

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/44822>

This paper must be cited as:

Jimenez, N.; Picó Vila, R.; Camarena Femenia, F.; Redondo, J.; Roig, B. (2012). Ultrasonic evaluation of the hydration degree of the orange peel. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 67:130-137. doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2011.12.020.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2011.12.020>

Copyright Elsevier

35 **1. Introduction**

36 In the context of modern agriculture and its competitive markets there is growing
37 interest regarding the need to evaluate the quality of fruit and vegetables. Quality is a
38 subjective term consisting of many properties or characteristics such as sensory
39 properties (appearance, texture, taste, or smell), mechanical and functional properties,
40 and nutritive and chemical constituents, and the absence of defects. Mindful of this,
41 instrumentation and methods to measure quality-related attributes have been developed
42 over the last 80 years, most recently in an attempt to establish non-destructive and real-
43 time systems. In order to evaluate texture attributes, mechanical properties are usually
44 measured (Abbot, 1999). The traditional methods for evaluating these properties (such
45 as Young's Modulus, and also the rupture force and bioyield force) are puncture or
46 compression tests made at relatively low probe speeds ($\ll 1$ m/s), typical of such
47 instruments as the Magness–Taylor fruit firmness tester and the electronic universal
48 (force/deformation) testing instruments, which are quasi-static. Acoustic methods are
49 also used for quality evaluation (accounting for approximately 20% of the
50 nondestructive techniques (Butz, et al., 2005). Ultrasonic devices and measuring
51 techniques have been developed over the last two decades to evaluate mechanical
52 properties of tissue, allowing nondestructive monitoring of some physiochemical,
53 biochemical, and mechanical changes that occur in fruit tissues during various stages of
54 their preharvest and postharvest existence. Some examples of these can be found in
55 Mizrach, 2000; Flitsanov et al., 2000; Verlinden et al., 2004; Bechar et al., 2005 and
56 Gaete-Garreton et al., 2005. A review of different ultrasonic techniques used for
57 determining the material properties of fresh fruit and vegetable tissues during the last
58 two decades can be found in Mizrach, 2008. Some of these techniques have been used
59 in the past to find correlations between ultrasonic velocity and absorption through the
60 orange peel and turgidity and the hydration state of oranges (Camarena and Martínez-
61 Mora, 2006; Camarena et al., 2007). These techniques can also be used to evaluate the
62 elastic parameters of the orange peel and consequently the hydration degree, as will be
63 demonstrated in this work.

64 Knowledge of postharvest changes in physico-mechanical properties (elastic
65 parameters) of the orange peel and the fruit under ambient and refrigerated storage
66 conditions is important to help to determine the appropriate handling, packaging,
67 storage and transportation systems (Singh and Sreenivasula, 2006).

68

69 The orange, just as most of other juicy fruits such as the tomato, cherry and various
70 berries, exhibits viscoelastic behavior. This signifies that the elastic parameters depend
71 in a non-linear fashion not only on the magnitude of force and deformation, but also the
72 rate of application of force (Abbot, 1999). Elastic parameters obtained with quasi-static
73 techniques may differ from those obtained using ultrasonic techniques. Evidence can be
74 seen in Table 2, which displays a summary of the elastic parameters measured by means
75 of quasi-static procedures for different fruits, the bulk and shear rate calculated from
76 these parameters, and the speed evaluated from ultrasonic experiments. These
77 experiments can be destructive, if inner parts of the fruit are directly measured, or non
78 destructive, if the ultrasonic wave is excited and detected on the surface of the fruit. The
79 speed measurements are always associated with shear or surface waves, as it will be
80 demonstrated in this work. There is a clear disagreement between the calculated and
81 measured speeds for all the fruits, and it is one of the objectives of this work to clarify
82 this disagreement.

83 In this work an ultrasonic nondestructive method is proposed to evaluate the elastic
84 parameters of the orange fruit. Two sets of oranges, Navelina and Ortanique, have been
85 monitored ultrasonically during their entire dehydration process (two months). From an
86 elastodynamic point of view, the entire orange has been decomposed into three
87 spherical shells corresponding anatomically to the flavedo (fruit peel), albedo, and inner
88 carpel tissue. A linear elastic model has been proposed and a finite difference time-
89 Domain (FDTD) computational method has been implemented to simulate the
90 propagation of the ultrasonic waves through these three layers. This model allowed us
91 to clarify the nature of the different waves travelling through the orange, to demonstrate
92 that the waves measured by our device are surface waves, and to show that quasi-static
93 parameters are incoherent with the ultrasonic framework.

94

95 **2. Experimental set-up and measurement procedure**

96 An experimental transmitter-receiver device was designed to excite and detect
97 acoustic waves on the surface of the orange (Figure 1). A harmonic wave function
98 generator (Agilent model 33220A, Agilent Technologies Canada, Mississauga, ON,
99 USA) and a power amplifier (ENI model 240L, ENI, Rochester, NY, USA) were used
100 to excite a 40 kHz ultrasonic sandwich transducer built in our laboratory. An identical
101 transducer was used for reception and an oscilloscope for data acquisition and analysis.

102 Two aluminum ultrasonic energy concentrators were used to match the surface
103 diameters of the transducers, i.e., 14 mm, to the desired area of contact with the fruit, a
104 diameter of 2.1 mm, using conical reduction and a flat tip shape.

105 The transducers were mounted on a goniometer in order to change the angles between
106 their axes, thereby changing the gap between the transducer tips (between 2 and 10 mm)
107 while permitting perpendicular contact between the concentrators and the orange peel.
108 A system of springs at the bottom of the transducers controlled the contact force applied
109 to the fruit peel, which was maintained constant at 2 N. This particular force was chosen
110 in trial and error tests as a compromise between sufficient contact force while
111 preventing tip penetration into the fruit tissue.

112 Emitted waves penetrated the peel and propagated through the adjacent tissue along
113 the gap between the probe tips. Wave speed was calculated by measuring the time of
114 transmission at different gaps (2, 4, 6 and 8 mm) and fitting a line. The attenuation
115 coefficient was calculated by looking at the exponential decay of the received wave
116 amplitude at the same gap distances (Krautkamer and Krautkamer, 1990).

117 On 30 January 2010, two batches of oranges were harvested from the same field (La
118 Safor, Valencia, Spain): a set of seven Navelina sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*, L.
119 Osbeck) with a similar size (mean diameter 7.1 cm and S.D. 0.3 cm), weight (mean
120 weight 191 g and S.D. 26 g) and maturity, and a set of seven Ortanique oranges, a
121 hybrid of the tangerine and sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* x *Citrus reticulata*) also of a
122 similar size (mean diameter 6.7 cm and S.D. 0.2 cm), weight (mean weight 130 g and
123 S.D. 13 g) and maturity. No specific chemical processing was applied to the fruit and it
124 was stored under ambient conditions (20-23°C and 49-53% relative humidity).

125 The seven Navelina and the seven Ortanique oranges were monitored for their
126 ultrasonic properties and degree of dehydration over 65 d. The extent of dehydration
127 was evaluated from the weight loss, as explained in Camarena and Martínez-Mora,
128 2006 and Camarena et al., 2007.

129

130 **3. Numerical simulation**

131 With the aim of understanding the nature of the wave detected by the ultrasonic
132 experimental device, an FDTD simulation was implemented. An inverse method to
133 obtain the elastic parameters from the speed measurements was used. Thus, the wave
134 propagation speed was experimentally measured in the fruit surface and a
135 compressional sound speed similar to water has been assumed. From these sound speed

136 values the elastic properties of the orange peel has been calculated and finally
137 simulation was performed with these parameters to check that the received waves in the
138 simulation are the same as the measured ones. The agreement was checked by studying
139 the polarization of the waves and the sound speed in the media detected by the
140 simulated ultrasonic device. This procedure has been widely used in other fields such as
141 geophysics, where it is used to obtain the mechanical characteristics of the soil (Banab
142 and Motazedian, 2010) and in biomechanics where it helps to characterize biological
143 tissue such as bones (Bossy et al., 2004).

144 The proposed simulation considers a physical model of the orange (a linear elastic
145 solid, which is a good approximation for the small perturbations induced by ultrasound)
146 and a set of boundary conditions (three spherical layers with different levels of
147 thickness according to the characteristics of the orange), and also assumes values of the
148 elastic parameters for each of these layers (as shown in Table 3). From an
149 elastodynamic perspective, the orange tissue can be divided into three different layers.
150 Firstly, the outermost part of the fruit peel is the orange pigmented shell known as the
151 flavedo, where, embedded in the pits, are the many volatile oil glands. On the reverse
152 side of the flavedo, there is a porous white layer, the albedo. Finally, the waterlike tissue
153 core of the orange is composed of fluid-filled vesicles called carpels which contain the
154 orange juice and seeds (Agusti, 2000).

155 Due to the elevated water content in the fruit's peel (about 75% of the peel mass is
156 water, 296% dry basis (Singh and Sreenivasula, 2006)) it is reasonable to believe that a
157 linear acoustic fluid model for wave propagation would be appropriate to study the
158 elastic parameters of the orange peel. This model is able to predict the directivity pattern
159 of the sound pressure field (Mizrach et al., 2009). However, as will be observed from
160 our study, longitudinal acoustic waves are incapable of explaining the low values
161 obtained for the wave velocity in the experiments. Transverse wave propagation should
162 be taken into account. As such, it is necessary to incorporate the shear elasticity within
163 the model. In order to do so, the well-established model for a linear elastic solid is
164 proposed; that is a solid for which the strain is fully determined by the stress.
165 Additionally, as the cell structure of the orange is very small in comparison with the
166 typical wavelength of ultrasound on these media (5mm for shear waves and 3.8 cm for
167 longitudinal waves at 40 kHz), it can be assumed that the tissue is homogeneous and
168 can be fully described by its linear elastic properties.

169 The main equations of the model can be deduced from Newton's second law and
 170 Hooke's law (Auld, 1973). In velocity-stress lossless formulation this can be written as:

$$171 \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{I}}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \mu(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \nabla) \quad (1)$$

$$172 \quad \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad (2)$$

173 where $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the stress tensor, and \mathbf{v} is the particle displacement velocity vector. This
 174 model accounts for the propagation of volumetric waves (longitudinal and transverse) as
 175 well as surface acoustic waves (Rayleigh, 1885).

176 Due to the spherical shape of the orange, the most straightforward way to solve the
 177 problem is to express Eqs. (1-2) in the spherical coordinate system (r, θ, φ) , where r is
 178 the radial distance, θ is the azimuth and φ the elevation angle. For the i -th component
 179 $i=(r, \theta, \varphi)$ the particle velocity v_i is:

$$180 \quad \rho \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{2\tau_{rr} - \tau_{\theta\theta} - \tau_{\phi\phi} + \tau_{r\theta} \cot \theta}{r}$$

$$181 \quad \rho \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{3\tau_{r\theta} + (\tau_{\theta\theta} - \tau_{\phi\phi}) \cot \theta}{r} \quad (3)$$

$$182 \quad \rho \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \tau_{\phi\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial \tau_{r\phi}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\phi}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{3\tau_{r\phi} + 2\tau_{\theta\phi} \cot \theta}{r}$$

183 And for the normal stress τ_{ii} ;

$$184 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial t} + \eta_p \tau_{rr} = \lambda \left(\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{2}{r} v_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right) + 2\mu \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r}$$

$$185 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\theta}}{\partial t} + \eta_p \tau_{\theta\theta} = \lambda \left(\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{2}{r} v_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right) + 2\mu \left(\frac{v_r}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$186 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{\phi\phi}}{\partial t} + \eta_p \tau_{\phi\phi} = \lambda \left(\frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{2}{r} v_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right) + 2\mu \left(\frac{v_r}{r} + \frac{\cot \theta}{r} v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

187 Finally for the $i=(r,\theta,\varphi)$, $j=(r,\theta,\varphi)$ and $i \neq j$ the shear stress τ_{ij} components can be
 188 expressed as:

$$189 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial t} + \eta_s \tau_{r\theta} = \mu \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v_\theta}{r} + \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} \right)$$

$$190 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{r\phi}}{\partial t} + \eta_s \tau_{r\phi} = \mu \left(\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{v_\phi}{r} + \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial r} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$191 \quad \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\phi}}{\partial t} + \eta_s \tau_{\theta\phi} = \mu \left(\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \phi} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\phi}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\cot \theta}{r} v_\phi \right)$$

192 η_P , η_S are the resistance coefficients related to the attenuation coefficient of the
 193 longitudinal and shear waves respectively (Hosokawa, 2008). The singularity at $r=0$ and
 194 $\theta=0$ is taken into account in the definition of the numerical scheme by excluding the
 195 center of the sphere and the plane $\theta=0$ from the computational domain.

196 An explicit finite difference time domain method (FDTD) was used to simulate the
 197 elastodynamic behavior of the orange fruit. Thus, the linear solid equations are
 198 discretized using second order central difference approximations for the space and time
 199 partial derivatives and solved by a *leap-frog* scheme (Schröder et al., 2002; Chen and
 200 Chew, 1998; Chew and Liu, 1996). This leads to a spatially staggered discretization of
 201 the velocity, the normal and shear stress fields, and a temporal staggered discretization
 202 of the particle velocity vector and stress tensor.

203 The numerical model for the orange is defined by a domain divided into three
 204 concentric spherical shells. The surrounding outer layer of the orange domain is air. The
 205 first layer is the fruit's external orange shell, the flavedo (2 mm thick with a 50 mm
 206 curvature radius), next is the inner layer - the albedo (3 mm thick with a 48 mm
 207 curvature radius) and finally, the inner core (45 mm of curvature radius). The
 208 mechanical features of the materials selected for the simulation are listed in Table 3 and
 209 their use is justified in the discussion section of this paper.

210 The unit cell of the spherical mesh consists of a pyramidal frustum element of
 211 appropriate length to limit the numerical dispersion of the computational method. To
 212 ensure this, the number of elements per wavelength (N_λ) must be higher than 10 for all
 213 elastic waves. Therefore, the length of the unit cell is chosen according to equation (6):
 214 the dispersion is determined by the minimal elastic wave speed and the maximum
 215 frequency of the signal in all subdomains:

$$216 \quad N_\lambda = \frac{c_{\min} \sqrt{(\Delta r)^{-2} + (r_{\max} \Delta \theta \sin \Delta \phi)^{-2} + (r_{\max} \Delta \phi)^{-2}}}{f_{\max}} \geq 10 \quad (6)$$

217 Thus, the highest element's diagonal is 0.302 nm, which leads to 10.8 and 142.1
 218 elements per wavelength for transversal waves and for longitudinal waves respectively
 219 at a frequency of 40 kHz in the peel layer. The temporal step (Δt) of the algorithm was
 220 selected to preserve a maximum Courant number of 0.9 over all subdomains, and to
 221 ensure that the stability conditions at media interface are satisfied (Hosokawa, 2008).
 222 According to the rectangular coordinates, the CFL stability condition for the spherical
 223 FDTD scheme is governed by the highest elastic wave speed (c_{\max}) and the smallest
 224 element's diagonal over all subdomains:

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{c_{\max} \sqrt{(\Delta r)^{-2} + (r_{\min} \Delta \theta \sin \Delta \phi)^{-2} + (r_{\min} \Delta \phi)^{-2}}} \quad (7)$$

Note that these relations (6, 7) are empirical expression based on our numerical experiments, like the stability conditions in (Chen and Chew, 1998) for cylindrical coordinates. In order to reduce the computational cost of the numerical scheme, only a sector of the total orange domain has been simulated, and a Perfect Matched Layer (PML) was used to absorb reflections at the boundaries. The PML implementation was based on the time-dependent form of the complex coordinate stretching formulation (Chew and Liu, 1996), with a quadratic profile and the PML scaling and attenuation coefficients values of $\alpha_{\max} = N_{\lambda}/2$ and $\Omega_{\max} = \alpha_{\max} 2\pi f_{\max}$ rad/s respectively. Thus, a PML layer was designed with a width of 20 elements, $\Omega_{\max} = 2.56 \cdot 10^6$ rad/s and $\alpha_{\max} = 5.4$. The excitation signal was a negative normalized second derivative of a Gaussian function, also known as a Ricker wavelet, centered at 40 kHz.

237

238

239 4. Results

240

241 4.1 Experimental studies

242 These studies monitor the progress of the changes in the properties of the orange
 243 during storage under ambient conditions. Dehydration increases with storage time (Fig.
 244 2) due to weight loss through transpiration. Navelina dehydration reaches 3.8 kg/m^2
 245 after 64 d under ambient conditions, while the Ortanique reaches 2.69 kg/m^2 in the same
 246 period under identical conditions. Fig. 2 can be explained as a non-linear relation with
 247 an asymptotic value. If we follow the hypothesis that the transfer rate of water from the
 248 orange to the atmosphere depends on the fruit surface area, the mass transfer coefficient
 249 and the difference between the water potential of the fruit and ambient conditions (De-
 250 Smedt et al., 2002), and we suppose the difference between fruit and ambient water
 251 potential is proportional to the water content of the fruit, then the next expression can be
 252 fitted to a good theoretical basis:

$$253 \quad Dh(t) = a(1 - e^{-b \cdot t}) \quad (8)$$

254 where Dh is the dehydration during storage, kg/m^2 , t the storage period, in days (d), and
 255 R the correlation coefficient.

256 Velocity of ultrasound through the orange peel increases with time (Fig. 3), from 120
 257 to 220 m/s , approximately. Error bars, between 10 and 15%, are due to the intrinsic
 258 measurement procedure and the dispersion between the seven oranges. Here,

259 differentiation between the two orange varieties is avoided. As in the case of
260 dehydration, a non-linear asymptotic expression taking three parameters can relate
261 velocity with time:

$$262 \quad c(t) = c_0 + a(1 - e^{-b \cdot t}) \quad (9)$$

263 where c is the speed of ultrasound through the orange peel, m/s, and t is the storage
264 period in days. The constant term is necessary due to the fact that there is non-zero
265 velocity on the day of the harvest.

266 The parameters obtained from the fit of experimental data to expressions (8) and (9)
267 for Navelina and Ortanique can be seen in Table 4.

268 According to the accuracy of our experiment, the attenuation of the ultrasonic waves
269 in the orange peel remains constant during the two months of measurement. Navelina
270 attenuation remains at 1.8 ± 0.2 dB/mm and the Ortanique at 1.7 ± 0.3 dB/mm. The
271 stability of attenuation during storage time implies that this magnitude cannot be used
272 as a quality control parameter, as has been demonstrated in Camarena et al., 2007.
273 However, the attenuation values measured here are very relevant for the discussion
274 concerning the nature of the waves used to evaluate the elastic parameters of the orange
275 peel.

276

277 **4.2 Numerical studies**

278 Fig. 4 on the left shows the snapshots of the normal stress modulus, illustrating the
279 elastodynamic behavior of the modeled orange fruit with viscous loss. The figures show
280 the three wave motions predicted: the largest wavelength is the pressure wave, followed
281 by the shear wave and the surface wave. In the sequence on the right, snapshots of the
282 particle position are shown. From this, it can be appreciated how the longitudinal wave
283 is strongly attenuated compared to the surface wave, which is the only one that can
284 travel for 1 cm along the fruit's surface as noted in the experiments.

285 Fig. 5 is a space-time plot. It reveals the recorded temporal signals versus the
286 distance to the excitation source for several points on the surface of the simulated
287 orange. Damping has not been considered in this simulation. From this plot, the
288 propagation speed of the different waves existing in the simulation can be calculated by
289 following the same procedure used in the experiment. The dotted white lines represent
290 the fit for the traces of waves of equal phase in time and space. They show that two
291 waves with different speeds can be detected on the surface of the orange model: the
292 longitudinal wave, (circles, 1576.0 m/s) and the surface wave (squares, 200.2 m/s).

293 A second model was simulated in order to take into account the high absorption of
294 the vegetable fruit tissue, with the same parameters listed in table 3 and setting the
295 resistance coefficients to the attenuation values measured empirically (1.8 dB/mm, see
296 section 4.1). In this case, as can be seen from Fig. 6, only a low propagation speed wave
297 is detected. The linear fit for the model with damping provides a wave speed value of
298 196.5 m/s, which concurs with the velocity measured in the experiment. From these
299 simulations we can mainly conclude that our experimental device is sensitive only to the
300 surface waves, *i.e.* due to the high damping in the orange peel, reflections of the
301 longitudinal and shear waves in the inner parts of the orange can be overlooked.

302 A deeper analysis of the simulation results allow us to determine what type of
303 surface waves are being measured in the experiment. Fig. 7 shows the three components
304 of the particle velocity of the wave as a function of depth (normalized to the
305 longitudinal wavelength (λ_L)): the longitudinal component and the shear components,
306 both vertical and horizontal. It can be seen that the particle velocity components are
307 spatially offset, in such a way that the longitudinal component is at a maximum when
308 the horizontal component is minimal. In addition, the wave is attenuated as a function of
309 depth and the penetration length is approximately λ_L . Both are specific features of
310 Rayleigh acoustic waves. Moreover, below a depth of about $0.2 \lambda_L$ the velocity
311 components are reversed, which means that the elliptical motion described by the
312 particles also behaves in the same manner: on the surface the particle motion describes
313 ellipses passing backwards in the sagittal plane, at a depth of $0.2 \lambda_L$ the particle motion
314 displays a minimum in all components and below this depth, the elliptical motion of the
315 particle turns in the opposite direction. From the results provided by the simulations, the
316 physical analysis confirms that the waves propagating in the orange tissue are surface
317 acoustic waves, more specifically Rayleigh waves.

318

319 **4.3 Relation between the dehydration status and the elastic parameters**

320 The main hypothesis considered to determine the elastic parameters of the orange
321 peel is that the peel is a homogeneous waterlike tissue, *i.e.* the longitudinal wave speed
322 is similar to that in water ($c_P \approx 1500$ m/s) and Poisson's ratio falls in the range between
323 0.49 and 0.5 (Goss et al., 1978) which results in an established relation between the pure
324 shear wave and the Rayleigh wave ($c_R \approx 0.95 c_s$) (Malischewsky, 2005). From the linear
325 elastic solid model, $c_S = (\mu/\rho)^{1/2}$ and $c_P = ((\lambda + 2\mu)/\rho)^{1/2}$, and the hypothesis listed above, the

326 elastic parameters of the orange peel can be determined using the following
 327 expressions:

$$328 \quad E = \rho \frac{\left(\frac{1}{0.95}c_R\right)^2 \left(-3c_P^2 + 4\left(\frac{1}{0.95}c_R\right)^2\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{0.95}c_R\right)^2 - c_P^2} \quad \nu = \frac{c_P^2 - 2\left(\frac{1}{0.95}c_R\right)^2}{2c_P^2 - 2\left(\frac{1}{0.95}c_R\right)^2} \quad (10, 11)$$

329 where c_R is the ultrasonic measured speed, c_P is the longitudinal wave speed in water,
 330 ρ is the orange peel density, E is the Young modulus and ν is the Poisson's ratio. Thus,
 331 using the proposed empirical model for the propagation speed shown in (8, 9) and the
 332 previous relations (10, 11), the evolution of the elastic parameters during storage time
 333 can be obtained for the two varieties of orange (Fig.8). Moreover, the evolution of the
 334 Young modulus can be correlated with the measured dehydration process (Fig. 9); this
 335 figure reveals an almost linear relationship between Young's modulus and the measured
 336 dehydration of the orange peel.

337
 338

5. Discussion

339 Most ultrasonic devices are used in quality evaluation of fruit and vegetables in an
 340 attempt to simply correlate the velocity and absorption of the ultrasonic wave travelling
 341 through the tissue with the quality parameter of interest. These being either sensory
 342 properties such as texture, physiochemical properties such as hydration degree, or
 343 mechanical properties, for example - firmness. Nevertheless, a solid knowledge about
 344 the type of wave that the ultrasonic device is measuring is necessary if we want to
 345 evaluate the elastic parameters of the medium, which are definitely of significance for
 346 its characterization.

347 The above simulation results show that the measured waves are surface Rayleigh
 348 waves, and therefore the elastic parameter can be calculated from the measured wave
 349 propagation speed values. Moreover, this study has found a relationship between the
 350 ultrasonically evaluated Young's modulus and the measured dehydration of the orange
 351 peel, which means that the elastic parameters predicted by ultrasound are strongly
 352 related to an absolute physiological index. Therefore, the proposed ultrasonic test
 353 reported in this work is capable of determining the hydration state of the orange simply
 354 by measuring the propagation speed of the Rayleigh waves on the orange peel, and
 355 hence, can be used as a fruit quality index during post-harvest processes.

356

357 **6. Conclusion**

358 An experimental method has been used to evaluate the hydration state and the
359 velocity of the ultrasonic waves in the peel of two varieties of oranges during their
360 dehydration process. Both magnitudes change with storage time (hydration degree
361 decreases and velocity increases) because the peel suffers many physiological changes
362 during its dehydration process and aging. So, the measurement of the ultrasonic wave
363 velocity can be used to estimate the hydration degree of the orange. Otherwise, in order
364 to evaluate the effect of the dehydration on the mechanical characteristics of the peel
365 (Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio) a better understanding is necessary of the nature
366 of the ultrasonic waves that our experimental device is measuring. A solid elastic model
367 has been proposed to simulate the wave propagation into the orange peel and good
368 agreement has been found between the ultrasonic wave velocity measured in the
369 experiment and the velocity associated with a Rayleigh wave in the simulation. The
370 values of Young's modulus obtained in the simulation fall in the range between 40 and
371 132 MPa, considerably higher than the values obtained from quasi-static measurements
372 (1.57 MPa Singh and Sreenivasula, 2006). The proposed model is able to explain the
373 low values of velocity obtained in most of the experiments that make use of ultrasonic
374 technology for fruit characterization (Camarena et al., 2007; Baryeh, 2000; Grotte et al.,
375 2002; Jindal and Techasena, 1985; Bunyaphlana, 1973): the ultrasonic waves detected
376 are shear or Rayleigh waves, depending on the experimental configuration. Finally, this
377 model allows the elastic parameters during the storage time of the fruit to be obtained
378 from the values of the velocity measured and a linear relation has been found between
379 the Young's modulus and the dehydration degree of the orange peel. This relation can
380 be used as a non-destructive method to estimate the hydration state of the orange.

381
382 **Acknowledgements**

383
384 This study was supported by the Programa de Apoyo a la Investigación y Desarrollo
385 (PAID-05-09-002-618), (PAID-06-10-002-295) of Universidad Politécnica de Valencia.
386 The authors would like to thank K. Y. Foo, from the University of Birmingham and P.
387 Malischewsky from the University Friedrich-Schiller at Jena, for the fruitful discussions
388 regarding surface acoustic waves.

389
390
391 **References**
392

393 Abbot, J. A.. Quality measurement of fruits and vegetables. *Postharvest Biol. Tec.* 15
394 (3) (1999) 207-225.
395
396 Agusti, M. Citricultura. (2000) *Mundi-Prensa*, Madrid (in Spanish).
397
398 Auld, B. A.. Acoustic Fields and Elastic Waves in Solids. (Wiley, New York, 1973)
399 Vol. I and II.
400
401 Banab, K. K., Motazedian, D.. On the Efficiency of the Multi-Channel Analysis of
402 Surface Wave Method for Shallow and Semi-Deep Loose Soil Layers. *International*
403 *Journal of Geophysics* (2010) Vol. 2010, Article ID 403016. doi:10.1155/2010/403016
404
405 Baryeh, E. A. Strength properties of avocado pear. *J. Agr. Eng. Res.* (2000) 76(4):389
406
407 Bechar, A. Mizrach, A., Barreiro, P. Landahl, S. Determination of mealiness in apples
408 using ultrasonic measurements. *Biosystems Eng.* 91 (2005) 329-334.
409
410 Bossy, E., Talmant, M., Laugier, P.. Three-dimensional simulations of ultrasonic axial
411 transmission velocity measurement on cortical bone models. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 115
412 (2004) 2314.
413
414 Bunyaphlanan, N. Ph.D. Thesis. Mechanical proprieties of carrots tissues. (1973)
415
416 Butz, P., Homann, C., Tauscher, C.. Recent developments in noninvasive techniques for
417 fresh fruit and vegetable internal quality analysis. *J. Food. Sci.* 70 (9) (2005) 131-141.
418
419 Camarena, F., Martinez-Mora, J. A. Potential of ultrasound to evaluate turgidity and
420 hydration of the orange peel. *J. Food Eng.* 75 (2006) 503-507.
421
422 Camarena, F., Martínez-Mora, J.A., Ardid, M.. Ultrasonic study of the complete
423 dehydration process of orange peel. *Postharvest Biol. Tec.* 43 (2007) 115-120.
424
425 Chen, Y, Chew, W. C. A three-dimensional finite difference code for the modeling of
426 sonic logging tools. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 103(1998) 702
427
428 Chew, W.C., Liu, Q. Perfectly matched layers for elastodynamics: a new absorbing
429 boundary condition. *J. Comput. Acoust.* 4 (1996) 341-359.
430
431 De-Smedt, V. Barreiro, P. Verlinden, B.E. Veraverbeke, E.A., De Baerdemaeker, J. A
432 mathematical model for the development of mealiness in apples. *Postharvest Biol.*
433 *Technol.* 25 (2002) 273–291.
434
435 Flitsanov, F., Mizrach, A., Liberzon, A. Akerman, M. Zauberman, G.. Measurement of
436 avocado softening at various temperatures using ultrasound. *Postharvest Biol. Tec.* 20
437 (2000) 279-286.
438
439 Gaete-Garreton, L., Vargas-Hernandez, Y., Leon-Vidal, C., Pettorino-Besnier, A. *J.*
440 *Food. Sci.* 70 (2005) 187-191.
441

- 442 Goss, S. A. Johnston, R. L. Shnol S. E.. Comprehensive compilation of empirical
443 ultrasonic properties of mammalian tissues. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 64 (1978) 423–457
444
- 445 Grotte, M., Duprat, F., Piétri, E., Loonis, D. Young's modulus, Poisson's ratio, and
446 Lamé's coefficients of golden delicious apple. *Int. J. Food Prop.* (2002) 5(2):333-349
447
- 448 Hosokawa, A. Development of a Numerical Cancellous Bone Model for Finite-
449 Difference Time-Domain Simulations of Ultrasound Propagation. *IEEE Transactions*
450 *on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control* 55 (2008) 1219-1221.
451
- 452 Jindal, V. K., Techasena, O. Compression tests for measuring the firmness of potatoes.
453 *Trans ASAE (Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.)*. 85-1072; 1985
454
- 455 Krautkramer, J., Krautkramer, H. Ultrasonic Testing of Materials. *Springer-Verlag*,
456 Heidelberg, Germany (1990).
457
- 458 Malischewsky. P. G., Comparison of approximated solutions for the phase velocity of
459 Rayleigh waves. *Nanotechnology* 16 (2005) 995–996.
460
- 461 Mizrach. C. Determination of avocado and mango fruit properties by ultrasonic
462 technique. *Ultrasonics* 38 (2000) 717-733.
463
- 464 Mizrach, A. Ultrasonic technology for quality evaluation of fresh fruit and vegetables in
465 pre-and postharvest processes. *Postharvest Biol. Tec.* 48 (2008) 315–330.
466
- 467 Mizrach, A., Galili, N., Rosenhouse, G. 3-D Model of sound pressure field in a
468 meridional section plane of fruit. *Ultrasonics* 49 (2009) 83-88.
469
- 470 Rayleigh, L.. On waves propagated along the plane surface of an elastic solid. *Proc.*
471 *Lon. Math. Soc.* 17 (1885) 4–11.
472
- 473 Schröder, C., Scott, W.R. On the Stability of the FDTD Algorithm for Elastic Media at
474 a Material Interface. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.* 40 (2002)
475 474-481.
476
- 477 Singh, K.K., Sreenivasula, B. Post-harvest physico-mechanical properties of orange
478 peel and fruit. *J. Food Eng.* (2006) 73:112–120
479
- 480 Verlinden, J. A., De Smedt, V., Nicolai, B.M.. Evaluation of ultrasonic wave
481 propagation to measure chilling injury in tomatoes. *Postharvest Biol. Tec.* 32 (2004)
482 109-113.
483
- 484
- 485
- 486
- 487 **LIST OF TABLE CAPTIONS**
- 488
- 489 Table 1. List of symbols used.
490

491 Table 2. Quasi-static measured elastic properties, calculated longitudinal (c_{Bulk}) and
492 shear (c_{Shear}) wave speed, and ultrasonic measured speed of various fruits and
493 vegetables.

494
495 Table 3. Mechanical parameters used in the simulations for the two models.
496

497 Table 4. Parameters obtained from the fit of experimental data to the theoretical
498 expressions for dehydration and velocity.

499
500
501
502

503 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

504
505 Figure 1. Diagram of the ultrasonic measuring system.
506

507 Figure 2. Dehydration of oranges during storage under ambient conditions. Uncertainty
508 bars show the standard deviation of the values obtained for 7 oranges.

509
510 Figure 3. Ultrasonic wave speed in the orange during storage under ambient conditions.
511 Uncertainty bars show the standard deviation of the values obtained for 10 oranges.

512
513 Figure 4. Snapshots of the stress and the particle position for the fruit model with 1.8
514 dB/mm viscous loss.

515
516 Figure 5. Space-time diagram for the fruit model without damping. The circles represent
517 the linear fit for longitudinal waves and the squares represent the fit for surface waves.

518
519 Figure 6. Space-time diagram for the fruit model with 1.8 dB/mm viscous loss. The
520 circles represent the linear fit for surface waves.

521
522 Figure 7. Particle velocity amplitude components versus the orange peel depth
523 normalized by the wavelength. On the right, the equivalent layer of the orange fruit.

524
525 Figure 8. Evolution of the predicted elastic parameters (Young's modulus and Poisson's
526 ratio) during the storage time for the Navelina and Ortanique oranges.

527
528 Figure 9. Measured fruit dehydration versus the predicted Young's modulus for the
529 Navelina and Ortanique oranges.

530
531