{R}^X .*

Proof. If $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, let $a_K(x) = \inf \{g(x) : g \in F_K\}$ and $b_K(x) = \sup \{g(x) : g \in F_K\}$. Letting

$$Q_K = \prod_{x \in X} [a_K(x), b_K(x)],$$

the family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ consists of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^X and clearly verifies that $Q_K \subseteq Q_L$ if $K \subseteq L$. We claim that $\Sigma(X) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$.

Choose $f \in \Sigma(X)$ and denote by $A = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the countable support of f . By Theorem 6.5 we know that $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space, hence [64, Theorem 5.4] provides a retraction $r : X \rightarrow F$ such that $A \subseteq F$ and $|F| \leq \aleph_0$. If $F = \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, put $U_1 = F$ and $U_{n+1} = F \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the family $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of F -open sets and is point-finite in F , i. e., each $x \in F$ belongs at most to finitely-many sets U_n . Moreover $y_n \in U_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since F is a retract of X , it follows that the family $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $V_n := r^{-1}(U_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of open sets in X , is point-finite in X and verifies that $y_n \in V_n$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_i = y_{n_i}$ and we set $W_i = V_{n_i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the family $\{W_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consists of open sets in X , is point-finite in X and verifies that $x_i \in W_i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ choose $f_i \in C(X)$ with $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$ such that $f_i(x_i) = 1$ and $f_i(X \setminus W_i) = \{0\}$ and define $g_i = |f(x_i)| \cdot f_i$ and $h_i = -|f(x_i)| \cdot f_i$. As the family $\{W_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is point-finite, the set $P = \{g_i, h_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$, where here 0 stands for the identically null function on X , is compact in $C_p(X)$. Consequently, there exists some $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ such that $P \subseteq F_K$, which means that $g(x) \in [a_K(x), b_K(x)]$ for each $g \in P$. Since $f(x_i)$ coincides with $g_i(x_i)$ or with $h_i(x_i)$, clearly $f(x_i) \in [a_K(x_i), b_K(x_i)]$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, whereas if $x \notin A$ then $f(x) = 0 \in [a_K(x), b_K(x)]$ since $0 \in P \subseteq F_K$. Therefore $f \in Q_K$ and the proof is over. \square

Theorem 6.8 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Theorem 3.4]). $C_p(X)$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space if and only if X is countable.

Proof. Suppose that $C_p(X)$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space and let $\{F_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ be an M -ordered compact covering of $C_p(X)$ that swallows the compact sets in $C_p(X)$. Proceeding by contradiction, assume that X is uncountable. By [41, Theorem 3.10] there is no loss of generality if we assume that X is a Lindelöf Σ -space. So, according to Lemma 6.7, there exists a family $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^X such that $Q_K \subseteq Q_L$ if $K \subseteq L$ and $Y = \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$ contains the linear subspace $\Sigma(X)$ of countable supported functions of \mathbb{R}^X .

It is not hard to see that this implies that there exists a Lindelöf Σ -space Z such that $Y \subseteq Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$, so that $\Sigma(X) \subseteq Z$. But $\Sigma(X)$ is not Lindelöf Σ -framed in \mathbb{R}^X if X is uncountable [45, Proposition 3.1]. \square

In [45, Theorem 3.9] it is showed that, for compact X , if $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, then X is countable. In [74] the requirement of compactness of X is relaxed by the following result, which we state without proof.

Theorem 6.9 (Tkachuk, [74, 3.7 Theorem]). Let X be a Lindelöf Σ -space. If $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, then X is countable.

7. Some examples

Example 7.1. If Ω is a nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , both the space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ of test functions equipped with its usual inductive limit topology and the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ endowed with the Mackey* topology $\mu(\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$, which coincides with the strong topology $\beta(\mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$ (see [46, Chapter 4]), are analytic. The first statement is consequence of the fact that the inductive limit of a sequence of Fréchet-Montel spaces is analytic, the second follows from the fact that the strong dual of an inductive limit of a sequence of Fréchet-Montel locally convex spaces is also analytic (see [76, I.4.4.(21) and I.4.4.(23)]).

Example 7.2. The space $C_p(Z)$ with Z being the set of all weak P -points of \mathbb{N}^* . If X is a Tychonoff space, a point $x \in X$ is called a weak P -point of X if $x \notin \overline{A}$ for any countable set $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$. Every P -point of X is a weak P -point of X . The subspace Z of all weak P -points of the remainder $\mathbb{N}^* = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbb{N}$ of \mathbb{N} is dense in \mathbb{N}^* [53], so it is infinite. But the space $C_p(Z)$ is covered by a sequence of pseudocompact sets (i. e., $C_p(Z)$ is σ -pseudocompact) [2, 6.4 Example]. By Theorem 2.6, the space Z is pseudocompact (see [2, 6.3 Proposition] for a direct proof of this property) and each countable subset of Z is closed, discrete and C^* -embedded in Z . Note that $C_p(Z)$ is not σ -compact, otherwise Z would be finite by Velichko's theorem.

Example 7.3. The Sorgenfrey line \mathbb{S} is a (hereditarily) Lindelöf space which is not a Lindelöf Σ -space, since $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ is not Lindelöf. Hence Lemma 3.1 prevents the space $C_p(\mathbb{S})$ to have a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets.

Example 7.4. The space $C_p(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is not K -analytic-framed in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$. By Corollary 3.8 the space $C_p(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is not analytic and does not admit a resolution of pointwise bounded sets. Hence, $C_p(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$ is not K -analytic-framed in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ because of Theorem 3.3.

Example 7.5. *The spaces $C_k(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_k(\mathbb{Q})$.* Both spaces have a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets by virtue of Theorem 4.2. By Theorem 4.3 they also have a fundamental resolution of bounded sets, and according to Theorem 4.4 both spaces are analytic.

Example 7.6. *The spaces $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_p(\mathbb{Q})$.* Although both spaces have a resolution of compact sets, according to Theorem 3.15 they do not have a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets. The space $C_p(\mathbb{Q})$ has a fundamental resolution of pointwise bounded sets but, as follows from Theorem 3.16, such a resolution lacks in $C_p(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 7.7. Let \mathbb{N} be equipped with the discrete topology and choose $p \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Then $X := \mathbb{N} \cup \{p\}$ with the relative topology of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is a non discrete space with finite compact sets, hence hemicompact. So, $C_p(X)$ is analytic by Theorem 4.4, and $C_k(X)$ is a Fréchet space with a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets by Theorem 4.2.

Example 7.8. *The space ω_1 of countable ordinals with the order topology.* It is essentially well-known that if $\aleph_1 = \mathfrak{d}$ (the dominating cardinal) the space ω_1 has a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets in ω_1 . However ω_1 is not even a μ -space, since ω_1 is pseudocompact but not compact.

Example 7.9. *The space $C_p(\omega_1)$.* Clearly $C_p(\omega_1)$ is not analytic because of Theorem 3.7. Actually, $C_p(\omega_1)$ does not admit a resolution of compact sets, since every topological space with a resolution of compact sets has countable extent (closed discrete sets are countable) [49, Corollary 3.5] whereas the extent of $C_p(\omega_1)$ is uncountable. Consequently, $C_p(\omega_1)$ is not K -analytic although, as is well-known, it is a Lindelöf space. Note that ω_1 is pseudocompact, hence projectively σ -compact.

Example 7.10. *If $C_k(X)$ admits a resolution of convex compact sets that swallows the local null sequences, X need not be countable or discrete.* If X is an infinite σ -compact metric space, then $C_k(X)$ has a resolution $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ of compact sets that swallows the compact sets (hence the local null sequences) of $C_k(X)$ by virtue of Theorem 4.2. But, if one looks at the proof of this theorem, the sets A_α are absolutely convex. So, $C_k(\mathbb{R})$ has a resolution of absolutely convex compact sets that swallows the local null sequences.

Example 7.11. *A K -analytic not analytic C_p -space.* Let X be the Reznichenko compact space mentioned in [2, 7.14 Example]. This is a Talagrand compact space with a point p such that $X = \beta Y$ with $Y = X \setminus \{p\}$. Hence Y is a pseudocompact not realcompact space, so that $X = \nu Y$. Since $C_p(Y)$ is a continuous image of $C_p(X)$, the space $C_p(Y)$ is K -analytic. $C_p(Y)$ is not analytic by Theorem 3.7.

Example 7.12. *The space $C_p(L(\aleph_1))$, where $L(\aleph_1)$ is the Lindelöfication of the discrete space of cardinality \aleph_1 .* Since $L(\aleph_1)$ is a P -space, $C_p(L(\aleph_1))$ is Baire. So, by Theorem 3.13, $C_p(L(\aleph_1))$ lacks a resolution of pointwise bounded sets. As $L(\aleph_1)$ is a Lindelöf P -space, it is projectively σ -compact [3, Proposition 2.2]. Hence, the converse of Corollary 3.5 fails.

Example 7.13. *The space $C_p(L(\aleph_1), [0, 1])$.* Under CH the space $C_p(L(\aleph_1), [0, 1])$ has a compact resolution [71, 2.10 Example]. Since $C_p(L(\aleph_1), [0, 1])$ is countably compact but not compact, $C(L(\aleph_1), [0, 1])$ is not a μ -space, hence it is not K -analytic.

Example 7.14. *$C_p(X)$ need not be Lindelöf if $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space.* If X is a discrete space of cardinality \aleph_1 then $C_p(X, [0, 1]) = [0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ is compact, but $C_p(X) = \mathbb{R}^{\omega_1}$ is not Lindelöf. If both νX and $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ are Lindelöf Σ -spaces, then $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space (see [1, IV.9.17 Proposition] or [73, Problem 217]).

Example 7.15. *Neither $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ nor $C_p([0, 1])$ admits a closure-preserving covering by functionally bounded subspaces.* Otherwise, since both spaces are separable and the closure of a functionally bounded set is also functionally bounded, both would admit a countable covering by (closed) functionally bounded subspaces. So, Theorem 2.6 would require \mathbb{N} to be pseudocompact, which is not, and every countable set in $[0, 1]$ should be closed, which is neither the case since $[0, 1]$ is uncountable and separable.

Example 7.16 (Okunev, [59, Example 2.7]). *There exists a σ -compact space X such that $C_p(X)$ is not Lindelöf. If Y is the subspace of $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ consisting of all function of finite support and $g \in [0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ is the constant function $g(t) = 1$ for every $t \in \omega_1$, define $X = Y \cup \{g\}$. Then $C_p(X)$ is such space. Note that $g \in \overline{Y}$ but no countable subset of Y contains g in its closure, so that X has uncountable tightness $t(X)$. Hence $C_p(X)$ is not a Lindelöf space because of Asanov’s theorem [1, I.4.1 Theorem]. Clearly $C_p(X)$ has a bounded resolution. So, according to Theorem 3.3, $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X . But $C_p(X)$ is not K -analytic because it is not Lindelöf.*

Example 7.17 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [43, Example 3.8]). *There exists a σ -compact space X such that $C_p(X)$ is not Lindelöf but it contains a dense σ -compact subspace M . Let Z be the subspace of $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1}$ consisting of those functions of finite support and define the function g as in the previous example. The space $X = Z \cup \{g\}$ is as promised. For each $f \in C(X)$ put $M_f := M \cup \{f\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{M_f : f \in C(X)\}$ is a closure-preserving cover of $C_p(X)$ by σ -compact subspaces. This shows that the closedness condition of the sets of the closure-preserving covering in the statement of Theorem 5.1 cannot be dropped.*

8. Further research

If $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is the diagonal of $X \times X$, much research has been developed on the (strong) domination of the space $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ by a second countable space. We provide a brief account of this investigation, but first let us point out a couple of facts.

In first place, according to [18, Excercise 4.2.B] a compact space X is metrizable if and only if Δ is a G_δ -set in $X \times X$. On the other hand, for compact X , if $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space, clearly $C_p(X)$ is also a Lindelöf Σ -space. Hence Baturov’s theorem [1, III.6.1 Theorem] shows that for every subspace Y of $C_p(C_p(X))$ the extent $ext(Y)$ of Y equals the Lindelöf number $l(Y)$ of Y . As X^n is embedded in $L_p(X)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as a closed subspace [72, Problem 337], so in $C_p(C_p(X))$, clearly $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is embedded in $C_p(C_p(X))$. Consequently, one gets $l(X^2 \setminus \Delta) = ext(X^2 \setminus \Delta)$. On the other hand, since each space which is dominated by a second countable space has countable extent [14, 2.1 (h) Theorem], if $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a second countable space, it follows that $l(X^2 \setminus \Delta) = \aleph_0$, i. e., $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is a Lindelöf space. This implies that Δ is a G_δ -set in $X \times X$, so Δ must be metrizable.

The first result on this subject is [13, Theorem 1], whence it follows that, for compact X , if the space $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is strongly $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -dominated (equivalently, strongly dominated by a Polish space) then X is metrizable. This extends to the following.

Theorem 8.1 (Cascales-Muñoz-Orihuela [12, Corollary 22]). *For a compact space X the following statements are equivalent.*

1. X is metrizable.
2. $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is strongly dominated by a Polish space.
3. $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is strongly dominated by a separable metric space.

For strong domination of a Tychonoff space by a second countable space, one has

Theorem 8.2 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Corollary 3.6]). *If $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, then X is cosmic.*

Since each compact cosmic space is metrizable, one gets again

Corollary 8.3 (Cascales-Orihuela-Tkachuk, [14, 3.11 Theorem]). *A compact space X is metrizable if and only if $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space.*

In [74, Example 4.6] it is shown that under MA the space $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ with X non-metrizable, first countable, compact space, is strongly dominated by a countable space (with a unique non-isolated point). So, under MA, for compact X strong domination of $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ by a countable space does not imply the metrizability of X .

In [16] it is shown that under CH a compact space X is metrizable whenever $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a Polish space. This result was extended to ZFC in [17] as follows.

Theorem 8.4 (Dow-Hart, [17, Theorem 8]). *A compact space X is metrizable if and only if $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a Polish space.*

Under CH one may change the Polish space domination of the previous theorem into second countable domination.

Theorem 8.5 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [44, 3.3 Corollary]). *Under CH a compact space X is metrizable if and only if $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a second countable space.*

Recently, the following ZFC result has been published.

Theorem 8.6 (Feng, [19, Theorem 5.3]). *Let X be a compact space. If $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by the space \mathbb{Q} , then X is metrizable.*

Since [44], when $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a space M , it is usual to say that X has an M -diagonal. With this new terminology and since the space \mathbb{P} of irrationals is homeomorphic to the Polish space $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, Theorems 8.4 and 8.6 can be stated as follows.

Theorem 8.7. *Let X be a compact space X . If X has either a \mathbb{P} -diagonal or a \mathbb{Q} -diagonal, then X is metrizable.*

The following result is a proper extension of Theorem 8.1.

Theorem 8.8 (Guerrero, [42, Theorem 2.3]). *If M is a separable metric space, every compact space with an M -diagonal is metrizable.*

In [44, Theorem 3.4 (a)] it is shown that under CH if a Tychonoff space X has a second countable diagonal, then X is cosmic. The following result show that the preceding statement also holds in ZFC.

Theorem 8.9 (Guerrero, [42, Corollary 2.4]). *For a Tychonoff space X , if $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a second countable space, then X is cosmic.*

Since, as mentioned earlier, each compact cosmic space is metrizable, this solves in the positive the following question originally posed by Cascales, Orihuela and Tkachuk in [14].

Problem 8.10 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [44, Question 4.1]). *Let X be a compact space. If $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a second countable space, is it true in ZFC that X metrizable?*

It is proved in [70] that if $C_p(X)$ is covered by a countable family of countably tight sets, then $C_p(X)$ has countable tightness. In [78] is shown that a compact space with a closure-preserving covering by finite sets must be Eberlein compact. Related research about domination and strong domination of a space X by a locally compact second countable space M , by an ω -hyperbounded space M (i. e., an space in which the closure of each σ -compact subspace is compact) or by a κ -hemicompact space M (for a given infinite cardinal κ) can be found in [48].

On the other hand, the bidual $M(X)$ of $C_p(X)$ equipped with the relative topology of \mathbb{R}^X has recently deserved some attention in relation to the distinguished property of $C_p(X)$ (see [33] and references therein), after the discovering that not always $M(X)$ coincides with \mathbb{R}^X (in fact, it can be shown that $M(X) = \mathbb{R}^X$ exactly when $C_p(X)$ is distinguished, which is not always the case). Let us mention the following result (from which Theorem 3.16 is a straightforward consequence).

Theorem 8.11 (Ferrando, [25, Theorem 28]). *The bidual of $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is countable.*

9. Some open problems

Theorem 2.4 asserts that if $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of relatively sequentially complete sets, then X is a P -space.

Problem 9.1. *If $C_k(X)$ is covered by a sequence of weakly relatively sequentially complete sets, is X a P -space?*

Theorem 2.5 states that if $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets, then X is finite.

Problem 9.2. *If $C_k(X)$ is covered by a sequence of bounded weakly relatively sequentially complete sets, must X be finite?*

By Corollary 3.5 if $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets, then X is projectively σ -compact. On the other hand, according to [26, Theorem 3.1] the space X is σ -compact if and only if there exists a metrizable locally convex topology τ on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \tau \leq \tau_k$. If τ is a metrizable locally convex topology on $C(X)$ stronger than τ_p certainly $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets, but if X is a μ -space the τ_k -closures of a fundamental system of τ -neighborhoods of the origin in $C(X)$ define a metrizable locally convex topology η on $C(X)$ coarser than τ_k because of the Nachbin-Shirota theorem. In other words, if X is a μ -space and there is a metrizable locally convex topology τ on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \tau$, there exists a metrizable locally convex topology η on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \eta \leq \tau_k$. So, the following makes sense.

Problem 9.3 (Kačkol). *Assume that X is a μ -space. If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets, is there always a stronger metrizable locally convex topology τ on $C(X)$ such that $\tau_p \leq \tau \leq \tau_k$?*

Observe that a positive answer to this question, also gives a positive answer to the following question.

Problem 9.4. *Assume that X is a μ -space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a resolution consisting of pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is σ -compact? Equivalently, is it true that $C_p(X)$ is K -analytic-framed in \mathbb{R}^X if and only if X is σ -compact?*

According to Theorem 3.16, the space $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the pointwise bounded sets if and only if X is countable.

Problem 9.5. *If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the compact sets, is X countable?*

If X is first countable, Theorem 3.21 asserts that $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the Cauchy sequences if and only if X is countable.

Problem 9.6. *If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the Cauchy sequences, is X countable?*

In Theorem 2.5 it is shown that $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets if and only if X is finite.

Problem 9.7. *If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets that swallows the pointwise bounded relatively sequentially complete sets, is X countable?*

Theorem 2.6 shows that if $C_p(X)$ is covered by a sequence of functionally bounded sets, X is pseudo-compact and each countable set in X is closed, discrete and C^* -embedded.

Problem 9.8. *If $C_p(X)$ has a resolution of functionally bounded sets that swallows the functionally bounded sets, is X countable and discrete?*

If X is metrizable, according to Theorem 4.2 the space $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets if and only if X is σ -compact.

Problem 9.9. Characterize in terms of the topology of X those spaces $C_k(X)$ which admit a resolution of compact sets that swallows the compact sets.

If X is metrizable, Theorem 4.3 shows that $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of bounded sets that swallows the bounded sets if and only if X is σ -compact, and in [23, Theorem 8] is proved that $C_k(X)$ has a resolution of bounded sets that swallows the bounded sets if and only if X is a so-called *cn-space* [23, p. 3].

Problem 9.10. Is there a nicer characterization in terms of the topology of X of those spaces $C_k(X)$ which admit a resolution of bounded sets that swallows the bounded sets.

By Theorem 6.9, if X is a Lindelöf Σ -space and $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, then X is countable. So $C_p(X)$ is metrizable and separable, i. e., $C_p(X)$ is cosmic. Consequently $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space.

Problem 9.11 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Question 4.1]). Suppose that $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is dominated by a second countable space. Must $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ be a Lindelöf Σ -space?

Problem 9.12 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Question 4.2]). If X is metrizable and $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is dominated by a second countable space, must $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ be a Lindelöf Σ -space?

Problem 9.13 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [45, Question 4.3]). If X is Lindelöf and $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ is dominated by a second countable space, must $C_p(X, [0, 1])$ be a Lindelöf Σ -space?

Recalling again Theorem 3.16, the following natural question makes sense.

Problem 9.14. Let M be a second countable space. If $C_p(X)$ is covered by an M -ordered family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_K : K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}$ consisting of pointwise bounded sets that swallows the pointwise bounded sets in $C_p(X)$, must X be countable?

Problem 9.15 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [44, 4.4 Question]). If X is a compact space with a σ -compact diagonal, is X metrizable?

Problem 9.16 (Guerrero-Tkachuk, [44, 4.5 Question]). If X is a compact space with a Lindelöf Σ diagonal, is X metrizable?

Problem 9.17 (Tkachuk, [74, 5.6 Question]). Is it true in ZFC that for any compact first countable space X there exists a countable space M that strongly dominates $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$?

Problem 9.18 (Guerrero, [42, Problem 4.1]). Let X be a compact space. If $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ is dominated by a metric space, is X metrizable?

In [25, Corollary 22] it is shown that for X realcompact, the weak* bidual $M(X)$ of $C_p(X)$ is a Lindelöf Σ -space if and only if X is countable.

Problem 9.19. May we drop the condition that X is realcompact in the previous statement?

References

- [1] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, Topological function spaces, number 78 in *Mathematics and its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, Boston, London (1992).
- [2] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, C_p -Theory, in *Recent Progress in General Topology* (M. Hušek and J. Van Mill, Eds.). pp. 1–56, Elsevier (1992).
- [3] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, Projective σ -compactness, ω_1 -caliber, and C_p -spaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 104 (2000) 13–26.
- [4] A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, J. Calbrix, A characterization of σ -compactness of a cosmic space X by means of subspaces of R^X , *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 127 (1999) 2497–2504.
- [5] V. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, M. Choban, The extension property of Tychonoff spaces and generalized retracts, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie Bulgare des Sciences*, 41 (1988), 5–7.
- [6] V. I. Bogachev, O. G. Smolyanov, *Topological Vector Spaces and Their Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer (2017).
- [7] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of Mathematics. General Topology, Part I, Chapters 1-4*, Hermann, Paris (1966).
- [8] H. Buchwalter, J. Schmets, Sur quelques propriétés de l'espace $C_s(T)$, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 52 (1973) 337–352.
- [9] J. Calbrix, Espaces K_σ et espaces des applications continues, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 113 (1985) 183–203.
- [10] M. A. Canela, Operator and Function Spaces which are K -analytic, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 42 (1982) 203–218.
- [11] B. Cascales, On K -analytic locally convex spaces, *Archiv der Mathematik*, 49 (1987) 232–244.
- [12] B. Cascales, M. Muñoz, J. Orihuela, The number of K -determination of topological spaces, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 106 (2012) 341–357.
- [13] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, On compactness in locally convex spaces. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 195 (1987) 365–381.
- [14] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, V. V. Tkachuk, Domination by second countable spaces and Lindelöf Σ -property, *Topology and its Applications*, 158 (2011) 204–214.
- [15] J. P. R. Christensen, *Topology and Borel Structure*, number 10 in *Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1974).
- [16] A. Dow, D. Guerrero Sánchez, Domination conditions under which a compact space is metrizable, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 91 (2015) 502–507.
- [17] A. Dow, K. P. Hart, Compact spaces with a \mathbb{P} -diagonal. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 27 (2016) 721–726.
- [18] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [19] Z. Feng, Spaces with a Q -diagonal, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 245 (2019) 305–320.
- [20] J. C. Ferrando, Two new properties of the space $C_p(X)$, *Topology and its Applications*, 154 (2007) 1799–1803.
- [21] J. C. Ferrando, On uniform spaces with a small base and K -analytic $C_c(X)$ spaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 193 (2015) 77–83.
- [22] J. C. Ferrando, Some characterizations for νX to be Lindelöf Σ or K -analytic in terms of $C_p(X)$, *Topology and its Applications*, 156 (2009) 823–830.
- [23] J. C. Ferrando, A characterization of the existence of a fundamental bounded resolution for the space $C_c(X)$ in terms of X , *Journal of Function Spaces*, (2018) Art. ID 8219246, 5 pp.
- [24] J. C. Ferrando, Descriptive topology for analysts, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 114 (2020) Paper No. 107, 34 pp.
- [25] J. C. Ferrando, Existence of nice resolutions in $C_p(X)$ and its bidual often implies metrizability of $C_p(X)$, *Topology and its Applications*, 282 (2020) 107322, 12pp.
- [26] J. C. Ferrando, S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, Metrizable-like locally convex topologies on $C(X)$, *Topology and its Applications*, 230 (2017) 105–113.
- [27] J. C. Ferrando, S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, Functional characterizations of countable Tychonoff spaces, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 26 (2019) 753–760.
- [28] J. C. Ferrando, S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, Bounded sets structure of $C_p(X)$ and quasi-(DF)-spaces, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 292 (2019) 2602–2618.
- [29] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, A note on spaces $C_p(X)$ K -analytic-framed in R^X , *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 78 (2008) 141–146.
- [30] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, Weak compactness and metrizability of Mackey*-bounded sets in Fréchet spaces, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 157 (2019) 254–268.
- [31] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, M. López-Pellicer, A revised closed graph theorem for quasi-Suslin spaces, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 59 (2009) 1115–1122.
- [32] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, M. López-Pellicer, Spaces $C(X)$ with ordered bases, *Topology and its Applications*, 208 (2016) 30–39.
- [33] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, Leiderman, A., S. A. Saxon, Distinguished $C_p(X)$ spaces, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas* 115, (2021) Paper No. 27, 18 pp.
- [34] J. C. Ferrando, J. Kąkol, S. A. Saxon, Characterizing P -spaces in terms of $C_p(X)$, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 22 (2015) 905–915.
- [35] J. C. Ferrando, Moll, S., On quasi-Suslin $C_c(X)$ spaces, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 118 (2008) 149–154.
- [36] K. Floret, Weakly compact sets, number 801 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1980).
- [37] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, Free locally convex spaces with a small base. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 111 (2017) 575–585.
- [38] Z. M. Gao, \aleph -space is invariant under perfect mappings, *Questions and Answers in General Topology*, 5 (1987) 271–279.
- [39] L. Gillman, L. M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton (1960).
- [40] D. Guerrero Sánchez, Closure-preserving covers in function spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 51 (2010) 693–703.

- [41] D. Guerrero Sánchez, Domination by metric spaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 160 (2013) 1652–1658.
- [42] D. Guerrero Sánchez, Spaces with an M -diagonal, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 114 (2020) Paper no. 16, 9 pp.
- [43] D. Guerrero Sánchez, V. V. Tkachuk, Dense subspaces vs closure-preserving covers of functions spaces, *Topology Proceedings*, 39 (2012) 219–234.
- [44] D. Guerrero Sánchez, V. V. Tkachuk, Domination by a Polish space of the complement of the diagonal of X implies that X is cosmic, *Topology and its Applications*, 212 (2016) 81–89.
- [45] D. Guerrero Sánchez, V. V. Tkachuk, If $C_p(X)$ is strongly dominated by a second countable space, then X is countable. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 454 (2017) 533–541.
- [46] J. Horváth, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York (2012).
- [47] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart (1981).
- [48] D. Jardón, Domination by countably compact spaces and hyperspaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 253 (2019) 38–47.
- [49] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, M. López-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York (2011).
- [50] J. Kąkol, M. López-Pellicer, Compact coverings for Baire locally convex spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 332 (2007) 965–974.
- [51] J. L. Kelly, *General Topology*, Dover Publications, Mineola, New York (2017).
- [52] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1983).
- [53] K. Kunen, Weak P -points in N^* , in *The Mathematical Legacy of Eduard Čech* (M. Katětov and P. Simon, Editors), pp. 100–108, Birkhäuser, Basel (1993).
- [54] R. A. McCoy, I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, number 1315 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988).
- [55] S. Mercourakis, E. Stamati, A new class of weakly K -analytic Banach spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 47 (2006) 291–312.
- [56] E. Michael, \aleph_0 -spaces, *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 15 (1966) 983–1002.
- [57] K. Nagami, Σ -spaces, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 61 (1969) 169–192.
- [58] N. Noble, The density character of function spaces. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 42 (1974) 228–233.
- [59] O. G. Okunev, On Lindelöf Σ -spaces of continuous functions in the pointwise topology, *Topology and its Applications*, 49 (1993) 149–166.
- [60] O. G. Okunev, On analyticity in cosmic spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 34 (1993) 185–190.
- [61] N. Onuchic, The Nachbin uniform structure, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 90 (1959) 369–382.
- [62] J. Orihuela, Pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 36 (1987) 143–152.
- [63] C. A. Rogers, J. E. Jayne (Eds.), *Analytic Sets*, Academic Press (1980).
- [64] R. Rojas-Hernández, V. V. Tkachuk, A monotone version of Sokolov property and monotone retractibility in function spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 412 (2014) 125–137.
- [65] M. Sakai, Function spaces with a countable cs^* -network at a point, *Topology and its Applications*, 156 (2008) 117–123.
- [66] M. Talagrand, Sur la structure borélienne des espaces analytiques, *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 101 (1977) 415–422.
- [67] M. Talagrand, Espaces de Banach faiblement K -analytiques, *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 110 (1979) 407–438.
- [68] T. Terada, Y. Yajima, Closure-preserving covers by nowhere dense sets, *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematics*, 42 (1994) 21–27.
- [69] V. V. Tkachuk, The space $C_p(X)$: decomposition into a countable union of bounded subspaces and completeness properties, *Topology and its Applications*, 22 (1986) 241–253.
- [70] V. V. Tkachuk, The decomposition of $C_p(X)$ into a countable union of subspaces with “good” properties implies “good” properties of $C_p(X)$, *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society*, 55 (1994) 239–248.
- [71] V. V. Tkachuk, A space $C_p(X)$ is dominated by irrationals if and only if it is K -analytic, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 107 (2005) 253–265.
- [72] V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p -theorem Problem Book. Topological and Function Spaces*, Springer (2011).
- [73] V. V. Tkachuk, *A C_p -theorem Problem Book. Compactness in Function Spaces*, Springer (2015).
- [74] V. V. Tkachuk, Strong domination by countable and second countable spaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 228 (2017) 318–326.
- [75] V. V. Tkachuk, D. B. Shakhmatov, When is the space $C_p(X)$ σ -countably compact?, *Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta. Seriya I. Matematika, Mekhanika*, 41 (1986) 70–72.
- [76] M. Valdivia, *Topics in locally convex spaces*, number 67 in *Notas de Matemática*, North Holland. Amsterdam, New York, Oxford (1982).
- [77] M. Valdivia, Quasi- LB -spaces, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 35 (1987) 149–168.
- [78] N. N. Yakovlev, On bicomacta in Σ -products and related spaces, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 21 (1980) 263–283.