Synthesis of γ-lactones from easily and accessible reactants catalysed by Cu-MnOx catalysts
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Abstract

The mechanism of the oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of alkenes with anhydrides using oxygen as oxidant to synthesize $\gamma$-lactones has been studied using a heterogeneous dual copper-manganese based catalyst. The cyclization takes place through two coexisting reaction mechanisms, the involvement of different reaction intermediates and a clear synergistic effect between copper and manganese.

In fact it appears that CuO clusters dispersed on the surface of a manganese-based oxide increase the redox capability of manganese ions and leads to an increase in the release of oxygen from the surface.
**Introduction**

The direct preparation of \( \gamma \)-lactones from linear substrates (vs. carboxylic acids, ketoesters, …) has attracted much attention despite the main restrictive limitation of these linear molecules is that they are usually obtained through a multiple step synthesis [1]. For this reason, the use of simple and easily available substrates is highly desirable. Among such alternative strategies, the carboesterification reaction of alkenes is a useful approach to \( \gamma \)-lactones [2] because of their high potential for application in natural product and drug synthesis [3].

Among the elements that have shown activity in this reaction, the case of manganese and copper must be emphasized. In this regard, manganese has not behaved as a true catalyst, since stoichiometric quantities of this element are needed to complete the reaction [4], while copper complexes have shown activity though, with the problems of recovery and reuse [5]. In this context, a full mechanistic study has been conducted on different manganese-based oxides in order to understand the main physico-chemical features of these solids that can lead to maximize both the activity and selectivity values during the carboesterification reaction of alkenes.

For achieving this, a variety of different manganese-based oxides have been prepared and some of them have been doped with copper [6], in an attempt to find if these elements were acting separately or jointly through a plausible synergistic mechanism.

Indeed, previous studies reported the use of copper (II) as co-oxidant in transformations of intermediate radicals to carbocations, [7] as well as for improving the ability of manganese to exchange electrons in eventual oxidation/reduction processes [8].

In view of these precedents, here we will develop a manganese/copper dual system that uses air as terminal oxidant so that the carboesterification reaction of alkenes can take place. We will show how reaction mechanistic studies not only allow a better
understanding of how the reaction occurs, but also helps to improve the catalyst design for achieving higher activities and selectivities.

Results and discussion

1) Screening of copper and manganese-based catalysts for the carboesterification reaction of styrene to obtain $\gamma$-lactones.

Pioneering mechanistic studies on the synthesis of $\gamma$-lactones from olefins in the presence of the Mn(III) salt (Mn(OAc)$_3$), [4] pointed to two possible reaction pathways to explain the formation of these cyclic esters: a) a radicalary mechanism and b) a single-electron transfer mechanism. A more recent study described the MnO$_2$ promoted carboesterification of alkenes pointing to the intervention of a radical pathway as a plausible reaction mechanism in the presence of additives such as LiBr and NaOAc [9].

In this context previous studies by Huang et al. showed that a copper (II) salt efficiently catalyzed the [3+2] cycloaddition of alkenes with acetic anhydrides to give $\gamma$-lactones [5] with good to excellent yields and a tentative mechanism based on the enolization of the starting anhydride was suggested [10]. *A priori*, both reaction routes with copper and manganese have in common the use of LiBr (20%) and bases as additives. Nonetheless the route with copper differs in the participation of ionic intermediates unlike the manganese route.

Taking into account the above precedents, a series of amorphous (MnOx) and crystalline manganese-based oxides (Mn$_2$O$_3$, molecular sieve cryptomelane OMS-2 and the layered manganese-based oxide birnessite OL-Na) were synthesized *via* a variety of preparative methods (see Experimental Section). The resulting materials were properly characterized [6,11]. All these materials were applied as catalysts for the carboesterification reaction of alkenes with anhydrides as a simple approach to $\gamma$-lactones,
using the styrene carboesterification reaction with acetic anhydride as model reaction (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1** Reaction scheme for the [3+2] cycloaddition of styrene with acetic anhydride to afford γ-lactone 1.

Copper (II) was also incorporated as co-oxidant on these materials in order to assist in the transformation of hypothetically formed radical intermediates [7], and/or to improve the performance of manganese oxides in eventual redox processes (see Experimental Section) [8]. We envisaged that copper would influence positively the inter or intramolecular addition to carbon-carbon multiple bonds through any of the hypothetical mechanisms that have been previously pointed out. Then, preliminary investigations focused on the styrene carboesterification reaction with acetic anhydride to give γ-butyrolactone (1) as model reaction using this series of manganese solids in the presence of 20 mol % LiBr and 1 equiv of NaOAc, under air, at 120°C. Under these reaction conditions the aforementioned reaction proceeds to afford rather low yields of the cyclic ester 1 (entries 1-6, Table 1).

**Table 1** Results on the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride using different copper and/or manganese-based catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>MB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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According to results presented in table 1 since most manganese containing materials were active in the reaction (entries 1-6, Table 1), the presence of this element was taken into account along with copper in metal-doped manganese oxides for the interpretation of results (Table 1). Besides, when dealing with mixed valence oxides
containing mainly tri and tetravalent manganese such as the case of cryptomelane OMS-2 and the laminar material OL-Na, all manganese centres were considered, in principle, as potential active sites [6].

In principle, the fact that similar yields of 1 were achieved with OMS-2 under aerobic and under inert atmosphere evidenced the lack of a regeneration process promoted by molecular oxygen (entries 1-2, Table 1) and reinforced the participation of OMS-2 as a stoichiometric oxidant as it will be shown below. Effectively, we concluded that Mn did not behave neither as a true nor effective catalyst in the carboesterification of styrene since even stoichiometric amounts of this element were insufficient to complete the conversion of the alkene and afford high yields of 1 (entries 1 and 3, Table 1). Moreover, looking closely at these results included in table 1 it was observed that increasing amounts of Mn increased significantly the conversion of the vinyl aromatic alkene as well as the selectivity and yield of compound 1 but, in both cases, the mass-balances were rather low (entries 1 and 3, Table 1).

Besides, it must be noted that with this series of manganese solids the most relevant secondary products detected by GC along with the main product, i.e., \( \gamma \)-lactone 1 were (1, 2-dibromoethylbenzene) (2) and 1-phenylethane-1,2-diyl diacetate (3), whereas 2-oxo-2-phenylacetate was detected at the level of traces (entries 1-6, table 1) (Figure 1).

![Subproducts detected by GC-MS in the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride in the presence of OMS-2.](image)

**Figure 1** Subproducts detected by GC-MS in the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride in the presence of OMS-2.
At this point, we attempted to make the reaction catalytic by incorporating copper on the solids and reducing the amount of manganese accordingly. The incorporation of copper on the microporous material OMS-2 led to a slight improvement of the conversion and selectivity values, the mass balances notably improved, though the catalytic results were still rather far from optimum (entries 1 and 7, Table 1).

In parallel, a series of differently loaded copper catalysts were prepared using the same manganese oxide OMS-2 as support. All materials gave from low to moderate results as catalysts (entries 8-12, Table 1). TEM analysis of these copper-doped samples showed a rather heterogeneous distribution of CuO particles on the surface of OMS-2 regardless of the copper loading, evidencing the lack of an optimal and adequate dispersion and explaining the poor catalytic results.

From the above results it was found that stoichiometric amounts of manganese from mixed valent oxide OMS-2 doped with copper Cu (1.66%)/OMS-2 afforded the best results of activity and selectivity for the synthesis of the lactone 1 (entry 11, Table 1), whereas other combinations with upper or lower copper and manganese loadings gave much poorer results (entries 8-12, Table 1). At this point we could confirm that the lactonization reaction was taking place on the surface of Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2, and not by dissolved species since the elimination of the solid by hot filtration at low conversion level stopped the reaction completely (Figure 2).
Figure 2: Graphics representing a) the evolution of the yield of lactone 1 with time with Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and b) the yield of lactone 1 with time when the solid Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 is separated by hot filtration. The arrow shows the point when the reaction mixture is filtrated.

Similarly, other oxides and materials containing Mn and/or Cu were rather inefficient in forming the cyclic ester 1 regardless of its copper content (entries 13-21, Table 1).

Again, the formation of the secondary products 2 and 3 took place with Cu (1.66%)/OMS-2, but their formation was associated to the presence of manganese since the formation of these by-products did not occur with CuO as catalyst (entry 21, Table 1). In this context it was assumed that copper was present as CuO on the OMS-2 surface as deduced from the diffuse reflectance spectrum of Cu (1.66%)/OMS-2 sample [12]. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the yield of lactone 1 and styrene with timing in the presence of catalytic amounts of OMS-2 and Cu(1%)/OMS-2 materials.
According to results included in the Figure 3 both, conversion and yield data of γ-lactone 1 were higher for Cu-doped OMS-2 (Cu(1%)/OMS-2) than for OMS-2. But perhaps the most striking result was the higher initial reaction rate for forming 1 with Cu(1%)/OMS-2 (r0 = 0.16 mmol/h), than the rates obtained separately with OMS-2 (r0= 0.04 mmol/h) and CuO (r0= 0.008 mmol/h), a fact that would be pointing to the existence of a plausible cooperative effect between manganese and copper.

This fact together with the existence of a short induction period (aprox. 20 min) with OMS-2, that clearly shortened with Cu(1%)/OMS-2 (Figure 3), illustrates the beneficial effect of copper to accelerate and improve the selectivity and yield for the formation of 1 [13].
2) Effects of Cu$^{2+}$ on the structure of cryptomelane-type manganese oxide molecular sieve OMS-2: Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2

Although a priori, we do not know what level or in what way the Cu$^{2+}$ influences the performance of the manganese oxide OMS-2, we studied the oxygen desorption behaviour by Thermoprogrammed Desorption Analysis (TPD) on both the un-doped OMS-2 and the copper-doped Cu(1%)OMS-2 [14]. In principle, TPD-MS data for Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and OMS-2 were similar. In fact, both materials exhibited a continuous desorption of water (ca. 200°C) as well as abundant loss of O$_2$ ranging from 300-500°C and 500-750°C (Figure 4):

![Figure 4: TPD plot for a) OMS-2 under He atmosphere (25 – 800°C; 10°C/min) and b) TPD plot for Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 under He atmosphere (25 – 800°C;10°C/min)](image)

The sharp desorption peak at 550°C was ascribed to liberation of lattice β-O$_2$ species [15]. These β-O$_2$ species are bound to Mn$^{3+}$ being released at the middle temperature range during TPD. The shoulder next to this peak was associated to
desorption of surface oxygen species (O₂, O⁻) and/or labile oxygen species (β-O₂) with different Mn-O bond strength [15].

Then, the second peak at higher temperature (700°C) was associated to elimination of oxygen atoms bound to Mn⁴⁺ or γ-O₂ species (Figure 4)[15].

In summary, the majority of the oxygen species desorbed in OMS-2 were lattice oxygen species whose proportions remained almost invariable under the influence of copper. So it appears that copper does not exert any appreciable influence at structural level, hence suggesting that this element is located on the surface. This assumption will be verified by other techniques later.

Since not only the reversible oxygen evolution but the reducibility of OMS-2 would account for the good performance in oxidation reactions, we have carried out in parallel Thermoprogrammed Reduction studies (H₂-TPR) of OMS-2 as well as Cu(1%)-OMS-2 for comparison. We have considered that the reduction of manganese oxides can be described by three successive main processes [16] [(Figure 5).]

\[
\text{MnO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 \rightarrow \text{MnO}
\]
The TPR profile of OMS-2 showed a unique peak around 275°C that could be decomposed into different overlapping components and a shoulder around 245°C. All these components were assigned to the reduction of structural Mn$^{+3}$ and Mn$^{+4}$ cations involving different phases (i.e. MnO$_2$, Mn$_2$O$_3$, Mn$_3$O$_4$ and MnO) [17]. The incorporation of copper had a strong influence on the TPR profile, showing a shifting of the bands mentioned above at lower temperatures as well as a new peak at 150°C. These facts evidenced a higher oxygen mobility or reducibility of the solid under the influence of Cu.

In this context, it has been suggested that the presence of Cu in the material influences the reactivity of the oxygen atoms due to the formation of Cu-O-Mn bridges. These Cu-O-Mn species are much easier reducible and should probably give higher reactivity [17]. Indeed formation of those mixed oxide species would contribute to
delocalize oxygen, i.e. facilitating the reduction of manganese and explaining the acceleration of the reaction promoted by copper.

So as a conclusion the major effect of CuO on the cryptomelane is increasing the reducibility of manganese oxide, hence explaining the acceleration of the styrene carboesterification reaction with acetic anhydride.

1) **Effect of Cu$^{2+}$ on the reactivity of cryptomelane-type manganese oxide molecular sieve OMS-2: Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2**

By looking closely at the reactivity and material balance data included in table 1 we concluded that, in general, polymerization of styrene was the most important factor responsible for the decrease of selectivity and yields of 1, preventing in most cases the achievement of proper mass balances (Table 1). Indeed, in most experiments the detection by GC-MS of styrene derived oligomers of high molecular weight at long retention times confirmed this experimental observation (Table 1) [18]. Moreover, the reaction mixture turned into a red colour with time.

*A priori*, the poor material balances were associated to the presence of Mn, since the mass balances were almost completed when using CuO as a catalyst (entry 21, Table 1). Moreover, the aforementioned reddish colour was reproduced when both, styrene and acetic anhydride were put in contact in the absence of a catalyst and any other reagent. Under these reaction conditions (without catalyst) styrene transformed but the formation of lactone 1 did not occur indicating that the alkene polymerization was possibly taking place.

Cationic polymerization of styrene was discarded under our reaction conditions [19], but the possibility of a chain reaction process launched by the redox couple styrene/anhydride is possible in accordance to their half reduction potentials [20]. This
reaction would lead to formation of a styryl radical cation intermediate. However we could not detect this radical cation either spectroscopically or via trap experiments. Nevertheless, the formation of this styryl radical cation intermediate was indirectly confirmed through formation of the secondary products 2 and 3 following the reaction below [7]:

\[
\text{Scheme 2 Plausible reaction scheme for the formation of compounds 2 and 3 through a styryl radical cation.}
\]

Moreover, given that formation of compounds 2 and 3 was not observed with CuO as a catalyst (entry 21, table 1), we assumed the exclusive participation of manganese (and not copper) in the formation of these secondary products (Scheme 2).

At this point, in order to minimize the undesired polymerization reaction, styrene was slowly added in portions in an attempt to keep a low concentration of the vinylic monomer and consequently to slow down the formation of polystyrene (see entry 4, Table 2). However this strategy failed in improving the outcome of the reaction probably due to a faster reaction rate for polymerization over lactonization (compare entries 3-4, table 2).

\[
\text{Table 2 Results of the aerobic carboesterification reaction of styrene and 1-dodecene with acetic anhydride under different experimental conditions.}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Mn (equiv)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>C (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>S (%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Y (%)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>MB(%)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>1-dodecane</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>1-dodecane</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: styrene (0.25 mmol), LiBr (0.05 mmol), NaOAc (0.25 mmol), 1 ml (Ac<sub>2</sub>O) and n-dodecane as external standard; b) conversion (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; c) selectivity (%) to γ-lactone was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; d) yield (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; e) MB(%) = mass balance (%) calculated by GC,(the integrated peak areas of starting reagents and products were corrected for their respective response factors, and the amount of unreacted starting material was not included in the mass balance); f) acetic anhydride was added in small portions; g) 1 mmol TEMPO were added; h) acetic anhydride was replaced by ethyl acetate; i) reaction carried out without base NaOAc.

Since according to the literature references formation of 1 can occur through a free radicalary mechanism, mediated by Mn or Cu, the radical scavenger TEMPO was incorporated into the reactor. Under the standard conditions used here the formation of γ-lactone 1 as well as secondary products 2 and 3 was completely inhibited (compare entries 3 and 5, Table 2), hence confirming that the formation of these products came from a radicalary pathway. Then, as a probe of concept, and considering that the introduction of acetate groups onto aromatic rings or double bonds mediated by radicals can account for
the formation of carboxymethyl \( \text{CH}_2\text{COOH} \) intermediates, we tried to reproduce the same radical synthon using ethyl acetate ester (instead of acetic anhydride) \[4a\]. However, the fact that ethyl acetate did not add to styrene argued against the intervention of such short-lived radical intermediates, questioning a possible free radical lactonization process (see entry 6, Table 2) \[4a\]. Moreover the fact that the mass balance reached almost 100% (entry 6, Table 2) with ethyl acetate, led us to conclude that acetic anhydride was absolutely essential for obtaining the five-membered \( \gamma \)-lactone 1, being also one of the main factors responsible for the polymerization process as previously pointed out (vs. through a single electron transfer process).

In accordance with this reasoning, the carboesterification reaction of a long chain aliphatic alkene and far less prone to polymerize, such as 1-dodecene was studied giving the corresponding five-membered \( \gamma \)-lactone 4 with a proper mass balance (entry 7, Table 2). Interestingly no secondary product analogues like those observed with styrene (2 and 3) were detected with 1-dodecene indicating that these two carboesterification reactions (from styrene and 1-dodecene) may undergo different reaction pathways.

Again, the radical scavenger TEMPO was incorporated in the reactor during the lactonization reaction of 1-dodecene and, in contrast to styrene, both conversion and selectivity dropped to half (entries 5 and 8, Table 2), which clearly demonstrates that a radicalary as well as a non-radicalary reaction pathway might be operative with linear aliphatic alkenes.

Moreover, when the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride was carried out in the presence of CuO and the radical trap TEMPO, the catalytic parameters remained invariable, hence confirming that the reaction mechanism with copper does not go through radicals \[5\]. Further control experiments were performed to elucidate the
influence of the base and the LiBr salt. In this regard, we obtained that in absence of CH₃COONa or the additive LiBr, the performance of the copper doped material Cu(1%)/OMS-2 was severely inhibited giving low yields of the lactone 1 (entry 9-10, Table 2). The incorporation of TEMPO in the experiment without LiBr, completely inhibited the synthesis of lactone 1, hence confirming that in absence of LiBr a radicalary pathway was simultaneously taking place.

These experimental facts prove that two operating mechanisms are presumably coexisting during the carboesterification reaction of alkenes: a) an enolization route involving ionic intermediates that operates in the presence of the additive LiBr, b) and an electron transfer process that in parallel accounts for the formation of the γ-lactone as well as the undesired polymerization reaction and can take place without LiBr (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3 Tentative mechanisms for the Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 catalyzed carboesterification of alkenes.

At this point, since we had previously hypothesized that Mn (and not Cu) was involved in the styryl radical cation formation we considered important to detect the
plausible formation of reduced manganese species during the [3+2] cycloaddition of styrene with the copper-doped material Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2. For achieving this, the lactonization reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride was monitored by EPR spectroscopy and the detection of relatively stable high spin EPR Mn$^{2+}$ species at different reaction times confirmed this assumption.

To carry out this study the reaction was stopped at different reaction times and the solid was separated by centrifugation. The recovered material was frozen at 77K into a quartz tube and then the EPR spectrum was recorded. Since initially the EPR spectra of fresh Cu(1.66%)OMS-2 was EPR silent this confirmed that the Mn$^{2+}$ content was negligible (Figure 6).

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6** Electron paramagnetic spectra of transient manganese species detected in solid Cu(1%)/OMS-2 during the lactonization reaction for forming 1 from styrene and acetic anhydride at: a) 0 min; b) 20 min, c) 45 min and d) 240 min.

However the high spin Mn$^{2+}$ signal soon appeared during the lactonization process with Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2, hence confirming the continuous and gradual reduction of manganese in the copper-doped cryptomelane (Figure 6).
Effectively, according to the result in figure 6, a pattern of six signals could be observed at short reaction times which was attributed unequivocally to Mn$^{2+}$ ($g = 2.008$, $I = 5/2$). The hyperfine splitting constants of 90.5, 92, 94.5, 98 and 100 are indicative that the cation was predominantly placed in octahedral positions (Figure 4) [21]. At longer reaction times the signal widened, a phenomenon that was previously observed in other manganese materials and which has been assigned to $\Delta m = \pm 1$ forbidden transitions [22]. Interestingly, in this case, no g values associated to Cu(II) were found.

In parallel, the XPS surface elemental analysis of OMS-2 and Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 before and after the reaction was also carried out (see Figure 7).

![Figure 7 XPS of measurements for fresh prepared a) OMS-2, b) fresh prepared Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and c) Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 after reaction.](image)

According to these measurements, the coexistence of different oxidation states for manganese was confirmed for both solids (Figure 7). Indeed Mn 2p3/2 peaks belonging to Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{4+}$ species were detected at 641.9 and 642.8 eV (binding energy) respectively for cryptomelane-type manganese oxide OMS-2 (52.6% Mn$^{4+}$ and 47.4% Mn$^{3+}$). The incorporation of copper did not change substantially the Mn$^{3+}$/Mn$^{4+}$ ratio, albeit the abundance slightly increased for Mn$^{4+}$ (55.4%) and decreased for Mn$^{3+}$ (44.6%). The signal assigned to copper was very weak and it did not allow its deconvolution.

Interestingly, the XPS copper signal disappeared after reaction, whereas the Mn 2p3/2 peak could be deconvoluted in three main components: Mn$^{4+}$, Mn$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$.
(640.6 eV binding energy) [23] giving an abundance of 50.6%, 38.7% and 10.7% respectively for these three manganese ions. These results point to a clear reduction of the high valent manganese components (Mn$^{4+}$ and Mn$^{3+}$) to lower manganese oxidation states in the copper-doped cryptomelane material Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and suggests a plausible inability of the latter to reoxidize and restore the original surface composition of the catalyst.

In summary, the manganese-mediated intermolecular coupling between an acetate group and an alkene with copper-doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxide tends to result in variable yields of the cyclic ester and accounts for two possible reaction mechanisms: a) an enolization reaction pathway involving ionic intermediates that it operates in the presence of LiBr, b) and an electron transfer process that in parallel accounts for the formation of the γ-lactone as well as the undesired polymerization reaction and takes place without LiBr.

A clear synergistic effect between copper and manganese has been devised in copper-doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxide that takes place at the surface level since copper is not incorporated into the structure. In fact it appears that Cu$^{2+}$ dispersed on the surface of cryptomelane may induce a more releasable lattice oxygen, thus enhancing the redox properties of the manganese ions.

Experimental Section

A) Analyses and Instrumentation

Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) Analysis:
The chemical compositions were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic
Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) Analysis. The chemical analyses were carried out in a Varian 715-ES ICP Optical Emission spectrometer, after solid dissolution in HNO₃/HCl/ HF aqueous solution.

**Area measurements:** Textural properties were obtained from the CO₂ adsorption isotherms measured at 273K fitting the results with Dubinin-Astakhov equation [25] with a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 apparatus.

**X-ray Diffraction (XRD):** The crystal structure of the as-prepared samples was verified by X-ray powder diffraction. XRD pattern analysis was obtained using a Panalytical CUBIX diffractometer with monochromatic CuKα radiation (λ=0.15417 nm) at 45 kV and 40 mA. The angle (20) was measured in a scan range of 2.00–90.03° in steps of 0.04018° with a counting time of 34.92s.

**EPR Spectroscopy:** The EPR spectra were recorded with a Bruker EMX-12 spectrometer operating at the X band, with a modulation frequency of 100 KHz and amplitude of 1.0 Gauss. All spectra were measured at 77K. Samples were measured at different reaction times. For achieving this, the reaction was stopped and the catalyst was separated by centrifugation. The catalyst was introduced in a quartz tube being frozen with liquid nitrogen (77K).

**Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD):** TPD (Thermal programmed desorption) profiles were obtained using AutoChem II 2920. 50 mg catalyst (granulometry: 0.4 – 0.8mm) was placed in a quartz tube, heated to 105 °C and purged with helium gas (He) for 30 minutes. The desorption was carried until 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min purged with helium. O₂ and H₂O desorption were followed with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

**Temperature Programmed Reduction (TPR):** H₂-TPR (temperature programmed reduction) profiles were obtained using Autochem 2910 with a thermal conductivity
detector (TCD). 50 mg catalyst (granulometry: 0.4 – 0.8mm) was placed in a quartz tube, heated to 105 °C and purged with argon gas (Ar) for 30 minutes, and then reduced in a stream of a mixture of 10% H\textsubscript{2}/Ar (50.01 mL/min) at a heating rate of 5 °C/min to 600 °C.

**X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS):** The chemical state of Mn was determined by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Photoelectron spectra were recorded using a SPECS spectrometer equipped with a 150MCD – 9 Phoibos detector and using a monochromatic Mg K\(\alpha\) (1253.6eV) X – ray source for doped OMS2 materials and a non monochromatic Al K\(\alpha\) (1486.6eV) X – ray source for the undoped material. Spectra were recorded using an analyzer pass energy of 30 eV, an X – ray power of 50W and under an operating pressure of 10\(^{-9}\)mbar.

During data processing, binding energy (BE) values were referenced to C1s peak (284.5 eV). Spectra treatment has been performed using the CASA software.

**B) Catalysts preparation**

All reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Aldrich. Microporous and laminar materials (OMS-2 and OL-Na respectively) were synthesized according to reported procedures [11a-b]. Microporous and laminar copper-doped materials (Cu/OMS-2 and Cu/OL-Na respectively) were also synthesized according to reported procedures [24].

**a) Synthesis of MnO\(_x\), MnO\(_x\)(0.5% Cu), MnO\(_x\)(1.0% Cu) and MnO\(_x\)(3.0% Cu) catalysts**

0.025 moles of Mn(NO\(_3\))·H\(_2\)O and the required amount of Cu(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·2.5H\(_2\)O (according to the metal loading) were dissolved in 50mL of Milli-Q water. A 0.5M Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution was added dropwise to the previous aqueous solution until the pH became ca. 8. A precipitate was formed that was aged at 298K for 1 hour. Then the solid was separated by
filtration, being washed several times with distilled water. The solid was dried under air at 393K overnight and it was calcined in static air (with a heating rate of 5°C/min) at 623K for 4 hours.

**b) Synthesis of Mn$_2$O$_3$, Mn$_2$O$_3$ (0.5% Cu), Mn$_2$O$_3$ (1.0% Cu) and Mn$_2$O$_3$ (3.0% Cu) catalysts**

0.025 moles of Mn(NO$_3$)$_3$·$x$H$_2$O and the required amount of Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·2.5H$_2$O (according to the metal loading) were dissolved in 50mL of Milli-Q water. Then, 0.5M Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution was added dropwise to the previous aqueous solution until the pH became ca. 8 and a precipitate was formed. The suspension was aged at 298 K for one hour. The precipitate was filtered, washed several times with distilled water and dried under air at 393K overnight. The resulting solid was calcined under air at 873K (heating rate = 5°C/min) for 4 hours.

**Synthesis of CuOx(1.66%)/Al$_2$O$_3$, CuOx(1.8%)/ZrO$_2$ and CuO(1.8%)/MgO catalysts:**

The required quantity of Cu(NO$_3$)$_3$·3H$_2$O was dissolved in 20mL of Milli-Q water. Then, 1g of the support (Al$_2$O$_3$ or ZrO$_2$ or MgO) previously calcined at 723K under N$_2$ (heating rate= 5°C/min for 5.5 h) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was dried under vacuum at 393K overnight. Before each use, the catalyst was calcined at 723K under air for 6 hours (heating rate= 2°C/min).

**Synthesis of CuOx(1.66%), Mg, Al catalysts:**

The required amount of Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (18.24 g), Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (9.29 g) and Cu(NO$_3$)$_3$·3H$_2$O (0.25 g) were carefully dissolved in 100mL Milli-Q water. Then, 100mL of an aqueous solution containing NaOH (5.95g) and Na$_2$CO$_3$ (7.07g) was slowly added (1mL/min) under vigorous stirring, and a precipitate was formed, being aged at 333K
during 18h. The slurry was filtrated and the solid was washed several times with Milli-Q water until the pH of the filtrate became ca. 7. The resulting solid was dried by heating at 333K during 12h.

The solid was calcined under air at 873K for 8 hours (heating rate= 2ºC/min).

C) **Catalyst Characterization**

**Characterization data of OMS-2 and Cu(1%)OMS-2 catalysts**

**Table:** Chemical and textural analyses of OMS2 and Cu(1%)OMS2 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>ICP-AES(^a)</th>
<th>Area (m(^2)/g)(^b)</th>
<th>Average crystallite size (nm)(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OMS2</td>
<td>% Mn</td>
<td>% Cu</td>
<td>CO(_2) (273K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS2</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS2</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Chemical composition obtained by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) Analysis; \(^b\) Textural properties were obtained from the CO\(_2\) adsorption isotherms measured at 273K fitting the results with Dubinin-Astakhov equation [25]; \(^c\) measured by TEM (the average crystallite size was calculated from the Scherrer equation for the reflection at 2\(\theta\) = 28.842° in the XRD pattern)
D) **Catalytic activity experiments**

The reactions were carried out by adding 0.25 mmol of the olefin, 0.05 mmol LiBr, 0.25 mmol NaOAc and 1.0 mL Ac$_2$O in a glass reactor, using n-dodecane as external standard and 0.6 equiv, or 1.2 equiv, or 1.9-2 equiv of catalyst (15 mg or 30 mg or 47.5 mg of catalyst respectively). Then the temperature was raised to 120ºC under air atmosphere. Reaction samples were extracted at regular reaction times with a microsyringe, being analysed by gas chromatography (GC) using a HP-5 capillary column (5% phenylmethylsiloxane, 30m x 320μm x 0.25 μm).

Products were identified by GC-MS using an Agilent 6890N8000 equipment equipped with a mass spectrometry detector (Agilent 5973N quadrupole detector).

**Hot filtration experiment**

The experiment was carried out by separating the catalyst by filtration from the reaction mixture and monitoring the formation of the lactone 1 from the filtrate by GC.
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Table 1: Results on the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride using different copper and/or manganese-based catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Mn (equiv)</th>
<th>Cu (mol%)</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>Y (%)</th>
<th>MB (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OL-Na</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mn₂O₃</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MnO₂</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cu(0.35%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cu(0.8%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cu(0.5%)/Mn₂O₃</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/Mn₂O₃</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cu(0.5%)/MnOₓ</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/MnOₓ</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cu(1.6%)/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cu(1.8%)/ZrO₂</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cu(1.8%)/MgO</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CuOx(1.6%), Mg,Al</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: styrene (0.25 mmol), LiBr (0.05 mmol), NaOAc (0.25 mmol), 1 ml (Ac₂O), n-dodecane (external standard); b) conversion (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; c) selectivity (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; d) yield (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; e) MB(%) = mass balance calculated by GC (the integrated peak areas of starting reagents and products were corrected for their respective response factors, and the amount of unreacted starting material was not included in the mass balance); f) reaction carried out under N₂ atmosphere.
Table 2. Results on the aerobic carboesterification reaction of styrene and 1-dodecene with acetic anhydride under different experimental conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Mn (equiv)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>Y (%)</th>
<th>MB(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>1-dodecene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>1-dodecene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>LiBr</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cu(1%)/OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>OMS-2</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: styrene (0.25 mmol), LiBr (0.05 mmol), NaOAc (0.25 mmol), 1 ml (Ac₂O) and n-dodecane as external standard; b) conversion (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; c) selectivity (%) to γ-lactone was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; d) yield (%) was obtained by GC on the bases of styrene converted; e) MB(%) = mass balance (%) calculated by GC (the integrated peak areas of starting reagents and products were corrected for their respective response factors, and the amount of unreacted starting material was not included in the mass balance); f) acetic anhydride was added in small portions; g) 1 mmol TEMPO were added; h) acetic anhydride was replaced by ethyl acetate; i) reaction carried out without base NaOAc.
Figure 1 Subproducts detected by GC-MS in the carboesterification reaction of styrene with acetic anhydride in the presence of OMS-2.
Figure 2: Graphics representing a) the evolution of the yield of lactone 1 with time with Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and b) the yield of lactone 1 with time when the solid Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 is separated by hot filtration. The arrow shows the point when the solid was filtrated.
Figure 3 Evolution of the yield of $\gamma$-lactone 1 with time with a) OMS-2 (entry 1, table 1) and b) Cu(1%)/OMS-2 (entry 10, table 1). Evolution of the amount of starting reagent styrene with time in presence of c) OMS-2 and d) Cu(1%)/OMS-2. The inset shows the initial reaction rate $r_0$ obtained with a) OMS-2 and b) Cu(1%)/OMS-2 calculated from the respective tangent lines.
Figure 4: TPD plot for a) OMS-2 under He atmosphere (25 – 800°C; 10°C/min) and b) TPD plot for Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 under He atmosphere (25 – 800°C; 10°C/min)
**Figure 5** Graphics showing the TPR profile of OMS-2 (dashed line) and Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 (solid line).
Figure 6 Electron paramagnetic spectra of transient manganese species detected in solid Cu(1\%)/OMS-2 during the lactonization reaction for forming 1 from styrene and acetic anhydride at: a) 0 min; b) 20 min, c) 45 min and d) 240 min.
Figure 7 XPS of measurements for fresh prepared a) OMS-2, b) fresh prepared Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 and c) Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 after reaction.
Scheme 1 Reaction scheme for the [3+2] cycloaddition of styrene with acetic anhydride to afford γ-lactone 1.
Scheme 2 Plausible reaction scheme for the formation of compounds 2 and 3 through a styryl radical cation.

\[ M = \text{CH}_3\text{COOCOCH}_3, \text{Mn}^{\text{n}} \]
Scheme 3 Tentative mechanisms for the Cu(1.66%)/OMS-2 catalyzed carboesterification of alkenes.