

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/102706>

This paper must be cited as:

Pina Pérez, MC.; Ferrús Pérez, MA. (2018). Antimicrobial potential of LEGUMES extracts against foodborne pathogens: A review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. 72:114-124. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2017.12.007



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.12.007>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 *Background:* Alternative protein sources are being investigated under the increasing
23 consumer demand of innovative and healthy food products from vegetable origin to replace
24 the non-sustainable animal exploitation. In that sense, *Leguminosae* family includes a wide
25 variety of plants and nutritious seeds, very rich in protein with high biological value,
26 carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals. Not only the seeds, but also the aereous parts, pods,
27 hulls and roots have demonstrated to be natural sources of antioxidants, anti-inflammatory and
28 antimicrobial compounds.

29 *Scope and Approach:* The present article overviews the antimicrobial potential of the most
30 popular legumes worldwide against foodborne pathogens.

31 *Key Findings and Conclusions:* According to the reviewed literature, soybean and chickpeas are
32 the two consumed legumes with highest antimicrobial activity. Long-chain soy peptides
33 (IKAFKEATKVDKVVVLWTA) resulted with high antimicrobial potential against both Gram
34 positive and Gram negative bacteria at concentration level of 37.2 mM. Also, a wide spectrum
35 of proteins and peptides in raw chickpeas and processed extracts have exerted antimicrobial
36 activity against foodborne pathogens applied in the range 8-64 µg/ml. These results open a
37 new research line regarding the development of a new generation of natural preservative
38 ingredients and extracts to be included in novel formulated products based on the extraction
39 and functionality assessment of phytochemicals from legumes, mainly proteins and peptides.

40

41

42 **Keywords:** antimicrobial potential, antioxidants, proteins, peptides, legumes, pulses, novel
43 food.

44 **1.INTRODUCTION**

45 Generally speaking, **legumes** are the fruits and grain seeds belonging to the *Fabaceae* (or
46 *Leguminosae*) family. Forage, grain, blooms, pharmaceutical/industrial, fallow/green manure,
47 and timber species are all of them farmed legumes, that depending on their mature stage are
48 used with several applications. The word “legume” is derived from the Latin verb *legere* which
49 means to gather. According to FAO the term "pulse" is reserved for crops harvested solely for
50 the dry seed. This word derives from a porridge, cooked bean dish which the ancient Romans
51 use to eat in early 301 B.C. (Allaire and Brady, 2008). With about 13.000 species, leguminosae
52 family is the second largest in vegetables and ranks among the most economically important
53 ones.

54 Legumes are an essential food in the traditional and modern diet of people worldwide. It
55 seems that the earliest human-domesticated plants were legumes (APO, 2003; Polak et al.,
56 2015). Asian, Indian, or American cuisine was based on soybeans, lentils, black beans, and
57 peas, as main ingredients in traditional dishes (Shuster-Gajzágó, 2002). It is in recent years, as
58 the result of consumer concern for a healthy diet, rich in nutraceutical compounds when the
59 development of new ingredients and products derived from legumes is emphasized (Ghadge et
60 al., 2008; Gowen, 2008). The balanced ratio between proteins (13-15 %) and carbohydrates (4-
61 23 %) present in legumes put these ingredients in the base of the food pyramid for specific
62 populations, i.e vegetarians and vegans. Due to the high biological value of their proteins,
63 legumes are named as the “*poor people’s meat*”.

64 Last year 2016 was declared by the 68th UN General Assembly as the International Year of
65 Pulses (IYP 2016) with the aim to increase the general knowledge about the benefits derived
66 from legumes consumption by the general public, and to emphasize the industry research and
67 integration of these ingredients into their products.

68 The most relevant pulses around the world include the followings: road bean or fava bena
69 (*Vicia faba*), lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*), moth bean (*Vigna aconitifolia*), adzuki bean (*Vigna*
70 *angularis*), urad bean (*Vigna mungo*), mung bean (*Vigna radiate*), black eye peas (*Vigna*

71 *unguiculata*), chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*), pea (*Pisum sativum*), lentil (*Lens culinaris*), soybean
72 (*Glycine max*), pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*), lupine bean (*Lupinus albus*) and the peanut (*Arachis*
73 *hypogaea*). In spite of the many species and sub-species of legumes that are known, only
74 about a dozen of them are important as commercial food crops. Amongst them, beans and
75 peas account for about 25 per cent of the total legume crops production.

76 Nowadays, the preference for proteins of vegetable origin, and the need to improve the
77 formulation of protein enriched and energetic products aimed to infant, senior or sportsman
78 sectors, constitute a new line of research and guides the trending products development. In
79 the same line, the R&D of food industry, academia and pharmaceuticals is focused on the
80 investigation and analysis of extracted bioactive phytochemicals from legumes, with
81 innumerable and valuable technological and functional properties, taking advantage of the
82 nutritional benefits associated to them (Malaguti et al., 2014; Ponnusha et al., 2011).

83 Among the technological properties of legumes, one line of research still remains unexplored.
84 Answering to the requirements of the food industry to formulate new clean-labeled products
85 with alternative antimicrobial compounds from natural sources, legumes and their by-products
86 appear to be a potential group rich in a wide variety of phytochemicals with demonstrated
87 antibacterial activity (Nair et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2014). The present work overviews the
88 most relevant studies published in last years [2002-2017] including raw legumes, and its
89 derivatives as bioactive compounds with antimicrobial potential, to be introduced in food and
90 pharmaceutical formulated products; and remarks the uncovered points to deal with in the near
91 future. The specific capability of the generally consumed legumes worldwide against several of
92 the most concerning foodborne pathogens is detailed in Table 1.

93 **2.MAIN BIOACTIVE COMPOUNDS IN LEGUMES WITH ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL**

94 **2.1. Polyphenols in legumes**

95 Polyphenols are a structural class of natural chemicals present in vegetables (leaves, fruits,
96 sedes) characterized by phenol structural units. As secondary metabolites in plants, phenols
97 play significant physiological and defensive roles in superior plants and could positively impact
98 human health by means vegetables consumption. These phytochemicals show highly diverse
99 structures and over 500 polyphenol different molecules are known in foods (Neveu et al.,
100 2010). Polyphenols are present in plant tissues mainly as glycosides. Also these molecules
101 could appear associated with various organic acids and/or as complex polymerized molecules
102 with high molecular weights, such as tannins (Daglia, 2012). Among the most relevant
103 polyphenols are flavonoids, phenolic acids, coumarins, quinone, stilbenes, lignans, tannins,
104 and others, remarked by their biological functions, including anti-allergic, anti-inflammatory,
105 anticancer, antihypertensive, also with antimicrobial and antioxidant activities (Fidrianny et al.,
106 2014). It is highly valuable the potential of polyphenols to protect against oxidative cell
107 damage. In this sense, and taking into account the richness in polyphenols of legumes, some
108 studies have been carried out in recent years dealing with the antioxidant potential of legume
109 extracts (Zhao et al., 2014; Gujral et al., 2012, 2013). The antioxidant properties of this
110 phytochemicals group are justifying the boosted interest of the scientific community to use
111 specific vegetables and extracted polyphenols in the prevention of several major chronic
112 diseases associated with oxidative stress, such as cardiovascular diseases, cancers, type II
113 diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases or osteoporosis (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2010).

114

115 Some polyphenols have been extensively studied and related with an antimicrobial potential
116 against a wide spectrum of microorganisms (Pina Pérez et al., 2011; Pereira et al., 2007).
117 Flavan-3-ols, flavonols, and tannins received most attention due to their effectiveness to
118 suppress a number of microbial virulence factors (such as inhibition of biofilm formation,
119 reduction of host ligands adhesion, and neutralization of bacterial toxins) and their synergism
120 with antibiotics (Daglia, 2012). Among the most accepted mechanisms responsible for the

121 polyphenols antimicrobial capability are the followings: desestabilization of the cytoplasmic
122 membrane, the permeabilization of the cell membrane, the deprivation of essential mineral
123 micronutrients such as iron and zinc by means quelation and the inhibition of extracellular
124 microbial enzymes, and direct interferences on microbial metabolism (Daglia, 2012; Heinonen,
125 2007)

126

127 The antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of aqueous hull extracts from mung bean (*Vigna*
128 *radiate*), chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), and pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) was evaluated by Kanatt
129 et al. (2011). The pigeon pea hull extract was the richest one in total phenolic and flavonoid
130 content as compared to mung and Bengal gram hull extracts, being this fact associated with
131 the highest antimicrobial potential of this extract against *B. cereus*.

132

133 Food processing affects the content in polyphenols of grains and legumes mainly by the
134 dehulling of legume seeds and decortication. The main reasons to commercialize dehulled
135 legumes are both the reduction of the cooking time and the removal of the bitterness. In
136 that sense, and taking into account that decortication of seeds could represent up to a final
137 level of 20% bio-wastes, food processors are also interested in the re-valorization of these by-
138 products (e.g. legumes hulls) by means their antioxidant and antimicrobial potentials (Kanatt
139 et al., 2011).

140

141 **3.2. Proteins in legumes**

142 Proteinase inhibitors (work storage proteins) present in plants and particularly in legumes are
143 also recognized to exert antimicrobial capability. Natural defense compounds from plants
144 against pests and pathogens are nowadays excellent candidates for use as alternative sources
145 of antimicrobial compounds in food. In this sense, the mechanism of action for these

146 compounds is regarding the suppression of the enzyme activities in response to attack by
147 proteinases produced by phytopathogenic microorganisms (Kim et al., 2009).

148

149 The specific antimicrobial potential of proteins from soybean and chickpea has been studied
150 against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enteritidis*. Methylated proteins from both
151 legumes revealed a concentration-dependent antimicrobial activity. It was observed that
152 under the optimal growth temperature of the bacterium, the studied proteins inhibited close
153 to 97 and 91 % of the *L. monocytogenes* and *S. enteritidis* growth, respectively, after an
154 exposure period of 6–12 h. The antimicrobial potential was attributed to the methylated
155 subunits that act with cell wall and cell membrane, producing channels and pores and affecting
156 the integrity of the cell, and finally achieving the lysis and the death of the studied
157 microorganisms (Sitohy et al., 2013). Also the reduction in bacterial growth by means the
158 effect of broad bean, soybean and chickpea proteins isolated and esterified with methanol was
159 observed by Sitohy et al. (2013). Applying methylated proteins at concentration levels in the
160 range [0.1-10] mg/ml it was observed a concentration dependent inhibition zone both against
161 Gram positive (*Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) and Gram negative (*Pseudomonas*
162 *aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*) bacteria by means the agar diffusion method.

163

164 This research group also investigate the antimicrobial potential of esterified legume proteins in
165 a real food matrix, raw buffalo milk kept at 4 °C, to control the growth of psychrotrophic
166 bacteria (Sitohy, Mahgoub, Osman, 2011). The addition of esterified legume proteins to raw
167 buffalo milk samples enhanced the shelf-life of the product from 2 days (in control samples) up
168 to 6 days in supplemented samples. Significant reduction in psychrotrophic bacteria count
169 (PBC) and *Pseudomonas* spp. was detected in supplemented samples, being the total bacterial
170 counts in the range of [5.1-5.3] log₁₀ cfu/ml. The casein coagulation in supplemented milk was
171 delayed up to 10 days at storage temperature of 4°C.

172

173 **3.2.1. Peptides from legumes.** Peptides defined as released protein molecules (smaller than 10
174 kDa) through digestive enzymes have been associated with several positive effects on human
175 health, being among the most relevant ones their capability to low blood pressure levels (ACE
176 inhibitory), cholesterol-lowering effects, antithrombotic and antioxidant properties, and also
177 an antimicrobial potential has been associated to peptides from legumes (Malaguti et al.,
178 2014). Peptides with antimicrobial nature are known as antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Roots,
179 stems, leaves, flowers and seeds from a wide range of vegetable species are sources of plant
180 antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (Ye et al., 2002). AMPs are generally active against a broad
181 spectrum of micro-organisms including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi,
182 viruses and some cancer cells (Barari et al., 2015). AMPs interact with the bacterial cell
183 membranes leading to changes in permeability and finally the cell death (Li et al., 2012). Also,
184 the partial cell membrane disruption, moreover to disturbed osmotic regulation are
185 recognized as mechanisms of AMP action against bacterial cells (Li et al., 2012). The structure
186 and sequence of amino acids in AMP peptides are the main factors responsible for their
187 effectiveness as antimicrobials. According to the studies of Dhayakaran (2014) long chain soy
188 peptides (IKAFKEATKVDKVVVLWTA) (37.2 μ M concentration) were more effective against *P.*
189 *aeruginosa* and *L. monocytogenes* than short chain (PGTAVFK) peptides

190

191 **3.2.2. Lectins in legumes.** Lectins are proteins involved in plant defense (direct interaction with
192 infectious agents, protecting plant from animal predators and pathogens). Lectins act by
193 means of the breakdown of the invaders membranes (fungi, bacteria and viruses). These
194 bioactive compounds have been associated with anti-cancerigen effects, being the richness in
195 lectins one of the main reasons by which other vegetables additionally to legumes (tomatoes,
196 corn, whole grain rice, wheat, oats, nuts, sunflower seeds, peaches, mangos, grapes,
197 cinnamon, citrus, berries, tea) are healthy to human (Van Buul & Brouns, 2014). According to

198 the studies of Nair et al. (2013) legume lectins were able to inhibit the growth of bacteria but
199 not to kill them. *Lens culinaris* (*lentil*) and *Pisum sativum* (*pea*) purified lectins were effective
200 against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
201 Although lentils showed the lowest antibacterial activity, both lentil and pea purified lectins
202 were effective as bacteriostatic agents. The antimicrobial mechanisms of lectins against
203 bacteria are based in the interaction of lectin with teichoic and teicuronic acids, peptidoglycans
204 and lipopolysaccharides (Paiva et al., 2010).

205

206 **4.LEGUMES WITH ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL**

207

208 **4.1. Chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*)**

209 The antimicrobial effectiveness of cicer extracts depends on their composition, nature and
210 origin (Kan et al., 2010). According to the studies of Kan et al. (2010) fruit skin extracts of cicer
211 exerted the same antimicrobial capability than aerial part extracts against *E. coli*, *K.*
212 *pneumoniae*, and *E. faecalis* at the concentration level of 32 µg/ml. Chickpeas seed extracts
213 showed the highest antifungal activity against *C. albicans* at a concentration level as of 8 µg/ml
214 (Kant et al., 2010).

215

216 Seed extracts and also hull extracts from chickpeas have demonstrated to be effective both
217 against Gram positive (*S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, *E. faecalis*) and Gram negative bacteria (*E. coli*, *P.*
218 *aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*) (Kanatt et al., 2011) being more effective against Gram negative
219 bacteria with MIC values in the range [16–64] µg/ ml, and requiring higher MIC values against
220 Gram positive bacteria (close to 64 µg/ ml) (Al-Snafi, 2016). Chickpea seed methanol extracts
221 showed a higher antimicrobial potential against Gram positive bacteria (*B. cereus* and *S.*
222 *aureus*) than Gram negative, being the required MIC against *E. coli* equal to 582.2 µg / mL.

223 Even the crude water extracts of *Cicer arietinum* have shown significant antifungal activity at
224 concentrations below 5 % (w/v). Barari et al. (2015) studied the antimicrobial potential of
225 aqueous extracts from the chickpea seeds against several microorganisms, among them the
226 foodborne pathogens *Escherichia coli* (*E. Coli*) (ATCC 25922), *S. aureus* (*S. aureus*) (ATCC
227 25923), *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* (PTCC 1715).

228

229 The baseline of the antibacterial and antifungal properties of chickpeas was studied regarding
230 its protein and peptide profile. Several proteins in *Cicer arietinum* have demonstrated
231 antimicrobial potential including a glucanase, a chitinase and a cyclophyllin-like protein.
232 Bioactive protein molecules from chickpeas have demonstrated effectiveness to inactivate
233 bacteria, fungi, viruses and also carcinogenic cells (Montesinos, 2007; Tam et al. 2015). A wide
234 spectrum of molecules, from less than 14.5 kDa to more than 100kDa, was detected by SDS-
235 PAGE analysis of crude chickpeas protein. The antibacterial activity of 5 % chickpeas
236 ammonium sulphate precipitated proteins was demonstrated against *B. cereus* and *S. aureus*,
237 with inhibition zones of 8 and 10 mm, respectively, observed by means disk diffusion in
238 Müeller Hinton Agar. The antimicrobial activity of these extracts was higher against Gram
239 positive bacteria than against Gram negative.

240

241 Serine proteinase inhibitors (PIs) from *Cicer arietinum* (L.) seed extracts have shown
242 antimicrobial activity, exerting antimetabolic activity against *Helicoverpa armígera* (Nair &
243 Sandhu, 2013). Also AMPs in chickpeas have been tested against spoilage microorganisms in
244 food. The antifungal activity of cicerin and arietin (5-8 kDa) isolated from the seeds of the
245 chickpea (Ye et al., 2002; Al-Snafi et al., 2016) have demonstrated their antifungal activity
246 against *M. arachidicola*, *Botrytis cinerea*, and *Fusarium oxysporum*, being arietin the most
247 effective.

248

249 Another compound with recognized antimicrobial potential, extracted from Cicer roots of wild
250 species, is the cicerfuran. Cicerfuran is a phytoalexin with effective antifungal activity. This
251 compound has been described to be the major defensive agent against *F. oxysporum* f.sp.
252 ciceri, with antimicrobial activity also against *Botrytis cinerea*. However, the low levels at which
253 this compound is present in nature has led to scientists to an artificial syntetization, being the
254 antibacterial and antifungal activity of these cicerfurans compared to the antimicrobial
255 potential of natural ones. The antimicrobial activity of these phytoalexins is finally dependant
256 on the presence of one free hydroxyl group in the molecule, being moreover, the position of
257 the hydroxyl very important to maintain the antimicrobial potential (Aslam et al., 2009).

258

259 According to the studies of Lopez-Amoros et al. (2006), the most relevant polyphenols found in
260 chickpeas at different concentrations are hydroxybenzoic phenolic compounds,
261 protocatechuic, p-hydroxybenzoic, vanillic acid, trans-ferulic acid, cis and trans p-coumaric
262 acid, which have been associated with antimicrobial properties (Daglia, 2012). Ghiassi et al.
263 (2012) studied the antimicrobial and antioxidant effect of phenolic compounds present in
264 acetone and methanolic extracts of chickpeas, germinated and not germinated. According to
265 their studies, ethanolic extracts from chickpeas showed the highest antioxidant potential,
266 being in spite of this, the acetone extract the richest in polyphenols. The germination process
267 increased the antioxidant potential of the chickpeas extracts, due to the synthesis of structural
268 proteins and other bioactive compounds that happens during this process (Kuo et al., 2004).
269 According to the studies of Fernandez-Orozco *et al.* (2009) the germination of chickpeas
270 contributes to improve the antioxidant potential of these legumes, manifested by means of an
271 increase in the total phenolic content, and a slight inhibition of lipid peroxidation. These
272 results have been supported by several subsequent studies confirming the possibility to
273 include chickpeas flours in new functional products formulation (Abou Arab et al., 2010).

274

275 **Peas (*Pisum sativum*)**

276 The antibacterial activity of seed/pod of *Pisum sativum* L. (garden pea) was demonstrated
277 against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Proteus vulgaris*, and
278 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* among others (Rehman & Khanum, 2011). Antimicrobial potential of
279 purified studied peptides (~19 kDa, ~22 kDa, for seed peptides; and ~10 kDa and ~11 kDa, for
280 pod peptides) was exerted at pH values in the range of 5-7. The effectiveness inhibiting
281 bacterial growth of purified peptide seed S₄ and S₅ (tested by disc diffusion method) (0-200
282 µg/ml) and pod (P7 and P8) fractions was specifically high against *S. aureus*, with a MIC
283 required value between 75 µg / ml and 100 µg /ml, respectively. High MIC values were
284 observed against other bacteria, mainly Gram negative *S. typhi* and *E. coli*. The antimicrobial
285 potential of seed and pod studied peptide fractions was dependent on temperature, being S₄
286 fraction effectiveness enhanced from 4°C to 25°C against *E. coli* and *S. typhi*. The effectiveness
287 of purified peptides from pea against *S. aureus* was doubled regarding the antimicrobial
288 potential of the antibiotic used as a control. Also the studies of Golla et al. (2016) revealed the
289 potential of germinated seeds to accumulate peptides with antimicrobial potential. *Peptides*
290 (*<10kDa*) of pea were effective against, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, and
291 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Among the studied germinated seeds extracts, phosphate buffered
292 (PBS) *Pisum sativum* exerted the highest inhibition potential of the obtained ones in the study
293 of Golla et al. (2016), showing 22.16±0.04 mm diameter zone against *Staphylococcus aureus*,
294 18.58±0.03 mm against *Escherichia coli*, and 9.35±0.05 mm against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

295

296 According to the studies of Habib et al. (2016) the pea sativum 90 % aqueous extract was
297 highly effective inhibiting *E. coli* growth, being the 60% fraction with moderate antibacterial
298 activity, meanwhile 30% aqueous extract not revealed significant antibacterial effect. The
299 antimicrobial potential of these extracts was attributed to the protease inhibitors present in
300 these plant materials, that inhibit the protease secreted by microorganisms, causing the lost of

301 cell viability due to the reduction in the available amino acids necessary for growth and
302 development.

303

304 Also the specific lectins present in *Pisum sativum* have been recognized as antimicrobials
305 against *Aspergillus flavus* and *Fusarium oxysporum* (Sharma et al. 2009).

306

307 The promising scientific results regarding the antimicrobial potential of pea sativum revealed
308 that even novel antibiotic substances could be obtained and purified from this plant, especially
309 from non-edible part of peas, contributing to the legumes by-products revalorization (Ayala et
310 al., 2014).

311

312 ***Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan)***

313 *Cajanus cajan* leaves are specifically rich in flavonoids and stilbenes, and also contain saponins,
314 tannins, and moderate quantities of reducing sugars, resins and terpenoids (Pal et al., 2011).

315 The *in vitro* antimicrobial potential of *C. cajan* has been assessed against *Staphylococcus*
316 *aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aspergillus*
317 *niger* and *Candida albicans*, among others. The supercritical fluid extracts showed a marked
318 inhibitory effect against *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* (Pal et al., 2011).

319

320 The antimicrobial potential of this legume has been also related to its content in a coumarin,
321 named as cajanus lactone, which exerts high antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* (Kong et
322 al., 2010). Also isoflavanoids present in ethanolic extract of pigeon pea leaves show significant
323 antimicrobial potential (Zu et al., 2010)

324

325 According to the studies of Okigbo, & Omodamiro (2007) the antimicrobial potential of leaf
326 extracts of *Cajanus cajan* was assessed against several bacteria and fungi (including *Escherichia*

327 *coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Candida albicans*). Organic solvent extracts
328 (petroleum ether, ethanol, and chloroform/methanol mixture) were effective inhibiting *E. coli*,
329 *S. aureus*, and *S. typhi*, being the aqueous extract only effective against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.
330 The most sensitive microorganism to the pigeon pea extracts was *S. typhi*, with MIC in the
331 range of 0.0325–0.0625 mg/ml, being *E. coli* the most resistant with MIC values between 0.125
332 and 0.25 mg/ml. No antimicrobial effect of the assayed extracts was detected against *C.*
333 *albicans* (Okigbo, & Omodamiro, 2007). In the same way, and according to Obiorah et al.
334 (2012) *Cajanus* leaf extracts showed significant inhibitory activity against *S. aureus* (9 - 14 mm)
335 and *Bacillus subtilis* (7 - 12 mm), being these fractions rich in reducing sugars, glycosides,
336 saponins, tannins, resins and acidic compounds.

337

338 ***Mung Bean (Vigna radiata)***

339 Certainly, the functionality and quality value (nutritional, organoleptical, technological) of
340 vegetable food products is highly influenced and modified by the stage of breeding, post-
341 harvest technological processing, and/or by modification of storage conditions. In legumes, it is
342 well established that the induction of germination results in an improvement of the
343 nutraceutical value, e.g. in soybeans, mung beans or lentils. During this process a wide variety
344 of secondary metabolites are generated such as lignins, salicylates, coumarins,
345 hydroxycinnamic amides, flavanoid phytoalexins, and pigments, enhancing also the protein
346 and essential nutrients that are readily to be used by the body (Swieca, 2015). Specifically,
347 sprouts like mung bean and fava bean are markadely rich in phenolics, vitamins and minerals
348 with demonstrable increased amounts in slowly digestable carbohydrate and potent
349 antioxidant activity after only one day of germination (Randhir et al., 2004). Accoridng to the
350 studies of Randhir et al. (2004) mung bean sprout extracts and mung bean treated extracts
351 with lactoferrin (LF) and oregano elicitors were specifically effective against *Helicobacter*

352 *pylori*. The high antimicrobial potential of both, LF and OE elicited extracts was also correlated
353 with their high antioxidant activity achieved after one day of germination.

354

355 The antimicrobial potential of mung bean sprout extracts to inhibit the growth of *Helicobacter*
356 *pylori* results really interesting for the scientific community, taking into account the incidence
357 of this bacteria worldwide, affecting even to the 80 % of the global population, with fatal
358 consequences, gastritis, peptic ulcers, and stomach cancer (Eusebi et al., 2014). This
359 microorganism has been recently classified as carcinogenic level I by the International Agency
360 for Research on Cancer (IARC) (1994). Randhir et al. (2004) and Mitchell & Megraud (2002)
361 confirmed the antimicrobial activity of mung bean extracts against this bacterium.

362 Considering the Solid State Bioconversion (SSB) process as an alternative technology to
363 enhance the functionality of cereals and legumes, new studies have been carried out with the
364 objective to test the increased antimicrobial potential linked to sprouts of mung bean by
365 means the application of this treatment. SSB implies the microbial bioprocessing in a solid food
366 substrate that acts as a physical support and source of nutrients in the presence of low free
367 liquid. This technology has demonstrated to be effective mobilizing the conjugate forms of
368 phenolic precursors naturally found in several vegetable and fruit matrices such as mung bean,
369 fava bean, cranberry pomace, and pineapple (Correia, McCue, Magalhães, Macêdo, & Shetty,
370 2004; Randhir, Vatterem, & Shetty, 2004). Randhir & Shetty (2007) SSB was carried a study on
371 *Rhizopus oligosporus* food grade. Agar-diffusion test was used to determine the antimicrobial
372 potential of mung bean extracts against *H. pylori* at different concentrations. The SSB mung
373 bean extracts effectiveness against *H. pylori* was assessed at different points during the solid-
374 state growth (0-20 days). In that sense, it was observed a marked antimicrobial capability of
375 mung bean extracts (100-200 µl) corresponding with 4 and 8 days being these extracts also the
376 most antioxidant, and confirming consequently that antioxidant activity compounds mobilized
377 during SSB could contribute to *H. pylori* inhibition. In the same way, phenolic polymerization

378 during SSB also is contributing to *H. pylori* growth inhibition, similar to the observed for SSB
379 fava bean and cranberry processing.

380

381 ***Soya (Soy Bean)***

382 Soy bean is highly valued in many countries worldwide by its nutraceutical potential and its
383 healthy benefits to human (Friedman & Brandon, 2001; Xiao, 2008), mainly, reducing the risks
384 of various cancers (Ahmad et al., 2013). This functional food crop is very rich in phytochemicals
385 and has good acceptance between the general public, due to the increased awareness of
386 consumers regarding the positive health benefits of this legume, boosting its introduction in
387 many new developed food products. The isoflavon content of soy bean (3mg /g dry weight)
388 has been associated to the health promoting activity of this vegetable product, due the
389 biological activity of soy isoflavones compounds such as genistein, daidzein and biochanin A
390 (Chang et al., 1995).

391

392 According to the studies of Shankar-Ponnusha et al. (2011) soya methanolic extracts prepared
393 at concentration of 24.6mg/ml showed antimicrobial potential against *Pseudomonas*
394 *aeruginosa* and less potential against *Bacillus subtilis*.

395

396 Also soy isoflavones have exerted antimicrobial potential to effectively fight microorganisms
397 biofilm formation. The capability of generally microbes to reside structured in dynamic,
398 complex, multicellular communities referred to as biofilms, represents a serious problem for
399 the food industry, due to the derived persistent contamination and fouling that this films
400 consist of. Although disinfection and chemical-based decontamination strategies (Srey et al.,
401 2013) are up-to-date the most effective measure to control foodborne pathogens proliferation
402 in solid surfaces, the development of new material, including antimicrobial natural substances,
403 to use for food packaging and film/coating protection of industrial surfaces is nowadays a

404 reality. In that sense, new alternative antimicrobial sources have emerged with potential
405 application in this field and good prospects in biofilm eradication (inhibition or disruption).
406 The antimicrobial potential of soy isoflavones (10 – 100 mg/mL) against *L. monocytogenes*
407 (LMC379), *P. aeruginosa* (PA76), *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and Methicillin Resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA
408 M0535) was assessed by Priyadarshini et al. (2015). The results obtained revealed an
409 antimicrobial capability against *L. monocytogenes* and *E. coli*, in the range of concentration of
410 [10 - 100 mg/mL], being not effective against the growth/formation of MRSA and *P.*
411 *aeruginosa*.

412

413 The studies of Shetty (2012) also demonstrated the antimicrobial efficacy of high phenolic
414 soybean sprouts and fermented extracts against *Helicobacter pylori in vitro*. According to
415 Shetty and co-workers, bioactive food phenols have the potential to inhibit specific steps in the
416 microaerobic metabolic stages of *H. pylori*, such as proline oxidation linked respiration, also
417 interrupting membrane related functions. This finding results very relevant becoming possible
418 the development of novel therapies based in the formulation of legumes-based products
419 against this pathogen in combination with the traditional use of antibiotics.

420

421 Other soy bean phytochemicals have also exerted antimicrobial potential, being the soy
422 peptides among them. The antimicrobial activity of soy based peptides (PGTAVFK and
423 IKAFKEATKVDKVVVLWTA) was assessed by Dhayakaran et al. (2016) against *Listeria*
424 *monocytogenes* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. It was observed that *P. aeruginosa* and *L.*
425 *monocytogenes* growth was stopped/slowed by the exposure to soy short-chain peptides,
426 being its antimicrobial activity exerted at concentrations above 625 mM. On the other hand,
427 long-chain soy peptides (IKAFKEATKVDKVVVLWTA) were more effective against both
428 microorganisms, with inhibitory effects exerted at concentration level of 37.2 mM.

429

430 Derivated peptides from soy have been also associated with hypocholesterolemic, antiobesity,
431 antioxidant, anticancer and immunomodulatory, moreover to antimicrobial properties (Feng-
432 Liu & Pan, 2011).

433

434 ***Lentils (Lens culinaris)***

435 Lentils are a vegetable group highly valuated in the cuisine worldwide by their capability to
436 readily absorb a variety of wonderful flavors and seasonings, being also relatively easy to
437 prepare compared with other types of beans. Their nutritional value is very attractive, being
438 remarkable their richness in fibre, functional minerals such as cooper, phosphorous,
439 manganese, and magnesium, and also valuable by its content in vitamins, mainly folate (Butu
440 et al., 2014).

441 The low glycemic index of these vegetables, and the pre-biotic proprieties associated to them,
442 morevoer to its richness in high biological value protein, make this vegetable group valuable
443 for the scientific commnity to search for novel processing and presentation forms to be
444 attractive to the general public. Physical, chemical and enzymatic processing of legumes are
445 being applied to improve the nutritional and palatability spectra of these vegetables.
446 Germination, UV radiation or High Hydrostaitc pressure treatment are influencing significantly
447 the digestibility and solubility of proteins from legumes and also are favouring the
448 accumulation of valuable secondary methabolites on their structures (Li et al., 2011).
449 Regarding the secondary metabolites responsible for the antimcirobial potential in legumes,
450 mainly polyphenols and peptides, scarce literature exists related to lentils and the study of
451 their bioactive compounds (Raj et al., 2016; Swieca et al. 2014). However, in the reviewed
452 literature a positive correlation is stablished between the antioxidant potential and polyphenol
453 content of this *Leguminosae* and their antimcirobial capability (Raj et al., 2016). In that sense,
454 and according to the studies of Swieca et al. (2014) the antioxidant and polyphenolic content

455 of lentils sprouts could be enhanced by means an elicitation processing. However, the
456 capability of sprouted lentils to inhibit food microorganisms has not been confirmed yet.

457

458 The studies of Nguyen et al. (2014) evaluating a great variety of vegetable material against
459 Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, revealed that lentils were not specifically effective
460 inhibiting *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Listeria innocua*, and *Escherichia coli*, among
461 others.

462 In spite of this, and according to the studies of Shenkarev et al. (2014), specific peptides with
463 antimicrobial potential (named defensins) have been encountered in *Lens culinaris*. According
464 to these researchers, the termed Lc-def defensin (5440.4 Da; 47 amino acid residues) found in
465 germinated seeds of lentils exhibited antifungal activity against *Botrytis cinerea* and
466 *Neurospora crassa* but did not inhibit the growth of Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria.
467 A concentration of 37 μM of Lc-def defensin was effective inhibiting the complete growth of
468 *Neurospora crassa*.

469

470 ***Black bean and Black turtle bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)***

471 Brazil is the most relevant black bean producer country. This food product has a privilegiate
472 position in the Food pyramid of populations worldwide, being this fact boosted by the richness
473 of this legume in uncountable bioactive compounds (Xu and Chang, 2009). Black beans are rich
474 in molybdenum, ferrous (59 μg Fe/g), phenolic acids (83.2 59 $\mu\text{g/g}$), folate (2.56 $\mu\text{g/g}$) and also
475 in vitamin B₁ (4.2 $\mu\text{g/g}$). The non-digestible carbohydrates fraction (dietary fibers and
476 oligosaccharides) in black beans is higher than the found in other legumes, like lentils or
477 chickpeas. This indigestible fraction stimulates the growth of bifidogenic and lactic acid
478 bacteria in the gastro-intestinal tract. The substances produced in the colon by microbiota (e.g.
479 butyric acid) have been related to the maintenance of the gut tract health, being the

480 consumption of black beans recently associated with a reduction of the colon cancer risk in rats
481 (Hangen & Bennink, 2002).

482

483 According to the studies of Jati, Vadivel, and Biesalki (2013) it is remarkable the antioxidant
484 capability of anthocyanins contained in colored legumes - black, purple, red and blue-colored
485 seed coated. Individual anthocyanin compounds in legumes – delphinidin-3-O-glucoside,
486 petunidin-3-O-glucoside, and malvidin-3-O-glucoside – have been reported to have anti-
487 inflammatory, anti-proliferative and antioxidant potent activities, confirmed both *in vitro* and *in*
488 *vivo* (Lin, Gong & Song, 2016). Despite the great number of papers dealing with the functional
489 effects exerted by anthocyanins, only a limited number of studies is focused on the
490 antimicrobial capability of these compounds from vegetable origin. To our knowledge, scarce
491 information exist regarding the specific antimicrobial potential of legumes coats depending on
492 the anthocyanin content. In spite of this, many authors have established a direct relationship
493 between antioxidant potential of these bioactive compounds and their capability to inhibit
494 bacterial growth. Cisowska, Wojnicz and Hendrich (2011) review the antimicrobial potential of
495 pure anthocyanins from fruits and grains and confirmed the generally highest susceptibility of
496 Gram positive bacteria than Gram negative.

497

498 According to the studies of Nguyen et al. (2014) among the *Fabaceae* family, ethanolic and
499 methanolic extracts of black bean and black turtle bean were specifically effective inhibiting
500 Gram + and Gram – bacteria. These results seem to be related with the bioactive compounds
501 contained in the coatings of the coloured seeds. Coloured seed coats are rich in bioactive
502 secondary metabolites such as anthocyanins, condensed tannins and flavonoids (Vázquez et
503 al., 2007; Rocha-Guzman et al., 2007) and consequently exerted a stronger antibacterial
504 activity regarding the not coloured ones (e.g. navy beans).

505

506 Ariza Ortega et al. (2013) assessed the antimicrobial potential of several varieties of *Phaseolus*
507 *vulgaris* L. According to their results, black bean methanolic extracts (100 %, 80 %, and 50 %)
508 showed the highest antioxidant potential, being also highly effective inhibiting *S. typhi* (50 %
509 methanolic extracts), *S. aureus* (100 % methanolic extracts) and *B. cereus* (50 % methanolic
510 extract).

511

512 ***Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)***

513 The antimicrobial potential of peanuts shells was studied by Vaughn (1995). It was observed
514 that the 5,7-dihydroxychromone flavonoid decomposition production from peanut shells was
515 able to inhibit the 50 % of fungi growth at concentration levels in the range of 18-26 μ M. Both
516 *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* were effectively inhibiting fungi growth and establishing
517 consequently an effective protection of the plant against phytopathogens. Although the
518 research regarding the antimicrobial potential of peanut is scarce, there are some studies also
519 associated to the antimicrobial capability of peanut by-products.

520 In the line of polymeric flavonols and tannins with antimicrobial potential, the capability of
521 pro-anthocyanins present in the peanut skin were evaluated by Sarnoski et al. (2012) against *S.*
522 *cerevisiae*, *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* (both obtained from an industry source, isolated from
523 spoiled beverage), and *Zygosaccharomyces bisporus*. The *S. cerevisiae* growth was significantly
524 inhibited by means the exposure to whole peanut skins extracts at concentration level of 10
525 mg/ml by means an extensión of the lag phase. The fractioned extract of peanut skin was also
526 studied in terms of its antimicrobial potential. According to the obtained results, one of the
527 studied fractions, specifically rich in compounds of low molecular weight (570–600 Da) was the
528 most inhibitory against *S. cerevisiae* even at lower concentrations [1-4] mg/ml (Sarnoski et al.,
529 2012).

530

531 Also phytoalexins from peanuts have exerted antimicrobial potential (Holland & O'Keefe,
532 2010). Moreover to the phytoalexins antimicrobial properties, these compounds have been
533 recently associated with anti-diabetic, anti-cancerigen and vasodilatory effects (Lozano-Mena
534 et al., 2014). These secondary metabolites are among the main defense groups in plants,
535 which generation is modulated by the activation of specific biosynthesis pathways, answering
536 to the exposure to phytopathogens. Phenols, terpenoids, furanoacetylenes, steroid
537 glycoalkaloids, sulfur-containing compounds and indoles are some of the chemical families to
538 which phytoalexins are belonging. Endogenous (such as the effects of sugars, sucrose, glucose
539 and fructose) or exogenous (such as elicitors) signals are acting on the mechanisms regulating
540 the biosynthesis and accumulation of phytoalexin in plants, as well as controlling the
541 expression of biosynthetic genes.

542

543 Peterson et al. (2015) studied the antimicrobial potential of defensins from peanut. Minimal
544 inhibitory concentration against bacteria (*E. coli* and *S. aureus* (clinical isolate)) and fungi
545 (*Alternaria* and *Cladosporium*) was determined. Peanut defensins showed no inhibitory effect
546 against bacteria at a maximum concentration level of 64 µg/mL peanut defensins. Similar
547 results were obtained after the exposure of several yeast such as *C. albicans*, *P. pastoris*, and *S*
548 *cerevisiae* to the considered defensins. In spite of this, a potent anti-fungal activity was exerted
549 by peanut defensins at low concentration levels (even at 6.25 µg/mL) against *Alternaria*
550 species, being the antimicrobial effect dose-time dependent. 70 % of *Alternaria* and
551 *Cladosporium* growth was inhibited by means of 25 to 100 µg/mL of the peanut defensin,
552 showed prolonged along time of exposure (up to 150 h of study).

553

554 **Conclusion**

555 The *Leguminosae* family is a very promising group of plants and grains with demonstrated
556 antimicrobial potential against some of the most relevant foodborne pathogens. The future

557 design of novel ingredients from legumes (e.g. proteinic flours, and polyphenols concentrates
558 from legume hulls) leads to the development of a new generation of innovative products with
559 nutritional and technological improved value. In that sense, the capability of bioactive
560 compounds from legumes to inhibit bacterial growth in food matrices is one of the most
561 promising applicabilities of this family of plants, especially in minimally processed products of
562 added value, and to control the bacterial proliferation during the shelf-life of the food.

563

564 **Acknowledgements**

565 The present research work has been supported by the funds provided by the Spanish Ministry
566 of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) as **HELICOFood** project, with reference AGL2014-
567 53875-R. Postdoctoral contract of M.C. Pina-Pérez as *Juan de la Cierva-Incorporación* granted
568 by the MINECO is also acknowledged.

569 **References**

570

571 Abou Arab, E.A., Helmy, I.M.F., and Bareh, G.F. (2010). Nutritional Evaluation and Functional
572 Properties of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Flour and the Improvement of Spaghetti Produced
573 from its. *Journal of American Science*, 6 (10), 1055–1072.

574

575 Al-Snafi, A.E. (2016). Medicinal plants with antimicrobial activities (part 2): Plant based review.
576 *Scholars Academic Journal of Pharmacy*, 5(6), 208–239

577

578 Allaire, H., Brady, T. (2008). Classification and Botanical Description of Legumes. *Academics*
579 *Hamilton*, Available at:
580 http://academics.hamilton.edu/foodforthought/our_research_files/beans_peas.pdf

581

582 Ariza Ortega, T.J., Berber Aceves, G.E., Ramírez Sotelo, M.G., Yáñez Fernández, J. (2013).
583 Methanolic Extracts Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities from Five Varieties of Common
584 Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*,
585 2(11), 3196–3201.

586

587 Asian Productivity Organization (APO). (2003). Processing and Utilization of Legumes. ISBN: 92-
588 833-7012-0. Available at: [http://www.apo-tokyo.org/00e-books/AG-12_Legumes/AG-](http://www.apo-tokyo.org/00e-books/AG-12_Legumes/AG-12_Legumes.pdf)
589 [12_Legumes.pdf](http://www.apo-tokyo.org/00e-books/AG-12_Legumes/AG-12_Legumes.pdf).

590

591 Aslam, N.S., Stevenson, P.C., Kokubun, T., Hall, D.R. (2009). Antibacterial and antifungal activity
592 of cicerfuran and related 2-arylbenzofurans and stilbenes. *Microbiological Research*, 164(2),
593 191–195

594

595 Ayala, G., Escobedo-Hinojosa, W.I., de la Cruz-Herrera, C.F., Romero, I. (2014). Exploring
596 alternative treatments for *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*,
597 20(6), 1450–1469.

598

599 Barari, L., Mosavi, N., Asgharpour, F., Asadi, A., Moulana, Z., Elmi, M.M. (2015). Antibacterial
600 and antifungal effect of chickpea (*cicer arietinum*) aqueous seed extract. *World Journal of*
601 *Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 4(10), 336–346.

602

603 Butu, M., Rodino, S., Butu, A., Butinariu, M. (2014). Screening of Bioflavonoid and antioxidant
604 activity of lens culinaris medikus. *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures*, 9(2), 519
605 – 529.

606

607 Chávez-Santoscoy, R.A., Lazo-Vélez, M.A., Serna-Sáldivar, S.O., Gutiérrez-Urbe, J.A. (2016).
608 Delivery of Flavonoids and Saponins from Black Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) Seed Coats
609 Incorporated into Whole Wheat Bread. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 17(222),
610 1-14.
611
612 Chang, Y.C., Nair, M.G., Nitiss, J.L. (1995). Metabolites of daidzein and genistein and their
613 biological activities. *Journal of Natural Products*, 58(12), 1901-1905.
614
615 Cisowska, A., Wojnicz, D., Hendrich, A.B. (2011). Anthocyanins as antimicrobial agents of
616 natural plant origin. *Natural Product Communications*, 6(1), 149-56.
617
618 Correia R.T.P., McCue P., Magalhães M.M.A., Macêdo G.R., Shetty K., (2004). Amylase and
619 *Helicobacter pylori* inhibition by phenolic extracts of pineapple wastes bioprocessed by
620 *Rhizopus oligosporus*. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 28, 404–419.
621
622 Daglia, M. (2012). Polyphenols as antimicrobial agents. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology, Food*
623 *biotechnology - Plant biotechnology*, 23 (2), 174–181.
624
625 Dhayakaran, R.P.A. (2014). Investigation of the Antimicrobial Activity of Soy based Isoflavones
626 and Peptides against Pathogenic Biofilms. PhD Thesis, Guelph, Ontario, Canada. Pp: 1–99.
627
628 Eusebi, L.H., Zagari, R.M., Bazzoli, F. (2014). Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* Infection.
629 *Helicobacter*. *Helicobacter*, 19 (1), 1–5
630

631 Feng Liu, C. & Pan, T.M. (2011). Beneficial Effects of Bioactive Peptides Derived from Soybean
632 on Human Health and Their Production by Genetic Engineering, Soybean and Health, Prof.
633 Hany
634 El-Shemy (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-535-8, InTech, Available from:
635 <http://www.intechopen.com/books/soybean-andhealth/beneficial-effects-of-bioactive->
636 [peptides-derived-from-soybean-on-human-health-and-their-production-b](http://www.intechopen.com/books/soybean-andhealth/beneficial-effects-of-bioactive-peptides-derived-from-soybean-on-human-health-and-their-production-b)
637
638 Fernandez-Orozco R, Frias J, Zielinski H, Munoz M, Piskula MK, Kozłowska H, Vidal-Valverde C.
639 (2009). Evaluation of bioprocesses to improve the antioxidant properties of
640 chickpeas. *Food Research Technology*, 42, 885–92.
641
642 Fidrianny, I., Puspitasari, N., Singgih, M. (2014). Antioxidant activities, total flavonoid, phenolic,
643 carotenoid of various shells extracts from four species of legumes. *Asian Journal of*
644 *Pharmaceutical Clinical Research*, 7(4), 42-46.
645
646 Friedman M., Brandon D.L. (2001). Nutritional and health benefits of soy proteins. *Journal of*
647 *Agricultural Food Chemistry*, 49(3), 1069–86.
648
649 Ghadge, P.N., Shewalkar,S.V., and Wankhede, D.B. (2008). Effect of Processing Methods on
650 Qualities of Instant Whole Legume: Pigeon Pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Agriculture Engineering*
651 *International: the CIGR Ejournal*, FP 08 004: 1–8.
652
653 Ghiassi Tarzi, B., Gharachorloo, M., Baharinia, M., and Mortazavi, S.A. (2012). The Effect of
654 Germination on Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activity of Chickpea. *Iranian Journal of*
655 *Pharmaceutical Research*, 11 (4), 1137–1143
656

657 Gowen, A. (2008). Development of innovative, quick-cook legume products: an investigation of
658 the soaking, cooking and dehydration characteristics of chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum L.*) and
659 soybeans (*Glycine max L. Merr.*). PhD Thesis. Dublin Institute of Technology. Available at:
660 <http://arrow.dit.ie/tourdoc/4>
661

662 Golla, K., Vutukuru, S.S., Rani, J.U., Meghanath, P., Pasha, C. (2016). Screening of small
663 peptides from various germinating seeds having antimicrobial activity. *IOSR-JPBS*, 11 (1), 52–
664 60.
665

666 Gujral, H.S., Sharma, P., Gupta, N., Wani, A.A. (2013). Antioxidant properties of legumes and
667 their morphological fractions as affected by cooking. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 22 (1),
668 187–194
669

670 Gujral, H.S., Angurala, M., Sharma, P., Singh, J. (2012). Phenolic content and antioxidant
671 activity of germinated and cooked pulses. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 14,
672 1366–1374.
673

674 Habib, H., Fazili, K.M., Zargar, M.A., and Ganie, B.A. (2016). Protease Inhibitor Associated
675 Antimicrobial Activity of Pea *Pisum sativum L. cv. Arkel*. *International Journal of Pure Applied*
676 *Biosciences*, 4 (1), 172–179.
677

678 Hangen L, Bennink MR. (2002). Consumption of black beans and navy beans (*Phaseolus*
679 *vulgaris*) reduced azoxymethane-induced colon cancer in rats. *Nutritional Cancer*, 44 (1), 60–
680 65.
681

682 Heinonen, M. (2007). Antioxidant activity and antimicrobial effect of berry phenolics — a
683 Finnish perspective. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 51, 684–691.
684

685 Holland, K.W., O'Keefe, S.F. (2010). Recent applications of peanut phytoalexins. *Recent Patents*
686 *on Food, Nutrition & Agriculture*, 2 (3), 221–232.
687

688 IARC-WHO (International Agency for Research on Cancer- World Health Organisation). (2014).
689 *Helicobacter pylori* Eradication as a Strategy for Preventing Gastric Cancer. Working Group
690 Reports, 8, 1–190. Available from:
691 <https://www.iarc.fr/en/publications/pdfsonline/wrk/wrk8/HelicobacterpyloriEradication.pdf>.
692 Accessed December 2016
693

694 Jati, I.R.A.P., Vadivel, V., Biesalki, H.K. (2013). Chapter 31 – Antioxidant activity of Anthocyanins
695 in common legumes grains. *Bioactive Food as Dietary Interventions for Liver and*
696 *Gastrointestinal Disease*, 485–497.
697

698 Kan, A., Özçeli, B., Kartal, M., Özdemir, Z.A., and Özgen, S. (2010). *In vitro* antimicrobial
699 activities of *Cicer arietinum* L (Chickpea). *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 9 (5),
700 475–481.
701

702 Kanatt, S.R., Arjun, K., Arun, S. (2011). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of legume hulls.
703 *Food Research International*, 44, 3182–3187.
704

705 Kim, J.Y., Park, S.C., Hwang, I., Cheong, H., Nah, J.W., Hahm, K., and Park, Y. (2009). Protease
706 Inhibitors from Plants with Antimicrobial Activity. *International Journal of Molecular Science*,
707 10 (6), 2860–2872.

708

709 Kong, Y., Fu, Y.J., Zu, Y.G., Chang, F.R., Chen, Y.H., Liu, X.L., et al. (2010). Cajanuslactone a new
710 coumarin with anti bacterial activity from pigeon pea leaves. *Food Chemistry*, *121*, 1150–1155.

711

712 Kuo YH, Rozan P, Lambein F, Frias J and Vidal-Valverde C. (2004). Effects of different
713 germination conditions on the contents of free protein and non-protein aminoacids of
714 commercial legumes. *Food Chemistry*, *86*, 537–545.

715

716 Li, H., Zhu, K., Zhou, H., Peng, W. (2011). Effects of High Hydrostatic Pressure on Some
717 Functional and Nutritional Properties of Soy Protein Isolate for Infant Formula. *Journal of*
718 *Agriculture & Food Chemistry*, *59* (22), 12028–12036

719

720 Li Y., Xiang Q., Zhang Q., Huang Y., Su Z. (2012). Overview on the recent study of antimicrobial
721 peptides: origins, functions, relative mechanisms and application. *Peptides*, *37*, 207–215.

722

723 Lin, B.W., Gong, C.C., Song, H.F., , Y.Y. (2016). Effects of anthocyanins on the prevention and
724 treatment of cancer. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, In Press. doi: 10.1111/bph.13627.

725

726 López-Amorós, M.L., Hernández, T., Estrella, I. (2006). Effect of germination on legume
727 phenolic compounds and their antioxidant activity. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*,
728 *19*, 277–283.

729

730 Malaguti, M., Dinelli, G., Leoncini, E., Bregola, V., Bosi, S., Cicero, A.F.G., and Hrelia, S. (2014).
731 Bioactive Peptides in Cereals and Legumes: Agronomical, Biochemical and Clinical Aspects.
732 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *15*, 21120–21135.

733

734 Mitchell, H. and F. Megraud, (2002). Epidemiology and diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori*
735 infection. *Helicobacter*, 7, 8–16.

736

737 Montesinos, E., (2007). Antimicrobial peptides and plant disease control. *FEMS Microbiological*
738 *Letters*, 270 (1), 1–11.

739

740 Nair, S.S., Madembil, N.C., Nair, P., Raman, S., Veerabadrappa, S.B. (2013). Comparative
741 analysis of the antibacterial activity of some phytolectins. *International Current Pharmaceutical*
742 *Journal*, 2 (2), 18–22.

743

744 Nair, M., & Sandhu, S.S. (2013). A Kunitz trypsin inhibitor from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)
745 that exerts an antimicrobial effect on *Fusarium oxysporum* f.s p. *ciceris*. *Agricultural Sciences*,
746 4 (11), 585–594.

747

748 Neveu, V., Perez-Jiménez, J., Vos, F., Crespy, V., du Chaffaut, L., Mennen, L., Knox, C., Eisner,
749 R., Cruz, J., Wishart, D., Scalbert, A. (2010). Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database
750 on polyphenol contents in foods. *Databases 2010*, 1–9.

751

752 Nguyen, S., Huang, H., Foster, B.C., Tam, T.W., Xing, T., Smith, M.L., Arnason, J.T., Akhtar, H.
753 (2014). Antimicrobial and P450 Inhibitory Properties of Common Functional Foods. *Journal of*
754 *Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 17 (2), 254–265.

755

756 Obiorah, S., Eze, E., Obiorah, D., Orji, N., Umedum, C. (2012). Phytochemical and Antimicrobial
757 Studies on the Extracts from Leaves of *Cajanus Cajan* and *Eucalyptus Globulus*. 2012
758 *International Conference on Environment, Chemistry and Biology, IPCBEE 49, ACSIT Press,*
759 *Singapore, 49, 192–197.*

760

761 Okigbo, R.N. & Omodamiro, O.D. (2007). Antimicrobial Effect of Leaf Extracts of Pigeon Pea
762 (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) on some human pathogens. *Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal*
763 *Plants*, 12 (1-2), 117–127.

764

765 Paiva, P.M.G., Gomes, F.S., Napoleão, T.H., Sá, R.A., Correia, M.T.S., Coelho, L.C.B.B. (2010).
766 Antimicrobial activity of secondary metabolites and lectins from plants. *Current Research,*
767 *Technology, and Education Topics in Applied Microbiology and Microbial Biotechnology*, A.
768 Mendez Vilas (Ed.). pp:1–11.

769

770

771 Pal, D., Mishra, P., Sachan, N., Ghosh, A.K. (2011) Biological activities and medicinal properties
772 of *Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp. *Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology & Research*, 2 (4),
773 207–214.

773

774 Sarnoski, P.J., Boyer, R.R., O’Keefe, S.F. (2012). Application of Proanthocyanidins from Peanut
775 Skins as a Natural Yeast Inhibitory Agent. *Journal of Food Science*, 77 (4), 242–250.

776

777 Pereira, A.P., Ferreira, C.F.R., Marcelino, F., Valentão, P., Andrade, P.B., Seabra, R., Estevinho,
778 L., Bento, A., and Pereira, J.A. (2007). Phenolic Compounds and Antimicrobial Activity of Olive
779 (*Olea europaea* L. Cv. Cobrançosa) Leaves. *Molecules*, 12, 1153–1162.

780

781 Pérez-Jiménez, J., Neveu, V., Vos, F., and Scalbert, A. (2010). Identification of the 100 richest
782 dietary sources of polyphenols: an application of the Phenol-Explorer database. *European*
783 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 64, 112–120.

784

785 Petersen, A., Kull, S., Rennert, S., Becker, W.M., Krause, S., Ernst, M., Gutschmann, T., Bauer,
786 J., Lindner, B., Jappe, U. (2015). Peanut defensins: Novel allergens isolated from lipophilic
787 peanut extract. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 136 (5), 1295–1301.

788

789 Pina-Pérez, M.C., Rodrigo, D., Martínez, A. (2011). Bacteriostatic Effect of cocoa powder rich in
790 polyphenols to control *Cronobacter sakazakii* in infant milk formula. Menéndez - Vilas, pp: 85–
791 88.

792

793 Polak, R., Phillips, E.M., Campbell, A. (2015). Legumes: Health Benefits and Culinary
794 Approaches to Increase Intake. *Clinical Diabetes*, 33 (4), 198–205.

795

796 Ponnusha, B.S., Subramaniam, S., Pasupathi, P., Subramaniam, B., Virumandy, R. (2011)
797 Antioxidant and Antimicrobial properties of *Glycine Max-A* review. *International Journal of*
798 *Current Biology & Medical Sciences*, 1 (2), 49–62.

799

800 Priyadarshini, R., Dhayakaran, A., Neethirajan, S., Xue, J., Shi, J. (2015). Characterization of
801 antimicrobial efficacy of soy isoflavones against pathogenic biofilms. *LWT - Food Science &*
802 *Technology*, 1-7

803

804 Randhir, R., Lin, Y.-T., Shetty, K., (2004). Stimulation of phenolics, antioxidant and antimicrobial
805 activities in dark germinated mung bean sprouts in response to peptide and phytochemical
806 elicitors. *Process Biochemistry*, 39, 637–646.

807

808

809 Randhir, R., & Shetty, K. (2007). Mung beans processed by solid-state bioconversion improves
810 phenolic content and functionality relevant for diabetes and ulcer management. *Innovative*
811 *Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 8, 197–204.

812

813 Raj, V., Peepliwal, A., Lariya, S.K. (2016). Phytochemical and antimicrobial screening of poly-
814 herbal formulation for anti-acne activity. *World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 5(3),
815 1069–1083.

816

817 Rehman, S. & Khanum, A. (2011). Isolation and Characterization of peptide(s) from pisum
818 sativum having antimicrobial activity against various bacteria. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 43
819 (6), 2971–2978.

820

821 Rocha-Guzmán, N.E., Herzog, A., González-Laredo, R.F., Ibarra-Pérez, F.J., Zambrano-Galván, G.
822 Gallegos-Infante, J.A. (2007). Antioxidant and antimutagenic activity of phenolic compounds in
823 three different colour groups of common bean cultivars (*P. vulgaris*). *Food Chemistry*, 103,
824 521–527.

825

826 Shenkarev Z.O., Gizatullina A.K., Finkina EI, Alekseeva EA, Balandin SV, Mineev KS, Arseniev
827 AS, Ovchinnikova TV. (2014) Heterologous expression and solution structure of defensin from
828 lentil *Lens culinaris*. *Biochemistry & Biophysics Research Communication*, 451 (2), 252–257.

829

830 Shetty, K. (2012) Control of *Helicobacter pylori* by dietary and bioprocessed soy phenolics.
831 Available at: [http://portal.nifa.usda.gov/web/crisprojectpages/0210650-control-of-](http://portal.nifa.usda.gov/web/crisprojectpages/0210650-control-of-helicobacter-pylori-by-dietary-and-bioprocessed-soy-phenolics.html)
832 [helicobacter-pylori-by-dietary-and-bioprocessed-soy-phenolics.html](http://portal.nifa.usda.gov/web/crisprojectpages/0210650-control-of-helicobacter-pylori-by-dietary-and-bioprocessed-soy-phenolics.html)

833

834 Shuster-Gajzágó, I. (2002). Nutritional Aspects of legumes. Cultivated plants Primarily as food
835 sources. *EOLOSS*, 1-7.

836

837 Sitohy, M. & Osman, A. (2010). Antimicrobial activity of native and esterified legume proteins
838 against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Food Chemistry*, 120 (1), 66–73

839

840 Sitohy, M., Mahgoub, S., Osman, A. (2011) Controlling psychrotrophic bacteria in raw buffalo
841 milk preserved at 4 °C with esterified legume proteins. *LWT - Food Science & Technology*, 44
842 (8), 1697–1702

843

844 Sitohy, M., Mahgoub, S., Osman, A., El-Masry, R., Al-Gaby, A. (2013). Extent and Mode of
845 Action of Cationic Legume Proteins against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella Enteritidis*.
846 *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 5, 195–205.

847

848 Srey, S., Jahid, I.K., Ha, S.D. (2013). Biofilm formation in food industries: a food safety concern.
849 *Food Control*, 31, 572–585

850

851 Swieca, M., Seczyk, Gawlik-Dziki, U., (2014). Elicitation and precursor feeding as tools for the
852 improvement of the phenolic content and antioxidant activity of lentil sprouts. *Food Chemistry*,
853 161, 288–295.

854

855 Swieca, M. (2015). Elicitation with abiotic stresses improves pro-health constituents,
856 antioxidant potential and nutritional quality of lentil sprouts. *Saudi Journal of Biological
857 Sciences*, 22, 409–416

858

859 Tam, J.P., Wang, S., Wong, K.H., and Tan, W.L. (2015) Antimicrobial Peptides from Plants.
860 *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*, 8 (4), 711–757.

861

862 Van Buul, V.J., Brouns, F.J.P.H (2014) Health effects of wheat lectins: A review. *Journal of*
863 *Cereal Science*, 1–6.

864

865 Vásquez, C.A., Molina, M.C., Miranda, I., Tafurt, G.G., Martínez, M.J., Stashenko, E.E. (2007).
866 Actividad antioxidante y contenido total de fenoles de los extractos etanólicos de *Salvia*
867 *araticensis*, *Salvia sochensis*, *Bidens reptans* y *Montanoa avalifolia*. *Science & Technology*, 33,
868 205–207.

869

870 Vaughn, S.F.. (1995) Phytotoxic and antimicrobial activity of 5,7-dihydroxychromone from
871 peanut shells. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 21 (2), 107–115.

872

873 Ye, X., T. Ng, and P. Rao, (2002). Cicerin and arietin, novel chickpea peptides with different
874 antifungal potencies. *Peptides*, 23 (5), 817–822.

875

876 Xu, B., Chang, S.K. (2009). Total Phenolic, Phenolic Acid, Anthocyanin, Flavan-3-ol, and Flavonol
877 Profiles and Antioxidant Properties of Pinto and Black Beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as Affected
878 by Thermal Processing. *Journal of Agriculture & Food Chemistry*, 10, 57 (11), 4754-4764.

879

880 Zhao, Y., Du, S., Wang, H., Cai, M. (2014). In vitro antioxidant activity of extracts from common
881 legumes. *Food Chemistry*, 152 (1), 462–466.

882

883 Zu, Y., Xiao-lei, Fu, Y., Wu, N., YuKong, M. W. (2010). Chemical composition of the SFE-CO₂
884 extracts from *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Huth and their antimicrobial activity *in vitro* and *in*
885 *vivo*. *Phytomedicine*, 17, 1095–1101.

886