

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/66919>

This paper must be cited as:

Borrás García, EM.; Ródenas, M.; Dieguez, J.; Pérez-García, M.; Lomba, R.; Lavin, J.; Tortajada-Genaro, LA. (2012). Development of a gas chromatography - mass spectrometry method for the determination of carbon disulfide in the atmosphere. *Microchemical Journal*. 101:37-42. doi:10.1016/j.microc.2011.10.002.



The final publication is available at

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2011.10.002>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**DEVELOPMENT OF A GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY –
MASS SPECTROMETRY METHOD FOR THE
DETERMINATION OF CARBON DISULFIDE IN THE
ATMOSPHERE**

E. Borrás⁽¹⁾, M. Ródenas⁽¹⁾, J.J. Dieguez⁽¹⁾, M.L. Pérez-García ⁽²⁾, R. Lomba ⁽²⁾, J. Lavín⁽²⁾, L. A. Tortajada-Genaro ^{(3)*}.

- (1) Instituto Universitario Centro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterráneo CEAM – UMH (Fundación CEAM-UMH), 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.
- (2) Centro de Investigación del Medio Ambiente, CIMA. Torrelavega, Cantabria, Spain.
- (3) Instituto IDM. Departamento de Química. Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022, Valencia, Spain.

* Address correspondence to this author at:
Luis Antonio Tortajada Genaro
Instituto IDM. Departamento de Química. Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022, Valencia, Spain.
Tf: 0034 96 3877342
Fax 003496 3879349
Email: luitorge@qim.upv.es

27 **Abstract**

28 Carbon disulfide (CS₂), a relevant reduced sulfur compound in air, is well-known for its
29 malodor and its significant effect on global atmospheric chemistry. Therefore, a reliable
30 method for determining CS₂ in atmospheric samples has been developed based on
31 solid-phase sampling and gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Two
32 types of solid-phase sampling supports (Orbo-32 and SKC) and the elution with organic
33 solvents - hexane and toluene - were evaluated for low-volume outdoor sampling.
34 Recovery studies and the standard addition method were carried out to demonstrate
35 the proper determination of CS₂ in the absence of the influence of interferences such as
36 ozone, hydrogen sulfide or water – important atmospheric pollutants -. The proposed
37 methodology was validated by performing experiments in a high-volume smog
38 chamber and by comparison with two reference optical methods, Fourier Transform
39 Infrared (FTIR) and Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS). Satisfactory
40 analytical parameters were reported: fast analysis, a correct repeatability of 6 ± 1 %
41 and reproducibility of 14 ± 3 %, and low detection limits of 0.3 – 0.9 pg m⁻³. Finally, the
42 method was successfully applied to industrial samples near a pulp factory area, where
43 a high correlation between industrial emissions and reported carbon disulfide
44 concentrations were observed.

45

46

47 **Keywords:** Carbon disulfide, atmospheric samples, solid-phase sampling, gas
48 chromatography - mass spectrometry, pulp emissions.

49

50

51 1. Introduction

52 Considerable effort is needed for the precise determination of reduced sulfur
53 compounds or volatile sulfur compounds (VSC) in air because of their role in global
54 atmospheric chemistry. These compounds present a high impact due to they have a
55 strong potential to be oxidized to secondary pollutants and they are often considered to
56 exert influence on the Earth's radiation budget and climate forcing [1]. Moreover, if
57 these sulfur compounds are present in excess quantities, they can cause social and
58 health problems due to their malodor and human toxic properties [2].

59 The most abundant sulfur compounds in the environment include carbon
60 disulfide (CS₂), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), carbonyl sulfide, methane thiol, dimethyl sulfide
61 and dimethyl disulfide [3]. Their origin can be from natural and/or biogenic sources as
62 volcanic activities, ocean, vegetation and from anthropogenic sources as chemical
63 plants, oil refineries, sewage treatments, landfills, livestock facilities, industries, etc
64 [4,5]. Although carbon disulfide (bp. 46 °C) is a minor component, when is oxidized it
65 produces carbonyl sulfide which contributes to the stratospheric aerosol loading.
66 Moreover, the CS₂ mal odor and important effects on human health have led to
67 restrictive regulation controlling this pollutant [2], particularly to protect areas influenced
68 by pulp industries. The sequence of physical and chemical transformations taking place
69 in these factories is extremely complex, but the main reaction is the regeneration of
70 cellulose by the action of sulfuric acid, forming sodium sulfate and carbon disulfide,
71 very significant levels. Other processes in the pulp industry produce by-products such
72 as hydrogen disulfide and more carbon disulfide, which depend on the viscose age of
73 pulp [6]. Due to the impact on the population by the sulfur emissions of these types of
74 plants must to be controlled. For that, a reliable methodology for CS₂ sampling and
75 analysis is required.

76 The determination of CS₂ in environmental samples is still a great challenge.
77 The main difficulties are that this pollutant is highly reactive and it is present in the
78 atmosphere at low concentrations - at levels of ng m⁻³ to µg m⁻³. For that, a pre-
79 concentration step to achieve adequate detection limit and a suitable pre-treatment to
80 avoid decomposition or losses are required. In addition, interferences caused by
81 atmospheric oxidants such as SO₂, O₃, humidity, NO_x and others are common in
82 environmental air. The use of scrubbers has been proposed to control and avoid these
83 pollutants, but they introduce more variability in the sampling process, reducing the
84 sampling flow and altering the caption and retention of the sulfur compounds onto the
85 sampling surface [1].

86 Several researchers have studied the collection of reduced sulfur compounds,
87 including carbon disulfide, from air matrices using different type of sampling lines and
88 different vessels such as glass bulbs, canister bags, polymer bags and Tedlar film bags
89 [1,5,7,8]. Considering the highly reactive nature of these compounds, sampling vessels
90 should be inert enough to reduce adsorptive loss. Careful attention should be given to
91 length tubing and connecting materials and also the problem of storage ability should
92 be adequately addressed. Sorption on metal surfaces has also been proposed – mainly
93 for dimethyl sulfide determinations -, but losses can occurs with them. Solid-phase
94 micro-extraction has been applied in recent years for sampling VSC compounds [7,9].
95 Although excellent detection limits have been obtained with this technique, problems
96 with the calibration of low sulfur compounds were observed, artifacts were detected as
97 a matrix effect and poor storage stability and competitive sorption/reverse diffusion
98 problems were identified. Cryogenic capture, also known as cryogenic trapping [1], is
99 one of the preferred techniques for the collection of sulfur compounds in the gas phase
100 due to the increase in trapping efficiency with decreasing temperature. Another
101 possibility is the use of cryogenic trapping as a pre-concentration technique, applied
102 after sampling and used before chromatographic analysis [10]. The efficiency of this
103 technique depends on the material and the packing efficiency [11], been not suitable
104 for samples with high humidity. It is an important limitation since environmental
105 humidity is usually up to 70%. Finally, solid sorbent surfaces are regarded as the most
106 general tools for the simple and cost-competitive sampling and pre-concentration of
107 volatile species [9]. In this approach, a number of options are also available such as
108 Tenax, activated charcoal, silica gel, aluminum oxide, graphitized carbon black,
109 molecular sieves, and porous sorbent [12,13]. Tenax sorbent coupled with thermal
110 desorption has traditionally been used due to the absence of interference from changes
111 in humidity, but the trapping efficiency of Tenax tubes is often limited for organosulfur
112 compounds with low boiling points, as is the case of carbon disulfide. Thus, to quantify
113 low levels of sulfur compounds in environmental samples, chromatographic methods
114 were developed due to their volatility, excellent separation capacity and ease of
115 detection [14,15].

116 Our goal was the development of an easy, fast, sensitive, reproducible and
117 efficient method for the proper determination of CS₂, in order to improve sampling
118 efficiency and the extraction protocol free of artifacts. This method is based on solid-
119 phase sampling by activated charcoal surfaces, extraction with organic solvent and
120 separation by gas chromatography and detection by mass spectrometry. The reliable
121 monitoring of carbon disulfide emissions implies the use of validated methods, to
122 develop efficient abatement strategies for air pollution and to fulfill social and

123 environmental demands to control odor problems. Thus, an important part of this study
124 was focused on testing the most common errors in atmospheric determinations and
125 monitoring of CS₂ near a pulp industrial plant.

126

127 **2. Experimental**

128 *2.1 Reagents*

129 Solid-phase supports were activated coconut charcoal (100/50 mg) – called
130 Orbo-32 - supplied by Supelco (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Anasorb CSC coconut
131 charcoal (50/100 mg) combined with sodium sulfate dryers - called SKC - supplied by
132 SKC limited (Dorset, UK). The carbon disulfide and hydrogen sulfide gas calibration
133 were provided by Abelló-Linde (Valencia, Spain). Also, carbon disulfide as liquid state
134 (>99%) was used supplied by Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). HPLC grade
135 solvents (hexane and toluene) were purchased from Scharlau (Barcelona, Spain).

136

137 *2.2 Procedure*

138 *2.2.1 Optimization of analysis protocol.* Carbon disulfide solutions in hexane or toluene
139 (0.1 – 5 mg L⁻¹) were used for the optimization of the gas chromatographic conditions.
140 A complete factorial design was performed for studying the retention on solid-phase
141 supports - Orbo-32 and SKC cartridges - and organic solvent extraction - hexane and
142 toluene -. The experiments were carried out in triplicate, passing through 60 µg m⁻³ of
143 carbon disulfide calibration gas on solid-phase cartridges using a gas-calibration
144 instrument (Dasibi, CA, USA). This system consists of a valves system with a sampling
145 flow of 1 L min⁻¹. Moreover, spiked air samples (0-60 µg m⁻³) were pumped through
146 solid-phase supports to study breakthrough volume. The total air sampling volume
147 ranged from 0.1 to 300 m³. Mixtures with pure air and calibration gas were also used
148 for determining the detection limit. Moreover, the stability of the CS₂ stored on solid-
149 phase cartridges was established by analyzing sampled supports immediately or after
150 1-7 days at 4 °C and several weeks at -20 °C.

151

152 *2.2.2 Smog chamber experiments.* Validation experiments were performed in a Teflon
153 – fluoropolymer – high-volume smog chamber (EUPHORE Photoreactor, Valencia,
154 Spain). For detailed information on this environmental simulation chamber, see Borrás
155 et al., 2011 [16]. The photoreactor was filled with clean air from an air purification
156 system. Carbon disulfide was injected from a calibration bottle via an air stream.
157 Together with solid-phase sampling at 1 L min⁻¹, two optical systems were used as
158 reference methods for the determination of CS₂. A Fourier Transform Infrared
159 spectrometer (FTIR, Magna-550, Nicolet Instrument, Madison, USA), coupled to a

160 long-path multi-reflection white cell with a total path length of 616 m, was used. Spectra
161 were collected with resolution of 1 cm^{-1} and a sampling time of 10 minutes.
162 Concentrations of CS_2 were determined with specific analysis software [17]. Differential
163 Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) was also installed in the chamber. It operated
164 with the beam from a Xenon lamp (XBO, Osram) and directed into a long-path multi-
165 reflection white cell type. The total optical path-length was 128 m, frequency time was 3
166 min and the spectral resolution was 0.72 nm. Analysis of the samples was carried a
167 non-linear fitting routine.

168 The inference study consisted in injecting reduced sulfur compounds and
169 compounds that can interact with solid-phase cartridges. H_2S , O_3 and SO_2 were fed to
170 the photoreactor via an air stream and high humidity was reached by adding pure water
171 with a sprayer system. Later, all the reactants were mixed with CS_2 by high power fans
172 for 10 min in darkness, and continuous measurements were carried out for at least two
173 hours.

174

175 *2.2.3 Field sampling.* A low-volume pump (SIC Pocket Pump) was used at 1 L min^{-1} , for
176 24h-sampling with SKC cartridges. Firstly, possible systematic errors associated with
177 matrix interferences were evaluated using the standard addition method. Ten samples
178 from an industrial area ($39^\circ 35' 05''\text{ N}$, $0^\circ 27' 42''\text{ W}$, Paterna, Spain) were fortified with
179 0, 20, 100 and $200\ \mu\text{L L}^{-1}$ of carbon disulfide. Secondly, field samples were collected
180 for 13 days near to a cellulose pulp industry complex ($43^\circ 22' 3''\text{ N}$, $4^\circ 2' 34''\text{ W}$,
181 Torrelavega, Spain). Fig. 1 shows the geographical location of this last study site.
182 Other pollutants (SO_2 , H_2S , NO_2 , NO , CO , O_3 and PM_{10}) and the meteorological
183 parameters, temperature, pressure, wind direction, wind speed and solar irradiation,
184 were monitored by a cabin air quality during this period. Samples were stored at $4\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$
185 and analyzed by the proposed method and by an external laboratory.

186

187 *2.2.4 Analysis of samples.* CS_2 retained on solid-phase cartridges was extracted
188 with 2 mL of hexane or toluene for sacking for 30 min. $1\ \mu\text{L}$ was directly injected in the
189 gas chromatograph – mass spectrometer (GC-MS). A TRACE-DSQ II instrument
190 system was used (Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Waltham, MA, USA) with a TRX-5MS
191 column of $30\text{ m} \times 0.25\text{ mm I.D} \times 0.25\ \mu\text{m}$ film thickness supplied by Thermo Fisher
192 Scientific. Samples were injected in splitless mode ($t=0.75\text{ s}$), using an on-column
193 helium carrier gas flow of 1 mL min^{-1} . The impact electron ionization was operated at
194 70 eV and the full scan acquisition mode ranged from $m/z\ 30$ to 300 . The quantification
195 was performed by the extraction of the base ion chromatographic peak. The ion source
196 temperature was $200\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and the quadrupole temperature was $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Two

197 chromatographic methods were set-up depending on the extraction solvent. The
198 chromatograph was programmed for hexane extracts at 35 °C for 3 min, then ramped
199 at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ to 100 °C. For toluene extracts, the chromatograph was
200 programmed at 40 °C for 10 min, then ramped at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ to 150 °C. The
201 injection port was held at 200 °C and the transfer line from GC to MS was held at 280
202 °C.

203 **3. Results and Discussion**

204 *3.1 Optimization of analysis protocol*

205 *3.1.1 Chromatographic method.* Organic extraction solvents often generate an overlap
206 problem when reduced sulfur compounds are separated by gas chromatography.
207 Therefore, the chromatographic variables (injection parameters, working temperatures
208 and flows and detection modes) were optimized to achieve an adequate determination
209 of CS₂ using hexane or toluene as solvent. Separation was reached in a total time of 10
210 min for hexane extracts and 16 min for toluene extracts, being the retention time of CS₂
211 3.6 and 4.2 min, respectively. The relative standard deviation of the retention times was
212 lower than 1 %. Under these conditions, the resolution with the other small reduced
213 sulfur compounds was adequate since H₂S, (CH₃)₂S and SO₂, eluted at 1.4, 2.9 and
214 3.2 min and 1.9, 3.2 and 3.6 min for hexane and toluene, respectively. Table 1
215 summarizes the figures of merit obtained from calibration curves (n=5) ranged from 0
216 mg L⁻¹ to 5 mg L⁻¹, for both organic solvents. Good linear correlations were found using
217 splitless injection and scan detection. The instrumental sensitivity, or minimum
218 detectable amount value, was calculated as three times the standard deviation of the
219 chromatographic noise from injecting pure extraction solvents, being around 0.001 mg
220 L⁻¹. These analytical performances were adequate for the analysis of air sample
221 extracts at trace levels.

222

223 *3.1.2 Selection of solid sorbent and solvent elution.* An efficient sampling of
224 atmospheric CS₂ depends on the sampling support (material and nature, spatial
225 distribution and compaction). Thus, two solid-phase sorbents based on activated
226 coconut charcoal – Orbo-32 and SKC - were evaluated. Orbo-32 cartridge has 20-40
227 mesh particle size and a double bed (A of 100 mg and B of 50 mg) containing W,F,F
228 (glass wool, foam, foam) separators. Anasorb SKC 226-01 cartridge has a double bed
229 (A of 50 mg and B of 100 mg) containing F,F,W (foam, foam, glass wool) separators.
230 Both are extremely porous, with a large surface to volume ratio and a small diffusion
231 distance. The nature of these materials allowed the interacting and trapping of carbon
232 disulfide by an adsorption mechanism. Likewise, extraction efficiency depends on the

233 chemical nature of the elution solvent used to recover it. Two organic solvents,
234 selected for their polarity and chemical properties, were compared – hexane and
235 toluene -. The selection criteria were based on the combined capacity of the solid
236 sorbent to retain the carbon disulfide and the solvent to extract them, measured in
237 terms of the recovery percentages of the packing formats. Mean recovery percentages
238 were $(89 \pm 8) \%$, $(103 \pm 3) \%$, $(84 \pm 9) \%$ and $(103 \pm 8) \%$ for Orbo-32 plus hexane,
239 SKC plus hexane, Orbo-32 plus toluene and SKC plus toluene, respectively.

240 Applying a statistical ANOVA test at 95 %, three of the four of the combinations
241 support-solvent were similar, discarding Orbo-32 plus toluene. These recovery
242 percentages were similar to the ones obtained by Ras et al., 2008 [9] for other VSC
243 compounds using Tenax TA and Unicarb and thermal desorption coupled with gas
244 chromatography–mass spectrometry. However, the trapping efficiency of Tenax tubes
245 is often limited for organosulfur compounds with low boiling points, as is the case of
246 CS_2 , if used at room temperature [1]. For that, the use of the proposed analysis
247 methodology will improve the correct CS_2 determinations. Moreover, the study of the
248 extraction volume (tested volume ranged between 1 – 10 mL) indicated that 2 mL of
249 solvent provides the best results.

250

251 *3.1.3 Breakthrough and sampling flow.* In solid-phase sampling it is very important to
252 determine the breakthrough. This refers to the appearance of sampled molecules in the
253 outlet stream due to saturation within the bed or displacement by another chemical.
254 Since breakthrough depends mainly on the total air volume of sample passed, tests
255 were carried out at a fixed sampling air flow of 1 L min^{-1} . Recovery percentages of both
256 types of solid-phase supports, mounted each one in tandem, were obtained by testing
257 the CS_2 gas calibration. The results were totally satisfactory since carbon disulfide was
258 completely recovered from the top layer of the solid-phase sorbent, up to 300 m^3 of air
259 volume sampled. For a 24h-period (total air volume of 1.44 m^3), the breakthrough
260 corresponded to a carbon disulfide concentration approximately 300 times higher than
261 conventional thresholds. EU legislation has set a limit of $10 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ of carbon disulfide -
262 average concentration in 24 hours – and the levels allowed by U.S.A legislation are
263 less than $10 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ - average concentration in 8 hours.

264

265 *3.1.4 Detection limits and precision.* The detection limits calculated, including all steps
266 of the analytical procedure, are listed in Table 2. This table also lists the detection limits
267 obtained from the analysis of the blank and spiked air-samples prepared by serial
268 dilution. The ranges of values were $0.3 - 0.8 \text{ pg m}^{-3}$, being similar to the instrumental
269 LOD ($0.2 - 0.9 \text{ pg m}^{-3}$, considering the sampled air volume), and demonstrating that no

270 contamination occurred during the extraction protocol. These values are quite similar to
271 the ones obtained for CS₂ by Catalán et al., 2006 [18] using permeation tube sampling
272 and GC with pulsed flame photometric detection (0.8 pg compared with our 0.2 – 0.6
273 pg of method LOD), and better than those achieved by Campos et al., 2010 [10] - 3.4
274 ng corresponding to 1.1 pg m⁻³ for 20 min sampling at 150 mL min⁻¹ obtained by air
275 cryogenic sampling with argon or nitrogen and determined by gas chromatography with
276 flame photometric detection - and Kim et al., 2006 [15] – 4.3 ng corresponding to 5.4
277 pg m⁻³ for sampling volume of 800 mL obtained by vacuum sampling systems and
278 determined by gas chromatography with pulsed flame photometric detector -. Even
279 better LODs (0.1 – 0.3 pg m⁻³) were obtained by operating the GC–MS in selected ion
280 monitoring (SIM) mode - main fragment of CS₂ was m/z 76 -. However, this would lead
281 to losing some of the additional information necessary for confirming the identity of
282 other possible unknown interferences in industrial emissions.

283 Replicate analysis - from ten fractions of an air sample - confirmed the good
284 precision of the method. The relative intra-day reproducibility ranged 4.2 – 5.9 % and
285 the relative inter-day reproducibility ranged 9.6 – 14.0 %. These values are suitable for
286 CS₂ determination of environmental samples and similar or better than the values
287 obtained by similar studies in Ras et al., 2008 [9].

288

289 *3.1.5 Stability in storage.* The stability of the carbon disulfide retained on the tested
290 solid-phase supports was studied to guarantee applicability for atmospheric analysis.
291 CS₂ concentrations were determined after storage at 4 °C and -20 °C.

292 Carbon disulfide was stable for time intervals of less than a week. However,
293 after 7 days important losses were observed, for Orbo-32 solid phase sampling
294 supports stored at 4 °C (see Fig. 2). No changes were detected for samples stored at –
295 20 °C for a time interval of 4 weeks. Nielsen et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2005; Kim et al.,
296 2006 and Pal et al., 2009 [4,7,14,15] analyzed their Tedlar samples before 12h or 24h,
297 respectively, after sampling to minimize losses reported previously by volatilization. For
298 other types of supports, like Tenax, Carbotrap, Carbopack X and Carboxen 569
299 cartridges, analysis were carried out before a week to avoid losses of VSCs [2,9].
300 Thus, using our proposed sampling solid-phase supports improved storage properties
301 of carbon disulfide.

302

303 *3.2 Validation experiments*

304 *3.2.1 Smog chamber experiment.* Validation of the correct CS₂ determination and
305 gaseous interference study tests were carried out under well-controlled conditions in a
306 large simulator chamber. The large volume atmospheric simulator (200 m³) was filled

307 with carbon disulfide diluted in clean dry air. Blanks and samples were collected at
308 sampling flow of 1 L min⁻¹ for 30 min. Firstly, the presence of systematic errors from the
309 reactor were evaluated, since reactor walls can be a source of gas and/or particles due
310 to the off-gassing of compounds. No interferences appeared along the chromatogram.
311 Secondly, carbon disulfide calibration experiments – working at different concentration
312 levels – checked the correct injection system of CS₂ and the mixing and dilution
313 processes in dark conditions. For that, two different optical reference instruments (FTIR
314 and DOAS) were employed to obtain a good time resolution data and to study the
315 absence of interferences. Both optical instruments provided a good correlation factor >
316 0.997, for the range of 7 - 3110 µg m⁻³. Thirdly, an intercomparison of CS₂ sampling
317 and analysis was carried out. Carbon disulfide was injected into the high-volume
318 chamber at different concentration levels and its concentration was determined by GC-
319 MS using solid-phase cartridges sampling and solvents extraction and by reference
320 FTIR and DOAS systems (see Table 3). The results showed an excellent agreement
321 demonstrating that the sampling and analysis efficiency was not influenced by the level
322 of CS₂ concentrations. The best correlation was obtained for the combination of SKC
323 as cartridge and hexane as extraction solvent. These results definitely confirmed the
324 advantages of using this combination for ambient air analysis.

325 Fourthly, the influence of interferences in the correct determination of CS₂ was
326 studied. High concentrations of hydrogen sulfide, sulfide oxygen, SF₆, (CH₃)₂S and
327 humidity were injected into the high-volume chamber: H₂S at 3100 µg m⁻³, SO₂ at 1500
328 µg m⁻³, SF₆ at 500 µg m⁻³, (CH₃)₂S at 1500 µg m⁻³ and a relative humidity of 40%. The
329 results showed that no interference was observed in the determination of carbon
330 disulfide (test t at 95 %).

331 It is worth mentioning that smog chamber provided more reliable results on the
332 validation of analytical methods than other type of reactors or field campaigns. In fact,
333 the EUPHORE simulator chamber has already been used for the validation of pesticide
334 sampling systems [19] and for checking the design and the effect of interferences in
335 correct gaseous determinations using prototype sampling systems [20]. In the present
336 study, their high volume improved the representativeness of CS₂ determinations since
337 low concentrations, a wide range of relative humidity and the presence of interferences
338 were studied in gas-phase sampling. Meteorological conditions driving dispersion were
339 totally avoided. These facilities also avoid problems like interactions of CS₂ with vessel
340 or other wall materials since they are made of inert Teflon – fluoropolymer – which
341 does not react with the carbon disulfide; moreover, absorption, adsorption and
342 deposition processes were extremely reduced. Different interference compounds
343 and/or mixtures of these were added without interactions. According to our

344 experiences, the conclusions about the effect of interferences are more reliable when
345 the study was performed by mixing in the simulation chamber than when using spiking
346 cartridges.

347

348 *3.2.2 Standard addition method.* Field air samples are complex, matrix effect can be
349 produced and present several different kinds of potential interferences. The accuracy
350 and reliability of the proposed method were tested by the use of fortified samples. Air
351 samples from an industrial area (Paterna, Valencia, Spain) were analyzed. CS₂ was not
352 detected in eight samples and the concentrations reported in the two positive samples
353 were lower than 0.2 µg m⁻³ (below to EU limit of 10 µg m⁻³). Then, the standard addition
354 method (explained on section 2.2.3) was applied to estimate the presence of
355 proportional systematic error. No interferences appeared along the chromatogram and
356 the slope values obtained in the standard addition method agreed with the slope values
357 in the gas phase carbon disulfide calibration (test t at 95 %). Thus, the statistical
358 analysis of results indicated that air pollutants of industrial sources did not provide
359 proportional systematic errors in the determination of CS₂.

360

361 *3.3. Analysis of environmental samples*

362 The method was applied to gaseous samples collected in an area affected by
363 emissions from a pulp industrial plant. Therefore, a total of 13 blind samples sampled in
364 duplicate during winter were analyzed. Fig. 3 shows the concentration of CS₂ obtained
365 by the proposed method and those obtained for the external reference laboratory. A
366 total agreement was observed ($CS_{2\text{proposed method}} = (0.50 \pm 0.06) + (0.98 \pm 0.02) CS_{2\text{external}}$
367 *laboratory*, $R^2 = 0.998$).

368 The environmental implications of the obtained results were also analyzed. The
369 carbon disulfide concentration levels measured (1 – 141 µg m⁻³) exceeded European
370 regulations in 75% of the cases studied (threshold level: 10 µg m⁻³). The values were
371 significantly higher than the results obtained by Guo et al., 2010, Pal et al., 2009 and
372 Kim et al., 2006 [4,15,21] for urban locations or industrial areas without pulp industry.
373 Moreover, in order to help gain some insights into potential source processes, the
374 correlation of CS₂ concentrations with atmospheric conditions or concentrations of
375 other pollutants was studied. Highest concentrations of CS₂ were obtained for samples
376 4, 5, 7, 8, 11 and 13, as can be observed in Fig. 3. The analysis of wind patterns
377 showed that this pollution peaks coincided with south-west wind direction was
378 predominant. Under these conditions, the emission from pulp industry impacted on our
379 sampling site (see Fig. 1). The lowest concentrations of CS₂ were detected when winds

380 came from south directions and the emission of pulp industry was directed far from the
381 city. Also upper limits were associated with high value emissions of H₂S and SO₂ (Fig.
382 3). The sources of these both pollutants are several, but they are also secondary
383 products of the chemical processes of pulp-industry. These high concentrations of H₂S
384 and SO₂ are correlated with high levels of CS₂, confirming that our proposed sampling
385 and analysis methodology can be used properly for environmental monitoring. As
386 summary, during this field sampling campaign, the high CS₂ levels suggest the
387 dominance of the industrial source together with lower wind recirculation and a poor
388 vertical exchange of air as the main causes that implied a great population impact of
389 reduced sulfur compounds.

390 **4. Conclusions**

391 Identification of potential sources of VSCs should be considered prerequisites
392 for controlling their emissions from point sources and for their maintenance at
393 acceptable ambient concentration levels (below to legislation limits). Analytical efforts
394 to characterize odor pollution will help us to protect human and environmental health
395 from possible adverse effects generated by the diverse source activities of malodorous
396 and/or hazardous compounds. In this sense, we proposed a new method for the
397 selective monitoring of carbon disulfide, a key pollutant in specific industrial areas, e.g.
398 the pulp industry is still a worldwide environmental problem. The combination of SKC
399 solid-phase cartridge sampling, hexane as an organic extraction solvent and GC–MS
400 analysis has allowed its correct determination. This method provides adequate
401 sensitivity, good linearity and a fast and easy analysis protocol for the routine quality
402 control and monitoring of trace carbon disulfide in air. Although other cartridges or
403 extraction solvents provided good results, the proposed combination is the best in
404 terms of accuracy and precision under atmospheric conditions. The control VSC
405 emissions, including CS₂, also require reliable monitoring methods. For that, the
406 validation has been the most important goal of this study. Experiments carried out in a
407 high-volume simulation chamber and the comparison with optical reference methods
408 has demonstrated the high accuracy of the proposed method. The absence of effects
409 due to interference compounds such as ozone, water or other VSCs was also
410 confirmed. Moreover, the absence of systematic errors was demonstrated with data
411 from a sampling campaign in industrial areas. Finally, this study reinforced the need for
412 CS₂ monitoring to qualitatively upgrade current regulations and controls, since its
413 presence was detected in a high concentration levels and a high number of samples.
414

415 **Acknowledgments**

416 The research leading to these results received funding from the Centro de
417 Investigación del Medio Ambiente (CIMA). Conserjería de Medio Ambiente. Gobierno
418 de Cantabria. The Instituto Universitario CEAM-UMH is partly supported by Generalitat
419 Valenciana, Fundación Bancaja, and the projects GRACCIE (Consolider-Ingenio 2010)
420 and FEEDBACKS (Prometeo – Generalitat Valenciana). We also acknowledged the
421 European Community's Seventh Framework Program under the grant agreement no.
422 228335 (Eurochamp2), the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, through
423 INNPLANTA project: PCT-440000-2010-003 and the EUPHORE staff for their support
424 in the chamber experiments. The authors also thank J.T.B. for his contribution.

425 **References**

- 426 1. Pandey, S.K.; Kim, K-H. A Review of Methods for the Determination of Reduced
427 Sulfur Compounds (RSCs) in Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43 (2009) 3020–3029.
- 428 2. Ribes, A.; Carrera, G.; Gallego, E.; Roca, X. Development and validation of a
429 method for air-quality and nuisance odors monitoring of volatile organic
430 compounds using multi-sorbent adsorption and gas chromatography/mass
431 spectrometry thermal desorption system. *J. Chrom. A*, 1140 (2007) 44–55.
- 432 3. Susaya, J.; Kim, K-H.; Phan, N-T.; Kim, J-C. Assessment of reduced sulfur
433 compounds in ambient air as malodor components in an urban area. *Atmos.*
434 *Environ.* 45 (20) (2011) 3381-3390.
- 435 4. Pal, R.; Kim, K-H.; Jeon, E-C.; Song, S-K.; Shon, Z-H.; Park, S-Y.; Lee, K-H.;
436 Hwang, S-Y.; Oh, J-M.; Koo, Y-S. Reduced sulfur compounds in ambient air
437 surrounding an industrial region in Korea. *Environ Monit Assess.* 148 (2009)
438 109–125.
- 439 5. Kim, K-H.; Choi, Y-J.; Oh, S-I.; Sa, J.H.; Jeon, E-C., Koo, Y.S. Short-term
440 distributions of reduced sulfur compounds in the ambient air surrounding a large
441 landfill facility. *Environ Monit Assess* 121 (2006) 343–354.
- 442 6. Woodings, 2000. Regenerated cellulose fibres Edited by Calvin Woodings CRC
443 Press LLC 2000 Corporate Blvd, NW Boca Raton FL 33431, USA
- 444 7. Nielsen, A. T.; Jonsson, S. Quantification of volatile sulfur compounds in
445 complex gaseous matrices by solid-phase microextraction. *J. Chrom. A*, 963
446 (2002) 57–64.
- 447 8. Song, S-k.; Shon, Z-h.; Kim, K-H.; Kim, Y-K.; Pal, R. Dispersion and
448 photochemical oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds in and around a large
449 industrial complex in Korea. *Atmos. Environ.* 42 (2008) 4269–4279.

- 450 9. Ras, M.R.; Borrull, F.; Marce, R.M. Sampling and preconcentration techniques
451 for determination of volatile organic compounds in air samples. *Trends in Anal.*
452 *Chem.*, 28 (2009) 347-361.
- 453 10. Campos, V.P.; Oliveira, A.S.; Cruz, L.S.C.; Borges, J.; Tavares, T.M.
454 Optimization of parameters of sampling and determination of reduced sulfur
455 compounds using cryogenic capture and gas chromatography in tropical urban
456 atmosphere. *Microchem. J.* 96 (2010) 283–289.
- 457 11. Simo, R.; Grimalt, J.O.; Albaiges, J. Field sampling and analysis of volatile
458 reduced sulphur compounds in air, water and wet sediments by cryogenic
459 trapping and gas chromatography, *J. Chromatogr. A* 655 (1993) 301–307.
- 460 12. Davison, B.; O’ Dowd, C.; Hewitt, C. N.; Smith, M. H.; Harrison, R. M.; Peel, D. A.;
461 Wolf, E.; Mulvaney, R.; Schwikowski, M.; Baltensperger, U. Dimethyl sulfide
462 and its oxidation products in the atmosphere of the Atlantic and Southern
463 oceans. *Atmos. Environ.* 30 (1996) 1895–1906.
- 464 13. Wylie, D. J.; de Mora, S.J. Atmospheric dimethylsulfide and sulfur species in
465 aerosol and rainwater at a coastal site in New Zealand. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101,
466 (1996) 21,041–21,049.
- 467 14. Kim, K.-H. Some Insights into the Gas Chromatographic Determination of
468 Reduced Sulfur Compounds (RSCs) in Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39 (2005)
469 6765–6769.
- 470 15. Kim, K.-H. The properties of calibration errors in the analysis of reduced sulfur
471 compounds by the combination of a loop injection system and gas
472 chromatography with pulsed flame photometric detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 566
473 (2006) 75–80.
- 474 16. Borrás E., L. A. Tortajada-Genaro. Determination of oxygenated compounds in
475 secondary organic aerosol from isoprene and toluene smog chamber
476 experiments. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*. DOI.
477 10.1080/03067319.2011.572164.
- 478 17. Ródenas, M. 2008. INTROP Report. Improvements in spectroscopy data
479 processing: faster production and better reliability of Lab data. Available at:
480 http://www.ceam.es/pag/contam_e_archivos/MRodenasINTROPReport.pdf
- 481 18. Catalan, L.J.J.; Liang, V.; Jia, C.Q. Comparison of various detection limit
482 estimates for volatile sulphur compounds by gas chromatography with pulsed
483 flame photometric detection. *J. Chrom A*, 1136 (2006) 89–98.
- 484 19. Borrás, E.; Sánchez, P.; Muñoz A.; Tortajada-Genaro L. A. Development of a
485 gas chromatography–mass spectrometry method for the determination of

486 pesticides in gaseous and particulate phases in the atmosphere. *Anal. Chim.*
487 *Acta* 699 (2011) 57– 65.

488 20. Kleffmann, J.; Lorzer, J.C.; Wiesen, P.; Kern, C.; Trick, S.; Volkamer, R.; M.
489 Rodenas, M.; Wirtz, K. Intercomparison of the DOAS and LOPAP techniques for
490 the detection of nitrous acid (HONO). *Atmos. Environ.* 40 (2006) 3640–3652.

491 21. Guo, H.; Simpson, I.J.; Ding, A.J.; Wang, T.; Saunders, S.M.; Wang, T.J.;
492 Cheng, H.R.; Barletta, B.; Meinardi, S.; Blake, D.R.; Rowland, F.S. Carbonyl
493 sulphide, dimethyl sulfide and carbon disulfide in the Pearl River Delta of
494 southern China: Impact of anthropogenic and biogenic sources. *Atmos.*
495 *Environ.* 44 (2010) 3805-3813.

496

497

498 **Figure captions**

499 Fig. 1 Geographical location of the study site in Torrelavega, Spain.

500 Table 1 Figures of merit for GC-MS determination of CS₂ solutions.

501 Table 2 Detection limits and precision by coconut charcoal cartridge sampling, solvent
502 extraction and GC-MS determination

503 Fig. 2 Storage stability at 4 °C of CS₂ retained in solid-phase cartridges.

504 Table 3 Concentration of CS₂ (µg m⁻³) obtained in smog chamber experiments

505 Fig. 3 Temporal evolution of carbon disulfide –obtained by our method and by external
506 laboratory-, sulfur oxide and hydrogen sulfide, including wind rose for critical samples.

507

508