

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/103739>

This paper must be cited as:

Pascual, M.; Cruz, DJ.; Blasco Mateu, A. (2017). Modeling production functions and economic weights in intensive meat production of guinea pigs. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*. 49(7):1361-1367. doi:10.1007/s11250-017-1334-4



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11250-017-1334-4>

Copyright Springer-Verlag

Additional Information

1

2 **Modelling production functions and economic weights in intensive meat production of guinea pigs**

3

4 **Mariam Pascual,¹ Danny Julio Cruz,² and Agustín Blasco,²**

5

6 ¹ Breeding and Genetics Program, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries. Torre Marimón, Caldes de
7 Montbui, Barcelona, Spain, 08140.

8 ² Institute of Animal Science and Technology, Universitat Politècnica de València. Camino de Vera s/n,
9 Valencia, Spain, 46022.

10

11 * Corresponding author: M. Pascual. Email address: mariam.pascual@irta.cat, Phone: +34 902 789 449; Fax +34
12 93 865 09 54.

13

14 **ABSTRACT:** A profit function for a typical commercial farm of intensive guinea pig production was designed.
15 The simulated farm contained 86 cages with a ratio of 7:1 females:males, with continuous mating. Kits were
16 weaned at 15 d of age and slaughtered for meat production at 90 d of age. The absolute (EW) and relative
17 economic weights of the main traits were calculated. The highest EW were kits produced per kindling (\$US 25),
18 kits weaned per kindling (\$US 22), kits born alive per kindling (\$US 20), and number of kindlings per female
19 and year (\$US 12). Profit, returns and costs per female and year were \$US 15, 68 and 53, respectively. Returns
20 came from production of young guinea pigs and discarded reproductive adults for meat production, 90% and
21 10% of the total returns. The highest costs were feeding and labor, 44% and 23% of the total cost. The EW and
22 profit did not substantially change when simulating variations of $\pm 20\%$ in the prices of kg of fattening feed and
23 kg of live weight of guinea pig, showing their robustness to future variations in market prices or to variations in
24 prices between countries. The results obtained highlight the importance of the feeding costs in the guinea pig
25 meat production.

26 **Keywords:** *Cavia porcellus*, economic weights, guinea pig, meat production, profit function.

27

28

INTRODUCTION

29

30 The guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*) provides a significant amount of protein for the diets of small farmers
31 in a large part of South America and contributes reducing malnutrition (Chupin, 1995). Studies in guinea pig for
32 meat production have focused on carcass composition, meat quality, nutrition, genetic selection and social
33 impact, but none of them has evaluated the economic production functions in which costs and returns are clearly
34 established and benefits from improving different characters are assessed. To our knowledge, no studies about
35 economic weights in guinea pig production have been developed. We propose in this study to construct, for the
36 first time, a profit function for guinea pig industrial meat production, and we give a first approximation to the
37 economic weights.

38

39

MATERIALS AND METHODS

40 *Production system*

41 We simulate a typical industrial farm of 86 males and 7 females per male, i.e., 602 females. The farm has
42 one area, managed by one person. Guinea pigs are allocated in 352 cages, 86 for reproductive adults and
43 lactating kits and 266 for kits after weaning. The reproduction system is natural and continuous mating.

44 Reproductive adults are fed with forage and a commercial reproductive feed. Kindling takes place at 68 d after
45 mating, and females present new estrous 3.5 hours post-partum or 16.4 d after if no pregnancy is reached after
46 the first estrous (Chupin, 1995). Kits are both lactating and consuming forage and commercial preweaning feed
47 from birth to weaning at 15 d of age. After weaning, kits are allocated by sex in groups, of up to 25 kits, until 35
48 d of age, and are fed with forage and a commercial feed. After the growth period, kits are fed with a commercial
49 fattening feed. The 28% of the females and 4% of the males are kept for replacement. At sexual maturity, 10%
50 of replacement is sold to other farms, and a similar percentage is bought from other farms to avoid inbreeding.
51 The rest of the animals are used for meat production. Replacement animals and kits for meat are kept in cages of
52 15 males or 20 females during the fattening period. Both replacement and production animals are reared in the
53 same conditions, with forage and commercial fattening feed. After 55 d of fattening (90 d of age) kits for meat
54 production are slaughtered. Replacement females and males start their reproductive cycle with first mating after
55 35 and 85 d in fattening, respectively (70 and 120 d of age).

56

57 *Profit function*

58 Profit (P), in \$US per reproductive female and year, was calculated with the function:

$$59 \quad P = \text{Returns} - \text{Costs} = [\text{ProdR} + \text{DisFR} + \text{DisMR}] - [\text{PreFeC} + \text{GFeC} + \text{FatFeProdC} + \text{ReFFatFeC} + \\ 60 \quad \text{ReMFatFeC} + \text{RepFFeC} + \text{RepMFeC} + \text{OC}]$$

61 Where, in alphabetical order

62 DisFR and DisMR discarded females and males returns sold for meat at the end of their reproductive life;

63 FatFeProdC fattening feeding costs of kits;

64 PreFeC and GFeC preweaning and growth feeding costs of kits;

65 OC other costs

66 ProdR production returns from selling kits for meat;

67 ReFFatFeC replacement females fattening feeding costs;

68 ReMFatFeC replacement males fattening feeding costs;

69 RepFFeC reproductive females feeding costs;

70 RepMFeC reproductive males feeding costs;

71

72 The different returns and costs were calculated as:

73 $ProdR = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times (1 - RaSeFRe - RaSeMRe) \times SuFatProd \times (Bw + WGaPre \times TPRE$
 74 $+ WGaG \times TG + WGaFatProd \times TFatProd) \times PrSProdSl$

75 $DisFR = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times (1 - RaSeFRe - RaSeMRe) \times SuFatProd \times RaSeFRe \times WDisRepAd$
 76 $\times PrSDisSl$

77 $DisMR = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times (1 - RaSeFRe - RaSeMRe) \times SuFatProd \times RaSeMRe \times$
 78 $WDisRepAd \times PrSDisSl$

79 $PreFeC = BAK \times KY \times [(1 + PreSu)/2] \times TPRe \times (FeIntPre \times PrLFe + ForIntPre \times PrFor)$

80 $GFeC = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times [(1 + GSu)/2] \times TG \times (FeIntG \times PrGFe + ForIntG \times PrFor)$

81 $FatFeProdC = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times (1 - RaSeFRe - RaSeMRe) \times [(1 + SuFatProd)/2] \times TFatProd$
 82 $\times (FeIntFatProd \times PrFatFe + ForIntFat \times PrFor)$

83 $ReFFatFeC = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times RaSeFRe \times FatSuReF \times TFatFRe \times (FeIntFatReF \times PrFatFe +$
 84 $ForIntFatReF \times PrFor)$

85 $ReMFatFeC = BAK \times KY \times PreSu \times GSu \times RaSeMRe \times FatSuReM \times TFatMRe \times (FeIntFatReM \times$
 86 $PrFatFe + ForIntFatReM \times PrFor)$

87 $RepFFeC = 365 \times (FeIntRepF \times PrAdFe + ForIntRepAd \times PrFor)$

88 $RepMFeC = 365 \times (FeIntRepM \times PrAdFe + ForIntRepAd \times PrFor) \times RaMF$

89 $OC = LabC + HC + UtC + AmC + InC + OpC$

90 Where, in alphabetical order,

91 AmC depreciation costs;

92 BAK born alive per kindling;

93 FatSuProd fattening survival for production animals;

94 FatSuReF fattening survival for replacement females;

95 FatSuReM fattening survival for replacement males;

96 FeIntFatReF average daily feed intake in fattening for replacement females;

97 FeIntFatReM average daily feed intake in fattening for replacement males;

98 FeIntFatProd average daily feed intake in fattening in kits for meat production;

99 FeIntG average daily feed intake in growth; FeIntPre average daily feed intake in preweaning;

100 FeIntRepF average daily feed intake of the reproductive females;

101 FeIntRepM average daily feed intake of the reproductive males;

102 ForIntFatReF forage intake in fattening for the replacement females;

103 ForIntFatReM forage intake in fattening for replacement males;
 104 ForIntFatProd forage intake in fattening for production;
 105 ForIntG forage intake in growth; ForIntPre forage intake in preweaning;
 106 ForIntRepAd forage intake of reproductive adults; GSu growth survival;
 107 HC health costs;
 108 InC interest costs;
 109 KY kindlings per year;
 110 LabC labor costs;
 111 OpC opportunity cost;
 112 PrAdFe price of reproductive feed;
 113 PreSu preweaning survival;
 114 PrFatFe price of fattening feed;
 115 PrFor price of forage;
 116 PrGFe price of growth feed;
 117 PrLFe price of preweaning feed;
 118 PrSDisSI price of sale of discarded at slaughter;
 119 PrSProdSI price of sale of production at slaughter;
 120 RaMF ratio of males:females;
 121 RaSeFRe ratio of selection of females for replacement;
 122 RaSeMRe ratio of selection of males for replacement;
 123 TFatReF time in fattening of replacement females;
 124 TFatReM time in fattening for replacement males;
 125 TFatProd time in fattening of production; TG time of kits in growth;
 126 TPre time of kits in preweaning; UtC utilities costs;
 127 WDisRepAd weight of the discarded reproductive adults;
 128 WGaFatProd average daily gain in fattening of production;
 129 WGaG average daily gain in growth;
 130 WGaPre average daily gain in preweaning.
 131
 132 The mean values and prices assumed in the profit function are shown in Table 1.

133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162

Economic variables of the profit function

Labor cost of the producer was established as 1.5 times the national minimum agricultural salary in Peru (DS. N° 007-2012-TR), since Peru is a typical country of guinea pig production. A robustness analysis was developed to take into account salary variations among countries. The labor cost was increased 35% to consider the extra labor costs due to the non-working d and holidays. The utilities costs include water, electricity, communications, maintenance and others. The initial inversion costs estimated were \$US 24,845 for land purchase, \$US 58,198 for building and equipment of the farm, and \$US 7,853 for the initial acquisition of animals. The building and equipment are considered to have a lifespan of 30 year, but 15 for cages. The depreciation per year was the total capital invested in buildings and equipment divided by 30 year. The capital was assumed to have a nominal interest of 24% for building and purchasing of the equipment and initial animals, and 15% for land purchase. Both credits are assumed to be 10-year credit, and interest per year was obtained by dividing the total interest per 30 year. The opportunity cost was calculated as the return/payment that would be obtained by investing the money required for land purchase, building and equipment purchase, and initial animal purchase in a fixed-term deposit at 2.75%. The depreciation, interest and opportunity costs are calculated in constant \$US by contemplating a rate of 2.71% of depreciation of the capital. These figures can be easily changed to accommodate other perspectives. The total of kg of live weight produced was calculated as the sum of the kg of live weight obtained with kits for meat production. Returns, costs and profit were expressed per reproductive female and year, per kg of live weight, and also as percentage respect to the total returns and costs.

Economic weights

The absolute economic weight EW_i of a trait x_i was calculated as:

$$EW_i = \partial P / \partial x_i$$

thus, the partial derivate of the profit function with respect to the trait x_i . Traits considered were BAK, KY, ED, NWK, NPK, BW, WGaPre, WGaG, WGaFatProd, FeIntPre, FeIntG, FeIntFatProd, ForIntPre, ForIntG, ForIntFatProd, PreSu, GSu, and FatSuProd. The EW expresses the change in profitability when increasing trait x_i in one unit, without changes in other traits. Relative economic weights (REW) for each trait were obtained dividing the EW by the highest EW.

163 *Sensitivity analysis for economic sustainability*

164 Sensitive analyses were performed to study the variations in profit, returns and costs in case of variation
165 of salary (minimum and twice the agricultural salary), price of fattening feed (-20% and +20% the current price
166 considered) and price paid per kg of guinea pig at slaughter (-20% and +20% the current price considered).
167 Absolute and relative economic weights when varying these prices were also calculated.

168

169 **RESULTS**

170 *Economic weights*

171 The absolute and relative economic weights of the different traits are shown in Table 2. The highest EW
172 were the number of kits produced per kindling, number of kits weaned per kindling and number of born alive
173 per kindling. If production is increased in one kit produced per kindling, profit will be increased in \$US 25 per
174 female and year. The EW of preweaning, growth and fattening survival for production animals were low, and
175 the ADG during fattening had a higher EW than birthweight and the ADG during preweaning and growth.

176

177 *Economic profitability*

178 Returns, costs, profit and the percentage of each item with respect to total returns or total costs are shown
179 in Table 3. Returns per female and year were \$US 68. The main income was due to the sale of animals after
180 fattening for meat production, which represented 90% of the total of the returns. The production cost per female
181 and year was \$US 53. The highest cost was feeding. The labor cost per female and year represented a 23% of
182 the total cost. The profit per female and year was \$US 15.

183

184 *Sensitivity analysis for economic sustainability*

185 The variations considered in price of fattening feed and price paid per kg of guinea pig changed the
186 values of EW and REW, but did not vary the order of importance of the economic values (Table 4). The profit
187 obtained varied from \$US 19 or \$US 11. When considering a price of fattening feed 20% lower or higher than
188 the actual price, profits were \$US 16 and \$US 14, respectively. The decrease or increase of the price paid per kg
189 of guinea pig in 20% led to profits of \$US 3 and \$US 27, respectively.

190

191 **DISCUSSION**

192

193 Economic studies should be accommodated to figures in each country, but the profit function is going to
194 be the same across countries, and only the actual figures used would change. Some of the differences between
195 countries are going to be rather irrelevant, for example in depreciation costs, since they do not suppose an
196 important part of the total cost of production. For other substantial differences in inputs (salaries and food
197 costs), sensitivity analysis permits to accommodate our results to other situations in other countries.

198 The EW obtained can be used both to improve management and to decide the breeding objectives. The
199 absolute and relative economic weights obtained are within the range of the economic weights obtained in other
200 prolific minority species. In rabbits, Cartuche et al. (2014) also observed high values for born alive per kindling,
201 and similar REW for feed intake, kits survival and ADG. The number of kindlings per female and year, which
202 had also a high value, is related to fertility. If empty days tend to null, the number of kindlings per year could
203 tend to the maximum biological value of 5.37 kindlings per female and year.

204 Feeding cost was the highest, 44% of the total cost, as happens in other animal productions. In general,
205 the results obtained in returns and production costs agree with results found in other meat productions. The farm
206 profit obtained was not high. However, it should be pointed out that the farmer does not receive only this profit,
207 but also the salary acquired by self-employment and the opportunity cost estimated in the present study. This is
208 a typical situation in agriculture.

209 The salary was 1.5 times of the national minimum agricultural salary in Peru because the producer is
210 supposed to be a qualified worker with background and experience in guinea pig production management. As
211 salaries can vary between countries, and market prices for feed and meat could significantly vary in a medium-
212 long term, sensitive analyses were performed, showing that profit would be positive when varying labor cost
213 between the minimum and twice the minimum agricultural salary established (Table 5). Thus, the activity would
214 remain profitable in other countries if the salary were within this range of variation. Profit also stayed positive
215 when increasing and decreasing 20% the price of fattening feed and the liveweight price, showing the
216 robustness of the profitability to changes in prices. The variation of profit was higher when varying the price
217 paid per kg of guinea pig than when varying the price of feed. Other studies confirm that the profit is more
218 sensitive to changes in the prices of sale at slaughter than to price of the forage or feed (Chirinos et al., 2008;
219 Espinoza et al., 2008). The economic weights changed after increasing and decreasing the price of fattening feed
220 and the price paid per kg of guinea pig (Table 4), but the order of importance of the economic weights was the
221 same.

222

223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252

ETHICAL STANDARDS

Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study. This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Cartuche, L., Pascual, M., Gómez, E. and Blasco, A., 2014. Economic weights in rabbit meat production, *World Rabbit Science*, 22, 165–177.

Chancay, 2015. Venta de cuyes. <http://www.ventadecuyes.com>. Accessed 20 Jun 2016.

Chirinos, O., Muro, K., Álvaro, W., Otiniano, J., Quezada, J. C. and Ríos V., 2008. Crianza y comercialización del cuy para el mercado limeño, (Esan University, Lima, Peru).

Chupin, D., 1995. Rearing unconventional livestock species: a flourishing activity, (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy).

Espinoza, J., Furushio, E. and Rodríguez, A., 2008. Propuesta de un plan de negocio para una empresa dedicada a la crianza tecnificada de cuyes ubicada en Ñaña y su comercialización al mercado local, (MSc thesis, Peruvian University of Applied Science, Lima, Peru).

Humberto-Rodriguez, L., Mabel Palomino, T., Victor Hidalgo, L. and Gustavo Gutiérrez R., 2013. Efectos de factores fijos y al azar sobre el peso al nacimiento y al destete en cuyes de la costa central del Perú. *Revista de Investigaciones Veterinarias del Peru*, 24, 16–24.

Instituto de Investigación Agraria. Proyecto sistemas de producción de cuyes. 1991. https://idbnc.idrc.ca/dspace/bitstream/10625/35272/4/104403_v2.pdf. Accessed 02 Sep 2016.

Jimenez, A., 2005. Determinación de parámetros productivos y reproductivos de cuyes mejorados con sistemas de crianza en jaula y en poza, (BSc Thesis, Polytechnic School of Chimborazo, Roibamba, Ecuador).

Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería, Acuacultura y Pesca de Ecuador. Manual de crianza y producción de cuyes con estándares de calidad, 2014. <http://www.agricultura.gob.ec/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2015/11/Manual-para-la-crianza-del-cuy.pdf>. Accessed 17 Jun 2016.

- 253 Universidad Nacional Agraria la Molina. Programa de investigación y proyección social en alimentos. 2015.
- 254 <http://www.lamolina.edu.pe/cproducción/plantaalimentos/>. Accessed 27 Jan 2015.

Table 1. Mean values, costs and prices assumed for the variables in the profit function of guinea pig meat production.

Variable	Mean	Source
Pweaning survival	0.91	Adapted from Jimenez (2005)
Growth survival	0.97	
Fattening survival for production animals	0.93	
Fattening survival for replacement females	0.95	
Fattening survival for replacement males	0.89	
Number of kits born alive per kindling	3.36	Humberto-Rodriguez et al. (2013)
Number of kindlings per year	4.5	Chupin(1995)
Birthweight (g)	122	Adapted from Instituto de Investigación Agraria (1991)
Average daily gain in pweaning (g/day)	6.1	
Average daily gain in growth and fattening (g/day)	10.9	
Weight of the discarded reproductive adults (g)	1148	
Average daily feed intake in pweaning (g/day)	2.3	Adapted from Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería, Acuacultura y Pesca de
Average daily feed intake in growth (g/day)	8.2	Ecuador (2014)
Average daily feed intake in fattening in kits for meat production (g/day)	20.5	
Average daily feed intake of fattening for replacement females (g/day)	17.2	
Average daily feed intake of fattening for replacement males (g/day)	25.4	
Average daily feed intake of reproductive females in pweaning (g/day)	35.0	
Average daily feed intake of reproductive males (g/day)	30.0	

Forage intake in preweaning (g/day)	31.0	
Forage intake in growth (g/day)	110.9	
Forage intake in fattening (g/day)	277.2	
Forage intake in fattening for replacement females (g/day)	232.8	
Forage intake in fattening for replacement males (g/day)	343.7	
Forage intake of reproductive adults (g/day)	225.0	
Price of sale at slaughter for production animals (\$US/kg)	6.83	Chancay (2015)
Price of sale at slaughter for discarded animals (\$US/kg)	4.35	
Price per kg of preweaning feed (\$US/kg)	0.56	Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina (2015)
Price per kg of growth feed (\$US/kg)	0.53	
Price per kg of fattening feed (\$US/kg)	0.51	
Price per kg of reproductive feed (\$US/kg)	0.54	
Price per kg of forage (\$US/kg)	0.03	Espinoza et al. (2008)
Health cost (\$US/female and year)	2.62	

Table 2. Absolute (EW) and relative (REW) economic weights of the main traits obtained from a profit function of guinea pig meat production (\$US/unit of the trait).

Trait	EW	REW
Number of born alive per kindling	19.68	0.78
Number of kindlings per female and year	12.24	0.48
Empty days	-0.67	-0.03
Number of weaned per kindling	21.80	0.86
Number of produced per kindling	25.24	1.00
Birthweight (g)	0.06	0.00
Average daily gain in preweaning (g/d)	0.88	0.03
Average daily gain in growth (g/d)	1.18	0.05
Average daily gain in fattening(g/d)	3.24	0.13
Average daily feed intake in preweaning (g/d)	-0.10	0.00
Average daily feed intake in growth (g/d)	-0.12	0.00
Average daily feed intake in fattening (g/d)	-0.25	-0.01
Average daily feed intake of reproductive females	-0.20	-0.01
Average daily feed intake of reproductive males (g/d)	-0.03	0.00
Forage intake in preweaning (g/d)	-0.01	0.00
Forage intake in growth (g/d)	-0.01	0.00
Forage intake in fattening (g/d)	-0.02	0.00
Forage intake in reproductive adults (g/d)	-0.01	0.00
Preweaning survival (%)	0.61	0.02
Growth survival (%)	0.58	0.02
Fattening survival in production animals (%)	0.68	0.03

Table 3. Distribution of returns, costs, and profit in guinea pig meat production.

Item	\$US per reproductive female	\$US per kg live weight	% total
Returns	67.76	7.62	
Production	60.78	6.83	89.70
Discarded reproductive adults	6.98	0.78	10.30
Females	6.12	0.69	9.03
Males	0.86	0.10	1.27
Costs	52.64	5.92	
Feeding	23.25	2.61	44.17
Prewearing	0.40	0.05	0.77
Growth	1.75	0.20	3.33
Fattening for meat production	9.25	1.04	17.57
Replacement	1.25	0.14	2.38
Females	0.86	0.10	1.62
Males	0.40	0.04	0.76
Reproductive adults	10.60	1.19	20.13
Females	9.39	1.06	17.85
Males	1.20	0.14	2.28
Health	2.62	0.29	4.98
Labor	12.30	1.38	23.37
Utilities cost	2.68	0.30	5.08
Water, power, phone, others	1.86	0.21	3.53
Maintenance	0.82	0.09	1.55
Depreciation	3.22	0.36	6.12
Opportunity cost	1.81	0.20	3.44
Interest	6.76	0.76	12.84
Profit	15.11	1.70	

Table 4. Absolute (EW) and relative (REW) economic weights in guinea pig meat production when varying price of fattening feed and price per kg of guinea pig.

Trait	EW				REW			
	Price of fattening		Price per kg of		Price of fattening		Price per kg of guinea	
	-20%	20%	-20%	20%	-20%	20%	-20%	20%
Number of born alive per kindling	20.90	19.27	15.34	24.02	0.82	0.77	0.75	0.80
Numbers of kindlings per female and year	12.50	11.99	9.54	14.95	0.49	0.48	0.47	0.50
Empty days	-0.69	-0.66	-0.52	-0.82	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03
Number of weaned per kindling	22.25	21.16	17.01	26.58	0.88	0.85	0.83	0.88
Number of produced per kindling	25.42	25.03	20.38	30.07	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Birthweight (g)	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average daily gain in preweaning (g/d)	0.88	0.88	0.71	1.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04
Average daily gain in growth (g/d)	1.18	1.18	0.94	1.41	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Average daily gain in fattening(g/d)	3.24	3.24	2.59	3.89	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
Average daily feed intake during preweaning (g/d)	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average daily feed intake during growth (g/d)	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00
Average daily feed intake during fattening (g/d)	-0.20	-0.30	-0.25	-0.25	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
Average daily feed intake of reproductive females	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
Average daily feed intake of reproductive males (g/d)	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forage intake in preweaning (g/d)	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forage intake in growth (g/d)	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forage intake in fattening (g/d)	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Forage intake in reproductive adults (g/d)	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Preweaning survival (%)	0.62	0.60	0.48	0.74	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Growth survival (%)	0.59	0.57	0.45	0.70	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Fattening survival in production animals (%)	0.69	0.68	0.55	0.81	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03

Table 5. Returns, costs and profit (\$US per reproductive female and year) in guinea pig meat production when varying salary, price of fattening feed and price per kg of guinea pig.

Item	Salary		Price of fattening feed		Price per kg of guinea pig	
	Minimum ^a	Twice minimum ^b	-20%	+20%	-20%	+20%
Returns	67.76	67.76	67.76	67.76	55.60	79.91
Production returns	60.78	60.78	60.78	60.78	48.62	72.93
Discarded	6.98	6.98	6.98	6.98	6.98	6.98
Costs	48.54	56.74	51.49	53.80	52.64	52.64
Feeding	23.25	23.25	22.10	24.41	23.25	23.25
Health	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62	2.62
Labor	8.20	16.40	12.30	12.30	12.30	12.30
Utilities cost	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.68	2.68
Depreciation	3.22	3.22	3.22	3.22	3.22	3.22
Opportunity cost	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81	1.81
Interest	6.76	6.76	6.76	6.76	6.76	6.76
Profit	19.21	11.01	16.27	13.96	2.96	27.27

^a Minimum agricultural salary; ^b Twice the minimum agricultural salary