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ABSTRACT

Despite their important advantages in terms of fuel consumption in comparison with gasoline engines, for a long time the characteristic impulsive combustion noise has been one of the main causes why customers are reluctant to buy cars equipped with Diesel engines. This is the main reason for the efforts devoted by car manufacturers to mitigate Diesel engine noise while improving performance and driveability. In spite of all technical improvements, vehicles equipped with High Speed Direct Injection (HSDI) engines still offer a comfort level inferior to gasoline engine vehicles.

Noise represents one of the most important aspects in the definition of comfort, and especially combustion noise plays an essential role in the acoustic of a vehicle as, in the case of DI engines, it represents one of the most important noise sources. Thus, the great potential of diesel engines for environment preservation –due to their lower CO₂ emissions– could be missed. This situation worsens with the current design trends (engine downsizing) and the emerging new diesel combustion concepts (Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition-HCCI, Premixed Charge Compression Ignition-PCCI, etc.) conceived to further reduce NOₓ and particulate matter to comply with forthcoming legislation, which are intrinsically noisy.

Therefore, combustion noise must be considered as an additional essential factor in engine development, together with performance, emissions and driveability. Thus, suitable evaluation procedures that can be integrated into the global engine development process in a timely and cost-effective manner are imperative, both for noise level and sound quality. In this thesis, a procedure, based on the consideration of suitable indicators extracted from in-cylinder pressure, is proposed and validated. Such indicators are obtained from an innovative procedure to decompose in-cylinder pressure signal, so that features associated with combustion and with resonance excitation may be properly identified. Indicators obtained were correlated with a mark characteristic of the quality as well as with the overall noise level. In the first case, a direct physical explanation of subjective issues is not forthcoming but significant correlations were obtained and it was possible to obtain guidelines for the design of engines with a higher sound quality. In the second case, a prediction of the radiated noise level more accurate than that obtained from the classical block attenuation approach was achieved, while combustion process features related with the resulting noise level can be identified and thus corrective actions could be proposed.
In a complex issue, such as the noise radiated by a Diesel engine for a wide range of operation conditions, the classical analysis techniques are mainly useful for the evaluation of final products but not in early stages of engine development. The novelty of the approach proposed in this Thesis lies in the fact that the signature of the in-cylinder noise source is determined and characterized by reliable combustion indicators that are well correlated with both the sound pressure level and the subjective judgements for the whole operation range of interest. Since in-cylinder pressure traces are available at early stages of engine development, the proposed procedure becomes an attractive tool, that allows the integration of noise issues into the global development process, avoiding the need to resort to long and expensive noise tests.
RESUMEN

A pesar de sus importantes ventajas en términos de consumo de combustible en comparación con los motores de gasolina, por mucho tiempo, el característico ruido impulsivo de la combustión Diesel ha sido una de las principales causas por las cuales los clientes han sido reacios a comprar automóviles equipados con este tipo de motores. Por esta razón los fabricantes de vehículos han dedicado esfuerzos para mitigar el ruido del motor Diesel, y mejorar al mismo tiempo el rendimiento y la facilidad de conducción. A pesar de todas las mejoras técnicas, los vehículos equipados con motores Diesel rápidos de inyección directa (HSDI), aún no ofrecen el nivel de confort de los vehículos con motores de gasolina.

El ruido constituye uno de los aspectos más importantes en la definición de confort, y especialmente el ruido de combustión desempeña un papel esencial en la acústica de un vehículo debido a que, como en el caso de los motores de inyección directa (DI), este representa una de las fuentes de ruido más importantes. Así, el gran potencial de los motores Diesel para la preservación del medio ambiente -debido a sus bajas emisiones de CO₂- podría perderse. Esta situación empuja a las tendencias de diseño actuales para la reducción del tamaño del motor, y con los nuevos conceptos de combustión Diesel (Carga Homogénea -HCCI, Carga Premezclada -PCCI, etc), los cuales han sido concebidos para reducir aún más las emisiones de NOₓ y de partículas para cumplir con las futuras legislaciones, que son demasiado ruidosas.

Por lo tanto, el ruido de combustión debe ser considerado como un factor esencial en el desarrollo de los motores, junto con el rendimiento, las emisiones y la facilidad de conducción. Por esta razón, son imprescindibles nuevos procedimientos de evaluación, tanto del nivel de ruido como de la calidad sonora, que puedan ser integrados en el proceso global de desarrollo de un motor de manera oportuna y rentable. En esta tesis se propone y valida un procedimiento basado en indicadores de la combustión obtenidos de la señal de presión en cada uno de los cilindros. Estos indicadores son obtenidos por medio de un innovador procedimiento de descomposición de dicha señal, con lo que las características asociadas con la combustión y la resonancia de la cámara de combustión se han identificado correctamente. Los indicadores obtenidos se correlacionaron con una nota representativa de la calidad sonora, así como con el nivel global de ruido emitido. En el primer caso no se encontró una explicación física de los aspectos subjetivos, pero se obtuvieron correlaciones significativas que aportaron guías para el diseño de motores con mejor calidad.
de sonido. En el segundo caso, se logró una predicción más precisa del nivel de emisión de ruido que la que se puede obtener utilizando el procedimiento tradicional a través de la determinación de la curva de atenuación del bloque. Debido a que con el método desarrollado es posible tener en cuenta las características del proceso de combustión con mayor contribución al nivel de ruido emitido.

En una cuestión tan compleja como la emisión de ruido por motores Diesel en un amplio rango de condiciones de operación, las técnicas de análisis clásicas son útiles principalmente para la evaluación del producto final, pero no en las etapas iniciales del desarrollo de los motores. La novedad del procedimiento propuesto en esta tesis, reside en el hecho de que permite identificar las características más relevantes de la fuente de ruido (consecuencia de la combustión) y cuantificarlas mediante indicadores robustos y fiables que están bien correlacionados, tanto con el nivel global del ruido como con la calidad sonora dentro del rango de operaciones de interés. Dado que la señal de presión de los cilindros está disponible desde las etapas iniciales del desarrollo del motor, el procedimiento propuesto resulta una atractiva herramienta que permite la integración del ruido en el proceso global del desarrollo, evitando así la necesidad de depender de pruebas de escucha costosas y de larga duración.
RESUM

A pesar de les seues importants avantatges en termes de consum de combustible en comparació amb els motors de gasolina per molt temps, el carac-
tèstic soroll impulsiu de la combustió Dies el ha sigut una de les principals
causes pel que els clients han estat poc inclinats a comprar automòbils
equipats amb aquest tipus de motors. Per esta raó els fabricants de vehi-
cles han dedicat esfors per a mitigar el soroll del motor Diesel i millorar
al mateix temps el rendiment i la facilitat de conducció. A pesar de totes les
millorades tècniques els vehicles equipats amb motors Diesel ràpids d'injecció
directa (HSDI) encara no ofereixen el nivell de confort dels vehicles amb motors
de gasolina.

El soroll constitueix un dels aspectes més importants en la definició de
confort i especialment el soroll de combustió ocupa un paper essencial en
l'acústica d'un vehicle degut al fet que, com en el cas dels motors d'injecció di-
recta (DI), aquest representa una de les fonts de soroll més importants. Així, el
gran potencial dels motors Diesel per la preservació del medi ambient a causa
de les seues baixes emissions de CO_2_ podria perdre's. Aquesta situació em-
pitjora amb les tendències de disseny actuals per a la reducció de la grandària
del motor. A més dels nous conceptes de combustió Diesel (Càrrega Homogènia
-HCCI, Càrrega Premixclada -PCCI, etc) els quals han estat concebuts per
reduir encara més les emissions de NO_x i de partícules per complir amb les
futures legislacions que són massa sorollosos.

Per tant, el soroll de combustió ha de ser considerat com un factor
essencial en el desenvolupament dels motors, juntament amb el rendiment, les
emissions i la facilitat de conducció. Per aquesta raó, són imprescindibles nous
procediments d'avaluació, tant del nivell de soroll com de la qualitat sonora,
que puguin ser integrats en el procés global de desenvolupament d'un motor de
materia oportuna i rendible. En aquesta tesi es proposa i valida un procediment
basat en indicadors de la combustió obtinguts del senyal de pressió en cada
un dels cilindres. Aquests indicadors són obtinguts per mitjà d'un innovador
procediment de descomposició d'aquest senyal, amb el que les característiques
associades amb la combustió i la resonància de la càmera de combustió s'estan
identificat correctament. Els indicadors obtinguts es van correlacionar amb
una nota representativa de la qualitat sonora, així com amb el nivell global de
soroll emès. En el primer cas, no es va trobar una explicació física dels aspectes
subjectius, però es van obtenir correlacions significatives que van aportar guies
per al disseny de motors amb millor qualitat de so. En el segon cas, es va as-
solir una predició més precisa del nivell d’emissió de soroll, que la que es pot
obtenir utilitzant el procediment tradicional a través de la determinació de
la corba d’attenuació del bloc. Degut al fet que amb el mètode desenvolupat
és possible tenir en compte les característiques del procés de combustió amb
major contribució al nivell de soroll emès.

En una qüestió tan complexa com hi és el soroll emès per motors Diésel
en un ampli rang de condicions d’operació, les tècniques d’anàlisi clàssiques
són útils principalment per a l’avaluació del producte final, però no en les
etapes inicials del desenvolupament dels motors. La novetat del procediment
proposat en aquesta tesi, resideix en el fet que permet identificar les caracte-
ristiques més rellevants de la font de soroll (conseqüència de la combustió)
i quantificar-les mitjançant indicadors robusts i fiables que estan bé correla-
cionats, tant amb el nivell global del soroll com amb la qualitat sonora dintre
del rang d’operacions d’interès. Atès que el senyal de pressió dels cilindres està
disponible des de les etapes inicials del desenvolupament del motor, el proced-
iment proposat resulta una atractiva opció que permet la integració del soroll
en el procés global del desenvolupament, evitant així la necessitat de dependre
de proves d’escolta costoses i de llarga durada.
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\( P_l \)  
Pressure level in the acoustic modal theory

\( \phi \)  
Charge generated by the transducer
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Injected fuel mass

\( \dot{\Omega} \)  
Volumetric heat release rate

\( r \)  
Crankthrow length or Radial position
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Position vector
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\( S_f \)  
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Speed vector in \( i \)th direction

\( V \)  
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\( V_o \)  
Output voltage

\( W \)  
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\( W_{rad} \)  
Radiated acoustic power

\( x, y, z \)  
Cartesian coordinates

\( Z_d \)  
Mechanical cross impedance
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- AI: Annoyance Index
- AR1: Annoyance Response Index
- BDC: Bottom Death Cylinder/Center
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PC | Personal Computer
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STL | Speech Interference Level
SML | Sum of Modulation Levels
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SPi | Sound Pressure Level
SQM | Sound Quality Metric
STFT | Short Time Fourier Transform
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\( \mathbf{x} \) | Vector
\( x' \) | Fluctuation about a mean value
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\( \dot{x} \) | Time derivative of \( x \)
\( \ddot{x} \) | Second order time derivative of \( x \)
\( \Delta x \) | Variation
\( \bar{x} \) | Mean Value
\( d \) | Differential
\( d^2 \) or \( d^2 \) | Second order differential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td>$\nabla$</td>
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Chapter 1

Introduction
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<td>4</td>
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1.1. Justification

In 2004 Jaguar Cars, a historical luxury car manufacturer, launched in the market its first S-type model powered by a Diesel engine. A new twinturbo 2.7 l common rail V6 engine, product of an agreement between PSA Peugeot Citroënn and Ford Motor Company was developed. This engine supplied 435 Nm of maximal torque, more than the 4.2-litre V8 Jaguar S-type petrol engine, and improved its consumption by a massive 47%. Furthermore, what Jaguar really concentrated on was offering the comfort—in terms of driveability, noise, vibration and harshness—that buyers of petrol powered luxury cars have traditionally demanded.

In June 2006 the Audi R10, a sport car powered by a 5.5 l TDI ‘Turbocharged Direct Injection’ Diesel engine won the 24 hours of Le Mans, probably the most famous endurance racing event in the world. This marked the first significant victory for a diesel-powered car in a major racing event, and possibly an important turning point in the perception that diesels are noisy, stinky, and slow: the Audis were the quietest, cleanest, and fastest cars in the race. Furthermore, they were also the most fuel efficient.

These are just two examples of the technological revolution happened in last decades at least in Europe, which has seen the Diesel engine gaining increasing automotive market share also in those vehicle segments that have traditionally been considered gasoline engine strongholds, such as the luxury and sports vehicle segments. European market share of Diesel engines has been continuously increasing, from a more or less constant 14% between 1987 and 1991 to 33% in 2000 and then to around 45% in 2003. In 2007, according to the European Union Economic Report by ACEA (European Automobile Manufacturers Association), more than half (53.3%) of new passenger cars registered in the European Union are powered by Diesel engines.

The continuous rise in demand for Diesel cars is explained to a large extent by the significant increase of fuel prices with consequent greater requirement of fuel efficient engines. Furthermore, recognition of the importance of the global warming has increased the pressure on automobile manufacturers to decrease emissions of CO₂ from vehicles. According to the last European regulations the fleet average to be achieved by all cars registered in the EU is 130 g/km, this means that the automotive manufacturers will be forced to shift their production engines to engines with less CO₂ emissions.

Diesel engines have a greater thermodynamic efficiency and hence a lower fuel consumption and lower CO₂ emissions than gasoline engines. A transition from gasoline to diesel powered vehicles offers a possible approach for reducing CO₂ emissions from vehicles. Based on European Diesel and gasoline certification data of 2004, a study of Sullivan et al. [249] quantifies such CO₂ reduction opportunities for cars and light duty trucks in approximately 24-33%.

The improved Diesel engine technology has permitted a significant advance of this type of engines in terms of performance and driveability. Exhaust gas recirculation (EGR), variable geometry turbocharging and Common Rail direct injection (CRDI), are just some examples of the sophisticated technologies that have been im-
introduced in the last decades by the engine manufacturers in the development of the DI (Compression Ignition) engines.

In spite of these technical improvements, the conventional combustion process in Diesel engines may still be considered as the most important source of noise, thus the great potential of Diesel engines for environment preservation – due to their lower CO₂ emissions – could be missed. Moreover, the advanced combustion concepts – e.g. the HCCI (Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition) and the PCCI (Premixed Charge Compression Ignition) – conceived to comply with forthcoming emissions legislation without affecting the efficiency of current engines, are expected to be noisier because they are characterized by a higher amount of premixed combustion. For these reasons many efforts have been and are going to be dedicated by car manufacturers to reduce the overall level and improve the sound quality of Diesel engines. Consequently, evaluation procedures are required, both for noise levels and sound quality, that may be integrated in the global engine development process in a timely and cost-effective manner.

The noise generation process in a DI Diesel engine is a complex phenomenon, it originates from the self-ignition of the air-fuel mixture, which produces an important sudden pressure increase giving rise to the well-known Diesel knock. The combustion process is mainly responsible for block vibration through two excitation sources: the pressure and mechanical forces. Pressure forces act directly on the surface of combustion chamber walls and strongly depend on the combustion concept, which is controlled by the injection strategy, compression ratio, bowl geometry, etc. Mechanical forces are induced by the pressure forces through the mechanical systems and are due to piston slap, clearances, deformation, friction, etc. This process is characterized by non-linearity and non-stationarity that make the use of a rigorous mathematical approach impossible. These facts justify the original approach, which is at the basis of this Thesis to find direct correlations between indicators characteristic of the in-cylinder pressure signal evolution and the noise radiated by the engine, considering the noise from a quantitative point of view (noise pressure level), as well as qualitative (a mark describing the concept of quality).

This work claims to be a contribution in response to current requirements of suitable tools for combustion noise analysis in state-of-the-art Diesel engines. The approach proposed in this Thesis appears to be a reliable and attractive tool for early stages of engine development, not only for estimation of the engine noise features but also for the establishment of guidelines for optimal injection settings regarding combustion noise issues.

1.2. Objectives and development of the work

The main objective of this Thesis is to develop a complete methodology to allow the estimation of noise radiated by the engine starting from the in-cylinder pressure measurement. The engine noise will be tackled by a double point of view: subjective (through a mark given by a jury of listeners) and objective (overall noise level).
The attention will be focused on the analysis of the in-cylinder pressure evolution as the main source of Diesel engine noise [8]. Consequently, the effect of passive systems for noise attenuation, such as engine encapsulation and cabin acoustic insulation, as well as the optimization of engine structure and materials will not be treated in this work.

The final goal is to elaborate a tool that permits an assessment of the engine noise characteristics by analyzing the in-cylinder pressure traces. Accordingly, a direct correlation between the combustion indicators and the quantity and quality of noise—expressed by the sound pressure level in decibels and by a mark, respectively—has to be researched.

The development of a new approach for the evaluation of the combustion noise characteristics requires a preliminary exhaustive analysis of the problems related to its generation and of the techniques already existing. Accordingly, the first step of this research has been an exposition of the state of the art. This will give a general overview of the problems related to the combustion noise generation and will permit to understand the novel approach developed in this Thesis. The theory and classic techniques for the study of the combustion noise will be thoroughly analyzed. Furthermore, the concept of quality applied to the noise will be introduced and the basic guidelines to give a subjective evaluation as well as the intents to get an objective quantification of this concept will be presented.

Subsequently it is necessary to define a general methodology in order to accomplish the objectives proposed in this Thesis. First of all, an adequate experimental methodology was defined, the main requirement of the experimental set-up is to be able to record simultaneously the pressure evolution inside every cylinder and the noise radiated by the engine. The in-cylinder pressure signal acquisition has to be done with constant time increment and high sampling frequency, the noise measures have to be performed in free field conditions to avoid any reverberation effect. An anechoic room is used for this scope. Moreover, a robust methodology for the evaluation of the combustion noise quality was developed in order to qualify every noise with a mark in a reliable and repeatable manner.

Once the experimental methodology was defined, the data recorded were processed. The purpose of this phase is to get possibly all the information related to the combustion noise generation process. The approach proposed is based on a novel procedure for the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure signal in three sub-signals: pseudo-motored combustion and resonance. From this sub-signals, by means of advanced statistical techniques indicators characteristic of the combustion process were obtained. Finally predicting models—as direct correlation between the selected indicators and the noise—were defined, considering both the noise quality (quantified by the jury mark) and objective noise (overall noise level measured in decibels).

The problematic of the noise quality was structured in three phases:

- Definition of a model for the assessment of the noise quality of engines operating with single and conventional (pilot + main) injection.
- Definition of a model for the assessment of the noise quality of engines operating with multiple injection strategies.

- Analysis of the influence of the resonance phenomena in the combustion chamber on the combustion noise quality. For this part CFD calculations will be also analyzed in order to get a deeper comprehension of the resonance phenomena occurring in the cylinder during combustion.

The task of defining a predictive model for the assessment of the noise level will be approached in two main tasks:

- Definition of a model for the assessment of the combustion noise level with the engine operating in stationary conditions. For this issue a preliminary study will be performed considering a classical approach based on the attenuation curve. This will be done in order to have a point of reference allowing an evaluation of the potentiality of the novel approach.

- The second phase of the study on the noise level assessment has been conducted on an engine operating in transitory conditions. Also in this case the proposed approach has been compared with the results obtained with the attenuation curve methodology.

Finally the main conclusions obtained by this research will be remarked and the potential future works that could be done will be shortly introduced.
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2.1. Introduction

The objective of this chapter is to provide a general overview of the most important concepts related to the combustion noise generation problem. This is done by presenting and analyzing the main methodologies and results of the engine acoustics investigations described in the scientific literature. A review of the state of the art of the combustion noise is a first essential step in order to frame the problem, furthermore it contributes to explain and justify the hypothesis at the basis of the methodology developed in this Thesis.

After this first short introduction, the second section of this chapter will present a physical interpretation of the combustion noise generation. The main theories that try to explain, in a rigorous physical way, the noise generation from combustion will be shortly described. The simple case of a premixed turbulent flame will be analyzed presenting the results obtained with both experimental and theoretical approaches. The main factors affecting combustion noise generation as well as the limitations due to the complexity of a physical approach will be reported.

The third section will focus on the engine noise components and sources. Considering the total radiated noise as the sum of combustion and mechanical noises, definitions of the engine noise components will be given. The mechanism characteristic of the noise generation, from the excitation sources to the radiation of noise by vibration of the engine surface will be described. The main analysis techniques for the identification of the engine noise sources will be shortly presented.

The following section is dedicated to give a highlight to the concept of combustion as the main noise source in Diesel engines. A description of the combustion features in compression-ignition engines will be given, identifying the basic ways to control the combustion evolution. In-cylinder pressure analysis is widely described as it represents the most reliable method for characterizing the combustion, and some studies based on this type of analysis will be presented.

In the fifth section the most widely used techniques for the analysis of combustion noise will be described. The block attenuation curve analysis, empirical formulae, as well as time-frequency and numerical methods will be considered and some examples of their application will be given, focusing on the potential and the limits of these methods for the assessment of combustion noise.

In the sixth section the concept of sound quality as feature of the engine noise will be introduced. The basic guidelines to give a subjective evaluation to the noise quality will be reported. To conclude the chapter some intents of getting an objective noise quality evaluation will be presented.

Finally, the main conclusions of this bibliographic review will be summarized evidencing the problems and complexity characteristic of the combustion noise generation. This will explain and justify the ideas that form the basis of the novel approach introduced in this Thesis.
2.2. Physical interpretation of combustion noise generation

The main problem related to the study of combustion generated noise is that it represents quantitatively only a minimal part of the energy involved in the phenomenon. The noise sound power radiated by a well behaved combustor device is about 5 - 5 orders of magnitudes smaller than its thermal input. Nevertheless, combustion noise has been clearly identified as an important noise source in industrial furnaces and heaters, aircraft turbopropulsion system, gas turbine units and, of course, Diesel engines. However the fundamentals of the problem are the same in all systems [239].

From a physical point of view, combustion noise is the result of the interaction of turbulence and combustion. On the one hand, turbulence dominates the transport mechanisms from the molecular level to the macroscopic level increasing the rapidity of transport processes. On the other hand, combustion still requires interactions at the molecular level. The fact that combustion takes place in a turbulent field alters the noise production mechanism as compared with non-reacting turbulent fields. Acoustic waves are produced in turbulent flames by local temporal fluctuations of volume change. Hence, alterations are caused by chemical reactions and convective transport of turbulence into the flame front.

In literature [239], on combustion noise generation, from a specific physical point of view, combustion noise is conventionally distinguished in two forms: direct and indirect:

- Direct combustion noise - This noise is generated in and radiated from a region undergoing turbulent combustion and is related to the unsteady combustion process itself. This is caused by a temporal fluctuation in the aggregate heat release of the reacting region. This overall fluctuation, while small, exists and generates pressure waves.

- Indirect combustion noise - This is generated downstream of the combustion region where the fluid presents nonuniform entropy. For this reason, it is also called entropy noise. In turbulent fields, all streamlines are not equally heated by combustion, hot and cold spots are generated in the flow. These regions cause noise when interact with downstream components of the combustor device.

This distinction must not be confused with that relative to the engine direct combustion noise and the engine indirect combustion noise. Definition introduced by several authors for the classification of the engine noise generation sources [2, 214]. It will be presented in Section 2.3.1.

Depending on the device, either direct or indirect noise may be dominant. Any- way, the issue of indirect combustion noise only exists in systems which terminate the combustor after a short running length with an extreme pressure gradient. Consequently, only jet and rocket engines are affected by this phenomenon, while in the case of Diesel engines, most of problems arise from direct noise. A Diesel engine is a particular type of combustor as it operates as a nearly constant volume, intermittent
device. Nevertheless, the gases in the combustion chamber are in turbulent motion during combustion and from cycle to cycle it is impossible to reproduce in space and time exactly the same event. As a result, there will be the presence of random fluctuations due to the turbulence superimposed upon an almost periodic pressure-time trace; Strahle and Handley [243], and more recently Schuller et al. [226] observed that in Diesel engines noise is caused by combustion randomness to a large degree. Using spectral and time-frequency analysis, they showed that stochastic fluctuations, representative of direct combustion noise, dominate the periodic part of the pressure-time trace in a range of frequencies above 1500 Hz. In some cases about one half of the total overall sound pressure level is due to direct combustion noise.

In the next sections some experiments and theories developed for the study of the combustion noise generation will be shortly described. The intention is to give some information about the physics of the phenomenon showing the difficulties related to a mathematical approach of the problem and evidencing the limits of the physical models.

### 2.2.1. Combustion noise theory

The first explicit analysis and modelling of the source of combustion noise has been performed in early sixties by Braga [39], who treated the flame front as a distribution of monopoles. More rigorous analysis were subsequently performed by Strahle [242], Hassan [99], Chin and Summerfield [59], Doak [73] and others that developed approximate wave equations describing the sound generation by unsteady heat-release processes. Most of these analysis were primarily interested in developing theoretical predictions or rational empirical correlations for the total sound power radiated by the flame. Several different approaches have been considered and several different results have been obtained. The main reason for such divergence is the inaccuracy of the mathematical formalism used, seeing that many approximations are hardly required for analytical tractability.

Most of combustion noise theories are based on two aeroacoustics theories, one based upon Lighthill’s acoustic analogy and one on Phillip’s analogy. Here a theory introduced by Strahle in 1971 [242] and improved in 1978 [239] will be described. As in the classical aeroacoustic analogies also the Strahle’s theory starts from the conservation equations of mass, momentum and energy, applied to the air-fuel mixture, considered as an inviscid, non-heating conducting fluid.

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0,  \quad \ldots \ldots \quad (2.1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla p - \rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \mathbf{B} \right) \quad \ldots \ldots \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho \mathbf{Q} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho \mathbf{T} \right) \quad \ldots \ldots \quad (2.3)
\]
where \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( v \) the velocity vector \( \vec{i} \), \( x \) the cartesian coordinate in \( \text{ith} \) direction, \( p \) the pressure, \( s \) the entropy, \( \dot{Q} \) the volumetric heat release rate and \( T \) the temperature. The combustion effect is introduced through the heat source in Equation (2.3). In the three conservation equations no molecular transport phenomena are included since they are considered unimportant to noise generation problem. Large scale motions of the turbulence are responsible for noise while molecular transport phenomena are slow on the scale of the periods characteristics of the noise generation.

Another hypothesis, normally assumed in most of these theories, is that the fluid is a perfect gas, consequently

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\rho}{\rho} = \nabla \cdot \rho \text{v} = \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho} \text{v} \right)
\]

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( c \) the speed of sound, \( c_0 \) specific heat at constant pressure and \( \gamma \) the ratio of specific heats.

From equations (2.1) and (2.4) a more useful form of the energy equation is obtained

\[
\frac{D \ln p}{Dt} + \gamma \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} - (\gamma - 1) \frac{\dot{Q}}{p}
\]

The Strahle's approach is based in constructing a Lighthill equation by time differentiating Equation (2.1), taking the divergence of Equation (2.2), subtracting the two and subtracting \( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \) from both sides of the resulting equation. The result is a sound wave operator for a stationary medium that takes into account variable speed of sound and inhomogeneity:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( c^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \rho \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \right) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \left( \rho \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right)
\]

On the other hand, the approach of Chiu and Summerfield [39] is based in formulating a Phillips equation by using the variable \( \eta \) in \( p \), taking the time derivative of Equation (2.5), the divergence of Equation (2.2), and subtracting the two. The resulting equation would be:

\[
\frac{D^2 \eta}{Dt^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( c^2 \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i} \right) - (\gamma - 1) \frac{D}{Dt} \left( \frac{\dot{Q}}{p} \right) + \gamma \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_j}
\]

This leads to another convective sound wave operator that also takes into account variable speed of sound and inhomogeneity:

The terms \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \rho \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i} \right) \) of Equation (2.6) and \( (\gamma - 1) \frac{D}{Dt} \left( \frac{\dot{Q}}{p} \right) \) of Equation (2.7) are selected as the responsible in combustion noise generation. As these terms are presumed known, the question reduces to solve an inhomogeneous wave equation. In effect, both approaches are inexact and incorrect. The main problem is that the
velocity terms on the right side of both Equations (2.6) and (2.7) contain fluid dilation terms, but $\dot{Q}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are actually linked by Equation (2.5). To throw away these terms is to throw out parts of the problem which may be important.

Considering the simple case of a free flame radiating to a uniform free field as is presented in Figure 2.1 [A], the solution obtained with Equation (2.6) is:

$$p' \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{|v|} \int V \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[ \frac{\partial \dot{Q}}{\partial t} \right]_{r_0, t - (|r|/c_0)} dV(r_0)$$

and with Equation (2.7) is:

$$p' \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{|v|} \int V \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[ \frac{\partial \dot{Q}}{\partial t} \right]_{r_0, t - (|r|/c_0)} dV(r_0) + r_i \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i} dV(r_0),$$

where $p'$ is the pressure fluctuation, $\mathbf{v}$ the position vector, $V$ the volume enclosing reaction. According to Strahle, thanks to its simplicity, Equation (2.8) represents the best tool for modeling simple flames, on the other hand, other authors prefer Equation (2.9), assuming that its additional terms carry an extremely strong contribution to combustion noise.

As commented before, direct and indirect noise have their origin in heat release fluctuations. The terms of Equations (2.8) and (2.9), relative to the fluctuation in heat release rate, represent the source of direct combustion noise. Indirect noise is explained by (2.3), the heat release determines the entropy, if this fluid interacts with a boundary which is sensitive to entropy fluctuations, noise may be generated.

An important obstacle to the use of Equations like (2.3), (2.8) or (2.9) for the calculation of the sound output and spectra is that the turbulence features must be known and this is complicated by the presence of combustion. A flame is characterized by oscillations and instability. Furthermore, a feedback interaction between the flame and the acoustic field is also present. Actually, there is a lack of detailed turbulence theory for the combustion process and experimental information on the statistics of the problem. This is also due to the fact that in many situations the flame pattern is not directly observable.

Summarizing, on the one hand, the significant results of those studies have been a general understanding of the physics of the problem and the important role of heat-release rate as well as fuel heating value and flame speed on the acoustic emission. On the other hand, it appears clear that with these approaches it is still impossible to make a precise prediction of noise emission and spectral content because of the lack of knowledge of turbulence details in a turbulent flame.

### 2.2.2. Simple premixed turbulent flame model

One of the cases most commonly analyzed for the study of the combustion noise generation is that of a simple turbulent jet flame of premixed gases burning in
a free field, or in other words, the flame of a Bunsen burner. A picture of this type of flame is presented in Figure 2.1 (A). In this section a deeper analysis of this simple case will be presented, comparing the results obtained with both experimental and theoretical approaches. The factors affecting combustion noise generation will be also described highlighting the limitations of these physical models.

2.2.2.1. Experimental

Through experimental measurements, a common practice [231, 240, 244] is to attempt a dimensional analysis, searching for a correlation of some interesting quantity such as sound power $P$, made dimensionless by the thermal input rate $\dot{m}_f H$, with dimensionless variables which characterize the phenomenon. Since the details of the individual reactions are not known, it is common to replace the aggregate of reactions with a single global reaction characterized by an effective Damköhler number defined as:

$$\eta_a - S_L^2 D/\alpha U$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)$$

where $S_L$ is the laminar flame speed, $D$ the burner diameter, $\alpha$ the thermal diffusivity and $U$ the mass weighted average axial fluid velocity. From the experiments it was found that the thermoacoustic efficiency $\eta_a$ can be estimated by:

$$\left| \frac{P'}{\dot{m}_f H} \right| - \eta_{id} \times M^{2.08} \text{Re}^{-0.06} \text{Da}^{0.92} F^{-1.26}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.11)$$
Figure 2.2: Typical spectral shape of combustion noise for a premixed free jet flame in free field [239]

where $M$ is the Mach number, $Re$ the Reynolds number and $F$ the fuel mass fraction.

From the Equation (2.11) it can be observed the absence of a strong dependence on Reynolds number, indicating that transport processes play a very little role in the noise generation process and that this is dominated by the large scale eddies.

An example of the frequency spectrum of a Bunsen burner flame is presented in Figure 2.3. It can be observed that noise from open premixed flames is a typical broad band noise with a single spectral maximum in correspondence of $f_p \approx 800 \mathrm{Hz}$.

Searching another correlation for the estimation of the Strouhal number -- a dimensionless number describing oscillating flow mechanisms defined as $St = f_p D / U$ -- one may obtain:

$$St \propto M^{-0.49} Re^{0.02} Da^{0.39} F^{-1.1}. \tag{2.12}$$

It can be observed again the absence of a strong dependence on Reynolds number, from Equations (2.11) and (2.12) the chemistry through $Da$ and the flame temperature, through $F$, result having a strong role in the combustion noise generation.

2.2.2.2. Theory

The purpose of this section is to present the theoretical approach [244] for the study of combustion noise from free field premixed flames capable of explaining the results of Equations (2.11) and (2.12). Figure 2.1 'B' represents a scheme of the analyzed flame. This simplified theory considers the limit situation that the flame size decreases to zero with the control surface "A" remaining fixed, so that the problem is reduced to the study of a punctual monopole noise radiator. Under this hypothesis, the wavelengths emitted by the flame can be considered long compared with the maximum dimension of the reacting volume $V$ surrounded by $A$. Furthermore, nearly complete mixing of the post flame gases with the ambient air took place, so that the speed of sound outside of $A$ can be considered constant and the flow speed approximate to zero. Consequently, outside of $A$, a simple Helmholtz wave equation can be formulated.
\[ \nabla^2 \rho_\infty + k^2 \rho_\infty = 0. \]  

(2.13)

whose solution under the hypothesis of free field, compactness of the flame and absence of mean flow can be expressed by:

\[ p_w = \frac{e^{-ik|r|}}{4\pi |r|} \int_A \left( \frac{\partial \nu'_n}{\partial t} \right)_w dA \]  

(2.14)

This equation, that depends only on the vector position \( \mathbf{r} \), represents a spherically symmetric monopole radiation. Furthermore it results that the noise is generated in the flame by a fluctuating normal component of velocity \( \nu'_n \), on the surface \( A \). From Equation (2.14), the acoustic power can be expressed by:

\[ P = \frac{4\pi |\mathbf{p}|^2}{\rho c} \]  

(2.15)

Summarizing, in the case of this simple flame, combustion noise can be considered as a monopole noise field caused by dilation of the volume enclosing the flame. From Equations (2.14) and (2.15), it results that direct combustion noise is a consequence of the dilation of the flow, volumetric expansion and contractions. This dilation, in turn, is caused by a heat release rate fluctuation in the flame. Furthermore, using these two equations the thermoacoustic efficiency \( \eta_a \) can be expressed by:

\[ \eta_a = \frac{P}{m_f H} = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{4\pi c} \left( \int_A \left( \frac{\partial \nu'_n}{\partial t} \right)_w dA \right)^2 \frac{1}{m_f H} \]  

(2.16)

Taking into account the experimental absence of the Reynolds effect on sound, it can be assumed that \( \nu'_n \propto S_T \frac{T_f}{T} \), which is equivalent to saying that the normal component of velocity \( \nu'_n \) is proportional to velocity downstream of an isobaric adiabatic one dimensional flame propagating at the turbulent flame speed \( S_T \). Furthermore, assuming that only large scale turbulence is involved in the noise generation process, taking \( A \) proportional to the observed flame area using Equation 2.12, and other necessary relations, it results that:

\[ \frac{P}{m_f H} = \eta_a \times M^{2.2} Re^{0.04} Da^{0.78} F^{-1.3} \left( \frac{H}{c_p T} \right) \]  

(2.17)

This recovers closely Equation (2.11). Theory and experiments appear to be consistent for the relative simple case of free field premixed turbulent flames.
2.2.3. Factors affecting combustion noise generation

Unfortunately the models and equations presented above are well suited only for small gaseous premixed flames radiating in free field, but do not hold for all flame types. The size of the flame affects the combustion: the radiated sound pattern is influenced by the ratios of the wavelength to flame size and to burner size, respectively. Furthermore the size affects the turbulence structure and frequency content of noise.

The fact that the flame is premixed or diffusive influences the flame aerodynamics, shape and turbulent structure. Moreover, depending on the primary forces controlling the flow, the flame can be affected by the gravitational field and distinctions could also be made if swirl is induced in the flow. Fuel type also affects the flame noise, since there are different mechanisms for noise generation in liquid fueled burners correlated with vaporizing phenomena.

Another essential aspect to consider in combustion noise generation process is the fact that most of practical situations do not take place in free field conditions. The sound radiation characteristics of an acoustic source enclosed in a limited space change significantly when compared with free field conditions. Enclosing a noise source causes phenomena like the excitation of resonant modes and possible feedback between reflected waves and source. Figure 2.3 shows a spectral comparison of the sound pressure from a flame inside a tube and in free field condition. Form the figure it can be easily observed how the presence of a duct amplifies the sound pressure level. In the spectrum of the enclosed flame it is also evident the appearance of the first two longitudinal modes.

2.2.4. Physical interpretation limitations

From the previous sections follows that the physics of the combustion noise generation process are well enough understood. Since combustion noise is recognized
as the result of dilatation fluctuation of the flow caused by heat release rate variation in the flame. It is also possible to predict the effects of various design parameters on the noise output.

On the other hand, this type of approach does not seem sufficiently reliable to get an accurate quantitative prediction of the noise characteristics and its spectral content. Mathematical approaches are characterized by an enormous complexity and need inevitable approximations. Depending on the case, they can bring to incorrect answers due to the incorrect mathematical formalisms used and to the lack of knowledge of the phenomena linked to the interaction between turbulence and combustion.

Interesting results can be obtained from the study of simple situations, such as a small premixed turbulent flame in free field conditions. In any case, in practical situations, such as the combustion in Diesel engines, innumerable factors play an important role in the noise combustion generation process. All this strongly limits the use of a rigorous physical or mathematical approach for the study of combustion noise in Diesel engines.

Consequently, other approaches have been developed to study the combustion noise from Diesel engines. The goal of the next sections is to introduce the classification of the various components that contribute to noise radiation in Diesel engines, focusing on the noise from combustion, and to present the numerous techniques developed for its analysis.

2.3. Engine noise components and sources

Noise control on commercial vehicles deserves increasing interest from vehicle manufacturers due to the increasingly stringent legislation and to the fact that acoustical comfort plays an essential role in customer purchasing decisions. Therefore, it is important to understand and separate different contributions to vehicle noise focusing on the main source of noise which is the engine. There are several
possibilities to differentiate the noise sources in a vehicle [3, 16]. Figure 2.4 presents five main sources responsible for noise generation.

- Wind - noise due to the interaction of the vehicle with the air; it depends on the speed and streamlining of the vehicle

- Tyres and Suspensions - noise effects by wheel road contact; it depends on the speed, on the characteristics of tyres and suspensions as well as of the road surface

- Power Train - noise radiated by the gearbox surface and by the others gears and axles of transmission

- Exhaust system - vibration and noise radiation of the system as well as the exhaust pipe noise due to orifice effect

- Engine - noise radiated by engine block and accessories, it can be distinguished into combustion noise and mechanical noise

At lower speed (under 30 Km/h for passenger cars, under 50Km/h for trucks) the engine, especially a direct injection Diesel engine, represents the main noise source of a vehicle. Tyre noise dominates above that, and aerodynamic noise becomes louder as these increase with vehicle speed. According to Russell and Haworth [207] the engine as a whole contributes between one quarter and a half of the total sound of a vehicle. Consequently, it results essential to identify the different components of the noise radiated by an engine in order to analyze separately every cause trying to limit its effect.

In the next sections the engine noise component will be presented separately and a short review of the classical techniques for the separation of the various components will be given.

2.3.1. Combustion noise

According to Russell and Haworth [207], in many high speed direct injection engines, in practical situations such as during the tests specified in vehicle noise legislations, combustion noise dominates not only the engine noise but also the noise from the complete vehicle. Consequently, it appears clear that many researches on the engine combustion noise have been done in order to get a better understanding on this phenomenon, also this Thesis will focus on it and will leave out the other sources of noise in a vehicle.

Combustion noise in internal combustion engines is the result of the forces generated by the gases that expand during combustion. In Diesel engines combustion produces an abrupt pressure rise; this is the main cause for the typical impulsive noise, also known as "knock" [186, 207], that characterize these engines.

On the one hand, the pressure forces are transformed into noise and radiated directly to the environment by the cylinder head and the upper part of the cylinder
Figure 2.5: Identification of the noise sources in a vehicle

block. On the other hand, these forces, acting on the piston, are transformed into mechanical forces and transmitted, even more intensively, internally, through the crank train components, mean bearing and crank case [15,214,272].

Alt. 2, 3 gave a complete and clear classification of the engine noise shares. Figure 2.5 shows how the engine noise can be divided into mechanical noise, combustion noise and accessory noise. With the term mechanical noise is indicated the noise that comes from the movement of all mechanical devices of the engine; valves, drive shaft, axels, gears, etc. The accessory noise is noise caused by the auxiliary elements such as the injection system, the turbo-compressor group, etc.

Combustion noise, in turn, can be considered as the sum of direct and indirect combustion noise as well as flow noise. This classification must not be confused with the definition of direct and indirect combustion noise introduced in Section 2.2 for the physical interpretation of combustion noise generation process.

According to this engine noise classification, the acting forces generated by the combustion fall into two categories depending on their characteristic time. The first group of forces, also indicated as pressure forces or gas forces, follows the cylinder pressure curve, and the resulting noise radiated by the structure is defined as direct combustion noise. Ideally, this noise would be the result of the pressure forces directly acting on the structure assuming that no clearances are involved.

The second group follows the rotary force curve which causes the indirect combustion noise forcing the engine components to move through their clearances resulting in impacts. The momentum load of the crankshaft and piston side force generate the crankshaft rumble and piston slap, respectively. Following Alt’s definition, the flow noise, radiated by the intake and exhaust system components, is also ascribed to the combustion noise, as both are load related noises. In any case, flow noise share assume a certain importance only in the case of gasoline direct injection engines, due to the high volumetric flow during stratified operation [2].
Other authors \cite{6,202} consider the engine noise as simply composed by combustion induced noise and mechanical induced noise. Figure 2.6 shows a schematization of the engine structure and of the exciting forces from combustion. In this case the exciting forces are divided into unidirectional forces and reversible forces. Unidirectional exciting forces are the gas forces $P_g$ and the inertia forces $P_l$ acting mainly around the IDC (top dead center) on the compression stroke, produced from compression and combustion, and responsible for combustion induced noise. Reversible forces $F$ change direction due to the engine crank mechanism and associated inertia forces they are the cause of the mechanical induced noise. The forces accelerate the various elements of the internal carrying structure across the clearances $\delta$ causing impacts and inducing the engine structure vibration. According to this classification, piston slap and crankshaft rumble are considered as mechanical noise, instead of indirect combustion noise (as resulted from the Alt's nomenclature).

2.3.2. Mechanical Noise

The slight divergences in the definition of the various combustion noise shares are due to the fact that in the practice it is almost impossible to separate completely combustion noise and mechanical noise. Consequently, even though the intention of this Thesis is to focus on combustion noise, the main characteristics of the indirect combustion noise and mechanical noise will be also described.

2.3.2.1. Piston slap noise

One of the main sources of noise and vibration in internal combustion engines is the impact between piston and cylinder wall, also known as piston slap. Figure 2.7 shows a scheme of the forces acting on the piston during the phases of compression \cite{Fig 2.7a} and expansion \cite{Fig 2.7b}. $P$ represents gas plus inertia forces acting on the piston vertically. These forces are reacted by the connecting rod which changes its direction during the engine working cycle. The crank-slider mechanism presents a small clearance $\delta$ between piston and cylinder liner wall; the connecting rod induces a sideways component $F$ that changes its direction depending on the position. As a result the piston bounces from one side of the cylinder wall to the other, the impacts excite the engine block that, in turn, vibrates and radiates noise.

Many experimental and theoretical studies can be found in scientific literature trying to understand, quantify and reduce this phenomenon. Whose importance is
Figure 2.7: Generation of reversible forces in a crank mechanism

Figure 2.8: Example of side force history over a working cycle in a four-stroke Diesel engine.

due to the fact that it represents one of the major sources of noise and vibration, but also a possible cause of deterioration of the engine performance.\[112,194,196,237,269]\]

Figure 2.8 shows a typical time history of the side force $F$ over a working cycle of a four-stroke Diesel engine. The figure shows that the force side reverses eight times during a working cycle, in the proximity of the top dead center (TDC) and of the bottom dead center (BDC) when the piston inverts its vertical direction. Anyway, the rate of this side force change is too slow to produce any significant high frequency harmonics. From the figure it can be also observed that the $\frac{dF}{dt}$ is greatest around the TDC at the beginning of the working stroke due to the high pressure gradient from combustion. As a result, the most severe piston impact usually occurs at this point.
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The simplest way to schematize the piston slap generation phenomenon is presented in Figure 2.9. It considers the piston, gudgeon pin and reciprocating part of the connecting rod as a point mass $M$ thrown through the clearance $\delta$ against the cylinder wall, whose stiffness $K$ is considered as a massless linear spring [14, 126]. This model is not adequate for predicting the time history of the impact force but it can be used to understand the basic principles of the phenomenon and to estimate the energy transfer related to it. According to this approach, the time taken for the piston to transverse the clearance can be considered relatively short and the rate of change of the side force is approximately constant over this period. The basic equation describing the scheme of Figure 2.9 can be expressed as:

$$M \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - dF(t)/dt - \text{constant} = \tau_0. \quad \text{(2.18)}$$

Integrating this equation three times, neglecting the damping effect of the oil film and supposing that the kinetic energy is completely absorbed by the spring deflection $x_0$, the force amplitude imposed on the cylinder liner due to a single piston impact can be expressed by:

$$|F_c| = K x_c - \frac{81}{4} \sigma_0^2 \delta^4 M K^3 \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{(2.19)}$$

With reference to Figure 2.7, the piston side force $F$ can be related to the vertical force $P$ by the equation:

$$P' = \frac{(r/l) P \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 - (r^2/l^2) \sin^2 \theta}} \quad \text{(2.20)}$$

where $r$ is the crankthrow length and $l$ the conrod length. Differentiating this equation with respect to $\theta$, the constant $\sigma_0$ can be expressed by:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{r}{l} P_0 n \frac{n}{30} \quad \text{(2.21)}$$

where $n$ is the crankshaft speed (rev/min) and $P_0$ is the result of gas forces and inertia forces at TDC. According to some authors [14, 126] the kinetic energy achieved during slapping is a good indicator of the intensity of the impact. Simplifying it can be expressed by:

$$P' = \frac{1}{2} K x_0^2 \frac{81}{2} \sigma_0^2 M \delta^4 \frac{1}{n}. \quad \text{(2.22)}$$
From Equations [2.19], [2.21] and [2.22] it can be deduced which parameters must be taken into account to reduce the impact force as well as the kinetic energy. To do this, it is therefore necessary to reduce clearance, reciprocating mass, rotational speed, ratio, and pressure forces. Another conclusion is that an important parameter is also the injection timing, as it has a significant effect on the pressure at TDC advancing the injection will increase \( P_t \) and the lateral force. This simplified mass-spring model can not take total account of the complex behavior of the piston during slapping. To have a more precise approach to such a phenomenon two-dimensional models have been developed [60, 155, 197] considering other important influential parameters such as piston profile, oil film pressure distribution, position of the gravity centre and of the axis of the piston, mass and inertia of the piston.

Reduction of the piston slap may be achieved in a variety of ways, used singly or in combination [196, 200, 216, 266]:

- Reducing running clearances between piston and bore - use of pistons with steel struts that control the thermal expansion of the skirt. Use of light alloy cylinders [196]. The running clearances reduction, even though is theoretically an effective approach, in practice, gives limited possibilities for optimization. Excessive clearance reductions lead to mechanical problems such as seizure but also to increase fuel consumption through higher frictional losses.

- Guiding piston separately from piston crown - use of cross head design and articulated pistons [pistons formed by more pieces with different thermal expansion characteristics] [196].

- Holding piston to one wall - through elliptical piston rings, sprung shoe incorporated in skirt, hydrostatic pressure.

- Altering timings of piston slap to reduce impact severity - crankshaft and gravity center offset, piston pin offset. This last method involves moving the piston pin axis off-centre from piston axis by a relatively small amount changing the timing of the piston slap which no longer take place shortly after TDC, under the sudden burst of the combustion, but rather already before the TDC under compression pressure which builds up relatively slowly.

- Cushioning impact of piston on cylinder wall - through the use of resilient skirts, oil cushion or lamina of different materials pasted to the skirts [196].

- Designing cylinder liner - in order to isolate the high frequency components of the piston slap impacts from the rest of the engine structure.

From these brief considerations on piston slap, it appears clear the complexity of this phenomenon and its strong correlations with combustion. In fact, one of the main difficulties is the separation between combustion noise and piston slap, as both phenomena are mainly generated around the firing TDC! The main obstacle to have a clear identification of the two noise sources comes from the fact that combustion
has a direct influence on the side forces generation. Furthermore, the thermal conditions affect the piston motion pattern through the piston dilation and consequent modification of clearances.

Recent studies have developed analytical techniques with this intention [23, 227], anyway, there is no reliable method to determine both combustion and slap noise within a reasonable time and cost. According to Priebe and Grover [187], combustion noise or piston slap can represent the predominant noise depending on the noise size! combustion knock is dominant in Diesel vehicle engines and piston slap in marine engines respectively. Kanda et al. [113] confirmed in their experiments on a small direct injection Diesel engine that combustion noise share is slightly superior to that from piston slap and that the sum of both contributions represents more than 80% of the total noise.

2.3.2.2. Crankshaft rumble noise

As commented before, the pressure forces generated by combustion, acting on the piston are transformed into mechanical forces and transmitted internally, through the crank train components mean bearing and crank case. As can be observed in Figure 2.7, during a working cycle, the crankshaft system is submitted to forces that change in direction and intensity this, together with the inevitable presence of clearances, generate crankshaft bearing impacts that induce bending-torsion vibrations of the system and noise generation.

This phenomenon is also known as rumble noise or engine harshness, this is a noise of low frequency character. It is more evident on petrol engines with relatively high performances and compression ratio and usually occurs at high engine speed or accelerating [22, 126, 133]. Many studies have been conducted to evaluate the effects of the dynamic properties of the crankshaft and of the radial clearances on rumble intensity as well as quality. The psychoacoustic concept of roughness has been applied to the measured noise and vibration data to quantify the overall sensation of rumble more accurately [22, 133, 153]. According to Maetani et al. [137] the crankshaft vibration can be reduced by improving the stiffness with respect to both bending and axial vibrations. Nehl et al. [153] found an optimal combination of radial clearance ranges for conrod and main bearing in order to improve the rumble noise quality. However, the precise theory of how crank noise rumble is generated is still uncertain.

If the engine is equipped with timing gear drives, as a result of the crankshaft torsional vibration and of the fluctuating torque, impacts occur between timing gear teeth. This noise is known as timing gear rattle. According to Russell [200], there are many ways to reduce this noise at source:

- Very close control of the teeth form, gear dimensions and distance between gear centres, to minimize backlash in the gear drive.

- Reduction of torsional vibrations in the crankshaft, locating gears at torsional vibration node near the flywheel.
- Reduction of torque fluctuations in driven shafts through coupling auxiliaries which require steady drive torques (oil, water pumps, rigidly to camshaft and fuel injection pump drives.

- Fitting backlash eliminators to timing gears and use of resilient idle gears.

- Replacement of gear drives by quieter alternatives. A Dixon's work [70] is focused on the mechanisms to synchronously drive the valve gear as noise sources. Gears, chain-sprockets and toothed belt and pulleys systems have been compared with respect to noise emissions. Tooth belts resulted as the quietest of the drive systems [205].

Another important device to take into account is the crank pulley, as it can represent one of the main radiating sources. In fact, because of its many resonant modes and some shape, it can work as an amplifier of the crankshaft resonant vibrations [34, 131, 137, 271]. For this reason, particular attention should be paid to the study of this component by the engine manufacturers.

### 2.3.2.3. Auxiliar elements noise

As commented before, according to Alt's classification [2, 3], piston slap and crankshaft rattle are considered as indirect combustion noises, as if their origin is due to the movement through clearances and impact of these mechanical components. With the term mechanical noise, it is intended the noise that comes from the movement of all the other mechanical devices of the engine (valves, drive shafts, axles, gears, etc). The accessory noise is noise caused by the auxiliar elements such as the injection system, the turbo-compressor group, etc.

Some studies have been conducted on the valve train system considered as a noise source [34, 248]. Valve train produces noise according to two mechanisms: the dynamic interaction force between rotating cam and tappet movement and the impact from valve seating. Dixon [71] has presented a study of the contribution of other components to the total engine noise. In particular, the injection system (fuel injection pump, pipes and injectors), [118, 200], the alternator as well as cooling fans have been treated as noise sources. In addition, noise from turbo-compressor group [95, 98, 141, 146] as well as gear train noise [84, 141] have been taken under consideration in several works.

Anyway, in several studies [113], it has been demonstrated that the accessory noise represents a minimal share of the total noise radiated by an automotive Diesel engine.

### 2.3.3. Noise from engine vibration

In the case of internal combustion engines, especially Diesel engines, combustion can be considered as a sort of controlled explosion. The control is in the form of an iron or aluminium structure which does not allow the explosion to propagate
directly through the open air until the pressure is reduced to a few atmospheres by
an expansion and heat loss process. During the explosive combustion, the containing
structure is forced to expand end deflect slightly, causing a pressure wave many times
less powerful than that of an open explosion and the pressure wave is perceived as
noise rather than a destructive pressure blase. The characteristics of this noise depend
on the features of the combustion, the containing structure deformation and its ability
to radiate noise [8].

Furthermore, combustion generates the movement of mechanical parts, the mechanical
and inertia forces are applied to the engine structure [internal moving parts,
crankcase, cylinder block, etc.]. The components of the engine structure have to be
stiff enough to prevent excessive deflections, they transmit vibrations from the sources
to the external surfaces of the engine. This vibrations in turn excite resonances in
the surface structures particularly the thin section areas of the engine surface in-
ducing the radiation of noise of considerable intensity. According to Russell [204],
the dynamic magnification factors of the resonances in conventional engine structures
are usually in a range of 5 to 40, so the normal modes of the cast panels and covers control
the noise radiated by the engine surface. It appears evident that each normal mode
has a radiation efficiency and directional radiation depending on material, dimension
and position of the structure components.

In an interesting work, Kanda et al. made a detailed study of the entire noise
generation process: combining the noise sources, transfer paths and radiation surfaces
analysis [113]. Figure 2.10 shows the noise flow scheme relative to the small HSDI
3 cylinder engine used in this work. According to this figure, the predominant noise
sources are combustion and slap while the noises from the valve train, the gear box
and the fuel injection equipment represent a minimal share of the total. From the
transfer path analysis they observed that most of the forces are transferred through the connecting rod to the crankshaft mechanism, the rest pass through the cylinder liner and only a small share of the forces are transmitted through the cylinder head. With respect to the radiation surfaces, the engine block, flywheel, oil pan and gear case have the largest contributions, the sum of the radiated noise from these four surfaces account for more than 80% of the total noise.

Most of internal combustion engines employ similar materials and constructions in the design of crankcase panels, sump and valve gear covers and the load carrying parts of the structure tend to be designed following similar criteria. Actually, in-line engines can be considered as a box divided into compartments, the cylinders. The top of this box is closed by the cylinder head, which is comparatively stiff and the bottom is closed by a relatively flexible oil sump. Also at the top and about halfway down are horizontal decks that support the cylinders. Although these decks are quite thick, their stiffness is reduced by the cylinder bores. Since the oil sump is not enough rigid, such a structure is flexible in torsion about an axis parallel to the crankshaft [131, 211]. Considered as a beam, the engine is much stiffer in bending in the vertical plane than in the horizontal plane [123]. It is the horizontal stiffness which most affects the noise, this is because bending in the horizontal plane produces vibration normal to the engine sides, which constitute the major noise reacting area. It is only the vibration normal to the outer engine surface which radiates noise [123].

As a consequence, it is not surprising that inline engines of similar size have similar structure response characteristics [204, 205]:

- At low frequency the engine response is stiffness controlled, the radiation efficiency of the oil sump the thin covers panels that constitute engine surface tend to be low [203].

- Between 20 Hz and 1 kHz, approximately, most of inline engines present flexural resonances of the crankcase and cylinder block. Fortunately the in-cylinder pressure excitation are not particularly well coupled to these modes of vibration [204].

- Above 1 kHz, and below 5 kHz the cast panels and the valve gear covers present strong resonances and the first crankcase panel mode is closely coupled to the cylinder pressure excitation via the connecting rod and crankshaft vibration. Between 1 kHz and 4 kHz approximately, there is also a longitudinal mode of the piston, conrod and crankshaft which increases the engine structure response in this frequency range [120, 203, 279].

- Above 5 kHz, the cast aluminium covers and small thin-section cast iron areas as well as intake manifold surfaces radiate quite efficiently sound. However the cylinder head route for transmitting vibration is very much stiffer than the piston connecting-rod route, so the engine structure response falls off [203].

This general tendencies of the vibration characteristics of in-line engine structures will be confirmed from the study of the attenuation curves that will be thoroughly discussed in the Section 2.5.1.
2.3.3.1. Vibration measurement techniques

As commented before the radiated noise is the direct consequence of the engine surface vibration. Consequently, many studies have taken into consideration the vibrational characteristic of the engines for assessing engine noise. The simplest way to measure vibration is using accelerometers, a simple vibration sensor attached to the surface of the vibrating body. This is a widely used experimental device [20, 65, 113, 116, 127, 159]. Its success is mainly due to its relatively low cost and to the fact that it can be easily mounted on an engine surface. This experimental device has shown good results in studies for the reconstruction of the cylinder pressure from vibration measurement [288, 289] giving the advantage of avoiding the use of expensive in-cylinder pressure transducers. Furthermore, these are widely used for the detection of knock and misfire in gasoline engines [58, 144].

On the other hand, an accelerometer is normally a single degree of freedom system giving information only in the direction of its axis and in well defined frequency ranges. Furthermore, it has been experimented that the signal obtained with an accelerometer is strongly dependent on its position [286]. As a result, this measurement technique is not able to detect the vibrational characteristics of a global surface and it is inadequate in the case that the objective of the study is the radiated noise of the whole engine.

Another interesting technique is the holographic analysis [105, 136, 151]. This is an optical technique that makes a three-dimensional image of an object surface through the use of laser waves. This method is widely used in automotive development processes for example to analyze the knocking behavior of modern engines and for correct positioning of knocking sensors [25]. The main problems of this technique stays in the high cost of the laser measurement equipments.

2.3.4. Noise sources identification techniques

In their first works Austen and Priede [18] observed that for the engines they studied, combustion noise was most prominent in the frequency range between 800 Hz and 2 kHz but at retarded injection timings, mechanical noise could become dominant even in this frequency range. Also Anderton in some works 8 refers to engines “combustion noise controlled” and “mechanical noise controlled” as an important factor for an engine manufacturer seeking to control the noise of his product. Many studies have been conducted and numerous techniques have been developed to identify the sources of noise and to limit their effects. Unfortunately there is not a unique technique that permits to recognize and isolate the noise sources completely out of uncertainty but various analysis [7, 170] can be conducted to estimate the different contributions of the engine noise.

- Motoring Tests - The entire engine or a single component is driven by an electric motor and the noise radiation measured [214]. Motoring is very suitable
for the assessment of auxiliary components which are solely driven \[98,109,113]\].

The main limits of this technique stay in the fact that it does not take into account the influence of the clearances variation due to the thermal dilation of the piston and engine block. Furthermore, a separation of the noise sources can be achieved by this method only if the combustion noise is predominant \[170]\.

- Disconnection Tests - The effects of a component is evaluated by removing from the engine or substituting it with a quieter component (close tolerance pistons, stiffer fuel pump drives, etc.) \[113,185]\]. This technique is limited since it can only assess noise contributions which are very strong compared to the rest of the sources \[98]\.

- Critical Noise Measurement - This technique is based in the establishment of combustion excitation levels in an IC engine which produce a combustion noise magnitude that equals the mechanical noise. The combustion excitation is reduced (usually acting on injection timing) and the corresponding changes of the engine overall noise are observed \[170]\. When reducing combustion excitation no further overall noise reduction is observed then it is assumed that mechanical noise is predominant and the relative cylinder pressure level - indicated as critical pressure - can be used as a design guide for combustion development \[16,180\]. The weakness of this technique is that it is not always possible to reduce combustion excitation to the required low levels and that it is assumed that mechanical noise does not change with combustion variations. Particularly, this last hypothesis is incorrect for sources such as piston slap and bearing impact noise.

- Test Rig Simulation - This type of procedure is used to test a particular mechanism. The aim is to develop a special experimental apparatus where the only noise produced is via the mechanism under study. For example to study piston slap an hydraulic shaker can be used to shake the piston in a non-running engine \[113,196,237\], an explosion (“Banger Rig”, inside a non-running engine can be produced to simulate combustion excitation \[117,159,255\].

- Correlation Techniques - With this term is indicated a wide variety of Signal Processing Techniques. This type of analysis is very useful when dealing with signals of a random nature where time domain studies cannot be considered \[23,170,227,253\].

- Coherence Techniques - This is based on the study of an ordinary coherence function between an input signal (normally combustion characterized by the in-cylinder pressure signal), and an output signal (normally the engine noise or the block vibration) \[64,94,113]\]. One of the main difficulties stays in the fact that it is almost impossible to measure any other primary input for mechanical sources. Furthermore, the signals are fundamentally periodic and precisely phased in the engine cycle, so they are naturally coherent with any other at least up to \[2\text{kHz}\] \[8,207,232,243\].

- Analytical Studies - These can be helpful where it is possible to model a particular noise source accurately. Unlike coherence technique this method can
often be used to identify excitation such as piston slap [60,155]. On the contrary, bearing impacts can be hardly analyzed because not enough is known about the behavior of bearings and oil films.

- Reciprocity Technique - This is based on a principle characteristic of the linear systems, in accordance with this principle a single source at A produces the same vibration at B as would have been produced at A were the source had been located at B. Parts of a structure are excited and the response of an accessible point on the structure can be measured and a transfer function calculated. This transfer function can be then used to estimate the force input using the vibration measured at the accessible part of the structure. Some results can only be achieved by making several measures over an area and taking average results [11].

Actually, it can be concluded that noise source identification in internal combustion engines is one of the most difficult tasks for the noise control engineers. Many techniques have been developed, all of which give acceptable results under ideal well-defined conditions. However, till now no truly satisfactory solution in terms of costs and reliability has been found.

2.4. Combustion as noise source

Over the past decades, many efforts have been dedicated to the reduction of Diesel engine noise, anyway these have not led to the definition of a single successful design concept, nor an overall mathematical has been found to tackle this task [According to Dixon '72], from all the studies which attempted to approach this problem, three simple 'rules of thumb' can be derived to produce low noise engines:

- Attention to engine geometry and operating parameters.
- Control of exciting forces, both pressure and mechanical.
- Control of the response of the outer structure

During the design stage all these aspects can be considered to achieve low noise engine. The mass, stiffness and damping properties of the engine components can be appropriately chosen to reduce vibration [254]. All the engine characteristics (speed, bore stroke, number of cylinders, BMEP, etc.), components (alternator, fuel pump, oil pump, etc., as well as the mechanical layout (belts, chains, gears, crankshaft, flywheel, etc.), and injection system can be properly chosen [251, 283].

Another important aspect that can be considered in the design stage is the control of the vibration response of the engine block [49, 183, 200, 203]. Using very stiff structures, shaping, and attaching appropriately softer components, there is the possibility to reduce the vibration of the engine walls and to shift the modes of the attached assemblies out of the range of maximum excitation of the structure [45, 63].
Russell showed that through the development of a conventional engine structure the radiated noise could be reduced of 5 dB [204].

On the contrary for a fully developed engine the opportunities to control the noise emissions are limited. Only small variations in operating variables and slight casting changes to the block are permitted as well as the re-design of manifolds and covers [72]. In this case the development of the existing injection system is the main form to control the exciting forces. This task is made easier by the new generation Diesel injection systems. These systems are electronically controlled and permit a variation of the injection parameters independent from the engine working conditions.

Another possible approach to reduce noise emissions is the use of sound reducing shells, flexible panels mounted at a certain distance from the engine surfaces, and sound reducing enclosures covering the whole engine [154, 254, 273]. On the one hand these can represent very effective solutions. On the other hand in some cases this approach is too expensive for commercial vehicles and can lead to problems of engine accessibility, weight and over-heating [1, 254].

In this work all experiments have been carried out on a fully developed engine. Consequently the main efforts have been focused on the control of the source of excitation: the combustion. The easiest way to characterize combustion evolution is through the analysis of the in-cylinder pressure signals. In the next sections this approach to the noise generation problem starting from the excitation control will be detailed. More information of the in-cylinder pressure analysis will be given describing some of the most important characteristics of the combustion in Diesel engines.

### 2.4.1 Control of combustion in Diesel engines

Combustion in Diesel engines occurs spontaneously after a complex series of events have occurred in the cylinder. First of all the air charge has to be brought to a temperature which is sufficient to ignite the fuel. The air charge is heated during intake by the manifold and in the passages in cylinder head. Then, it is drawn into the cylinder.

In four strokes engines, during the intake and compression strokes, some pressure energy is converted into kinetic energy in the form of swirl and squish turbulence and in some cases more complex flow patterns. Furthermore, during these phases significant heat transfer affects the temperature and pressure reached in the combustion chamber at the end of the compression stroke.

The fuel injection nozzle is designed to help mixing between air charge and fuel in the periphery of the fuel spray. Fuel is heated, evaporated and heated further. During the “ignition delay”, the period between the start of injection and ignition, a number of relatively slow chemical reactions take place until a sufficient quantity of fuel meets certain fuel/air concentration as well as pressure and temperature conditions, in close consequence of which very rapid chemical reactions take place and fuel ignites. The ignition delay period is affected by both the air motion and fuel spray characteristics; it diminishes if the air charge is hotter.
The portion of the injected fuel which is evaporated and mixed with the air charge during the ignition delay burns very rapidly after ignition, causing large and sudden release of heat with a consequent rapid rise in combustion chamber pressure. This phase of combustion is also known as premixed combustion. Successively the combustion of Diesel is dominated by diffusion processes of the liquid part of the spray. Most of the drops, especially in the nucleus of the spray, do not have time to evaporate completely. As a result, in this phase the combustion speed depends on all the factors (turbulence, spray characteristic, heat release, etc.), which regulate the evaporation and the diffusion of the injected fuel [75].

The characteristic rise in cylinder pressure induced by the premixed combustion is more abrupt and larger in naturally aspirated direct injection engines because the ignition delay is longer than in turbocharged or indirect injection engines. This rapid pressure growth acts like a hammer blow on the piston crown and cylinder head, causing the engine structure to vibrate. This is one of the origins of the "knock" characteristic of most Diesel engines [200].

On the other hand, the diffusive combustion shows little influence on the noise. The amount of heat release of the diffusive combustion portion and its duration have a pronounced influence on the engine performance but they do not affect significantly the engine noise [149].

It is well-known that a noise reduction is the result of a smoother cylinder pressure diagram with a less abrupt rise in cylinder pressure. Optimization of combustion through the control of the in-cylinder pressure evolution has been widely tackled [2, 171]. According to Russell [200], on a fully developed engine, there are three basic ways to control the combustion evolution, in which noise may be reduced:

1° - Decreasing ignition delay period
   - Retarding the start of injection
   - Increasing the compression ratio
   - Increasing the intake pressure by turbo-charging
   - Heating intake air
   - Increasing cetane number of the fuel

2° - Reducing fuel injected during the ignition delay period
   - Control of initial rate of injection through injection split (pilot and multiple injection)

3° - Restrict air/fuel mixing during the ignition delay period
   - Injection into pre-chamber
   - Mixture control by evaporation from in-cylinder air field characteristics (piston bowl shape, and temperature swirl [51])
   - Mixture control by evaporation from spray characteristics (rail pressure, number and dimension of nozzles)
- Increasing EGR (Exhaust gas recirculation).

The optimization of fuel injection characteristics and EGR flow rate are widely known as means of reducing the rising rate of cylinder pressure and noise [34, 205, 267]. In the last generation direct injection Diesel engines, the use of one or more pilot injections resulted to be one of the most effective ways to reduce noise as pilot injection can reduce the ignition delay [206, 280]. In fact, it is generally believed that an increase in the ignition delay would result in an increase in the combustion noise level, however, a lack of $O_2$ as a consequence of exhaust gas recirculation can increase the delay period without adversely affecting the noise [38, 191, 230]. The optimization of the combustion characteristics focused on a noise reduction is a particularly complex task, since any changes to the combustion system to reduce noise will affect other aspects of the engine performance such as fuel consumption and pollutant emissions.

### 2.4.2 In-cylinder pressure analysis

The analysis of the cylinder pressure evolution has been widely used as one of the most reliable methods for combustion noise assessment. From the first studies on engine acoustics it was observed a clear relationship between combustion noise and in-cylinder pressure evolution. Anderton, as well as Priebe, observed that when combustion was abrupt, the rate of pressure rise and initial peak rate of heat release were large and the level of the high frequency (above 500 Hz) cylinder pressure spectrum components were also high [9, 186]. Tung and Crocker [265] suggested that the frequency content of the cylinder pressure up to about 300 Hz is related to the maximum cylinder pressure. Between 300 Hz and 2 kHz it is related to the maximum rate of cylinder pressure rise and above 2 kHz it is related to both the magnitude and the duration of the second derivative of the cylinder pressure.

Many experiments [217] have been conducted in order to estimate the combustion noise starting from the measurement of in-cylinder pressure, taking into account:

- rate of pressure rise
- rate of heat release curve
- spectral contents

In the next sections the main results of some studies on the effect of heat release on combustion noise will be presented. Furthermore, a short description of the cycle-to-cycle variations characteristic of the Diesel combustion will be given.

### 2.4.2.1 Effect of Rate of Heat Release on combustion noise

Different researches [149, 205, 207] showed the existence of an overall relationship between peak Rate of Heat Release (RoHR) and combustion noise. A computer analysis program was created by Russell so that simple, triangular shaped RoHR diagrams could be entered as input data instead of experimental data. These diagrams
were combined with different compression ratio combustion systems (ranging from 16:1 to 21:1) and, assuming no heat transfer during combustion, a series of cylinder pressure diagrams was computed. Combustion noise which would be generated by such pressure excitation was calculated using a standard structure attenuation curve, the SA1-7 curve calculated by the Russell by meaning the response of eight different engine families [207]. This methodology, also known as "block attenuation curve technique" permits to estimate the radiated noise from the in-cylinder pressure trace. This procedure is widely used in combustion noise studies and will be presented in Section 2.5.1.

A first study was performed to investigate the influence of the timing, initial slope and the peak of the RoHR. The three independent variables were each varied one at a time, and the resulting combustion noise was calculated. Figure 2.11 shows that higher slopes of RoHR give rise to higher combustion noise levels at all ignition timings. The high slope of RoHR causes cylinder pressure to rise rapidly giving a spectrum rich in high frequency components. In this case, combustion noise levels are high and insensitive to ignition timing. On the other hand, when RoHR with more gradual initial slope are considered, combustion noise becomes more timing dependent and 5 degrees before TDC was chosen as the best position for ignition timing in order to diminish the noise [207]. It was also evidenced that as the peak of RoHR is reduced, the noise level reduces too. However no unique relationship has been found which covers the three parameters examined. They can be used as a guide to reduce combustion noise but they can not be used as predictors by themselves [205].
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Figure 2.11: Effect of ignition timing on combustion noise for RoHR diagrams with different initial slope [207].

From experimental researches [134, 207] it resulted a sort of "trade-off" between combustion noise and efficiency. Usually, in fact, the most efficient combustion is
associated with high combustion noise levels. This is explained by the high RoHR
near top dead centre required to maintain a high peak cylinder pressure, which gives
rise to high frequency components in the combustion noise. On the one hand, if too
much heat is released before TDC, then the peak cylinder temperature and pressure
are excessive with the risk of exceeding the safe working loads within the engine
structure and the limits of the nitrogen oxides emissions. On the other hand, if the
peak of RoHR is excessively retarded, peak cylinder pressure will be too low for an
efficient cycle and excessive smoke will be present at the exhaust.

Figure 2.12 shows how, with the software developed by Russell, it was possible
to shape the RoHR curve such that a 10 dB reduction of combustion noise could be
theoretically achieved without increasing peak cylinder pressure and indicated specific
fuel consumption. To reduce combustion noise, it is necessary to reduce the cylinder
pressure spectral content, at least at all frequencies above 200 Hz. It was observed
that a RoHR with a gradual initial slope reduces high frequency generation, while
components in the range between 200 and 1000 Hz are controlled by a combination of
the overall shape and peak value of the cylinder pressure. For a given peak pressure,
a broader cylinder pressure shape has lower spectral content in this frequency range.
To achieve a broader shape a retarded heat release is required. However, experiments
suggested that excessive smoke will be produced if the rate of heat release diagram
is not terminated before 50 degrees after TDC! Furthermore, the RoHR can not be
terminated suddenly, because in the last phases of combustion the remaining fuel
takes progressively longer to find oxygen for burning.

![Graph showing cylinder pressure and rate of heat release](image)

**Figure 2.12. Influence of the shape of RoHR diagram on the spectrum of combustion noise.**
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From the simulations obtained with this software and the experience from previous experiments, it was possible to define an optimal triangular shape which would permit a strong reduction of combustion noise without deteriorating the engine performance. From successive experiments resulted that, in practice, such a theoretical RoHR shape could best be approximated by turbocharged engines with pilot injection systems. Indeed, this technical solution permits to reduce considerably the premixed combustion and the increase of the rate of heat release diagram. A variety of injection systems were applied to different DI engines in the attempt to achieve quiet RoHR diagrams; however, the reduction of noise level was inferior to the theoretical value. This was due to the fact that with the injection system used in the experiments the fuel quantity of the pilot injection was relatively high and hardly to regulate. As a result, the combustion of the pilot injection was itself a high peak in the RoHR diagram; since the necessity to develop electronically operated injectors was evidenced in order to get minimum pilot injection quantities together with more flexibility and precision. 205, 206, 210, 247, 281

2.4.2.2. Influence of cycle-to-cycle combustion variations

Combustion noise of Diesel engine is characterized by short, loud peak values of the sound pressure. Furthermore, combustion in Diesel engines is characterized by cyclic fluctuation. This in turn, generates cycle-to-cycle noise variation which is one reason for the annoying character of Diesel engine noise. [107, 274]

Even though Diesel engine combustion can be considered as being regular and stable, the magnitude of the impulses characterizing the noise can vary from engine to engine, from cylinder to cylinder, and also from cycle to cycle. Variations from engine to engine are known to be caused by different combustion systems. [180], cylinder-to-cylinder variation can be attributed to several causes for instance, rates of injection and compression ratio variations due to production tolerances. Various research works [180, 222, 274] evidenced the presence of another type of variation, a cycle-to-cycle variation of the combustion process which can be observed in the cylinder pressure trace and that, in turn, is responsible of the cycle-to-cycle variation occurring in the engine noise. Some studies [226, 243] evidenced this variation is intrinsic to combustion as the high frequency components:&gt; 1500 Hz, are characterized by stochastic fluctuations.

According to Schmollen et al. [222] the plausible causes for this cyclic variation in Diesel engines can be distinguished between those of macroscopic and microscopic nature. Those parameters concerning the injection system are of macroscopic nature, whereas the processes of spray and mixture formation are regarded as microscopic. Variations within the injection system as start of injection, injected quantity, rate, needle lift and injection line pressure, have been taken into account. According to this study's results, fluctuations of the injection system do not explain the large variations in combustion excitation. Consequently, also variations of microscopic nature have to be considered.

Through optical measurements it has been possible to obtain a more detailed
knowledge of the combustion excitation and its characteristic variation of microscopic nature. The measurements revealed that the point of ignition as well as the flame propagation are subject to significant variations from cycle to cycle. No clear relationships with noise were evidenced, although different ignition patterns could be observed, confirming that the ignition of sprays by neighbouring sprays rather than by auto-ignition lead to low noise combustion cycles.

Also Torregrosa et al. [262, 263] evidenced a strong cyclic variation in Diesel engines, particularly at high frequency range, this can be clearly observed in Figure 2.13. The high level exhibited by the harmonics in that range can be explained through the resonant character of the oscillation of the gas inside the combustion chamber. The level difference observed between different cycles in the same cylinder or different cylinders seems to be related to both the random character of the combustion process and the position of the pressure transducer relative to the combustion ignition zone. In effect, the ignition time can be changing randomly cycle to cycle and cylinder to cylinder, and the pressure transducer location is fixed in the combustion chamber.

In the next section the phenomenon of combustion chamber resonance will be highlighted and some of the basic methodologies developed to approach its study will be presented.
2.4.3. Combustion chamber resonance

Noise emission from Diesel engines is significantly influenced by combustion chamber resonances, especially in the annoying high frequency range [221]. Already in the sixties, Priede and Grover [187], observing the spectrum of the noise of large stationary Diesel engines for industrial application, observed the presence of peaks in relatively high frequency range (\(> 1000 \text{ Hz}\)). With gas sources adequately silenced, also the spectrum of the noise of the big engines for commercial vehicles presented pronounced peaks at high frequencies. From this work, it resulted that one of the most significant sources of noise, which becomes more pronounced with increasing engine size, is due to pressure oscillation in the engine cylinder phenomenon also known as in-cylinder resonance.

Even though with Diesel engines for passenger cars the contribution of this resonance to the noise seems to be less dominant with respect to trucks and industrial engines, this phenomenon has been widely investigated. Many works have been conducted to study the influence of the resonant frequency on the control of knock in Diesel engines [97, 193], as well as to estimate the bulk temperatures in the gas within the combustion chamber through the analysis of the resonance [96].

In Diesel engines, immediately after the auto-ignition of the air-fuel mixture, the combustion chamber acts as an acoustical cavity and high frequency pressure oscillations occur in the cylinder pressure. These pressure oscillations are acoustical in nature because their amplitude is small compared to the average pressure in the cylinder at the time the oscillations occur. The presence of the resonant frequency can be easily detected observing the in-cylinder pressure spectrum of a Diesel engine. An example is showed in the Figure 2.13 where the spectra of different in-cylinder pressure evolution relative to different cylinders and working cycles, are plotted.

Hickling [97] was one of the firsts who centered his work on this particular aspect of Diesel combustion. From in-cylinder pressure-time records, using high-pass filters with cutoff frequencies from 200 to 1500 Hz (depending on the size of the tested engine) and Fourier analysis, it was possible to show clearly the presence of numerous high frequency peaks. Comparing different cases, it was observed that increasing the engine load shifts the spectral peaks upwards in frequency, this is because higher loads increase the temperature of the gas in the combustion chamber and correspondingly increase the speed of sound. Furthermore, it was confirmed that the frequency of the spectral peaks varies inversely with bore. The peaks for larger engines occur at lower frequencies than in smaller engines.

These experimental observations can be easily explained using the theory of the resonances of a homogeneous gas in a rigid-wall cylindrical cavity with plane ends. The various possible resonant frequencies \(f_R\) in the cavity of diameter \(B\) and axial length \(L\), are given by the equation

\[
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \left( \frac{f_R}{f_z} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{m \pi}{B} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2} L / B}{B} + \frac{\pi}{L} \ln \left( \frac{B}{d} \right)
\]

where \(\sqrt{2}\) is the speed of sound, \(m\), \(n\), and \(l\) are integers denoting the circumferential,
Figure 2.14: Cross-sectional view of acoustic cavity modes in combustion chamber

radial and axial mode numbers (these can be equal to 3, 1, 2, 3, etc.). The coefficient $\alpha_{mn}$ corresponding to the different circumferential and radial numbers are determined from theory.

Figure 2.14 is a schematic representation of the first three modes in the circular cross section of the cylinder. In the case of Diesel engines only the lowest resonant modes have to be taken into account because higher modes are generally negligible and outside the audible frequency range. Moreover, in automotive engines, the peak clearly visible in the in-cylinder pressure spectrum normally corresponds to the resonance frequency of the first circumferential mode, while the other modes are hardly detectable.

Furthermore, since resonant phenomena only happen during a portion of the engine cycle (near TDC) where the axial length of the combustion chamber is many times smaller than the bore, the contribution of the axial modes can be neglected. As a result, Equation (2.23), becomes:

$$f_n = \frac{c}{2R} \alpha_{mn}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.24)

the resonance frequencies are proportional to the average speed of sound in the gas and inversely proportional to the bore, as it was observed experimentally.

The resonant phenomenon has been widely studied in the last years at CMT Motores Térmicos. In the next sections two methodologies used to approach the problem, as well as some results of these investigations will be summarized [262, 263].

2.4.3.1. Acoustic modal approach

One of the first approaches developed at CMT for the study of the combustion chamber resonance is based on the acoustic modal theory. This theory is based on the
resolution of general three-dimensional wave equation inside the combustion chamber volume taking into account the boundary conditions associated with the problem. Calculations allow to get a representation of the pressure in the bowl at certain fixed times and eventually to obtain the time evolution and the spectrum in determined points. To simplify the problem, a cylindrical combustion chamber has been considered. A virtual single excitation has been used with a level set to unity; moreover, the velocity of sound has been supposed to be constant in spite of the real temperature changes and no damping effects have been taken into account.

Thus, this simplified analytical model is based on the computation of the natural frequencies associated with the cylindrical dimensions of the bowl studied. In most general case, each natural frequency corresponds to circumferential, radial or axial vibration mode. Total pressure \( p \) in the geometric point \( (\rho, \theta, z) \) of the cylinder, due to a single excitation and at the instant \( t \) can then be expressed as an infinite sum of modes:

\[
p(\rho, \theta, z, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_m(k_{mn}\rho) e^{jm\theta} e^{j\omega t} (C_{1mn} e^{-jk_{mn}z} + C_{2mn} e^{-j\omega t}),
\]

where \( (m, n) \) are the modal circumferential and radial numbers and \( n_z \) is the modal axial number, \( J_m \) are the Bessel functions, \( k_{mn} = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \nu^2} \) and \( k_{mnz} = \sqrt{k_{mn}^2 + \omega^2} - 2\pi n_z/L \) the wave numbers, total and associated with circumferential, radial and axial directions, respectively. Here, \( \varphi_{mn} \) are the Bessel coefficients, \( \nu \) and \( L \) the diameter and height of the cylinder respectively and \( C_{1mn} \) and \( C_{2mn} \) are modal coefficients defined by boundary conditions: cylinder dimensions and \( k_{mn} \).

However, as it was commented in the previous section, longitudinal evolutions can be neglected and it is sufficient to add together the first three modes first and second circumferential modes and first radial mode schematized in Figure 2.14 II in a first step, a single excitation point \( (\rho_0, \theta_0, z_0) \) is considered, the pressure in a transverse plane can be expressed as

\[
p(\rho, \theta, t) = C_{10} J_{10}(k_{10}\rho) \cos(\theta - \theta_0) \cos(2\pi f_{10}(t - t_0))
+ C_{20} J_{20}(k_{20}\rho) \cos(2(\theta - \theta_0)) \cos(2\pi f_{20}(t - t_0))
+ C_{01} J_{01}(k_{01}\rho) \cos(2\pi f_{01}(t - t_0)).
\]

where

\[
U_{mn} \propto \frac{E_0 P_0}{\nu_{mn}(\alpha_{mn}) J_{mn}(k_{mn}\rho_0) / [J_{mn}(k_{mn}\rho)]_{mnz}}
\]

are coefficients that depend on the \( z \) position of the plane considered. The proportionality coefficient being null at the bottom and top walls in all cases.

The factor \( J_{mn}(k_{mn}\rho_0) / [J_{mn}(k_{mn}\rho)]_{mnz} \) modulates the excitation according to the radial position, \( f_1 \) and \( E_0 \) are the reference pressure and the excitation level respectively and \( f_{mn} = c_0 k_{mn} / (2\pi) = \nu_{mn} c_0 / (\pi \nu) \) is the natural frequency of the mode \( (m, n) \). Finally, \( c_0 \) is the approximate speed of sound assumed to be constant.
Figure 2.15: Oscillation pattern from modal theory: cylindrical bowl, single ignition site.

and determined from \( c_t \approx \sqrt{\gamma RT} \) for air as a perfect gas with the adiabatic coefficient \( \gamma \approx 1.4 \) and gas constant \( R \approx 287 \text{ J/kgK} \). Assuming a temperature at the start of combustion \( T_i \approx 1100 \text{ K} \) (chosen for consistency with the CFD approach described in the next section and determined with a combustion diagnosis model [130]), gives \( \omega_0 \approx 565 \text{ m/s} \).

In Figure 2.15, the pattern of the whole evolution obtained from modal theory for the simple configuration studied is shown. The figure was obtained with an excitation located in \( \{r_0 - B/2, \theta_0 - \pi\} \) and corresponds to the pressure distribution in a transverse plane when the total maximum, both in space and time, is reached. It should be noted that the term \( |J_{mn}(k_{mn} r_0)|/|J_{mn}(k_{mn} r)|_{\text{max}} \) in Equation (2.26) is also maximum and equal to unity. At this time, one maximum and two minima appear clearly, next to the cylinder wall. According to Equation (2.26), this maximum is reached in the direction \( \theta - \theta_0 \) of the excitation. Then, in the general case, the radial location of the excitation modulates the amplitude of the maximum (and that of all points in its field), although a mode can totally disappear if the ignition point takes place in an oscillation node of this mode. Then the time evolution of this figure would be periodic with a global frequency depending on the natural frequencies of the three modes considered and symmetric with respect to the axis \( \theta - \theta_0 \) because of the symmetry of the three modes. For instance, after a time equal to a semi-period, the maximum would become a minimum and the minima maxima.

2.4.3.2. CFD approach

The acoustic modal approach provides a first assessment of the phenomenon as well as validation criteria for another approach: the numerical computational fluid dynamic (CFD). In order to validate the CFD approach and have a better understanding of the influence of the ignition site with respect to the measurement point.
Figure 2.16: Location of source and virtual measurement points for the CFD approach, spectra resulting from the calculations.

A simple cylindrical bowl geometry is considered firstly. In this case, a simplified configuration based on the real experimental situation at 3500 rpm and 28% load single injection is chosen, whose spectrum is represented in Figure 2.13 for two cycles and two cylinders. A small localized area was meshed in the point 1 of a transversal plane located at half height of the chamber, as indicated in Figure 2.16, where temperature and pressure are higher at the beginning of the combustion, corresponding to the pressure rate of change due to the combustion. This is equivalent to the simplified case of a single injection spray, giving rise to a single ignition point.

The side size of the cubic cells was chosen equal to 0.6 mm, and the time step was set to about $2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ s in order to account for high frequency issues while keeping the computation time reasonably low. The fluid was considered to be air, assumed to be a perfect gas. Other computation parameters were the usual ones for this type of resolution such as the $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model, for instance. By means of this simulation, different measurement points representing eventual pressure transducers, as indicated in Figure 2.16, can be analyzed. So, the whole time evolution of the pressure in any point of the combustion chamber can be obtained.

In the nine points simulating eventual transducers, and for instance the four ones presented in the spectra of Figure 2.16, three resonance peaks can be observed in the human hearing range. Their frequencies are equal to about 10, 16 and 20 kHz.
respectively, which is quite consistent with experimental measurements. Among the points of Figure 2.16, the peak levels are different because of the location of the points relatively to the excitation, and to the direction of the influencing modes. For example, signals from points 2 and 4 are hardly equal because of their symmetric positions and the symmetry of the modes. This confirms experimental dispersions, and shows as in the simplified case of a single injection spray giving rise to a single injection point the measured signal of a single combustion cycle would depend strongly on the location of the transducer in the chamber, because of the relatively random location of the ignition point.

2.4.3.3. Comparison of the approaches

In Figure 2.17, comparison between the oscillation patterns obtained from the CFD approach and from modal theory in the simple configuration studied is presented. The patterns are very similar and thus modal theory may provide a basis for the analysis of the results from the CFD approach. The maximum and minima locations are the same, as well as the overall trends. The amplitudes are different because of differences in the strength of the excitation, since the latter was not quantified in the modal computation. However, differences in excitation strength would only modulate the level of the calculated modes at all points in the plane; they would not alter the pattern. The speed of sound used in modal theory was fixed in order to predict the correct frequencies for the spikes, and it is remarkable that all of the three values match perfectly. The consistency of the results issued from the CFD and modal thesi
or computations indicates that the CFD approach gives a reasonable description of the resonance phenomena. This was considered adequate to allow study of combustion chamber resonances with more complex realistic geometries, as described in the following.

2.4.3.4. Influence of bowl geometry

Two bowl configurations, schematized in Figure 2.18 with open and re-entrant chambers of equal volume, were meshed and computed. All calculation parameters were as for the first case with the cylindrical bowl. In order to achieve a closer description of the real situation, the excitation considered in this case consisted of two non-symmetric out-of-phase sources of the same excitation level. This gave a good compromise between computation time, technical difficulties, and description of the actual excitation. The time lag between the excitation sources was taken to be small enough to avoid any influence of the first excitation affecting development of the other. In addition, the sources were located in what were considered realistic and similar places, taking into account size differences. In this way, the main overall effects of change in bowl geometry could be assessed.

In Figure 2.19 the pressure resonance spectra calculated at a point 5 mm above one of the two basic excitation points for the three bowls considered in this study (cylindrical, open and re-entrant), are presented. Because of the non-symmetry of the first mode and the symmetry of the second and third ones (and the symmetry of the ignition points), if all sources were in phase or symmetric the first spike could disap-
Figure 2.19: CFD simulations with two symmetric out-of-phase sources different bowl geometries.

Pear while the second and third ones could be duplicated at all points. Consequently, the pressure pattern during the time evolution could always remain symmetric from the initial excitation up to the achievement of the stationary regime. However, out-of-phase and non-symmetric configurations can break or invert symmetries of maxima, minima, nodes or pressure records at virtual transducers, especially in the direction of the sources.

Furthermore, as it has been observed with the modal theory, also with CFD it was verified that the frequencies of the three spikes decrease with the increasing of the characteristic bowl diameter! However, this case remains quite far from the real one. The main differences are related to the exact bowl geometries, the unreal type of excitation and neglect of piston movement. That is why low- and medium-frequency components are not estimated, spikes are so narrow and frequencies are not exactly the same as the real ones. To overcome this, it would be necessary to consider as many realistic excitation points as injectors and a moving piston in order to verify the effects of any changes in the combustion process and, also, to consider the effects of transmission through the block.
Figure 2.20: CFD simulations in the case of different ignition locations for open and re-entrant combustion chambers

2.4.3.5. Influence of ignition site

Since open and re-entrant geometries are irregular, the ignition site in the simulation might be the determinant for the results. In particular, for these geometries, diameters vary with the height of the ignition point in the chamber and this position could affect the frequencies obtained for the modes. Moreover, the bottoms of these bowls are highly irregular; this could also modify amplitude and frequency results.

In order to discount this possibility and to prove that the previous conclusions are not dependent upon the chosen ignition location, four supplementary cases were processed. Here, both radius and height of a single ignition point were changed for both open and re-entrant bowls. These new ignition points are indicated as "other excitation sites" in Figure 2.18. The results of the computations are shown in Figure 2.20; here, the registered signal always corresponds to a monitor situated at the same location as excitation site 1.

From Figure 2.20, it can be concluded that the excitation area location does not affect the peak frequencies obtained. In fact, these frequencies are not modified because they should depend only on temperature and on the overall bowl geometry, not on the diameter of the plane where the excitation takes place. For both chamber geometries considered, these parameters were fixed. However, the peak amplitudes can vary slightly, depending on whether the excitation point is located near or far from a node or from a response maximum of any of the three influencing modes.
2.5. Classic techniques for combustion noise analysis

The permissible level of exterior vehicle noise is being continuously reduced by governmental legislation. Furthermore, the standards for noise quality set by the individual customer and by competitive vehicle manufacturers are increasing too [211, 212]. The new generation of direct injection systems offers the possibility to limit engine noise through the use of pilot and multiple injections. These systems are very flexible and permit a number of injection parameters combination almost infinite [195,247], therefore one of the main tasks for the engineers is to find, for every working condition, the injection settings that permit an optimal balance of noise, performance and exhaust emissions [19,36,138].

Consequently, there is an increasing demand for the development of analysis tools that permit understanding the engine noise generation problem as well as to assess the acoustic features of the engine. In this section, four of the most widely used techniques for the analysis of the combustion noise will be described. Particularly, the attention will be focused on the potential and the limits of these techniques for the estimation of the radiated noise level.

2.5.1. Block attenuation curve technique

One of the most commonly used techniques to study the combustion noise emitted by an engine is based on the "block attenuation" curve also known as "structure attenuation" curve introduced by Austen and Priede in 1958 [18]. This methodology considers the block attenuation as a transfer function between the excitation (in-cylinder pressure) and the effect (radiated noise). Accordingly, the curve is calculated as the difference between the spectra of in-cylinder pressure and the radiated noise (measured at 1 meter from the engine block).

The basic hypothesis of this theory is to assume a linear response of the engine structure. As a result, its characteristic attenuation curve can be used to estimate the sound pressure level relative to the combustion noise emitted by the engine. Subtracting the attenuation curve from the in-cylinder pressure spectrum, an estimation of the radiated noise spectrum can be assessed. With an integral sum of the harmonics of this spectrum, the noise level can be easily calculated.

The measurement of noise outside the engine may be used for combustion noise measurement only if the combustion contribution to the noise is predominant. This can be achieved by operating the engine with very advanced injection timing or with low cetane fuel [203,207]. At any rate, in modern direct injection Diesel engines, this condition is almost always respected [113].

The original technique for measuring structure attenuation has been refined and it’s now a standard technique in many laboratories. As a general rule, to get better results from such an analysis, it is advisable to measure the structure attenuation at
different speeds and loads, and to use at least 20 engine cycles to calculate a mean incylinder pressure spectrum [159, 207]. Furthermore, the spectra of in-cylinder pressure and acoustic pressure are both calculated in 1/3-octave band and the level amplitude is scaled and divided by the reference pressure ($2 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa) and expressed in decibels.

Several structure attenuation curves can be found in the literature. Figure 2.21 shows three standard attenuation curves, 1/3-octave band: a classical curve proposed by Anderton [8], the curve introduced by Russell [200, 207] and the curve obtained from former studies at CMT Motores Térmicos [66]. This figure evidences for all these curves an abrupt reduction of the attenuation level at low frequencies and a “passband hole” in the range between 1 and 6 kHz, after which the attenuation increases. Important differences between Anderton’s curve and the other two are also observed in the very low and very high frequency ranges while those differences are reduced in the range of minimum attenuation. These discrepancies should be related to differences in the type, size and the design concept of the engines studied to obtain each attenuation curve [264]. The global minimum shape of these curves is a confirmation of the fact that inline engines of similar size have similar structure response characteristics, as it has been highlighted in Section 2.3.3.

From the first studies on several automotive Diesel engines, the structure attenuation curves had been measured and were found to be so similar that many efforts have been done to propose a single average attenuation curve, a sort of “universal curve” which could allow easy comparisons of different combustion systems, fuels and fuel injection equipment for automotive engines [1, 45, 209]. Furthermore,
based on these average attenuation curves several experimental tools have been developed; one of the first devices was the Combustion Noise Meter (developed at Lucas Industries Noise Centre [192, 207, 209]). Another widely used experimental tool for combustion noise level estimation is the AVL 450 Noise Meter produced by AVL List GmbH [19, 36, 61, 101].

As a matter of fact, this methodology is one of the most used for the assessing of combustion noise in Diesel engines. The main reason for its wide application is its simplicity and the fact that the acquisition of in-cylinder pressure signals is a normal procedure in engine development. Consequently, it results easier and cheaper to get an estimation of the radiated noise level instead of directly measure it. Engine acoustics measurements in fact require specific and expensive experimental tools.

On the other hand, this methodology leads to quite high estimation errors. In this work it will be evidenced that the use of standard attenuation curves to estimate the radiated noise can bring to excessive differences with the measured levels. This is mainly due to the basic assumption that the engine structure behaves as a linear system, while many studies evidenced that this is a quite rough hypothesis [66, 170, 264]. Consequently, this methodology seems to be a suitable tool only for comparative studies, where no particular estimation precision is required.

A deeper description of the attenuation curve methodology will be given in the Chapter 5, where this methodology has been used for a first analysis of the experiments conducted in this work.

2.5.2 Noise prediction through empirical formulae

In this section, the results of a wide study carried out at the Institute of Sound and Vibration Research (ISVR) of Southampton University and Ricardo on the overall noise at full load of 44 different IC engines [8], will be summarized. The engines tested include two-stroke Diesels, naturally aspirated and turbocharged four-stroke Diesels, indirect injection (swirl chamber) Diesel and petrol engines. However, it has to be reminded, that this study was carried out between the seventies and the nineties, consequently new generation direct injection Diesel engines were not taken into account and it can be expected that results could differ with this engine type.

Figure 2.22 shows the overall noise of these 44 IC engines as function of engine speed. For all engines considered at rated speed the variation in noise measured 1 m from the engine block is from 95 to 110 dBA. The importance of engine speed in controlling engine noise is clear from the figure, but even at a constant engine speed of 2000 rpm, for instance there is a 35 dBA spread in overall noise level according to engine type. Also, from Figure 2.22 it can be observed that in naturally aspirated direct injection Diesel engines at 2000 rpm the average noise is about 100 dBA, while for petrol engine the lowest noise level measured is around 75 dBA. It is therefore clear that very large differences in combustion excitation level should be measured in the various IC engine combustion systems.
Figure 2.22: Noise versus speed at full load for different engine types
Figure 2.23: Cylinder pressure spectra for DI Diesel and gasoline engine [8].

This can be easily confirmed observing Figure 2.23 which compares the in-cylinder pressure spectra of a normally aspirated Diesel engine of 12.5:1, 130 mm of bore and that of a 1:1 gasoline engine, 91 mm of bore. From the analysis of this spectra at 1000 Hz, generally a frequency of maximum engine noise level, a difference of some 26 dB was observed. Starting from these observations, Anderton [8] developed a simple, linear combustion noise model in order to classify engines into various groups according to their combustion system.

This combustion model assumes an engine as a linear system and that the major force path is via the connection rod. It introduces the concept of cross impedance at every frequency $f_i$ between the force applied to the top of the piston $P(f)$, and the area averaged mean square velocity of the outer surface of the engine $v(f)$. The equation defining this mechanical cross impedance is:

$$Z_c(f_i) = \frac{\langle v^2(f_i) \rangle}{P(f)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.27)

Calculating the force as the product of the in-cylinder pressure $p_c$ and the piston surface, the area average velocity of surface becomes

$$\langle v(f_i) \rangle = \frac{p_c(f_i) \pi B^2}{4Z_c(f)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.28)

where $B$ is the cylinder bore. The radiated acoustic power of a surface can be expressed by:

$$W_{rad}(f_i) = \rho c s \langle v(f) \rangle > \sigma(f).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.29)
where $\rho$ is the density of the medium, $c$ the speed of sound in the medium, $S$ the total radiating surface area and $\sigma$ is the radiation efficiency. When measured with a microphone, the radiated acoustic power can be also expressed by:

$$W_{rad}(f) = \frac{1}{\rho c} \frac{p_0^2(f)}{S_{trav}}$$

where $p_0$ is the sound pressure and $S_{trav}$ is the microphone transverse area. Joining Equations (2.28), (2.29) and (2.30), the intensity of noise radiated $I(f)$, proportional to overall SPL in dB, can be calculated as:

$$I(f) = \frac{\rho c S}{S_{trav}} \sigma(f) \frac{p_0(f) \pi B^2}{4Z_c(f)}$$

In order to eliminate the dependence on the engine speed, Anderton plotted the in-cylinder pressure spectra of engines with different combustion systems, with the amplitude expressed in dB and the frequency normalized for engine speed and on a logarithmic scale. It was observed that, in the frequency range between 50 and 3000 Hz (considered as the predominant range of engine structure resonances), the in-cylinder pressure spectra could be approximated to a straight line. The slope of this line, in dB/decade, was defined as the combustion index $N$. A comparison of these simplified and normalized cylinder pressure spectra also provided a method of rating the combustion systems as a noise source, associating a characteristic combustion index to each combustion system. According to this simplified model, the cylinder pressure at every frequency could be expressed with the proportion

$$p_0^2(f) \propto \left( \frac{i}{f} \right)^N A \log_{10}(3N),$$

where $i$ is the engine speed, $N$ is the combustion index for the given combustion system, and $A \log$ indicates the antilogarithm. From Equations (2.31) and (2.32), it results:

$$I(f) \propto \frac{\rho c S}{S_{trav}} \sigma(f) \frac{B^2}{Z_c(f)} \frac{\pi B^2}{4Z_c(f)} \left( \frac{N}{f} \right)^N$$

$$I(f) \propto \frac{S}{S_{trav}} \sigma(f) \frac{N}{f} B^4 \frac{B^4}{Z_c(f)}$$

Since the noise is harmonic in nature, the intensity of the overall noise, $I_{O}$, proportional to overall SPL in dB, is given by summing the contribution of each harmonic over the audio frequency range. This gives:

$$I_{O} \propto \frac{S}{S_{trav}} n^N B^4 \int_{f_1}^{f_2} \sigma(f) \frac{N}{f} Z_c(f)$$

where $C_{ASC}$ is called the overall structural and acoustical response of the engine, this was observed not to vary greatly within conventional engine design constraints and
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approximately proportional to \(1/B\) while \(S\) for multicylinder engines was found to be proportional to \(B^2\). Concluding, the overall noise level level resulted:

\[
SPL = 10\log_{10} I_C \times 10\log_{10} \left( \frac{n^N B^2 C_{ASO}}{S_{irav}} \right).
\]  
(2.36)

Using this model a series of empirical formulae for the prediction of the engine noise were developed already in the seventies \([8]\). In the last years many innovations have been introduced to redesign engine structures for lower noise; consequently also the formulae have been updated \([7]\). The empirical noise prediction formulae actually used at ISVR are:

- Naturally Aspired Direct Injection Diesel Engine -
  \[
  SPI = -30\log_{10} n + 50\log_{10} B - 106.0
  \]  
  (2.37)

- Turbocharged Direct Injection Diesel Engine -
  \[
  SPL = 40\log_{10} n + 50\log_{10} B - 135.8
  \]  
  (2.38)

- High Speed Indirect Injection Diesel Engine -
  \[
  SPI = 43\log_{10} n + 60\log_{10} B - 176.0
  \]  
  (2.39)

- Petrol Engine -
  \[
  SPI = -50\log_{10} n + 60\log_{10} B - 203.4
  \]  
  (2.40)

where \(SPI\) is the predicted noise level at 1 meter from the engine expressed in dBA, \(n\) is the engine speed in rpm and \(B\) is the bore size in mm. These formulae have the advantage to be really simple, the noise level at full load can be estimated simply knowing combustion type, engine speed and engine bore.

Another formula was introduced in a study of the CIMAC International Council on Combustion engines \([160]\), in order to estimate the noise of an engine at a given regime and maximal power \(W\):

\[
SPI = 10\log_{10} n + 5,5\log_{10} W + 55
\]  
(2.41)

Also Padovan \([160]\) proposed two empirical equations for the assessment of the noise level of direct injection Diesel engines, the first, for naturally aspirated engines was:

\[
SPL = 28,8\log_{10}(n/1000) + 1,5W_{1/4} + 0,86V
\]  
(2.42)

the second, for turbocharged engines was:

\[
SPI = 21,2\log_{10}(n/1000) + 1,2W_{1/4}
\]  
(2.43)
where $W_{1/4}$ is the engine charge expressed in “1/4” of the charge (it could a positive number between 0.1 and 4), and $V$ is the total engine displacement in liters.

Even though the presented equations have been calculated for an estimation of the noise under different working conditions, it is interesting to note as in all empirical formulae the engine regime appears in a logarithmic tendency. These empirical formulae have the advantage to be simple and to permit an immediate estimation of the noise level, starting from parameters of the engine that are always available! However, it appears clear that these formulae give only a rough estimation, they can be useful for the comparison of engines of different families but their use doesn’t make any sense when an accurate assessment of the noise level is required.

### 2.5.3. Time-frequency methods

Wide-band signals are frequently encountered in Noise Vibration Harshness (NVH) applications and, in general, the statistical properties of such signals have a strong dependence on the time interval of the analysis [161]. In these non-stationary signals the time-evolution of the spectrum is an integral part of the whole information and time-frequency representations must be employed [156].

The global noise level has been traditionally used to quantify the combustion noise in Diesel engines. As commented before, this can be estimated through the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). The basic equation describing the DFT of a function of time $f(t)$, on a finite interval $[0, T]$ is:

$$DFT\{f(t)\} = F(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.44)

it yields information about noise amplitude/phase and frequency $\omega$, the main limit of this technique is that it requires a signal to be periodic and stationary conditions that cannot be achieved in the case of an engine [67]. However, the in-cylinder pressure signals of an engine running at constant speed can be considered, in a first approximation, as periodic. For this reason the DFT is widely applied also in engine studies.

Figure 2.24 represents a spectrum analysis carried out by means of DFT, it compares the in-cylinder pressure spectra with and without (motorized) combustion, showing the energy distribution among frequency harmonics associated with the temporal evolution of the pressure. As expected, this plot shows an apparent difference between the energy level related to in-cylinder pressure at the two conditions considered. In low frequency range (<1 kHz), in both operating conditions, the energy is distributed among the characteristic harmonics of the fundamental frequency of the process, correlated to the engine speed. However, for higher frequencies a very low energy distribution can be noted in the motored-condition pressure spectrum. Furthermore, in the spectrum with combustion, a clear peak at about 10 kHz can be appreciated. This high energy harmonic is related to the resonant frequency of the combustion chamber associated with the first circumferential mode of the bowl.
This has been demonstrated to be mainly determined by the gas temperature and the combustion chamber geometry [96]. As the gas temperature as well as the combustion chamber volume vary considerably when combustion takes place, the resonant frequencies are non-stationary. Consequently, for a deeper analysis of this phenomenon a simple FFT analysis is inadequate and time-frequency techniques are essential tools 53, 193, 235]. In previous studies at CMT 47, 163–165, 262–264]] the in-cylinder resonance generated during combustion has demonstrated to have some influence on the characteristics of the noise, this aspect will be widely explained in the next chapters.

In the continuous-time case, as an extension of the Fourier analysis to the non-stationary problems, the function to be transformed is multiplied by a window function which is non-zero for only a short period of time. The Fourier transform (a one-dimensional function) of the resulting signal is taken as the window is slid along the time axis, resulting in a two-dimensional representation of the signal. This is known as Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT). Mathematically, this is written as:

\[
STFT\{f(t)\} = F(\tau, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{t+\infty} f(t)w(t-\tau)e^{-j\omega t} \, dt,
\]

where \(w(t-\tau)\) is the window function commonly a Hann or Gaussian window. Unfortunately, in the case of noise from engines, the spectrogram obtained by means of the STFT is not efficient enough due to the high non-stationarity effects present in the signals affected by the combustion process 235]. These signals should be analyzed
by means of other time-frequency techniques such as the Wigner Distribution (WD) or the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT).

The WD, also known as Wigner-Ville distribution, is a transformation that may be used to give a representation of a signal in the time-frequency domain in continuous time and frequency, it is defined as:

\[ WD\{ f(t) \} = W(t, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t + \tau/2) f^*(t - \tau/2) e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau. \]  

In engine applications to eliminate the problem of the cross terms in the Wigner distribution, an averaging of theoretically infinite combustion cycles is required [235], thus increasing the capability requirements of the acquisition system, mainly if broadband analysis is necessary [66].

On the contrary, the wavelet transform does not present any restriction on the signal duration! Moreover, it is a reliable method for the analysis of physical systems where the characteristics signals contain time discontinuities and/or sharp spikes; as in the case of in-cylinder pressure signals and radiated sound of Diesel engines [66].

A Wavelet function can be represented by the equation:

\[ DWT\{ f(t) \} = \psi_{a,b} f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) \psi_0^* (a, b) dt. \]  

where \( \psi_0(a, b) \) is the shifted and dilated version of the original wavelet \( \psi_0 \) given by:

\[ \psi_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi \left( \frac{t - b}{a} \right). \]  

For integer values of \( a \) and \( b \), \( a \) is the dilation [level] coefficient and \( b \) is the shift coefficient. The power of the DWT lies in its time dependence, particularly in its shift component that can assume a wide variety of forms.

Comparing STFT and DWT as time-frequency analysis tools, it can be observed that both techniques are based on windowed functions! On the one hand, the STFT is essentially a constant width for all shifts and dilations; once the size of the window is selected, the low- and high-frequency spectral components are analyzed with the same resolution. On the other hand, the DWT window is a function of time as the function is shifted and dilated, the window is shifted and dilated too resulting in a more efficient time-frequency representation [67, 156].

Both WD and DWT techniques have been widely used in automotive applications, and demonstrated to be particularly adequate for the detection of misfire and knock in spark ignition engines [37, 58, 87, 119, 132, 144, 225, 282]. Good results have been also obtained for the characterization of the noise and vibration in Diesel engines through the analysis of the in-cylinder pressure signal [66, 141, 161, 277], the vibration response on the engine block [228, 285, 287] and the radiated noise [66, 253, 284].

Recently, a study has been carried out to develop a combustion noise meter based on the wavelet transform. Christen et al. [61] modified the classical AVL's
Combustion Noise Meter substituting the original filtering system (basically the transfer function representing the block attenuation) with shift-invariant wavelet transforms. According to the authors, this software implementation would be more flexible and cheaper, while no improvements have been obtained in noise level assessment respect to the original experimental tool. This represents one of the few intents to use the wavelet transform for quantitative analysis of noise! The use of these time-frequency methods, in fact, is normally limited to qualitative considerations.

In the next section an example of the application of the time-frequency analysis techniques will be presented. The wavelet transform will be used to describe qualitatively the influence of the pilot injection on the in-cylinder pressure and on the noise radiated by the signal.

2.5.3.1. Analysis of the pilot injection influence

In this section, some results of a previous study performed at CMT [66] on the application of Wavelet transform to combustion noise analysis will be presented. In this work, measurements of the in-cylinder pressure traces and radiated noise from a [2.7] high speed direct injection Diesel engine have been considered. The DWT has been used as a time-frequency technique in order to analyze qualitatively the noise radiated by a Diesel engine during its combustion process. Furthermore, an analysis of the influence of the pilot injection on the in-cylinder pressure and on the radiated noise has been performed.

In order to have a more clear assessment, the spectrograms have been computed (from 0.8 to 12 kHz, for two consecutive cycles of the engine, consequently, a sequence of eight combustion can be observed. Furthermore the gray scale has been reduced to the 10% of the maximum scale obtained in the case without pilot injection in order to highlight the energy distribution in the low frequency range and to permit a direct comparison of the spectrograms.

Since the acoustic power radiated by the engine is proportional to the block vibration velocity [127], the velocity of the in-cylinder pressure variation has been considered as the characteristic source of combustion noise! Figure 2.25(a) shows an example of spectrogram corresponding to in-cylinder pressure derivative without pilot injection. In this figure, the periodicity of the in-cylinder pressure fluctuation can be noticed and the resonant frequencies of the combustion chambers are appreciated in the frequency range between 5 and 10 kHz. In the band from 1 to 5 kHz, an almost constant distribution of the energy among the frequencies is observed in every cylinder. Below 1 kHz the amplitude of in-cylinder pressure is higher during a longer interval.

In Figure 2.25(b) the spectrogram of the derivative of in-cylinder pressure with pre-injection is shown. In this plot, a different energy distribution along time and frequency can be distinguished. Particularly in the band from 1.5 to 4 kH the amplitude decreases noticeably, while below 1 kHz the energy is distributed in a band wider than in the condition above. This characteristic causes differences also between the noise radiated by the engine.
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Figure 2.25: In-cylinder pressure derivative spectrogram: 3000rpm, 10% charge; without pilot injection (a), with pilot injection (b).
Figure 2.26(a) shows the spectrogram of the sound pressure level measured at 1 meter from the block of the engine working without pilot injection. On the one hand, it can be observed that the radiated sound energy is concentrated in a relatively narrow band between 0.8 and 5 kHz. This seems to be coherent with the fact that the minimum of the block attenuation is observed for the same frequency band. On the other hand, at frequencies lower than 4 kHz, the periodicity observed in Figure 2.25(a) has been lost, while a similar power distribution along time can be guessed in the 5-6 kHz band. This confirms the fact that assuming the engine block as a linear and invariant system is an assumption rather imprecise. Furthermore, the spectrogram obtained does not exhibit any noticeable sound power in the frequency associated with the resonance of the combustion chamber between 5 and 10 kHz. This is probably due to the high attenuation level of the engine block in this frequency range.

Figure 2.26(b) shows the spectrogram of the engine noise when pilot injection is used. Globally, these results present a power level lower than without pre-injection, but concentrated in a lower frequency band, as was obtained in the in-cylinder pressure derivative. Furthermore, observing the spectrograms it seems clear that for this operating condition the combustion noise arises predominantly through the mechanical forces, as 0.8 and 2.5 kHz is the frequency band characteristic of the mechanical noise [66,266]. Moreover, pilot injection strategy seems to reduce mainly the contribution of this source, causing a more uniform energy distribution among frequencies.

This is coherent to the fact that combustion noise level is considerably reduced when pilot injection is used. This was evidenced in another study conducted by Yajima and Nakashima [277] applying the wavelet analysis to in-cylinder pressure signals. It was determined that with a single injection strategy two combustion excitations with high frequency contents occur at ignition timings of both premixed and diffusion combustion and that both of them are noise sources. When using a pilot injection the ignition delay period of the main injection is shortened by the firing of this small quantity of combustible. As a result, premixed combustion excitation is mitigated and even though the diffusive combustion excitation does not change, combustion noise can be reduced.

2.5.4 Numerical Methods

Due to the evolution of suitable analysis techniques together with the increase in computational capacity of affordable computers, in recent years, the Finite Element Method (FEM) and the Boundary Element Method (BEM) have become widely accepted as extremely valuable analysis tools also with acoustic problems [124]. FEM and BEM are the two most commonly used numerical methods and each one has its advantages and disadvantages depending on the problem to be solved. In applying the boundary element method, only a mesh of the surfaces is required, making it easier to use and often more efficient than the more common finite element method. Contrarily, the computational advantage of the FEM increases with high ratio of boundary area to volume. According to Saad [214], for steady state harmonic response, the BEM is
Figure 2.26: Engine noise spectrogram. 3000rpm, 10% charge. without pilot injection (a), with pilot injection (b)
preferable for exterior problems, while for interior problems, either technique can be used. One of the main advantages of these simulation methods is that no hardware is required and a lot of modifications can be analyzed through simulations [223]. On the other hand, the main disadvantage is that the FEM and BEM methods do not give satisfactory results in a frequency range between 500 and 1500 Hz, being this gap particularly important in the analysis of combustion noise [104].

2.5.4.1. Application of FEM for vibration analysis

A typical application of the FEM technique is the prediction of the vibrational behavior of engine components [2, 125, 173, 175]. Even though the computer simulations can be performed with a model of the entire powertrain in the early design phase, not all design data are available. Therefore, only simplified models of individual components can be built. This can help designers to have a rough estimation of the acoustical behavior of the engine. The engine block, for instance, is the main component of the powertrain and it is the part where the combustion excitation is induced to the structure. Figure 2.27 represents an example of FE engine block model. Experimental investigations revealed that the engine block and the auxiliaries attached to the block often emit between 50% and 70% of the total engine noise. Acoustical optimization by structural modifications can be conducted on the bare engine block as mainly local mode shapes are responsible for noise which are generally not influenced by the assembly of other components [49, 100, 136, 223]. According to the

![Figure 2.27: Example of engine block finite element mesh](image)

design progress, the present models are further detailed and other components, such as the oil sump, cylinder head, auxiliaries etc. are enclosed. These models offer the possibility to perform forced response calculations in order to estimate the structural
transfer behavior. The FE structure is excited by means of spectra derived from cylinder pressure curves and the structural response is calculated as structure-borne noise on the radiating surface. This permits an estimation of the sensitivity to combustion excitation of the respective structure facilitating its acoustic evaluation. Furthermore, with this procedure the effect of structure modifications can be predicted and also structural weaknesses can be identified [79].

This type of analysis carried out with a model of the complete powertrain is also used to estimate the structure-noise induction into the vehicle body. In fact, the combustion excitation is transmitted as structure-borne noise and, on the one hand, it is radiated as airborne noise which enters the passenger compartment at a muted level, on the other hand, it is induced into the vehicle body via the powertrain supports and then further transmitted to the interior of the vehicle [2]. Noise in the passenger compartment between 200 and 500 Hz is mainly structure-borne noise transmitted through engine supports [267].

2.5.4.2. Prediction of noise through numerical methods

When the vibration characteristics of an engine have been estimated, the prediction of radiated noise can take several approaches, most of them are based on the acoustic theories of vibrating solids. Even though the radiation of mechanical systems exhibit complex frequency dependent spatial distributions of amplitude and phase, a simple approach can be made comparing radiation from actual structures with an infinite flat surface. The sound power radiated from a vibrating structure can be defined as:

$$ W_{\text{rad}} = \rho c S \langle \overline{v^2} \rangle \sigma. $$

(2.49)

where $\rho$ is the density of the medium, $c$ the speed of sound in the medium, $S$ is the total surface area, $\langle \overline{v^2} \rangle$ is the surface averaged mean square normal velocity and $\sigma$ is called the radiation efficiency. To a first approximation the structure can be considered radiating as efficiently as the infinite flat surface, a simple unity radiation efficiency value can be assumed but it represents a rough approximation if a quantitative estimation of the radiated noise is researched [105]. Consequently, two alternatives methods based on the Rayleigh’s piston theory and on the Helmholtz integral equation, have been widely investigated [49, 54, 62, 80, 250].

Rayleigh method assumes that the surface of the structure is covered with a finite number of pistons, which move perpendicular to the surface with a radial frequency $\omega$. The sound pressure $p$ at a point $y$, whose position from the structure can be defined with the distance $r$ and the angle $\theta$, can be calculated using equation:

$$ p_y = -i A \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\rho}{r} e^{ikr} \frac{J(ka \sin \theta)}{ka \sin \theta}, $$

(2.50)

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $A$ is the cross section of the piston, $u$ is the equivalent piston radius, $a$ is the piston velocity, $\rho$ is the density of the medium, $c$ the speed of sound in the medium, $k$ the wave number and $J$ the Bessel function. The overall sound
pressure results from the complex sum of all individual piston pressures:

\[ SPL = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j, \tag{2.51} \]

where \( N \) is the total number of the pistons that compose the radiating structure. Equation (2.50) is only valid if the wave length of the radiated noise caused by the piston is small compared to the dimensions of the radiating surface. For an engine block this is only true for high frequencies [49].

The Rayleigh method is a good tool to predict the acoustic effect of design modifications [54], but if the intention is to estimate absolute values of the radiated noise, the Helmholtz integral equation applied to HF methods represent a more adequate solution. This method consists of a numerical implementation of Helmholtz integral equation that, in case of points of the volume exterior to the radiating surface, defines the pressure distribution \( p \) as:

\[ p(x_0) = \int \left[ p(\vec{b})q^*(x_0, \vec{b}) - q(\vec{b})p^*(x_0, \vec{b}) \right] dS. \tag{2.52} \]

where \( \vec{x} \) is the vector defining the position of the field point outside of the boundary surface \( S \) radiating the noise, \( q \) is defined as:

\[ q = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} - ikpcu, \tag{2.53} \]

where \( p' \) comes from Green’s function and is defined as:

\[ p' = \frac{e^{-ikr}}{a \pi r}, \tag{2.54} \]

where \( r = |\vec{x} - \vec{b}| \) with \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{b} \) the locations of field and boundary points, and:

\[ q' = -\frac{\partial p^*}{\partial n} - \vec{n} \nabla p' \tag{2.55} \]

Through the discretization of the problem boundary into elements and approximating the acoustic pressure and its derivative to interpolating functions on each element, Equation (2.52), can be expressed in matrix form as:

\[ A[p] - B[q] \tag{2.56} \]

where \( \{p\} \) and \( \{q\} \) are the pressure and its derivative at each boundary point. Matrices \( [A] \) and \( [B] \) are found by integrating over the boundary and are functions only of the geometry of the boundary and of the wave number \( k \). Vector \( \{q\} \) is the surface vibration velocity and it is normally calculated with FEM simulations permitting an estimation of the pressure acoustic pressure field [250]. In other cases, the inverse technique has been used, taking advantage of the pressure information from holographic measures, the intensity field can be projected back to the surface of the source predicting the vibration velocity of the surfaces [80].
Sung et al. [250] using both FEM and BEM techniques, developed an engine system model in order to predict structural vibration and radiated noise of a running engine. The engine system model was developed by synthesizing modal modes of the major bolted-together substructures (engine block, cylinder head, oil sump, etc.), which are obtained by solving the substructure FE models for their normal modes. Non-structural mass models of the remaining engine components were added to represent the overall inertial properties of the engine. Operating loads (combustion pressure loads, piston side thrust loads and main-bearing loads) were applied to the engine system model and the engine surface vibration was calculated. The engine radiated noise was evaluated from the engine surface by using an acoustic FE model of the surface, based on the Helmholtz integral equation. According to the results of this study, this model adequately predicted the engine surface vibration up to 800 Hz octave band but the overall radiated noise resulted excessively underestimated. The authors considered as the main cause for these bad results, the necessity of including other mechanical noise sources (crankshaft loads, chain impact load, etc.) into the model. Another plausible explanation is related to the difficulty of modeling oil films and bearing dynamics and incorporating these effects within the FE model.

Furthermore, recent works [121, 250] have evidenced that nowadays, all the numerical methods for the assessment of the wave propagation permit to obtain valid solutions only in enclosed frequency ranges. In case of car engine studies, FEM demonstrated to give reliable results only up to 500 Hz approximately, for higher frequency ranges more approximate methods are actually investigated, such as the Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA) [49, 69, 126, 236], in which a system is modeled as a collection of subsystems, each of which is assigned a single response variable corresponding to the vibrational energy [121].

Nevertheless, as commented above, actually none of these techniques permits satisfactory results in the medium and high frequency ranges (above 500 Hz) [104]. Furthermore due to its high complexity and computational costs, its application is reduced only to the phase of the structural design of the engine block. Consequently, when numerical methods are the only viable techniques, the aim should be to identify trends which will reduce radiation noise rather than precisely predict absolute levels [62].

2.6. Engine noise quality

The main objectives of the last decades’ studies on vehicle noise have been mainly focused on the reduction of the associated noise level, but, lately, sound quality has been gaining an increasing importance. Car manufacturers have been shifting their efforts towards improving quality of vehicles noise through a complete vehicle noise-vibration-harshness (NVH) optimization [93, 212]. The task of these researches has not only to meet certain noise levels, but most importantly to achieve a high quality subjective noise character, both inside and out of the vehicle [224].

One of the most important issues, which is today widely accepted, is that noise
contains information. In many cases, instead of trying to eliminate completely a noise, it is better to modify it in order to emphasize quality information and to reduce annoying components. A vehicle engine noise must satisfy the customer expectations giving information about engine operational condition and comfort. In the case of Diesel engines, this task is complicated by the impulsive characteristic of Diesel combustion which amplifies other noise sources such as piston slap [208]. For a long time, the well-known “knock” of Diesel engine remained one of the main obstacles to a wide application of this type of engines to passenger cars. Actually, despite the important advantages in terms of fuel consumption in comparison with gasoline engines, vehicles customers still consider Diesel knock as a potential negative aspect on their purchasing decision [188].

Sound quality problems represent a really complex challenge for sound engineers. The main difficulty resides in the fact that it is practically impossible to give a scientific definition to the concept of “quality” which is for its own nature a subjective perception. From a qualitative point of view, the concept of sound does not refer simply to a physical event like a sound wave. The term “sound” in this context can be considered as the result of an auditory perception process. A listener can judge the concept of quality with reference to desired features which are apparent to him, according to the particular cognitive, actional and emotional situation at the time of judgement [32, 114], as well as to its cultural background [102, 229].

Furthermore, in many listening experiments it has been demonstrated that also differences in the age of the judges bring to disagreement during sounds evaluation. This is due to the natural loss in hearing sensitivity, especially at high frequencies resulting from the physiological changes that occur with age [76].

In the next sections some details on the definition of sound quality in the automotive field will be given, and the main methods used to evaluate the noise quality will be presented. The last section of this chapter will describe several approaches found in the scientific literature, which try to give an objective, quantitative evaluation of the abstract concept of noise quality.

2.6.1 Automotive sound quality definition

In the study of automotive sound quality, the first task is to identify which aspects of a sound define its quality. According to many authors [29, 215], the concept of sound quality in a vehicle is mainly related to two aspects: pleasantness and power. Consequently, it results really hard to find a sound which combines a high amount of pleasantness with an equal amount of power, as these two concepts are contrasting on a certain degree. If a sound of a car is designed to be as pleasant as possible, the impression of power might be strongly affected and vice versa. Takac et al. [252] conducted a study for determining the major factors which affect the sound quality of car interior noise. Three factors: pleasantness, powerful-ness and boomingness were recognized as the more representative, and finally, among these, the pleasantness was selected as the most important factor on the definition of quality.
With respect to a Diesel engine, noise usually the abstract idea of quality is correlated to the sensations of annoyance \cite{26, 103, 111}. This concept is not linked to the overall noise level that can be expressed on well-established, reliable measurement scales (dB, dBA, etc.). It frequently happens that sources whose noise level measured in decibels are acceptable are still rather annoying, and it is also possible that engines, which by virtue of their noise level would quantify as noisy, leave subjectively a more pleasant impression than quieter ones \cite{219}

\subsection*{2.6.2. Noise quality subjective evaluation}

Even though subjective testing and analysis represent a long, bothering and expensive job, they are widely recognized as an essential tool in automotive sound quality investigations \cite{32, 50, 86, 141, 157}. Actually, to date, no instruments or analysis techniques have been able to quantify the concept of quality without the aid of subjective testing of some kind \cite{158}

Ott et al summarized in a paper \cite{158} the general guidelines for jury evaluations of automotive sound quality tests. In this work, the authors provide guidance for the making up of the listening environment as well as for the selection of the jury members. Furthermore, advises are given with respect to the samples presentation, from the adequate recording practices, level setting, equalization and calibration to the samples editing and presentation. Finally, also several classical jury evaluation methods are presented:

- Rank order - Subjects are asked to order sounds from the first to the last according to a evaluation criterion (preference, annoyance, magnitude, etc.) \cite{158}. The sounds are presented sequentially and the subjects have the option of listening to a sound as many times as they want. The complexity of the ordering task grows with the number of sounds, accordingly the number of samples to be evaluated is usually kept low. Another disadvantage of this method is that it does not give scaling information, so it can be used only to obtain a quick idea of how the sounds compare and is not suitable for correlation with objective properties of the sounds.

- Response scales - With these terms are intended all the methods in which subject's responses are represented on a scale \cite{30, 43, 44, 86}. A widely used rating scale is the numbered response scale from 1 to 10, normally familiar to most people. Subjects are asked to assign to each sound a number on the scale. The sound are presented sequentially with generally no options to replay. This method is quick and scaling information is directly provided. However, rating scales can be quite difficult for inexperienced, untrained jury members. In fact, a numbered response scale do not allow the subjects to express their impressions in an easy and natural way. Different subjects could use the scale differently; some could use small rating range while others may use most of the scale! Moreover, the extremities of the scale are generally not used.
- Paired comparison - These are the methods in which sounds are presented in pairs and subjects asked to make relative judgements on the sound of the pair based on some evaluation criterion [26, 57, 103, 110, 246]. If all the samples are unpleasant, like in case of engine noises, the criterion of annoyance is often used. The pair judgment process is repeated until all possible pairs have been evaluated. This method of evaluation is quite natural and easy for untrained subjects. A disadvantage of this method is that the number of pairs to listen can be quite large and the evaluation procedure can result tedious. Furthermore, also this method does not give scaling information [219].

- Semantic differential - This technique allows evaluation of multiple sound attributes. Subjects evaluate sounds on a number of descriptive response scales using bipolar adjective pairs (adjective and antonym) [57, 86, 88, 147, 215]. The adjectives lay at the extremes of a scale with several gradations labeled with appropriate adverbs that allow the subject to rate the magnitude of their impressions (for instance, extremely, very, somewhat, neither, etc.).

- Magnitude estimation - This is a method where subjects assign a number to some attribute of the sound. Magnitude estimation is basically a scaling task without a bounded scale [86]. On the one hand, with respect to bounded response scale, this method offers the advantage that the subject's evaluations never run out of the scale. On the other hand, there could be the problem that different subjects may give extremely different magnitude estimates, consequently, this method requires expert and trained subjects.

Each one of these jury evaluation methods has its strengths and weaknesses and no one works best in every application. In this work an original procedure combination of these classical evaluation methods has been developed. This has been obtained by adapting to the case of combustion noise quality a procedure usually used at PSA Peugeot Citroën for the evaluation of the concept of quality in general. More details will be given in Section 3.6.

2.6.3 Approaches to an objective noise quality evaluation

In the last years many efforts have been made by the automotive industry to develop a systematic approach to relate the sound quality to physical characteristics of noise or, in other words, to express the abstract, subjective concept of noise quality as an objective, measurable quantity. Many authors [77, 81, 83, 103, 110, 219] have tried to tackle this problem using the psychoacoustics which is the science that deals with the relationship between parameters of acoustic waves and the subjective human perception of sounds. Hearing, in fact, is not a purely mechanical phenomenon of wave propagation, but is also a sensory and perceptual event.

This evolution is presently observed throughout the whole of the car industry, as the possibility to consider noise quality as an integrated parameter in a car design may represent a huge advantage on the competitive market [83, 208, 268]. As a result, it is thus not surprising that there is a strong demand for instrumentation tools for
sound quality evaluation, consequently, many studies have been conducted and some instruments have been developed with this purpose [33].

Most of sound quality evaluation methods are based on the determination of two types of indices [33]:

- **Psychoacoustic and statistic indices** -

- Combined indices - normally consisting of the combination of psychoacoustic indices and standard signal analysis indices as well as spectral and statistic parameters

Furthermore, software and experimental tools have been developed and introduced into the market, most of them are based on the computation of the above-mentioned indices. More details and some examples will be given in the next two sections.

### 2.6.3.1. Psychoacoustic and statistic indices

The psychoacoustic indices are methods to predict psychoacoustic quantities, which are descriptive parameters of the sound. A variety of psychoacoustic indices can be calculated and some of them are even international standards [74, 90, 290].

![Equal-loudness contours](image)

**Figure 2.28**: Equal-loudness contours for pure tones in a free sound field

- **Loudness** -

It belongs to the category of intensity sensations. Loudness level of a sound is the sound pressure of a 1 kHz tone in a plane wave and frontal incident that is
perceived by the human ears as loud as the sound. Its unit is phon but sometimes it is also expressed in sone. Lines which connect points of equal loudness in the hearing area are called equal-loudness contours. Figure 2.28 shows equal-loudness contours for pure tones according to its definition all curves have to go through the sound pressure level at 1 kHz that has the same value in dB as the parameter of the curve in phon Threshold of audibility, where the limit of loudness sensation is reached, is the equal-loudness dashed contour. These curves indicate that the human hearing system is most sensitive for frequencies around 4 kHz. There are various procedures for physical loudness measurements; the Zwicker’s one is the most general and has been standardized.

- Sharpness -

It represents a measure of the proportion of loudness within critical frequency bands. For example, two different types of noise may have equal loudness, but the one with greater sharpness will have louder frequency components within frequency bands to which the human ear is particularly sensitive (in the world of music, the "timbre" of a sound is related to its sharpness). Figure 2.29 shows the dependence of sharpness on frequency. The solid line represents the result for narrow-band noise, with increasing center frequency of the narrow-band noise the perceived sharpness increases. The dashed curve represents the result for high-pass noise of different cut-off frequencies while the dotted curve shows the data for low-pass noise. A 60 dB narrow-band noise at 1 kHz has a sharpness of 1 sone. For higher values of sharpness significant spectral components at high frequencies are required. According to its definition, the sharpness of a sound can be lowered either by decreasing high frequency components or by adding low frequency components. Even though this last modification increases the loudness of the sound, in many cases a reducing of sharpness make preferable the resulting sound 74, 219. When a sound contains only high frequency components it provokes sharp and metallic impression the sharpness is an e-
timation of this sensation. Subjective sound sharpness impression also depends on the duration of the sound: the shorter the duration of sound the sharper the sound estimate.

- Fluctuation Strength and Roughness -

Temporal variations of sound can lead to two different perceptions: Fluctuation strengths at low frequencies of variation, and roughness at higher frequencies. A frequency tone of 1 kHz and 60 dB level, which is amplitude modulated by a frequency of 4 Hz with degree of modulation \( m = 1 \), represents the reference sound for fluctuation strengths of \( 1 \) vaci. Roughness is a parameter that has been introduced to approach the sensation that occurs in the case of modulated sound with frequencies higher than 2 kHz. Its unit is the asper. A roughness of \( 1 \) asper corresponds to a tone of 1 kHz and 60 dB level which is amplitude modulated by 7 C Hz frequency with degree of modulation \( m = 1 \). It is experimentally established that roughness increases proportionally to the second power of the degree of modulation and increases slightly with noise level. This parameter is particularly important for sound quality improvement. For example, a sport car engine must have a sound with a certain roughness, while this sensation has to be avoided in the sound quality inside a luxury car.

- Impulsiveness -

This is an example of a statistic parameter, also known as Kurtosis, it represents the impulsiveness of sound pressure distribution. It is a measure of the amplitude and frequency of occurrence of pulse peaks versus the background part of the signal and its unit is Kurt. It is of particular significance during the idling of an engine (208, 219).

When using psychoacoustic indices for sound quality evaluation tasks it has to be considered that these indices can not be applied to any kind of signal. Many of these have been developed on the basis of stationary signals. Furthermore, all of them are only defined as monaural indices, in contrast to the fact that auditory system combines signals of both ears. The psychoacoustic indices have become rather popular in the last years and several sound analysis tools can calculate them without difficulties, with sufficient accuracy for a wide range of application. In spite of all, it appears clear that the use of a single psychoacoustic index permits the description of a sound attribute but can not suffice for the description of the global concept of quality in Diesel engine noise.

2.6.3.2. Combined indices

In order to render a global description of the noise quality, some indices, obtained from the combination of psychoacoustic parameters and standard signal analysis indices, have been proposed. Some examples are presented here:

- Speech Transmission Index -

This represents one of the first intents, developed by Briel & Kjær (150) to quantify the subjective interior noise character of a vehicle. The basic idea
was to use indices developed for an objective rating of speech intelligibility introduced in studies for the acoustics of rooms. A simplified version of the speech transmission index is the RASTI method (RAPid Speech Transmission Index), which was also used by Rust et al. for some preliminary studies of interior noise quality of vehicles [212].

- **Annoyance Index** -

Developed by AVI List GmbH in the early nineties [103, 219], this index was introduced to obtain an objective parameter capable to define the annoyance of an engine. More than 150 engines and 40 vehicle noises were judged using a paired comparison method and statistically evaluated by the application of multidimensional scaling techniques. The resulting order of noise was compared with many subjective criteria in the time and frequency domains in order to identify those parameters which best described the presented stimuli. Finally, multiple regression techniques were used to combine the parameters of different auditory tests into one common index representing the subjective annoyance. This index, \( AI \), was found to be composed by four terms: loudness, periodicity, sharpness, and impulsiveness; it is defined as

\[
AI = \alpha f(Sone) + \beta f(PrePer) + \gamma f(Bark) + \delta f(Kurt)
\]  
(2.57)

where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) are weighting coefficients. \( f \) means function of \( \cdot \). PrePer [preferred periodicity] is a parameter characteristic of the repetition frequency of a periodic sound and Bark is a scale from 1 to 24, corresponding to the first 24 critical bands of hearing. The final measure of annoyance is calibrated via the constant value \( C \), included in the first term because it resulted as the most significant.

Typical applications of this method are the comparative analysis of the noise quality of different engine families in the engine optimization with respect to low idle noise quality by means of the application of different injection systems or oil sump damping materials [219, 246]. In other studies, this method was used in a global optimization procedure for the development and refinement of new engine families [26, 52] and of complete vehicles [42].

- **Annoyance Response Index** -

This index was developed by Shafiquzzaman [110] for estimating the annoyance sensation of heavy-duty Diesel engine. From the analysis of three heavy-duty truck engines, through a multivariate analysis, an index named \( ARI \) similar to that proposed by AVL, was obtained

\[
ARI = C + \alpha \cdot Sone + \beta \cdot Acum + \gamma \cdot HR
\]  
(2.58)

where \( C \) is the constant of proportionality, \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are the regression coefficients and \( HR \) is the harmonic ratio expressed in dB, average level of harmonic ratio of the first 61 harmonics. The other two parameters are characteristic of the loudness and sharpness like in Equation (2.57).
- Composite Rating of Preference

Introduced by Callow and Hedges [50] and considered also by other authors [77,199,258], this is a noise rating scheme presenting a good subjective/objective correlation. It is defined as:

\[ CRP = ((dBA)^2 - 1.5(HF)^2 + 0.5(SB)^2)^{0.5} \]  \[ (2.59) \]

where \( dBA \) is the overall sound level in dBA, \( HF \) stands for high frequency and is calculated as the overall noise level minus SIL (speech interference level, arithmetic mean of unweighted levels in the octave bands centered in 1,2 and 4 kHz), and \( SB \) is the spectrum balanced (arithmetic mean of unweighted levels in the octave bands below firing frequency minus SIL). This index is based on amplitude averaging of frequency band levels. Consequently, its use is not indicated for the study of sound quality in diesel engines characterized by strong time domain variations [106].

- Sound Quality Metric

Developed by Ingham et al. at Ford Motor Company [106], this index was introduced for the estimation of the sound quality of different commercial vans equipped with diesel engines. Two different equations have been obtained:

\[ SQM = C - a \cdot N50 - b \cdot R50 \]  \[ (2.60) \]

in case of idling conditions, where \( C \) is the constant of proportionality, \( a \) and \( b \) are the regression coefficients, \( N50 \) is the median loudness value in sones and \( R50 \) is the median roughness value in asper. And:

\[ SQM = C' - a \cdot N10 - b \cdot S10 \]  \[ (2.61) \]

in case of full load conditions, where \( C' \), \( a \) and \( b \) are different constant of proportionality and regression coefficients, \( N10 \) and \( S10 \) are ten percentile values of loudness [in sones] and sharpness [in acum], respectively.

- Rattle Noise Quality Index

Created by Weisch et al. [270] at AVL LIS1 GmbH this index is intended to be used for the analysis of the rattle noise produced in the vehicle interior noise due to broadband excitation by road irregularities. Through a methodology similar to that used for the development of the Annoyance Index, this quality index was found to consist of the following parameters:

\[ RNQ = f(\text{Loudness, SML, PrePer, Sharpness}) \]  \[ (2.62) \]

where \( SML \) stands for Sum of Modulation Levels (modulation calculated for each octave band with weightings according to human sensitivity) and \( \text{PrePer} \) is the preferred periodicity as defined for the Annoyance Index [AI, Equation (2.57)].
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2.6.3.3. Softwares and experimental tools

In this section some softwares and experimental tools for the assessment of the noise quality are described, these represent only an extract of the numerous examples found in the literature, however it can be expected that several other indices have been developed by researchers but are not published and kept confidential. This indicates the huge interest that the concept of noise quality has gained also in the automotive industry.

- **Noise Quality Map** -
  This is a software developed at AVL as an evolution of the Annoyance Index for a real time assessment of vehicle interior noise quality [43]. According to this model an interior noise quality index **A** is defined depending on the vehicle operating condition:

  - **Idle Condition**
    \[
    A = f(\text{loudness, low frequency content}) \quad (2.63)
    \]

  - **Stationary Operating Conditions**
    \[
    A = f(\text{loudness, low frequency content, sharpness, tonality}) \quad (2.64)
    \]

  - **Acceleration Conditions**
    \[
    A = f(\text{loudness, low frequency content, roughness, sharpness}) \quad (2.65)
    \]

  - **Cost Down Conditions**
    \[
    A = f(\text{loudness, low frequency content, roughness, articulation index}) \quad (2.66)
    \]

  where the **articulation index** is a measure of speech intelligibility in continuous noise, it determines a ratio between the received speech signal and the level of interfering noise.

- **Engine Noise Evaluation Meter** -
  This is an experimental tool developed at Yamaha Motor [135], that provides an index for the noisiness of motorcycle engines at idle condition. Auditory sensations of engine noises have been analyzed using multidimensional scaling techniques. As a result, the total evaluation level index \( L_E \), expression of the subjective rating, has been found as a linear combination of loudness, impulsiveness and frequency characteristics of noise.

- **Combustion Metre** -
  Developed by GIE MOTUS (CRMT + METRASIE R.D.S.) for PSA [20, 21], this is another experimental tool to objectively quantify the subjective quality of combustion noise of an engine. This is based on an estimation of the noise quality (expressed by a mark in an evaluation scale from 0 to 10) starting from the vibrational characteristic of the engine, measured with accelerometers fitted onto the engine. The main difficulty of this tool is the necessity of a long and complicated “training phase” for the calibration of the model with every engine analyzed.
- **Ride Quality Meter** -

Developed at NASA [275], this experimental tool was conceived to have a comprehensive model for estimating passenger comfort response to combined interior noise and vibration environment typical of transportation vehicles, such as helicopters, trains, trucks, and automobiles. In the case of cars, it gave acceptable results in the estimation of an index of discomfort evaluated for different ride conditions (different speeds and road surfaces).

- **QUALidB** -

Developed by Lamotte and Beguet at MICROdB [128, 129], this software presents between its various applications a procedure for the quantification of the acoustic quality. The information about the sound quality is obtained from signals through the computation of different parameters that have to be properly selected according to the sound quality problem examined. In case of piston slap, two parameters: “roughness” and “shock balance” have been considered. From these metrics, a notation system similar to a neural network gives a single mark.

- **Noise Combustion Index** -

This index is the result of a collaboration between the CMT Motores Térmicos and the DCOM, ‘Departamento de Comunicaciones’, of the Polytechnic University of Valencia [139]. The target of this study was to define a quality index for HSDI engines in order to substitute the listening tests with a simple post-processing of the acoustic data recorded.

Measures have been performed on a 2.2 l DI Diesel engine, eight different engine speeds have been considered (between 900 and 4000 rpm), and three different load conditions: low (under than 20%); middle (between 20 and 60%); and high (higher than 80%).

The recorded noises have been evaluated with a mark by a jury of listeners, furthermore for the analysis of the noise signals a total of 42 parameters have been taken into account, divided into:

- Psychoacoustic parameters
- Spectral parameters
- Statistical parameters

The basic idea was to select the best parameters $P_A$ that give in a multiple linear regression $\text{Mark} = a_0 + \sum a_i P_i + \varepsilon$ the minimum error $\varepsilon$. The Noise Combustion Index (NCI) is expressed by the same multiple regression model:

\[ \text{NCI} = a_0 + \sum a_i P_i \]

The results of this exhaustive study are summarized in the Tables 9.1 and 9.2.

Table 9.1 presents the equations of the NCI obtained depending on the engine speed considered, together with the statistical results.

From the table it can be observed that for the noises recorded at 3000 rpm, it was not possible to select parameters sufficiently correlated with the mark given by the jury. In other words, one of the 42 parameters used in this...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEED</th>
<th>NCI=</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$E_{med}$</th>
<th>$E_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>900 rpm</td>
<td>22+OH-32.SharpZ</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 rpm</td>
<td>39-0.8.TOH-1.8.Rough7C</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 rpm</td>
<td>139-1.3.TOH-12.SharpA</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 rpm</td>
<td>+8-5.9.Rough70+3.5.SharpA-3.3.SLoud</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 rpm</td>
<td>No correlation found</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3250 rpm</td>
<td>-43-8.2.Shk-3.6.Rough70+12.SharpA-2.5.SLoud</td>
<td>94.9%</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3500 rpm</td>
<td>-52-5.6.Rough70-16.Shk+42.SharpZ</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: NCI obtained for the engine speed considered. Where OH is the mean power of the odd harmonics, SharpZ the mean Sharpness calculated according to the Zwicker definition, SharpA the mean Sharpness calculated according to the Aures definition. TOH the mean power of the third order harmonics, Rough7C the mean Roughness for a modulation frequency of 70 Hz, SLoud the stationary Loudness and Sk the Skewness.

Investigation could describe the acoustic sensation caused by the engine at this specific engine speed.

Table 2.2 presents the results of the NCI obtained considering different load conditions, together with the statistical results of the correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOAD</th>
<th>NCI=</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$E_{med}$</th>
<th>$E_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>27-3.7.SLoud+3.2.ILoud-5.4.RN-1.8.Rough7C</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>20-0.33.SLoud-2.2.Rough7C</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>9+11.SharpZ-4.7.Rough70-0.33.SLoud</td>
<td>99.0%</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: NCI equations obtained depending on the engine load and statistical results. Where ILoud is the mean instantaneous Loudness, RN the Rumble Noise (ratio between middle order harmonics).

From a first analysis of this table it results that also in this case a particularly unlucky condition has been detected. The regression equation obtained at medium load presents an extremely low correlation coefficient (53.2%).

Apart from the really poor correlations obtained in particular engine operating conditions, all parameters considered resulted to be dependent on the engine speed and torque. From this study it resulted evident that this Noise Combustion Index is unable to give a reliable estimation of the noise quality.

Summarizing it can be concluded that in the case of noise from Diesel engines, the concept of quality can be estimated joining psychoacoustic and statistical parameters. In many analysis, combinations of loudness, roughness, sharpness, impulsiveness.
periodicity etc. have shown a certain degree of correlation with the engine noise quality. Nevertheless, it becomes obvious that this types of indices can only render reliable results for rather limited and well-defined tasks.

This is confirmed by the results obtained with the Noise Combustion Index described above, this study is particularly interesting as it has been conducted on an single engine of the same family of those considered in this Thesis. Noise variations have been obtained by changing the engine operating conditions 'speed and torque', and the injection settings. Also in this simplified case it was not possible to define a unique index that could give an acceptable estimation of the combustion noise quality.

These observations justify the necessity to perform listening tests of the recorded noises although it represent a quite expensive and unpleasant task! The noise quality evaluation procedure developed in this Thesis will be described in Section 3.6.

2.7. Basic idea of the proposed approach

To conclude this chapter before introducing the methodology developed for this work, it is important to emphasize again the complexity of the combustion noise generation mechanism evidenced in this bibliographic review of the state of the art of combustion noise. This is essential in order to explain and justify the hypothesis at the basis of the novel approach introduced in this Thesis.

Figure 2.31 summarizes the problems mainly due to the non-stationary and non-linear behavior of the various phases of the examined process, already highlighted in the this chapter:

- As commented in Section 2.3.1, the main excitation source in a Diesel engine is the in-cylinder pressure that generates both pressure and mechanical forces. Pressure forces act directly on the surface of combustion chamber walls and strongly depend on the combustion concept, which is controlled by the injection strategy, compression ratio, bowl geometry etc. Mechanical forces are induced by the pressure forces through the mechanical systems in the cylinder and are due to piston slap, clearances, deformation, friction etc. Therefore, these two contributions are characterized by the in-cylinder pressure evolution.

- At the start of combustion, an abrupt pressure rise that provokes an important oscillation of the gas inside the combustion chamber is produced. This gas oscillation causes the vibration of the engine block and thus noise is radiated. Moreover, as introduced in Section 2.4.3 a resonant oscillation of the gas inside the combustion chamber is also produced by the pressure gradient induced by combustion. This oscillation is controlled mostly by the bowl geometry and the gas temperature. Therefore the combustion chamber resonance is a non-stationary process because both the bowl position and the gas temperature change during the combustion process.

- Excitation is transmitted from the combustion chamber to the block since it acts as an attenuator of in-cylinder sound pressure. Its design is also critical for
engine noise control. As it has been already commented in Section 2.5.1 and it will be evidenced successively in this work from the study of the engine attenuation curves, the weakness of the traditional approaches is related precisely to the basic hypothesis that a linear response of the block is expected independently of the engine operating conditions. Indeed, as evidenced in Section 2.5.3 the engine block response is highly nonlinear and time variant. In-cylinder excitation propagates through multiple and extremely complex paths, either directly through the action of the forces exerted by the gases on the cylinder walls or through the different elements forming the mechanical system of the engine. Indeed, since the mechanical loads applied to the moving elements in the cylinder depend not only on the engine operating conditions but also on the relative position of the piston inside the cylinder, the deformation of such elements and hence that of the block is also load dependent and non stationary.

Furthermore, despite the fact that noise emission due to the block vibration is mostly a linear phenomenon, non linearities become relevant again when sound quality of noise is assessed. Indeed, as evidenced in the last Section 2.6.3 it is practically impossible to give an objective definition to the concept of quality.

Figure 2.30: Problems correlated to combustion noise generation

According to these observations classical approaches, such as block acceleration analysis or the use of the block attenuation as a transfer function between the excitation (in-cylinder pressure) and the effect (radiated noise) do not seem to be feasible in practice for the analysis of combustion noise in state-of-the-art engines. This situation induces us to attempt the combustion noise study from the analysis of intuitive physical mechanisms in the noise source (directly extracted from in-cylinder...
pressure measurements during engine operation. Difficulties associated with block vibration issues may thus be surpassed by establishing direct correlations between those mechanisms and the overall noise level.

In other words, instead of developing an extremely complex modelization of the phenomenon or of trying to solve mathematically non-linear problems, the basic idea of this work is to find direct correlations between indicators characteristic of the in-cylinder excitation source and noise. As schematized in Figure 2.30, this noise radiated from the engine block is taken into account from a double point of view, both quantitative (sound pressure level measured in decibels) and qualitative (noise quality, expressed with a mark given by a jury of experts).
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3.1. Introduction

As introduced in the previous chapter, the work presented in this thesis has been based on the study of the sound source, and in relation to this the different factors leading to the emission of combustion noise have been studied, so that the origin of the characteristics observed in the noise can be traced back to the characteristics defined for the source, thus permitting the necessary feedback allowing for the design of acceptably silent engines.

In this context of ideas, it is indispensable to use in a systematic way a theoretical-experimental methodology in which not only the experimentation provides the relevant information for the study but the theoretical work provides in turn a guide for the critical evaluation of the experimental results, given that these are going to yield information with a highly empirical content.

The methodology developed in this thesis is basically experimental. Different engine families and sizes were considered, measurements of in-cylinder pressure signals and noise radiated by the engines were analyzed and post-processed with time-frequency and statistical methods. Besides, three-dimensional CFD calculations have been also used for a better understanding of the resonance phenomena taking place in the cylinder during combustion.

With the purpose of finding direct correlation between in-cylinder pressure and noise characteristics, a reliable experimental set-up and test procedure have been defined in order to record simultaneously the pressure evolution inside every cylinder and the noise radiated at 1 meter from engine block. For this study, a passenger car engine has been considered: a 1.6 l, 4-cylinder, turbo-charged Diesel engine equipped with common rail injection system. The results will be compared with those obtained from former studies on two different engine families: a 2.2 l and a 1.4 l.

In order to get reliable acoustic measurements free from reflection and reverberation effects, the noise measures have been performed in an anechoic chamber capable of simulating free-field conditions avoiding any external disturbance to the measurement. Figure 3.1 shows the engine assembled inside the anechoic chamber. On the right-hand side of the picture the torso head of the binaural recording system can be also observed.

In the next section the general methodology developed in this thesis will be synthesized and the relations between the different activities followed in this work will be schematized. Then, a section will be dedicated to the statement of the problem. The approach followed and the main tasks of this study will be shortly described.

In the fourth section the set-up used for the experiments will be introduced. More details of the experimental devices: anechoic chamber, in-cylinder pressure measurement system, binaural measurement system as well as ECU control system and engine test bench are given in the Appendix A.1.

In section five some information on the test procedure and a description of the methodology introduced for the signals postprocessing are given. The in-cylinder pressure signal analysis based on the decomposition technique developed by Payri et
is briefly explained. Then, the methodology for the definition of the indicators characteristic of the excitation sources is described. To conclude this section, a short explanation of the CFE calculation is given.

In the last section of this chapter the procedure for the combustion noise quality evaluation is detailed.

3.2. **General methodology**

Figure 3.2 synthesizes the relations between the different activities that have been performed in the various phases of the methodology developed in this Thesis. A methodological structure with a fundamentally empirical base has been proposed, as it is inevitable given the complexity of the phenomenon under study, but with an important theoretical and experimental support in order to ensure the self-consistency of the results obtained.

The experimental phase was planned at two qualitatively different levels and successive in time:

- The performance of objective measurements of the source characteristics (in-cylinder pressure) as well as of the resulting outside acoustic field
Figure 3.2: Scheme of the general methodology

- The performance of listening tests by a jury of experts, which provide the subjective evaluation of the noise. The achievement of reliable and repeatable evaluations implies the definition of a robust listening methodology, which is indispensable given the intrinsic subjective character of the evaluation process and the multitude of factors that can influence the evaluation obtained.

Given the essential differences between both types of experimentation, also the procedures of **analysis and processing phase** were different.

- In the case of the objective measurements (in-cylinder pressure as well as combustion noise), the tools used have been multiple.

- The analysis of the signals in time domain have been performed to establish the relation between the control strategies used to govern the combustion process and the characteristics found in the in-cylinder pressure that can be related to noise emission.
• The analysis in frequency domain necessary to quantify the acoustic effects, and the time-frequency processing techniques that may permit to identify the eventual non-stationary character of the phenomena.

• From the integration of time domain and frequency domain analyses arises another phase of the data processing corresponding to a procedure for the decomposition of the pressure inside the cylinder into physically significant components, related to the physical processes that take place in the combustion chamber.

• In this phase of the methodology CFD calculations have been also performed as theoretical support, in which the geometry of the combustion chamber and consequently the three-dimensional character of the flow is taken into account. This has permitted to get a better understanding of the resonance phenomenon in the combustion chamber and of its effect on the indicators selected.

• From the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure, by mean of a statistical analysis, indicators relevant from the point of view of noise have been defined, that provide the conceptual framework for the definition of criteria in the subsequent phase of definition of predictive models.

• In the case of the exterior acoustic field, frequency analyses have performed to define objective magnitude of the radiated noise (as for example the sound pressure level). Furthermore these analyses are at the basis of other classical approaches for the study of the combustion noise, such as the block attenuation technique that has been used as a comparison for the new approach proposed in this thesis.

- In the case of the listening tests, the most important aspect lies in the prior analysis of the results for this reason a statistical analysis has been performed in order to evaluate the consistency of the jury members and the degree of reliability of their appreciations. This is a fundamental point in this phase of the methodology, since the final results (the evaluation in terms of a mark) do not admit any type of subsequent processing.

  The methodology culminates with the definition of predictive models, obtained from the search of cause-effect relations quantified through the correlation between the indicators selected in the previous phase and the characteristic parameters of the acoustic field, for both the levels (objective criteria) and the sound quality (subjective criteria), this last quantified by means of the mark.

3.3. Statement of the problem

As for the general methodology, the work has been approached by dividing the issue in two main tasks:

- Combustion noise quality assessment (subjective criteria).
- Combustion noise level assessment (objective criteria)

The problematic of the **noise quality** has been approached by structuring the research in three main tasks:

- Definition of a model for the assessment of the noise quality of engines operating with single and conventional (pilot + main) injection. In this phase, a model has been introduced from the measurements obtained with two different engines families (1.4 l and 2.2 l engines). Furthermore, the model has been validated with an engine of different size (1.6 l).

- Definition of a model for the assessment of the noise quality of engines operating with multiple injection strategies. This task has been implemented analyzing the experimental measures from the 1.6 l engine.

- Analysis of the influence of the resonance phenomena in the combustion chamber on the combustion noise quality and on the predictive models. For this part of the work, an experimental analysis considering different piston bowl geometries has been performed using the 1.6 l engine. Furthermore, CFD calculations have been also performed in order to get a deeper comprehension of the resonance phenomena occurring in the cylinder during combustion.

The task of defining a predictive model for the assessment of the **noise level** has been approached by structuring the research in two main tasks:

- Definition of a model for the assessment of the combustion noise level with the engine operating in stationary conditions. For this issue, a preliminary study has been performed considering a classical approach based on the attenuation curve. This has been done in order to have a point of reference allowing an evaluation of the potentiality of the novel approach. The new model has been developed taking into account the experiments performed on the 1.6 l and 2.2 l engines.

- The second phase of the study on the noise level assessment has been conducted on the 1.6 l engine operating in transient conditions. Also in this case, the proposed approach has been compared with the results obtained with the attenuation curve methodology.

The results obtained with this approach are presented in the following chapters of the Thesis: Chapter 4 is dedicated to the analysis of the qualitative aspect of combustion noise, and Chapter 5 describes the research done for the assessment of the noise level. These chapters have been structured according to the approach presented in this section.

In the next sections, more details of the experimental set-up and of the main activities described in the general methodology will be highlighted.
3.4. Experimental set-up

The measurements of in-cylinder pressure and noise emitted provide the basis for all the subsequent developments and they constitute in this sense the task which depends the success of the complete research, being therefore indispensable a rigorous control of the tests and their adequate definition in function of the subsequent processing.

In order to study the main features and the causes of combustion noise in Diesel engines a test set-up that permits the simultaneous recording of noise and in-cylinder pressure has been defined. The main properties required from a system for the study of combustion noise in Diesel engines are that:

- The measurement system should allow a synchronized acquisition of the signals.
- The system must permit recording of data relative to a large number of consecutive cycles. For this reason a quite big physical memory together with a high speed of data transfer are required.
- The recorded signals should be digitally stored in order to be processed and analyzed afterwards.
- The recorded data must be easily accessible.

Furthermore, in order to get reliable acoustic measures the tests must be performed in free-field conditions. The scheme of the acquisition system used in this work is shown in Figure 3.3. The engine, equipped with four in-cylinder pressure transducers and an optical encoder, was located inside an anechoic room. The sensor for the noise recording was placed near to the engine, at exactly 1 meter of distance from the engine block to avoid near field effects, and in front of the fourth cylinder opposite to the side where the turbo-group and the exhaust system are located.

The in-cylinder pressure acquisition system was formed by:

- piezoelectric pressure transducers installed on glow plug adapters allowing a direct access in the combustion chamber.
- charge amplifiers to yield an electric signal with an adequate level of voltage and impedance.
- a digital multichannel oscilloscope where the signals are A/D converted and digitally stored in buffer memories.
- a personal computer where the data are transferred and stored.

The signals from the in-cylinder pressure transducers and the optical encoder signals (1 pulse per revolution ('xV', and n pulses per revolution 'nxV')) were recorded and digitally converted by a Yokogawa DL.708 oscillographic multi-channel data acquisition system and successively transferred and stored in a personal computer.
Figure 3.3: Scheme of the acquisition system

All measurements on the engine included the in-cylinder pressure signal are performed at constant-time increment instead of the more conventional constant-angular increment. This choice is accounted for by the acoustic goal of this study: noise is in fact a time-related phenomenon and in the experiments it is essential to conserve its temporal component. Furthermore, a constant-time sampling permits specific signal analysis, such as spectral analysis, that ease the correlation of in-cylinder pressure with sound pressure.

The sampling frequency has been chosen taking into account that the human hearing range covers a frequency range from 20 Hz to 20 kHz. According to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, the sampling frequency must be greater than twice the signal bandwidth to avoid aliasing effects. For this reason, the sampling frequency of 50 kHz has been selected in the set of frequencies available in the digital oscillographic recorder. Also, the length of every measure has to be limited in every test not more than 50 consecutive engine cycles have been recorded, depending on the buffer memory capacity of the multichannel oscilloscope.

Simultaneously with the in-cylinder pressure record, the engine noise is binaurally recorded with a torse head measurement system, connected through a high-resolution sound card to a personal computer, where the measures are stored. This PC also controls the play-back system, formed by an equalizer, an amplifier, and two high-dynamic-range electrostatic headphones (substituted by powered monitor speakers during the listening tests). For the same reasons explained above, the noise emitted by the engine is sampled with a frequency of 48 kHz. Furthermore, the length of every record is set on 41 seconds in order to have a noise duration that can allow a correct
The two acquisition systems are synchronized by using a pulse splitter box. As can be observed in Figure 3.3 the one pulse per revolution signal (1xV) from the optical encoder is converted by an electronic device into a one pulse per engine working cycle signal (1xCycle). This signal is the input of a pulse splitter box, and permits the synchronization of the different signal acquisition systems. The measure begins when the pulse splitter box is activated by a trigger, the signals from the optical encoder and in-cylinder pressure transducers are recorded in the multichannel digital oscilloscope and successively transferred to a personal computer (PC1). Simultaneously the sound pressure signals from the artificial head microphones are stored directly into an other personal computer (PC2).

In addition two personal computers (not shown in Figure 3.3 for simplicity) were also required for the experiments:

- One PC commands the electronic control unit (ECU) of the engine allowing the setting of the injection system and of other engine parameters (EGR valve position, boost pressure, etc.);
- Another PC is used for controlling the engine bench operation, that is monitoring the engine speed, the breaking torque and the principal temperatures.

The main characteristics of the anechoic chamber and of the various components of the measurement system are detailed in the Appendix A.1.

3.5. Measurement and post processing

Due to the complexity of the measurement system, as well as to the necessity of respecting security measures, different experimental routines have been defined in order to control the phases of start, data recording and stop of the experimental set-up. In this section only a short description of the experimental phase will be given.

In a first moment, in order to cover a wide range of engine working conditions several speeds and torques are chosen. These working conditions have been chosen in agreement with the engine manufacturer as they represent critical zones of the engine map from the point of view of the combustion noise. Table 3.1 shows the running conditions considered in this study for the 1.6 l engine. Figure 3.4 shows the distribution of these conditions on the engine map. It can be noted that most of the points have been chosen at low and medium load covering a wide range of engine speeds. Furthermore the engine idling condition (750 rpm), has been also included in the study, together with a point at relatively high load (2400 rpm, 168 Nm) characterized by the EGR valve completely closed.

For each condition considered an experimental plan was defined using different injection schemes and parameters; the intention was to obtain variations of the noise radiated by the engine keeping the engine speed and torque as constant as possible. The variation ranges of the injection parameters are not unlimited but their choice...
Table 3.1: Running conditions tested on the 1.0 l engine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPEED (rpm)</th>
<th>TORQUE (Nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2250</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2280</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2850</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4: Distribution of the running conditions tested on the engine map

comes from the best balance between two opposite requirements: on the one hand, as wider as possible noise variation is required; on the other hand, the engine should be stable and the torque as constant as possible. A deeper description of the experimental plans defined for this research will be given in the next chapters.

Once the engine is preheated and the whole system has reached stationary temperatures the data recording can be started. Activating on the dyno control and on the accelerator pedal actuator, the engine is led to a working condition under analysis. Through the personal computer controlling the ECU, the injection parameters are progressively varied till getting the injection settings defined in the experimental plan.
The simultaneous recording of the in-cylinder pressure and crank angle signals and noise are performed manually triggering the pulse splitter box. Before changing the injection settings, the data are transferred and saved in the personal computers. Then, the "pseudo-motored" signal, which is the in-cylinder pressure evolution caused by the piston movement when the intake pressure is boosted by the turbo compressor, is recorded.

The pseudo-motored signal is measured by switching off fuel injection in one of the cylinders during one cycle. This action is automatically repeated every twelve combustion cycles through the recording of more than 100 consecutive cycles allowing the engine speed to remain quite stable and permitting the estimation of an average pseudo-motored pressure. To do this, a specific electronic system synchronized with the ECU has been developed to allow independent control of injector operation.

### 3.5.1 In-cylinder pressure decomposition technique

The approach proposed for the in-cylinder pressure signal analysis takes advantage of the decomposition technique developed by Payri et al. [163]. The main idea of this technique is to decompose the in-cylinder pressure evolution according to three governing phenomena taking place during Diesel engine operation: compression-expansion, combustion, and resonance excitation. These contributions may directly affect the characteristics of the noise radiated by the engine. The compression-expansion signal does not represent any tendency related to combustion and thus it is considered just as a reference signal. The combustion signal characterizes the combustion process and its associated pressure evolution is strongly influenced by the rate of heat release, which is defined by the injection strategy for any operating condition.

At constant engine speed the in-cylinder pressure traces can be considered approximately periodic [222]. Taking advantage of the crankshaft turn signal it is possible to split the pressure signal of each cylinder and to extract separately the pressure evolution of each cycle. This permits to use the in-cylinder pressure decomposition technique with each one of the recorded cycles acquiring precise information about the combustion phenomenon at a given operating condition.

The first step of this decomposition technique is the separation between pseudo-motored (or compression-expansion signal) (depending only on piston movement and boost pressure supplied by the turbo compressor, and the "excess pressure" related to the heat-release signal associated with the combustion process. This distinction is quite usual in the literature [9, 34, 66]. The pseudo-motored signal simply corresponds to the rise and fall of in-cylinder pressure due to the volume variation experimented as a consequence of piston motion, the signal itself does not contribute to the combustion process and, as indicated before, its use in this methodology is justified merely as a reference signal. Figure 3.5 represents the pseudo-motored and the excess pressure sub-signals together with the total pressure. In both the time and the frequency domains, the pressure spectra show that the low frequency content of the total pressure (< 200 Hz) is clearly dominated by the pseudo-motored sub-signal.
Figure 3.5: Total pressure, pseudo-motored pressure and excess pressure signals: time domain (left), frequency domain (right)

Subtracting the compression-expansion sub-signal from the total pressure recorded, the "excess pressure" can be estimated. Furthermore, the resulting signal contains contributions of both combustion and resonant pressure fluctuations inside the combustion chamber. This last contribution is clearly identifiable by the presence of high amplitude peaks in the in-cylinder pressure spectrum located at high frequencies. This fact suggests estimating the pressure fluctuation related to the resonance by high-pass filtering of the "excess pressure" signal. The cut-off frequency of the filter is an empirical function:

\[ f_r = \frac{1}{D} (132.2 + 9.2 \frac{n}{n_{idle}} + 0.41L_p) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

depending on the load percentage \( L_p \) in \%, engine speed \( n \) in rpm (normalized by the same parameter at idle condition \( 163 \) and bore diameter \( D \) \( 47 \)). Then, the combustion pressure is estimated by subtracting the resonance from the "excess pressure".

Figure 3.6 shows an example of in-cylinder pressure decomposition for one of the engine conditions tested. The total in-cylinder pressure signal is plotted together with the pseudo-motored, combustion and resonance pressure sub-signals, in both the time and the frequency domains. The pressure spectra show that the low frequency content of the total pressure is clearly dominated by the pseudo-motored sub-signal, whereas the combustion signal is predominant in the intermediate frequencies, and the high frequency peaks are consequence of the resonance related to the combustion chamber. In the novel approach proposed in this thesis, these in-cylinder pressure decomposition technique will be used to define suitable indicators characteristic of the combustion that may be correlated with the engine noise level and quality.
3.5.2 Indicators definition methodology

As commented above, in this study direct correlation between the characteristics of combustion inside the cylinders and the noise radiated by the engine will be explored. The combustion noise will be considered from a quantitative point of view analyzing the sound pressure level, as well as qualitatively, through a subjective evaluation. In this section, the methodology followed for the definition of indicators relative to the engine working conditions containing information of the excitation sources will be described. In a first phase direct correlations of the noise features with the engine operation parameters are searched, and then other parameters capable of characterizing the excitation sources, pressure and mechanical forces are explored.

Figure 3.7 shows a block diagram representing the possible relation between noise and parameters that define the engine operation. Automotive Diesel engines are required to provide good performance and driveability limiting consumption and emissions. In order to achieve these objectives, the engine control system acts on the injection settings and on the thermal and intake air management systems. Consequently, inside the engine, variations of the combustion process are produced. When the engine internal geometry is known, combustion can be properly characterized by the in-cylinder pressure evolution. As a result, a series of physical parameters that meet the initial requirements, i.e. torque, fuel consumption and emissions, characterize engine operation at a certain speed. Noise, together with pollutant substances in the exhaust gases, is considered as a form of engine emission.

In this work, indicators correlated with the excitation sources have been selected from the control, performance and combustion parameters according to the following methodology:

- Redundant parameters detection. In order to predetermine the parameters that contain the same information, the correlation coefficient between all parameters
of the same group, is calculated. If the correlation coefficient of two parameters is greater than 90%, are considered redundant and belonging to a same subgroup.

- Selection of the most representative parameters of each subgroup: Once the sub-groups have been formed, the parameter having the best physical sense in relation with the noise source is selected.

- Ranking of the selected parameters. For every parameter selected, the correlation coefficient with the noise characteristics examined (mark and overall noise) is calculated and ordered in ranking.

- Mathematic formulation of the indicators. Using the parameters best correlated with noise, a mathematical expression that defines the indicators related to the excitation sources is obtained.

- Definition of a correlation function. The indicators related to the excitation sources are used as independent variables in multiple regression equations for the estimation of the noise characteristics (mark and overall noise).

As was commented previously, both quantitative and qualitative aspects of combustion noise will be addressed in this work. Consequently, this methodology was applied for the definition of indicators correlated with noise quality expressed with a mark given by a jury of experts, as well as with the overall noise, measured in decibels. More details of the results obtained with this methodology will be given in the following chapters.
3.5.3: Resonance analysis with CFD calculation

In this work the influence of the resonance in the combustion chamber on the combustion noise quality will be investigated. Experiments will be performed on an engine taking into account different geometries of the piston bowls. In order to have a deeper understanding of the resonance phenomenon, a preliminary study with a three-dimensional CFD code (Fluent 2001) has been performed as a theoretical support to estimate the influence of the combustion chamber shape on the resonance phenomenon.

The engine manufactured furnished two types of piston with different combustion chamber shapes here referred as: “standard piston” \(A\) and “new piston” \(B\). The finite volume commercial program, with the standard \(k-e\) turbulence model has been used for the three-dimensional calculations of both bowl geometries. The turbulence model has been chosen because it is the most efficient and widely used in reciprocating internal combustion engine calculations. Figure 3.8 shows the three-dimensional mesh of the combustion chamber of both standard and new pistons.

A mesh independence study has been initially performed to ensure the numerical accuracy of the solution. The temporal discretization is explicit, with a variable time step depending on the flow conditions and on the cell size, in order to keep a Courant number of approximately 1. In any case, the time-step is of the order \(2\times10^{-7}\) s, which is sufficient to capture the relevant frequencies.

Taking advantage of the symmetry with respect to a longitudinal plane that would go through the combustion chamber axis and through the middle of the excitation zone, the computational domain could be reduced to half the geometry. Furthermore, the movement of the piston has not been simulated, this simplification is motivated by the fact that the phenomenon of resonance is normally detected immediately after the ignition and for a short part of the working cycle, in which the piston remains near TDC. These assumptions simplify the model and allow lower computational costs.

Both bowl geometries have been calculated with the same operation and initial conditions. The initialization values for pressure and temperature in the combustion chamber were obtained from experimental tests, with both variables considered as homogeneous in the whole domain. The perfect gas assumption has been made for the working fluid. The temperature on the walls has been set using a correlation which depends on the mean piston speed of the engine, following a model proposed by Woschni [276].

In order to simulate the auto-ignition occurring in the combustion chamber, a small zone within the bowl (indicated in red in Figure 3.8) corresponding to a single excitation source, has been defined as a patch where a pressure and temperature gradient are imposed at the start of the calculations. The latter correspond to the gradients caused by the start of combustion and they are determined experimentally with the combustion diagnosis programme CALMEC [140]. The duration of the pressure pulse imposed corresponds to one time-step and its intensity is defined according
Figure 3.8: Three dimensional meshes of the combustion chambers: standard piston \((A)\), new piston \((B)\).
Figure 3.9: Geometry of the combustion chambers and distribution of monitors in plane $0^\circ$ with indication of the excitation sources

...to the quantity of energy liberated during the premixing combustion phase, calculated from the combustion diagnosis programme.

The location of the excitation is identical for both combustion chambers, as indicated in Figure 3.9. By placing various monitors in the engine bowl, it is possible to obtain the pressure and temperature temporal evolution in different points of the combustion chamber and study the effects of the oscillating pressure waves within the human hearing frequency range (20 Hz to 20 kHz). In both piston bowls, in order to cover the whole chamber volumes, the pressure monitors have been distributed along longitudinal planes every $45^\circ$ and at various depths.

The results of this CFD calculation and their comparison with the experimental data will be presented in the Section 4.5.1.

3.6. Noise quality evaluation procedure

As commented in Section 2.6.3, the idea of giving an objective evaluation to the noise quality is a task that has been widely investigated. However, in a case as complex as the combustion noise generation, the classical methodologies based on psychoacoustic parameters don’t bring sufficiently trustworthy results. Consequently, in this study listening tests with a jury of experts have been performed, although they represent an expensive, lengthy, and unpleasant task. In accordance with the procedure usually used at PSA Peugeot Citroën, the methodology adopted in this study is based on a listening phase of all the recorded noises, grouped for engine speed and torque.
A jury of listeners, adequately selected, attributes to every noise a mark representative of the subjective noise quality. The selection of the jury members, as a general rule, is based on listening experience, product experience and demographics.

- Listening experience - In general, it is desired that the listening experience level of the jury members is appropriate to the task considered as well as representative of target customer. Anyway, this type of test, as well as most automotive sound quality studies, do not require such a high level of expertise. Since experts often pick up details that are not particularly important from the point of view of a normal customer.

- Product experience - The product experience of the subjects must be matched to the task under consideration. Listener’s judgements of sound are influenced by the experience they have with the product. For this study, members of the department familiarized with Diesel engines and passenger cars have been considered as jury members.

- Demographics - Subject demographics doesn’t present a strong influence on automotive sound quality application. Nevertheless, the subject population has been formed with a demographic mix (with respect to age, gender and economic status) representing the customer base for the product.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditory sensation</th>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Customer satisfaction</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painful noise</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The customer refuses to use the vehicle</td>
<td>Unbearable situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbearable noise</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardly bearable noise</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>The customer requires an immediate correction</td>
<td>Necessity of an important correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very annoying noise</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annoying noise</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strong dissatisfaction</td>
<td>Necessity of an improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpleasant noise</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dissatisfaction</td>
<td>Favourable Situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable noise</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Acceptation</td>
<td>Limit situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable noise</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleasant noise</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Strong Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very pleasant noise</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Total Satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.10: Mark table used for the noise quality evaluation

Figure 3.10 shows the table used for the evaluation of the noise quality; the first two columns of the table refer to the auditory sensation produced by the noise on the listener and the relative mark, a number in a rating scale between 1 and 10. Furthermore, the third and fourth columns describe the meaning of the mark.
with respect to the customer satisfaction and to the interpretation for the engine manufacturer respectively. A mark of 1, for instance, corresponds to an extreme situation, a noise that causes pain to the listener’s ears. In this case a potential customer would refuse to use the vehicle and this would represent an unbearable situation for the engine manufacturer. On the other extreme, a noise of 10 refers to a very pleasant noise, with total satisfaction of the customer and consequently also of the manufacturer. A particular case is a grade of 7, which is considered as the limit situation, the customer accepts the condition but he does not feel entirely satisfied.

The jury is formed by a minimum of eight subjects, five fixed and three variables. Prior to evaluation a short training is given to the jury members in order to familiarize the subjects with both the noises and the evaluation task. All the listening tests have been carried out in an anechoic chamber, the sounds have been played back through a pair of powered monitor speakers. The number of samples included in each test is usually inferior to 20 in order to limit the maximum test length to 30 minutes. Too long tests would induce fatigue in the jury producing, in turn, less discriminating results

As commented before, the noises included in each listening test are at constant engine operating condition (speed and torque), the various steps of evaluation procedure are as follows:

- At first, the samples are presented randomly to the jury in order to permit the subjects to familiarize with the noises. Furthermore, the playback volume is set at a level that permits a clear perception of the acoustic stimuli avoiding to annoy the members of the jury.

- A rank ordering is then performed. Every subject is asked to order the noises according to its subjective preference criteria. If the number of samples is higher than 10 a first division into two groups is preferred. The noises are played sequentially and the jury members are required to divide the set of noises into “good noises” and “bad noises”. They are also allowed to repeat any sound stimulus if they have difficulty making a judgement, till to get a ranking from the best to the worst noise.

- Through a paired comparison listening method at every noise is assigned a mark between 1 and 10 with a confidence degree of 0.5. In this phase, the jury members are also allowed to repeat any sound stimulus if they have difficulty making a judgement. With the aim to be consistent with the quotation at the previous works three different noises, corresponding to a similar engine working at comparable conditions (speed and torque), are selected from the data base of the noises recorded and evaluated with different injection strategies or with different engine families, and used as reference. These noises have well-known marks and are selected in order to possibly cover a wide range of the evaluation scale (for example noises with marks 4, 7 and 8). In this way, it is expected that all noises are qualified as far as possible with the same metrics so that one can be confident that a given mark represents the same sensation of quality at any engine running condition or operation strategy.
The following step deals with the quantification of each noise with a single mark. With this purpose, the marks given by all members of the jury are arithmetically averaged. Before the assignment of the mark, the consistency of each member of the jury is checked. The intention is to assure that the final mark is representative of the quality sensation of the majority of the jury members, and that it is not excessively influenced by natural divergence in the evaluation. Discrepancy between marks is also due to the presence of variable subjects in the composition of the jury.

Figure 3.11 represents the results of a study conducted on the listening tests performed on the 1.4 L engine. In this example, two evaluation tests obtained with the engine running at high and low speed are compared, on the upper part of the figure the mean values of marks given by each one of the ten jury members and the confidence interval are shown, on the lower part of the figure a Box-and-Whisker analysis of the marks considering ten and eight members is plotted.

![Box-and-Whisker Plots](image)

**Figure 3.11: Analysis of the consistency of the jury**

In Figure 3.11(a) it is shown that in the tests performed at low speed the mean mark assigned by each jury member varies between 6 and 5.4 and the confidence interval relative to the mean value of whichever member overlaps at least with the
confidence interval of the other members. This represents an excellent consistency confirmed by the Box-and-Whisker analysis of Figure 3.11(b). At low speed if the jury members 9 and 10 are excluded (due to the fact that their mean values represent the limits of the set), quartiles, median (represented as a vertical line inside the box), and mean (plotted as a point) of the marks obtained considering only 8 members are quite similar to the values obtained considering all the jury members.

At high speed Figure 3.11(a) shows that the jury members 6 and 10 are again assigning out-of-trend marks. Furthermore, in this case their mean values and confidence interval are not overlapping the values given by the other members. In this case it would be supposed to exclude the two members from the marks analysis. Nevertheless, the Box-and-Whisker of Figure 3.11(b) indicates that this discrepancy is not significant, because also in this case the results obtained with 8 and 10 jury members are similar.

From this study it has been deducted that, as a general rule, it is not necessary to exclude systematically a jury member. However, when in a single evaluation test (for a given engine speed and torque), a mark with deviations from mean value greater than 1 is detected the member is excluded from the analysis and the average mark is re-calculated. That is, for a specific speed and torque engine condition, the mark representative of the noise quality is obtained as the mean value of the marks assigned by the non-rejected jury members.
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4.1. Introduction

This chapter is dedicated to the description of the main results that have been obtained in the study of the combustion noise quality. In the last years, models for the estimation of the combustion noise quality by means of in-cylinder pressure signal analysis have been researched and developed at CMT Motores Térmicos [139, 162, 165, 166]. As commented before, the basic idea of the models developed to approach the combustion noise generation problem is to find direct correlations between source and effect: in-cylinder pressure evolution and characteristics of radiated noise.

The next section will present the various phases that brought to the definition of the predicting model for noise quality assessment of engines operating with single and conventional injection strategies. The development of this predicting model has been achieved by analyzing the database of measures performed on two different engine families: 1.4 l and 2.2 l engines. Successively, experiments have been done taking into account another engine size 1.6 l (of the same family of the 1.4 l engine). The equations characteristic of the model were calculated for this specific engine and the results have been compared with those obtained with the other engines. The goal of this study is to analyze the sensibility of the model to the size of the engine and the structural features of the block.

In the third section of the chapter, the suitability of the model for engine noise assessment with multiple injection strategies will be explored. Firstly, the results of a preliminary study of the influence of the post injection on the noise quality will be presented. Finally, the original model for single and conventional injection strategies will be updated, the purpose of this study is to allow the use of this model either with conventional or multiple injection strategies. Taking advantage of the suitable experimental plans defined for the study of multiple injection, in the fourth section, the noise quality sensitivity to some injection parameters and EGR will be analyzed.

The last section is devoted to the analysis conducted in order to examine the influence of the bowl geometry on the in-cylinder resonance and on the noise quality. To do this a different shape of the piston bowl has been taken into account in order to obtain variations of the resonance characteristics. The results of a preliminary CFD analysis of the piston bowl modification, taking into account its effect on the resonance generation and on the estimated noise quality, will be presented. Measurements were done on the 1.6 l engine equipped with the modified piston bowl, the experiments will be analyzed and compared with the outcomes of the CFD simulations. This study permits a better comprehension of the sensitivity of the noise quality prediction model to the resonance phenomenon and to the bowl geometry.

4.2. Model for single and conventional injection strategies

In this section the various steps of the development of a model for the prediction of noise quality will be described. As commented in the introduction, this study has
been conducted analyzing the data base of experimental measures performed on two different engine families: a 1.4 l and a 2.2 l HSDI Diesel engines. Part of the results presented in this section were already the object of a previous PhD thesis [139] written at the CMT Motores Térmicos.

4.2.1 Indicators selection

A first approach for the analysis of the noise quality using the decomposition technique was already presented by Payri et al. [163]. In order to go into the qualitative study of the Diesel noise in depth, the in-cylinder pressure decomposition technique exposed in Chapter 3 has been used to establish suitable parameters from the three sub-signals obtained with this procedure – pseudo-motorised \( p_{\text{rot-mot}} \), combustion \( p_{\text{comb}} \), and resonance \( p_{\text{res}} \) – to assess the sound quality of combustion noise.

For this study the measurements performed on two different engine families were used:

- a 1.4 l engine, operating with split injection 'pilot + main';
- a 2.2 l engine, operating with single and split injection

These two engines were intensively studied at CMT-Motores Térmicos and hence a great data base was available. Initially several control and performance parameters have been considered. These parameters are those indirectly related to the combustion process such as engine speed, torque, intake air mass, boost pressure, fuel consumption (total and split), energizing time, injection timing, injection pressure, EGR rate and fluid temperatures (air, coolant, oil and fuel).

All these parameters have been considered first, since these are normally monitored by the ECU in a commercial Diesel engine whose injection system is controlled electronically. If these parameters were enough to assess the noise quality, no further specific experimental devices (such as in-cylinder pressure transducers) would be necessary. This would represent a strong advantage with a clear reduction of time and costs during the engine development phase.

Unfortunately, through this analysis it was concluded that individually any of these parameters was weakly correlated with the noise quality mark given by the jury. Consequently, with the purpose of defining parameters that characterize the in-cylinder source (caused by combustion), several combustion components were determined using the three sub-signals obtained from the in-cylinder pressure decomposition. Some of the parameters considered were the maximum pressure \( p_{\text{max}} \), and maximum first (dp\(_{\text{max}}\)/dt) and second order pressure derivative (d\(^2\)p\(_{\text{max}}\)/dt\(^2\)). Other components evaluated were the mean pressure (\( p_{\text{mean}} \)), signal energy \( E \), and sound pressure levels (\( L \)).

As was shown in Figure 3.6, the time-domain resonance sub-signal appears as a pressure oscillation characterized by sharp peaks which makes it impossible to
Figure 4.1: Correlation between noise mark and the dimensionless component relative to the maximum first order combustion pressure derivative in 1.4 l engine: with temporal information lost (left) and recovering temporal information multiplying by the engine speed non-dimensional (right).

Consider the corresponding derivative parameters in the study. Hence, the contribution of the resonance sub-signal to combustion noise was only evaluated through energy and pressure level components. The characteristic value of each component was quantified by the average of the values estimated for all the cycles recorded in the four cylinders.

Since the pseudo-motored sub-signal does not contain any information relative to combustion, it has been used to obtain non-dimensional components. This procedure that allows reducing the number of analyzed factors, also permits more consistency when a relation with a mark –also non-dimensional– is established. To do this, each parameter relative to the combustion and resonance sub-signals was divided by the value of the same parameter associated with the pseudo-motored signal. In Figure 4.1 the maximum first-order pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal non-dimensional with the pseudo-motored sub-signal is presented. Figure 4.1(left) shows that the correlation trends between this component and the mark are highly dependent on the engine speed, which indicates that the temporal information has been lost in this component, as a consequence of non-dimensioning. To recover this information, however, the component may be further multiplied by the dimensionless engine speed $n/n_{idle}$, which effectively eliminates the speed dependency, as illustrated in Figure 4.1(right). The idle engine speed $n_{idle}$ was set at the constant value of 750 rpm for all engines considered in this study. Indeed, Figure 4.1(right) shows now a better collapse of the samples along the same slope. Before starting with the components selection, the same procedure has been applied to recover the temporal information of the components that required it.

These components were then grouped into families of redundant parameters. This was done by computing the correlation of each of the dimensionless combustion components with the rest. If the correlation coefficient between two components was
Table 4.1: Sub-groups of non-dimensional combustion components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-group</th>
<th>Non-dimensional Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>$E_{res}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>$(p_{mean})<em>{comb}$, $(p</em>{\max})<em>{comb}$, $E</em>{comb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>$(dp_{\max})_{comb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>$(d^2p_{\max})_{comb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>$L_{comb}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>$L_{res}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.2: Ranking of the correlation coefficients of the combustion components with the noise mark.

higher than 90%, they were considered as redundant and belonging to the same sub-group. This procedure led to the formation of the six sub-groups detailed in Table 4.1.

The calculation of the correlation coefficient of every selected parameter with the noise quality marks given by the jury led to the conclusion that the components of sub-groups (i) and (iii) were the best correlated with the noise quality. Figure 4.2 shows the ranking of the combustion components most representative of each sub-group obtained for the 2.21 and 1.41 engines. This bar plot shows that the first order maximum pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal is clearly the most relevant combustion parameter that affects engine noise quality, and that the contribution of the resonance sub-signal seems to be important too. Finally, two combustion indicators have been initially defined, based on the correlation degree with the engine noise mark of these two components.

The first combustion indicator $I_1$, which is related to the initial high fuel burning velocity, is defined by the following equation:

$$I_1 = \frac{n}{n_{init}} \left( \frac{(dp_{\max}/dt)_{comb}}{(dp_{\max}/dt)_{ps-mot}} \right), \quad (4.1)$$
where

- \( \frac{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}}{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{ps-mot}}} \) are the peak values of the pressure derivatives corresponding to the combustion and pseudo-motored sub-signals, respectively.

The second indicator \( I_2 \) contains the signal energy relative to the combustion chamber resonance sub-signal and is estimated by

\[
I_2 = \frac{E_{\text{res}}}{E_{\text{ps-mot}}}
\]

where

- \( E_{\text{res}} \) is the signal energy of the resonance, defined as \( \int P^2_{\text{res}} \, dt \), evaluated between the start of combustion and the instant of the exhaust valve opening

- \( E_{\text{ps-mot}} \) is the signal energy of the pseudo motored component, defined as \( \int P^2_{\text{ps-mot}} \, dt \), evaluated for the whole closed cycle

To obtain the regression functions between noise quality and the combustion indicators, only the four or five combustion processes which provoked the widest range of noise quality variation were considered for every engine operating condition. Thus the rest of tested conditions have been used for checking the suitability of the final model for sound quality assessment at any other engine operation.

Figure 4.3: Relation between the noise mark and the combustion indicator \( I_1 \): 2.2 l engine (left), and 1.4 l engine (right).

Figure 4.3 shows the correlation degree between the \( I_1 \) indicator and the noise marks assigned by the jury in the two engines studied. These plots show that under all operating conditions, the \( I_1 \) indicator is linearly correlated with the noise marks, evidencing a high correlation level in both engines. However, the dispersion with
respect to the trend line is nonetheless high, as is confirmed by the standard deviation levels [σ] indicated in Table 4.2. In addition, Figure 4.3 (left), relative to the 2.2 l engine, shows that the dispersion obtained for engine speeds higher than 3000 rpm (symbols filled in black), in which single injection is used, is lower than for the rest of the conditions (symbols without filling), in which split injection is employed. Figure 4.3 (right) also presents high dispersion in the 1.4 l engine, for which split injection was used in all the operating conditions tested.

Table 4.2: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with the defined indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Large engine</th>
<th>Small engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ε_{max}</td>
<td>α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_d</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_3</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_4</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.4: In-cylinder pressure decomposition in the 2.2 l engine with split injection at 1200 rpm, 15 mg/cc.

These observations evidence the weakness of the I_d indicator to assess the engine noise quality when split injection is used. Figure 4.4 shows an example of in-cylinder pressure decomposition in one of the engine operating conditions included in Figure 4.3 (left), in which double injection ('pilot and main') strategy was employed. In this figure, the pressure derivative curve of the combustion sub-signal is also included.
This curve presents two peaks, which are associated with the burning velocity of the fuel injected during the pilot and main injections. The amplitude of both peaks are of the same order of magnitude, so that it is not absolutely clear if just one of them contributes to the engine noise or both contributions should be considered in the indicator to improve its suitability. To clarify this, the dimensionless peak pressure derivative of the pilot and main injections, and the \( I_1 \) indicator were plotted against the noise mark individually for each operating condition with split injection used in both engines. Figure 4.5 shows the results obtained in the 2.2 l engine at 2500 rpm and 15 mg/cc and in the 1.4 l engine at 1000 rpm and 11 mg/cc. These plots evidence that, even though the three parameters are correlated with the mark, there is significant dispersion with respect to a virtual trend line in the three cases. However, graphically, it seems clear that the mean values of the pilot and main pressure derivatives—their correspondence is indicated by the horizontal lines—preserve the good correlation observed previously, but with a considerable reduction of the dispersion, as can be intuitively checked along the dashed trend line included in both plots.

![2.2 l Engine](image1.png) ![1.4 l Engine](image2.png)

Figure 4.5: Relation between the noise mark and the pilot and main peak pressure rate-of-change and \( I_1 \) in 2.2 l engine at 2500 rpm, 15 mg/cc (left) and in 1.4 l engine at 1000 rpm, 11 mg/cc (right).

These results indicate that in Diesel combustion with split injection strategies, the engine noise can be accurately assessed by considering the contribution of the pressure rate-of-change due to the heat release of the fuel in both pilot and main injections. Following this observation, the \( I_1 \) indicator was modified to yield a new indicator \( I_3 \) calculated with the following equation:

\[
I_3 = \frac{n}{n_{\text{cyl}}} \left( \frac{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{pil}} + (dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{main}}}{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{ps-mot}}} \right).
\]

where

- \((dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{pil}}\) and \((dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{main}}\) are now the pressure derivative peak dur-
Figure 4.6: Relation between the noise mark and the combustion indicator $I_3$: 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right).

Figure 4.7: Relation between the noise mark and the combustion indicator $I_2$: 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right).

...ing the combustion of the fuel injected in the pilot and main injections respectively.

Figure 4.6 shows the correlation plot between the new indicator $I_3$ and the noise marks in the two engines studied. The new $I_3$ indicator has brought about a significant improvement in engine noise prediction, which is quantified by the notable increase of the correlation coefficient $R$, and reduction of the dispersion and of the maximal absolute error ($\epsilon_{\text{max}}$) in both engines, as shown in Table 4.2.!

Regarding the indicator associated with the resonance of the combustion chamber, in Figure 4.7 the relation between the $I_2$ indicator and the noise marks is graphically represented for the two engines. This indicator, unlike the previous one, shows...
a non-linear correlation with the jury marks that can be represented by a logarithmic functional dependence. Following this observation, the \( I_3 \) indicator has been redefined with the logarithm function so that the relation linking this new indicator with the noise mark can also be described by a linear correlation. Furthermore, in order to maintain an ideal mark of 10 when there is no resonance in the combustion chamber, the new indicator is conveniently scaled by the factor \( E_0 - 10^6 \). Hence, with this procedure another combustion indicator \( I_4 \) carrying the resonance contribution was defined as

\[
I_4 = \log \left( \frac{E_0}{E_{res - mot}} \right) . \tag{4.4}
\]

![Graphs showing the relationship between the noise mark and the combustion indicator \( I_4 \).](image)

Figure 4.8: Relation between the noise mark and the combustion indicator \( I_4 \): 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right).

Figure 4.8 presents the relation between the new combustion indicator \( I_4 \) and the noise marks obtained in both engines tested. As expected, these results show an acceptable correlation \( I_4 \)-mark but with high dispersion in comparison with the results obtained with the \( I_3 \) indicator. Table 4.9 shows the main statistical parameters that qualify this regression. However, the inclusion of this indicator should be interpreted in the sense that the defined indicators contain complementary information so that it seems necessary to consider both together and not individually.

### 4.2.2. Evaluation of indicators contribution

As commented before these two combustion indicators were selected as the more appropriate to determine suitable relations with engine noise quality. In addition, the analysis also evidenced that the \( I_4 \) indicator—relative to the peak velocity of fuel burning—should be the most significative parameter in any possible multiple component correlation for noise quality evaluation. \( I_4 \) seemed to be as a complementary variable that supplies the lack of information in \( I_3 \).
Table 4.3: Statistical parameters of estimated noise marks with single component correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.2 l engine</th>
<th>1.4 l engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the purpose to check this observation, a single component regression in which $I_5$ indicator has only been included was evaluated first. That is:

$$Mark = 10 - c_3 I_3,$$

where the coefficient $c_3$ was optimized to maximize the correlation coefficient of the regression. Figure 4.9 presents the comparison between the noise marks estimated with this single component equation and the marks given by the jury for the two considered engines. A quite good agreement can be observed in these results with both 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right). This observation is statistically corroborated by the absolute mean ($\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$) and maximal ($\epsilon_{\text{max}}$) errors, the standard deviation ($\sigma$), and the correlation coefficient ($R$), whose values are summarized in Table 4.3. Moreover, in the 2.2 l engine, 72.4% and 94.6% of the samples have absolute errors lesser than 0.5 and 1, respectively. While, in the 1.4 l engine, these percentages are 59.1% and 91.4%, respectively. In both engines, the correlation coefficients were higher than 90% and the mean absolute errors lesser than 0.5, which is of the same order of magnitude than the uncertainties of jury noise evaluation procedure. Despite these parameters should indicate that single component regression would permit enough accuracy for noise mark estimation, the high $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ levels obtained seemed to confirm the necessity of additional information that may be provided by the $I_4$ indicator.

![Figure 4.9: Mark noise estimation with single component regression; 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right).](image)

Finally, with the aim to improve the reliability of the single component correla-
Table 4.4: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with two-component correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2.2 l engine</th>
<th></th>
<th>1.4 l engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A multiple regression with the two defined indicators ($I_3$ and $I_4$), was considered for the noise mark estimation as:

$$Mark = 10 - c_3 I_3 - c_4 I_4$$

where coefficients $c_4$ were optimized to maximize the correlation coefficient of the regression. From a physical point of view, this equation may indicate that the sudden in-cylinder pressure rise as well as the chamber resonance deteriorate the ideal mark of 10.

The results obtained with two-component correlation are evaluated through the statistical parameters shown in Table 4.4. A significant contribution of the $I_4$ indicator to improve the statistics has been observed mainly in the 1.4 l engine, for which an apparent rise of the correlation coefficient and a reduction of standard deviation mean and maximal absolute errors is obtained in comparison with single component regression. Furthermore, the inclusion of $I_4$ has permitted also to reduce the maximal absolute error in the 2.2 l engine.

Figure 4.10: Mark noise estimation with the proposed approach: 2.2 l engine (left) and 1.4 l engine (right).

Figure 4.10 shows the comparison between the noise marks estimated with the two-components approach based on Equation (4.6) and the noise marks assigned by the jury. The agreement may be regarded as very good. The fact that, at each operating condition, the noise variation was induced varying the injection parameters...
may indicate the suitability of the proposed approach not only for noise quality estimation but also for the prediction of the effect of a given injection strategy on engine noise, which is useful for the optimization of injection settings aiming a noise quality improvement.

From these first studies it seems clear that the size of the engine and the structural features of the block emerge through the regression coefficients \( c_i \). Nevertheless, a deeper analysis of this dependence is necessary. Accordingly, the next phase of this research has been the validation of this predicting model for single and conventional injection strategies on a different engine. For this further study an engine with a displacement of 1.6 l has been used. The engine size has been chosen between 1.4 and 2.2 liters; considered in the previous analysis, and the block structural features are similar to those of the 1.4 l engine. Moreover, this new generation engine offered the possibility to operate with multiple injection strategies; this arose the opportunity to further develop the predicting model in order to permit its use also with multiple injection.

### 4.2.3 Model suitability evaluation

In order to evaluate the suitability of the existing model for the assessment of the noise quality from the in-cylinder pressure signal analysis and to estimate the sensitivity of the model coefficients \( c_i \) to the engine size and structural characteristics, a 1.6 l engine, direct injection, Diesel engine has been taken under consideration. Using an adequate ECU configuration, the engine was induced to operate with conventional injection strategy (pilot + main). For every working condition listed in Table 3.1, an experimental plan with injection-combustion settings variations has been defined. For each plan, those factors that in previous studies have been detected as the most significant for engine noise variation were modified. These parameters are:

- Mass of pilot injection
- Start of injection (SOI) of the pilot injection
- Start of injection (SOI) of the main injection
- Rail pressure
- EGR rate

For every factor, three levels of variation were considered for designing the experiments at each running condition. An orthogonal plan, based on a Taguchi model, was used to combine the factor variations in order to get clear noise variations. The outcome of this statistical analysis was a matrix of 27 tests per each running condition. However, the previous experiences indicate that the jury can hardly evaluate more than 18 noises at the same time. For this reason, from the 27 tests, only 21 have been chosen, being 18 used for the calculation of the coefficients \( c_i \) of the model and 3 for the further phase of validation.
The criteria used to choose the 21 tests has been based on maintaining the engine torque as constant as possible with the wider range of noise variation. A tolerance of ±20% from the nominal torque value has been considered acceptable, so that just tests with minimal torque variations were considered. Using the in-cylinder pressure decomposition and evaluating the noise records with the methodologies described in Sections 3.5.1 and 3.6. through multiple regressions, the coefficients $c_i$ of Equations (4.5) and (4.6) were calculated with the 18 measures chosen from each one of the nine experimental plans (162 points in total). The accuracy of the model for the prediction of the noise quality has been validated later with the 3 additional measures from each experimental plan (27 validation points in total).

Figure 4.11 (a) shows the comparison between the noise marks assigned by the jury and the noise marks estimated with a single component regression (based on Equation (4.5)). Figure 4.11 (b) presents the same analysis performed with two-component approach (based on Equation (4.6)). Both plots show a quite good correlation between the marks predicted and estimated, even when just one combustion indicator is used. However, as it was remarked in the previous section, the correlation can be enhanced when the two defined components are included. Furthermore, the plots show that both models permit a good estimation of the noise quality at the 27 validation points, which have not been used to calculate the $c_i$ coefficients. Particularly the two components model (Figure 4.11(b)) has been capable to predict the mark representative of the noise quality of the validation points with high accuracy keeping the maximal error inferior to the unity. This demonstrates the suitability of this methodology and confirms that this approach represents a reliable and attractive tool for engine noise quality estimation, but also for the establishment of guide lines for optimal injection settings regarding combustion noise quality issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>$\bar{e}_{\text{mean}}$</th>
<th>$e_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with one component correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>$c_4$</th>
<th>$\bar{e}_{\text{mean}}$</th>
<th>$e_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with two-component correlations

Tables 4.5 and 4.6 show a comparison of the coefficient values $c_i$ and statistical parameters of the correlation found for the different engines tested, with both
single component and two-components regressions, respectively. Coefficients $c_2$ and $c_3$ obtained for the 1.6 l engine are consistent 'same order of magnitude', with those determined in the previous researches. Furthermore, the current results seem to confirm that the coefficients are dependent on the engine family. From the Table 4.5 it turns out that the coefficient of the single component regression seems to have a direct
correlation with the engine size (c rises as the engine size increases). When the
second combustion indicator \( I_4 \) is included in the model, further conclusions can be
observed: from Table 4.6 it results that values of \( c_3 \) relative to the 1.4 l and 1.6 l
engines are almost the same; this can be explained by the fact that these two engines
belong to the same family, with quite similar structural features of the block. Fur-
thermore the resonance phenomenon seems to be less influential on the 2.2 l engine
and more significant with the other engine family.

However, no clear tendencies can be observed of the contribution of the reson-
ance. This is more weighty in the case of the 1.6 l engine, where \( c_4 \) has the highest value—
but its contribution seems to depend on the combustion chamber geometry. In
order to have a better understanding further researches on the contribution of the
resonance energy have been conducted on this engine. With this purpose an experi-
mental analysis of the influence of the combustion chamber shape on the coefficients
of the model, and more in general on the noise quality, has been conducted. The
results of this study will be presented and commented in Section 4.5.

4.3. Model for multiple injection strategies

In the last years, the development of the first generation common-rail sys-
tems has permitted the introduction of more complex injection strategies. In the new
generation systems, the maximal injection pressure has been increased up to 1800 bar
and the injection can be split in a multiple sequence of up to five times per working
stroke. This allows more degrees of freedom to decide the timing and quantity of the
split injections, which can be used to obtain significant reductions in engine noise and
emissions [19].

As commented before, the aim of the previous works was the estimation of the
quality of the noise radiated by the engine block by means of the in-cylinder pressure
analysis. In these studies, only two different injection strategies have been used:

- single injection
- double injection (pilot + main).

In these conditions, as showed in the previous section, a model based on two combus-
tion components capable to accurately predict the combustion noise quality has
been developed. Unfortunately, the selected combustion indicator \( I_3 \) (Equation (4.3))
considers the pressure derivative peak during the combustion of the fuel injected in
the pilot and in the main injection. Then its definition is suitable only for single and
double injection strategies.

For these reasons, an experimental study has been performed in order to update
the model for assessing the combustion noise quality of engines operating with multiple
injections. The experiments have been performed on the 1.6 l engine that has been
equipped with an ECU allowing different injection strategies.
- three injections (2 pilots + 1 main),
- four injections (2 pilots + 1 main + 1 post).

Figure 4.12 Nomenclature used for the study of three and four injection schemes

Figure 4.12 shows injection rate schemes with the nomenclature used in this study. The fourth injection is also called post injection and is used with a double intention:

- to sustain the combustion due to the main injection for burning off soot and thus bringing down pollutant emissions before exhaust gases have left the combustion chamber
- to regenerate the particulate filter by keeping high exhaust temperatures

Despite the purpose of the post injection deals only with the control of pollutant emissions, before approaching the problem of assessing the noise quality in case of multiple injection, a brief study to check the sensitivity of combustion noise quality to post injection strategy has been preliminarily performed in this phase of the work.

4.3.1 Sensitivity study of noise quality to post injection

Three different base points with four-injections strategies have been considered in order to cover a wide range of engine speeds and loads:

- 2850 rpm, 87 Nm
- 1500 rpm, 75 Nm
- 1900 rpm, 124 Nm

To investigate the influence of the post injection on the combustion noise, three experimental plans have been designed. In these plans, the start of injection (SOI) and the injected quantity (Q) relative to the post injection have been varied keeping the rest of the parameters constant as in the baseline, except for the quantity of fuel in the main injection, that has been set in order to keep the torque as constant as
Figure 4.13: Example of experimental plan for the study of the post injection.

Table: Possible Figures

### Possible Figures

Table with data for the study of the post injection at 1500 rpm. Torque: 75 Nm.

As shown in Figure 4.13, \( Q_{\text{post}} \) (expressed in milligrams per stroke) and \( \text{SOI}_{\text{post}} \) (expressed in degrees before TDC; "-" means after TDC) have been varied according to three levels in every experimental plan. All the possible combinations have been tried. The variation range of the \( \text{SOI}_{\text{post}} \) is quite narrow, since on the one hand earlier post injection could cause the overlapping with the main injection and on the other hand, an excessive delay could keep the injected fuel unburned. Fortunately, the injected quantity can be strongly varied without particular inconveniences since the \( Q_{\text{post}} \), that normally represents only a small percentage of the total mass, has been varied until it was 60% of the total injected fuel. However, despite these variations during the listening phase of each experimental plan the jury was not able to appreciate apparent noise variations, since all noises at the same engine operation point resulted quite similar.

In order to have a better understanding of the phenomenon in the combustion chamber, in-cylinder pressure signals have been decomposed. In the previous studies a strong correlation between the noise quality and the pressure derivative peaks of the combustion curve has been evidenced. For this reason, the following analysis has been mainly based on the evaluation of the pressure derivative of the combustion curve. Figure 4.14 shows an example of in-cylinder pressure decomposition, the plot also includes the derivative curves of the total pressure, compression and combustion sub-signals together with the "injection signal", obtained from a clamp on the ECU wires that measures the electric current governing the injector solenoid (black line). From the injection signal it can be clearly observed the presence of four positive peaks and four negative peaks, these correspond to the moments when the ECU commands the opening and the closing of the injector respectively.
In this figure the pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal (blue line) shows that the peak relative to the post injection is the smaller if compared to the peaks relative to the pilot and main injection. This was confirmed at all tested conditions. For each experimental plan, also in the worst conditions where the highest pressure derivative peaks due to post combustion are expected – maximal $Q_{\text{post}}$ and $\text{SOI}_{\text{post}}$ are used – the same outcomes have been obtained. This behavior is explained by the fact that the post injection produces a too delayed combustion. Fuel burning takes place when the expansion stroke is already advanced, so that an abrupt pressure rise which could contribute to the radiated noise should not occur.

![Graph of in-cylinder pressure decomposition](image)

Figure 4.14: Example of in-cylinder pressure decomposition in case of a 4 injections multiple strategy. 1500 rpm 75 Nm

Table 4.7 shows the range of variation of the combustion sub-signal pressure derivative peaks due to the injection at each experimental plan. It is evident that the maximal values relative to the post injection combustion are lower than the peaks caused by the rest of injections. Therefore, since pressure derivative peaks are dominant in combustion noise, it is confirmed that negligible influence of post injection on the engine noise should be expected.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working Condition</th>
<th>(\frac{dp}{dt})(_{\text{pilot}}) (\frac{kPa}{ms})</th>
<th>(\frac{dp}{dt})(_{\text{main}}) (\frac{kPa}{ms})</th>
<th>(\frac{\ddot{p}}{dt}) (\frac{kPa}{ms})</th>
<th>(\frac{dp}{dt})(_{\text{post}}) (\frac{kPa}{ms})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2850 rpm - 87 Nm</td>
<td>2548 (\leftrightarrow) 3676</td>
<td>2326 (\leftrightarrow) 2907</td>
<td>1915 (\leftrightarrow) 3694</td>
<td>94 (\leftrightarrow) 1561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 rpm - 75 Nm</td>
<td>1728 (\leftrightarrow) 2322</td>
<td>3428 (\leftrightarrow) 3798</td>
<td>1845 (\leftrightarrow) 2710</td>
<td>-162 (\leftrightarrow) 1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900 rpm - 124 Nm</td>
<td>3853 (\leftrightarrow) 4765</td>
<td>2132 (\leftrightarrow) 2633</td>
<td>3710 (\leftrightarrow) 4560</td>
<td>-278 (\leftrightarrow) 1480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7: Variation ranges of the pressure derivative peaks of combustion sub-signal

This conclusion has permitted the simplification of the further studies. The experimental confirmation that the post injection does not have any influence on the engine noise quality reduces the study of multiple injection to the definition of experiments with just three injection strategies The post injection can be eliminated taking into account that this work concentrates only on the radiated noise without considering the engine emissions.

### 4.3.2. Adaptation of the model

In Section 4.2 a predicting model for the assessment of the noise quality has been developed. Studying different engine families under several operating conditions in terms of speed, load and combustion parameters, a good correlation between the components \(I_3\) and \(I_7\) and the noise quality quantified by a jury has been evidenced. In particular, the indicator \(I_3\) associated with the burning velocity demonstrated to be the most relevant contributor of the predicting model. However, it was defined for conventional injection strategies (pilot + main), which in current developments have been replaced by multiple injection strategies. For this reason the model was adapted in order to respond to multiple injection features. With this purpose three injection strategies have been considered, since it has been previously observed that the post injection doesn’t have any relevant effect on combustion noise.

Figure 4.15 shows the results of the in-cylinder pressure decomposition in one cylinder and one cycle at 1900 rpm and 124 Nm. In this figure, all parameters that are relevant to estimate the indicators of the model are shown together with the injector signal (plotted in black line). The blue line, which corresponds to the pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal (red line), evidences three peaks that are associated with the three injections (pilot, pilot 2, pilot 3 and main) used in the tested condition. These results differ from those a priori expected if conventional injection strategies (pilot + main) are used and therefore some refinements of \(I_3\) to adapt the model to multiple injections should be performed. Unlike this, the \(I_7\) indicator —relative to the resonance of the combustion chamber— seems to be independent of the injection strategy employed as can be seen in the cyan line of Figure 4.15.

According to these observations, the natural way to adapt the \(I_3\) component to multiple injection strategies seems to be through the contribution of the three
Figure 4.15: Example of the decomposition of in-cylinder pressure with multiple injection strategy.

The expected pressure derivative peaks, so that a new component can be defined as:

\[
I_{\text{comb}} = \frac{n}{n_{\text{ins}}} \left[ \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{\text{comb}} \right)^{\text{pil1}} + \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{\text{comb}} \right)^{\text{pil2}} + \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{d\theta_{\text{SP-mot}}/dt} \right)^{\text{main}} \right],
\]

where

- \( \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{\text{comb}} \right)^{\text{pil1}} \), \( \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{\text{comb}} \right)^{\text{pil2}} \), and \( \left( \frac{d\pi_{\text{max}}/dt}{d\theta_{\text{SP-mot}}/dt} \right)^{\text{main}} \) are the pressure derivative peaks corresponding to the combustion of the two pilots and main injections. These parameters are quantified by the average of the values estimated for the whole recorded cycles and cylinders.

However, the weakness of such a definition is clear in situations where the combustion of the fuel injected in pilot 2 overlaps with that of the fuel injected in pilot 1, as shown in Figure 4.16. In these cases, due to the simultaneous burning of the two pilot injections only two pressure derivative peaks have been produced, as it would be expected with single pilot injection. This situation should be highly probable in two concrete cases: when too advanced pilot injections are used (Figure 4.16 (left)).
Figure 4.16 Examples of cases where the pilot injections burn at the same time advanced pilots (left) high EGR rate (right)

and with high EGR rate (Figure 4.16 (right)). In both circumstances, a long ignition delay of the fuel injected in Pilot 2 is expected and therefore a premixed combustion, just after Pilot 1 takes place, is provoked.

When this type of combustion occurs, the term \( \left( \frac{dP_{\text{max}}}{dt} \right)_{\text{comb}} \) in Equation (4.7) cannot be determined and the indicator relative to the burning velocity should be calculated as for conventional injection strategies by means of Equation (4.3).

Through this procedure, two different trends of correlation between the \( I_4 \) component and jury mark are obtained as is shown in Figure 4.17. In this figure, the blue line fits the prediction for multiple injection while the red line shows the trend obtained for pilot combustion overlapped. These results confirm that the pressure derivative component is still adequate to assess the sound quality of combustion noise. Moreover, it has been also verified that the differences between both correlations are not due to differences in the component \( I_4 \) associated with the resonance of the combustion chamber. Apart of this, a prediction model with one correlation for each injection strategy seems to be weakly attractive for industrial applications. For this reason, this first approach has been rejected and an alternative adaptation of the pressure derivative component has been searched.

In this way and from the results of the preceding approach, it seems clear that the indicator relative to the burning velocity should be defined by two terms relative to the combustion due to pilot/s and main injections so that it would be reliable for any conventional or multiple injection strategies. On the other hand, the previous studies with conventional injection strategies have shown that the peak values of the pressure derivative are strongly correlated with the sound quality of combustion noise.

Therefore, a new combustion indicator can be redefined making use of the
maximum peak of the pressure derivative of the combustion curve as:

\[ I_{3\text{max}} = \frac{n}{n_{\text{cycle}}} \frac{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{max1}} + (dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{max2}}}{(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{ps-mot}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

where

\[(dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{max1}} \text{ and } (dp_{\text{max}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^{\text{max2}}\] are the two maximal pressure derivative peaks of combustion sub-signal. These parameters are quantified by the average of the values estimated for the whole recorded cycles and cylinders.

The structure of the new \( I_{3\text{max}} \) component is similar to that of \( I_3 \), but its numerator contains now the information relative to the maximal peaks values of the pressure derivative of combustion curve without taking into account if these are caused by the pilot/s or main injection. In Figure 4.18, the correlation between the new definition of the pressure derivative component \( I_{3\text{max}} \) (Equation (4.8)), and the sound quality of the combustion noise is shown. This plot evidences a valuable improvement in comparison with the correlation obtained by means of the preceding definition \( I_{3\text{sum}} \) (Equation (4.7)). Even though an apparent dispersion is observed, the indicator \( I_{3\text{max}} \) with the two cases of combustion –represented by the blue and red symbols in the graph– seem to have now similar trends.

Furthermore, it has been verified that, taking into account only the points characterized by a “normal” combustion –i.e. when the two pilot injections burn separately– better results are obtained with \( I_{3\text{max}} \) instead of \( I_{3\text{sum}} \). Using the prediction model with a single indicator correlation, the correlation coefficients improved from 88.7% to 91% and the mean and maximal errors decreased from 1.42 to 1.38 and from 1.34 to 0.98, respectively. In addition, considering in the model also the
second indicator \( I_4 \), better correlation coefficients (from 91.3\% to 92.9\%) as well as lower mean (from 3.38 to 3.34) and maximal error (from 1.13 to 3.91) were obtained with \( I_{3\text{max}} \).

![1.6 l Engine - 3 injections strategies](image)

**Figure 4.18** Correlation between \( I_{3\text{max}} \) and the mark given by the jury

### 4.3.2.1. Results

In the first place of this study only the cases with three injections strategies have been analyzed. Making use of the standard regression analysis, a first model with a single indicator for multiple injection has been obtained:

\[
\text{Mark} = 10 - c_3 I_{3\text{max}}
\]

Figure 4.19 (left) shows the observed-predicted plot obtained by means of the model in Equation (4.9). A quite good correlation coefficient of 91\% has been obtained with this model, being the mean error lower than 0.5 and the peak error just slightly higher than 1. Moreover, considering the 116 evaluated tests, 56\% of them have an error lower than 0.5 and the error is lower than 1 in the 97\% of cases.

Although such encouraging results have been obtained with this model, taking advantage of the outcomes of previous studies, the contribution of the \( I_4 \) component associated with the resonance of the combustion chamber has been also evaluated. With this purpose, a further regression equation including also the \( I_4 \) indicator has been considered:

\[
\text{Mark} = 10 - c_3 I_{3\text{max}} - c_4 I_4
\]

The observed-predicted plot obtained with this model is shown in Figure 4.15 (right). As expected, this graph shows a better collapse of the measurements on the fit line with respect to the single component model as in Equation (4.9). Effectively, the
Figure 4.19: Mark noise estimation with the proposed approach. Single component (left) and two components (right) correlations.

The correlation coefficient has been improved now 93% to 93%, with a mean and peak error of 0.5. The rate of tests where the error has been lower than 0.5 was substantially increased to 74% and now almost all tests have an error lower than 1.

These results can be regarded as very good, however it has to be kept in mind that these have been obtained only for the case of three injections sets. In this case the noise variations have been obtained only by varying the injection parameters one by one, consequently, the variation range of the marks assigned by the jury is smaller than those obtained in the former studies where all injection settings were varied at once. Therefore, in this case a limited dispersion of the results was to be expected.

Since in the proposed model, the pressure derivative component \( I_{3\max} \) does not take care anymore of which injection causes the peak values of the derivative of the combustion curve, it appears now interesting to evaluate also its feasibility on conventional injection strategies. The main goal of this task is to dispose of a unique simpler model that responds to any type of combustion, so that it would be more practical and suitable for industrial application.

Due to the different definitions of the components \( I_3 \) and \( I_{3\max} \) with conventional injection strategies, there are particular conditions in which these indicators have different values. These particular conditions are characterized by combustion processes similar to that analyzed in Figure 4.20. In this figure, the two highest peaks of the pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal (solid red line) are caused by the main injection, being smaller the peak value relative to the pilot injection. In this case, since \( I_{3\max} \) is calculated with the two highest peaks of the whole combustion curve while \( I_3 \) is estimated with the peak relative to the pilot and the highest peak associated with the main injection, \( I_{3\max} \) is bigger than \( I_3 \). These results have been checked through the estimation of the heat release (HR) and the rate of heat release (RoHR) in the combustion process performed by the software CALMFC. The plots
of the estimated HR and RoHR are also shown in Figure 4.20 by the dashed green and solid green lines, respectively.

![Figure 4.20: Example of in-cylinder pressure decomposition with conventional injection strategy in which the highest pressure derivative peaks are out of pilot injection](image)

Like in the pressure derivative of the combustion curve on the one hand, the RoHR curve shows two clear peaks relative to the combustion of the main injection; and on the other hand, the rate of burning of the fuel injected in pilot is almost negligible. Therefore, the first peak due to the main injection could be caused by a premixed combustion or by a rich diffusive combustion phase while the second peak should be associated with the diffusive combustion of the rest of fuel injected during main injection.

Through the in-cylinder pressure analysis of all measurements performed on the 1.6 l engine with conventional (pilot + main) injection settings, similar situations as that shown in Figure 4.20 have been detected in 33 out of the 162 evaluated tests. In all these tests, the engine was operated in medium and high load conditions, in which the fuel mass injected in main has been high enough to cause the two combustion phases discussed above.

Table 4.8 shows the statistics relative to the results obtained with the model as in Equation (4.9), using \( I_{3max} \) instead of \( I_3 \). Table 4.9 shows the same statistics obtained when \( I_3 \) component is also included in the model. Also in this case, the use of the indicator related to the resonance energy improves considerably the estimation of the noise mark. Both tables show results similar but slightly inferior to those presented in Tables 4.5 and 4.6, respectively, obtained using the preliminary model with \( I_3 \). This
Table 4.8: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with one component correlation as in Equation (4.9), conventional injections strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>( a_1 )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{\text{mean}} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( \tau )</th>
<th>( R(%) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.9: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with two-component correlations as in Equation (4.10), conventional injections strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>( a_1 )</th>
<th>( a_2 )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{\text{mean}} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( R(%) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

small degradation of the results with the new model is widely compensated by the practical advantage of using the same definition with both conventional and multiple injections strategies.

Furthermore, it has to be highlighted that, in the practice it is improbable to find a situation like that described in Figure 4.20. In fact, the main task of pilot injection is to reduce the ignition delay of the main injection in order to limit the quantity of fuel that burns in a premixed way. Consequently, in most of the practical situations, the maximal combustion velocity obtained with the pilot injection is comparable to that proceeding by the combustion of the main injection, and the values of \( I_{3,\text{max}} \) and \( I_4 \) coincide.

Taking advantage of the fact that the \( I_{3,\text{max}} \) indicator is not sensitive on the features of the combustion induced by the injection strategy, the proposed model based on Equations (4.9) and (4.10) has been calculated taking into account all the measures performed on the 1.6 l engine with both conventional and multiple injection strategies. The aim is to check the feasibility of the model to respond to any injection strategy used.

Figures 4.21 (left) and (right) show the observed-predicted plots obtained with these models, respectively. As commented before, it can be observed that a wider range of noise variation has been produced in the tests with conventional injection in comparison with those obtained with multiple injection strategy. The statistical parameters are resumed in Tables 4.10 and 4.11. With both single and two components models, high correlation coefficients have been obtained, together with limited mean and maximal absolute errors and standard deviations.

The feasibility of the model with a single component correlation to assess the combustion noise at any injection strategy has been verified. Furthermore, also in this case, the contribution of \( I_4 \) seems to improve considerably the statistics of the model. In fact, the model according to Equation (4.10) allowed a considerable increase of the percentage of points with absolute errors inferior to 0.5 and 1. With two components correlation the mark prediction with an absolute error lower than 0.5 in passed from 52.9% (obtained with a single component model) to 50.1% of the tests, and the percentage of error lower than 1 increased from 83.1% to 93.2%.
Figure 4.21: Mark noise estimation with the proposed approach 1.6 l Engine
single component ‘left’, and two components ‘right’, correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>c_3</th>
<th>t_{mean}</th>
<th>t_{max}</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>R(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.10: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with Equation (4.9)
Mark = 10 − c_3 t_{3max} , conventional and multiple injections strategies

Summarizing, the pressure derivative indicator (I_3) of the preliminary predicting model has been updated with the aim to respond to multiple injection strategies. The updated component I_{3max} makes use of the two highest levels of the pressure derivative of the combustion sub-signal ignoring if these are caused by pilot/s or main injections. Even though in the case of conventional injections settings (pilot + main), the statistical parameters obtained with the proposed indicator I_{3max} have scarcely worsen if compared with those obtained with the former model. There is no doubt that this new diagnostic algorithm is more advantageous mainly from the point of view of its possible industrial application. Otherwise dedicated models should be required for different combustion features, the procedure becoming too complex.

Also with the 1.6 l engine, the contribution of the indicator I_4 —relative to the combustion chamber resonance to the model accuracy— has been evidenced with both injection strategies used. These results confirm that the defined indicators contain complementary information, so that it is better to consider both components.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>c_3</th>
<th>t_{4}</th>
<th>t_{mean}</th>
<th>t_{max}</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>R(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.11: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with Equation (4.10)
Mark = 10 − c_3 I_{3max} − c_4 I_4, conventional and multiple injections strategies
However, as commented before, the influence of the resonance indicators seems to be dependent on the engine family, but no clear tendency has been evidenced. This justifies the necessity of a further analysis focused on the sensitivity of the in-cylinder resonance and of the noise quality to the bowl geometry.

Section 4.5 is dedicated to the assessment of the contribution of the bowl geometry on the resonance generation and on the noise quality through the evaluation of the indicator $I_4$. The reliability of the updated model discussed in this section will also be checked on a different combustion system (bowl), using both conventional and multiple injection strategies.

### 4.4. Noise quality sensitivity to combustion parameters

Taking advantage of the structure of the experimental plans for multiple injection study—defined considering the variation of just one combustion parameter while the rest are kept constant—the sensitivity of combustion noise quality to injection-combustion parameters can be studied. With this purpose, plots of the marks given by the jury against the combustion parameter varied at the nine tested operation conditions were analyzed. So that, on one hand, the noise range obtained in the study is checked and, on the other, some trends of noise quality evolution against combustion parameters can be evidenced and used as guidelines for future developments of combustion settings.

In agreement with engine manufacturer, the factors varied have been:

- Rail pressure
- Fuel mass injected during pilot 2 ($Q_{pil2}$)
- Fuel mass injected during pilot 1 ($Q_{pil1}$)
- SOI of pilot 2 and SOI of pilot 1 (the difference between both SOI has been kept constant)
- EGR rate

The variation ranges of these parameters are not unlimited but their choice comes from the best balance between two opposite requirements: on the one hand, as wider as possible noise variation is required but, on the other hand, the engine should remain stable and the torque as constant as possible. Therefore, a preliminary experimental phase was necessary in order to establish the limits of these ranges. In the previous works (with two injection strategies) the total injected mass was kept constant for a given operating condition. Therefore, the fuel mass injected at the main obtained by subtracting the pilot quantity from the total one would not be freely varied. Due to this fact, in every experimental plan, where engine speed and total injection quantity were kept constant, significant torque variations have been obtained and a tolerance
range of ± 20% from the nominal torque has been accepted. Since noise is sensitive to torque variations, risks in the study could be introduced with this procedure. To mitigate this problem in this study, the mass of the main injection has been set in order to measure similar engine torque in every experimental plan. To do this, after all parameters were set according to the experimental matrix, the variable that controls the pedal position was varied until the measured torque was similar to the base line condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pilot 2 (deg)</th>
<th>Pilot 1 (deg)</th>
<th>Main (deg)</th>
<th>SOI Pilot 2 (°-TDC)</th>
<th>SOI Pilot 1 (°-TDC)</th>
<th>SOI Main (°-TDC)</th>
<th>Pressure Rail (bar)</th>
<th>EGR (%)</th>
<th>Torque (Nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>124.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>123.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.22: Example of experimental plan for the study of multiple injection; 1900 rpm; 124 Nm

Figure 4.22 shows an example (1900 rpm and 124 Nm) of experimental plan defined for each one of the 9 engine operating conditions listed in Section 3.5. Bold letters show the variations with respect to the baseline of each parameter. The standard deviation of the torque variation at each operating condition is also indicated at the last row of each table. In the example of Figure 4.22 it resulted lower than 3.3%. However, even in the worst case, the torque variation was less than 2.5%, which is advantageous for the study.

For the noise quality quotation, every experimental plan has been individually evaluated, the methodology used was the same as described in Section 3.6. Furthermore in this case, with the aim to be consistent with the quotation at the previous tests (i.e. conventional injection study described in Section 4.2.3), three additional noises (the best, the middle and the worst) from the previous study have been included in the noise sequence to be currently evaluated in each experimental plan (defined by the engine operating condition). In this way, it is expected that all noises were
qualified as far as possible with the same metrics so that one can be confident that a
mark is always almost the same at any engine running condition or operation strategy. Every experimental plan has been composed of a maximum of 16 or 17 noises to
avoid fatigue of the jury caused by long tests.

4.4.1 Rail pressure

To study the influence of the rail pressure, various levels have been considered.
Normally, in the base line strategy the fuel mass injected in the pilot injections (\(Q_{pi}\))
are the minimal quantities possible to be injected at the given rail pressure level.
When the rail pressure increases the minimal fuel mass should also increase in order
to ensure an adequate operation of the injectors. Consequently when the sensitivity
of combustion noise to rail pressure variations is studied, \(Q_{pi}\) levels slightly higher
than in base line strategy have been considered in the experimental plans. In this
way, the proper operation of the injectors was ensured and the contribution of just
the analyzed parameter can be quantified.

![Figure 4.23: Noise sensitivity to rail pressure](image)

Figure 4.23 shows the sensitivity of the noise to the rail pressure level. Like in
the previous studies and as was expected, the rail pressure confirms to be a significant
parameter on the sound quality of the combustion noise. In all running conditions,
it seems clear that the higher is the rail pressure level the lower is the noise quality.
This trend can be explained by the fact that a higher rail pressure improves fuel
evaporation and consequently a faster combustion which provokes a higher pressure
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rate of change is produced. In the opposite way, with low rail pressure levels smooth combustion is expected and so less unpleasant combustion noise is radiated.

4.4.2. Pilot injection quantities

The noise quality sensitivity to the fuel mass quantities injected during pilots has been also analyzed. Figure 4.24 (a), presents the results obtained varying the first pilot injection (pilot 2 according to our nomenclature). The plot shows a tendency similar to that observed when the rail pressure was varied. Noise quality deteriorates in proportion to the increase of injected fuel mass. In such case, more fuel burns in a premixed combustion and therefore causes more abrupt pressure rise. The quality of the noise is then worse.

Focusing now on the influence of the fuel mass quantity injected at the second pilot ($Q_{pil1}$), on the combustion noise. Figure 4.24 (b) doesn’t show a monotonic trend as in the preceding cases. Effectively, at low load conditions, 750 rpm, 1350 rpm 12 Nm, 1500 rpm 37 Nm and 2250 rpm 12 Nm, a decreasing monotonic trend of the noise mark with the $Q_{pil1}$ rise is observed. In the points with medium and high loads (1500 rpm 75 Nm, 1900 rpm 124 Nm, 2280 rpm 102 Nm, 2400 rpm 168 Nm and 2850 rpm 87 Nm) an increment of the injected quantity $Q_{pil1}$ doesn’t cause an apparent degradation of the noise quality.

A deeper analysis of the sensitivity of the noise to $Q_{pil}$ in both injections can be carried out focusing on the pressure derivative of the combustion signal obtained by means of the in-cylinder pressure decomposition algorithm. In Figures 4.25 and 4.26, the pressure derivative peak values relative to each pilot injection, $(dp_{max}/dt)_{comb}$ and $(dp_{max}/dt)_{comb}$, are plotted against the fuel mass injected in milligrams per cylinder per cycle at low and at medium and high loads respectively. In these figures, it can be clearly observed the high sensitivity of the pressure derivative peak to the injected quantity of the first pilot $Q_{pil1}$ (blue lines) at any operating condition.

Figure 4.25 shows that, at low load operating conditions, similar conclusions can be stated for $Q_{pil1}$ (red lines). Moreover, the proportionality coefficient (curve slope) in the case of $Q_{pil1}$ is smaller than that observed with pilot 2. However, Figure 4.26 shows that at medium and high load conditions, the slope of the curve suffers a considerable decrease in proportion to $Q_{pil1}$ rise. In such conditions, it seems that the pressure derivative peak is scarcely sensitive to the $Q_{pil1}$ when it increases excessively (more than 3-4 mg/cc).

To go further in the analysis with the aim to have a physical explanation of the trends observed in the Figures 4.25 and 4.26, the attention has been focused on the pressure derivative evolution of the combustion curves through the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure signals. Figure 4.27 shows an example of decomposition of a high load working condition (2400 rpm, 168 Nm), comparing the base line strategy (Figure 4.27 (a)), with two different strategies high $Q_{pil1}$ (Figure 4.27 (b)) and high $Q_{pil1}$ (Figure 4.27 (c)).
Figure 4.24: Noise quality sensitivity to fuel mass quantity: $Q_{pit2}$ (a), $Q_{pit1}$ (b)
Figure 4.25: Sensitivity of the pressure derivative peak of combustion sub-signal to $Q_{pi}$, low load conditions
Figure 4.26: Sensitivity of the pressure derivative peak of combustion sub-signal to $Q_{\text{pil}}$ medium and high load conditions.
Figure 4.27: $Q_{pi}$ analysis by means of in cylinder pressure signal decomposition

From the analysis of the base line strategy (Figure 4.27 ‘a’) it can be observed that the pressure derivative of the combustion curve ‘blue line’ relative to the $Q_{pi1}$ shows two combustion phases clearly differentiated: a first phase with quick fuel burning followed by a second slower phase. Despite the first phase seems to be a premixed combustion, it should be a reach diffusive combustion since $Q_{pi1}$ is injected during the combustion of $Q_{pi2}$.

With respect to an increased $Q_{pi2}$, as expected a more abrupt rate of combustion pressure is observed (blue line in Figure 4.27(b)). This trend confirms that the quantity of the fuel mass burning in the first premixed combustion is controlled by the $Q_{pi2}$. So a direct relationship between the $Q_{pi1}$ and the peak of the rate of combustion pressure sub-signal is given.

The comparison of the blue lines in Figures 4.27 (a’, and 4.27 ‘c’), shows that only a weak rise of the pressure derivative peak in the first combustion phase is induced when $Q_{pi1}$ is increased. Unlike in this combustion phase, a higher $Q_{pi1}$ seems to increase the peak of the pressure derivative of the combustion curve in the
The characteristic shape of the pressure derivative curve turns wider and almost equal to that of the combustion due to the main injection.

This analysis seems to indicate that an increment of $Q_{pil2}$ affects mainly the premixed phase of the combustion, causing an abrupt rise of the pressure and therefore the degradation of the noise should be expected. The second injection, pilot 1, takes place when the first one is already burning, so that the combustion delay is considerably shorter with respect to the pilot 2 and a small fraction of the fuel burns quickly in the first phase. For this reason any increase of $Q_{pil1}$ mainly contributes on the diffusive phase of the combustion that normally does not have any strong influence on the noise quality.

![Figure 4.28: Sensitivity of noise quality to SOI_{pil2}](image)

### 4.4.3 Start of injection

Concerning the effect of the start of injection (SOI), Figure 4.28 shows the noise mark variation detected when the SOI of the pilot injections was modified. Since in the experimental plans the separation between SOI_{pil2} and SOI_{pil1} was kept constant, only the results relative to SOI_{pil2} have been presented here. Thus, the analysis for SOI_{pil1} would be equivalent resulting in a figure identical to 4.28 being the unique difference on the crank angle range.

Even though plots in Figure 4.28 do not show any clear tendency, it is interesting to note that in most of the cases with an advanced pilot injection, indicated
with a red circle, an important deterioration of the noise quality is produced. This behavior seems to be due to the fact that at too advanced pilot injections, the combustion of both injections takes place at the same time. This fact is confirmed by the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure signals as it has been shown in Figure 4.16. This abrupt combustion originates a high increase of the pressure rate and in consequence a sharper noise. This situation is more unlikely in engine operating conditions with very low loads, which are characterized by small fuel mass rates injected during pilots.

4.4.4. Exhaust Gas Recirculation

Since a ($\phi$, $\Delta$) probe for Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) mass flow measurement was not available in the test facility, an alternative procedure to estimate the EGR rate has been employed in this study in order to get a more controlled variation of the EGR. This procedure is based on the assumption that the EGR mass flow rate can be estimated by subtracting the mass flow rate of air intake with EGR (valve open) to the intake mass flow when the EGR valve is completely closed. Then, the EGR percentages shown in the figures below have been determined by the ratio between the estimated EGR mass flow and the intake mass flow without EGR.

![Figure 4.29: Sensitivity of noise quality to EGR](image)

As can be observed in Figure 4.29 the sensitivity of the combustion noise to EGR rate is hard to be analyzed. In fact, the plots show that at low and high loads the mark variation is of the same order than the uncertainty of the jury evaluation, and therefore conclusions are difficult to extract. However at medium loads the quality of the noise seems to improve in proportion of the EGR rate increase, due to the softer combustion produced in such conditions.
Effectively, Figure 4.30 shows plots of the variation of the pressure derivative peaks associated with the fuel burning phases of the combustion process, caused by pilots 2 and 1 and main injections at medium load conditions. The figure shows that in these cases the combustion of the fuel injected in Pilot 1 is the quickest, indeed the pressure derivative peaks relative to the Pilot 1 (plotted in magenta) are the highest.

Furthermore, in Figure 4.30 a reduction of the peak values relative to the Pilot 1 injection and to the main injection (plotted in green) in proportion to the EGR rate increase is observed, while the peak value of the Pilot 2 injection (plotted in blue) remains almost constant.

These observations confirm that at medium load conditions the EGR has a strong effect on the engine noise quality since the combustion of the Pilot 1 is the quickest and its velocity peak is strongly affected by the EGR rate.
4.5. Sensitivity of resonance energy to bowl geometry

In this section a theoretical-experimental analysis of the in-cylinder pressure resonance will be presented. The theoretical analysis is based on CFD calculations, the experiments have been conducted on the 1.6 l engine using a different shape of the piston bowls. The goal here is to investigate whether this characteristic phenomenon of the Diesel combustion had some influence on the quality of the combustion noise. Furthermore, a better understanding of the effect of the piston bowl geometry on the energy of resonance and, in turn, on the noise quality predicting model will be given.

Figure 4.31 shows the geometry of the standard and modified piston bowls, respectively Bowl A and Bowl B. The main dimensions of both geometries are indicated in millimeters in the figure. The design of this new bowl has been decided by the engine manufacturer taking care that the compression ratio was the same as for the standard engine, the intention was to get an increase of the in-cylinder resonance phenomenon without influencing the engine performance.

![Figure 4.31: Schematic sections of the standard piston bowl A and of the modified piston bowl B](image)

A preliminary study has been conducted using a three-dimensional CFD software for analyzing the influence of the piston shape on the resonance generation process in order to theoretically estimate the expected increase of the energy of the resonance sub-signal and thus, the quality mark variation obtained with the predicting model.

4.5.1. Preliminary CFD calculations

Some details of the CFD approach used in this study –three-dimensional meshing, temporal discretization, simulation of the auto-ignition phenomenon– have been already described in Section 3.5.3. Here the outcomes obtained from the three-dimensional calculations will be presented. For the present study, results are presented in terms of a non-dimensional energy of resonance; the response in frequency obtained with a standard Fourier analysis. The non-dimensional energy of resonance \( \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{R} \) was calculated as:
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\[ F_R = \frac{E_{res}}{E_i} - \int \frac{p_{res}(V_f)}{E_i} \mathrm{d}t \]  
\[ \text{where } E_{res} \text{ is the energy of resonance used in the definition of the resonance indicator } I_4, \text{ with } p_{res} \text{ the pressure of resonance, and } E_i \text{ is the energy of the initial excitation pulse defined as} \]

\[ E_i = \Delta p^2 \Delta t \frac{V_{ref}}{V_i} \]

\[ \text{where } \Delta p \text{ and } \Delta t \text{ are the amplitude and the duration of the excitation and } V_{ref} \text{ and } V_i \text{ refer to the volume of the reference and of the actual excitation pulse, respectively. This non-dimensionalization of the excitation energy by the volume is necessary for comparison purposes, because numerically the excitation pulse is applied in a small volume which may vary depending on the mesh size or the excitation size. In most cases, } V_{ref}/V_i \text{ is 1 or very close. The computations were stopped when there was a clear indication that the pressure waves had reached a periodic steady state.} \]

### 4.5.1.1. Experimental validation of the CFD approach

Firstly, the CFD calculation has been validated by comparing its results with those of an experimental measurement. The pressure signal of a cylinder equipped with the standard piston bowl has been considered and a working condition of 1500 rpm and 37 Nm of torque characterized by a sharp resonance has been analyzed using a FFT transform.

Figure 4.32 presents a comparison between the frequency responses of the experimental measurement and the CFD calculation of Bowl A. The CFD results obtained by a monitor located at the same position as the pressure sensor, shows three levels of resonance in the frequency range of interest, corresponding to the first three oscillation modes. The experimental measurements only show two peaks of resonance and it seems that the second mode is not detected. This does not represent a particular problem, since it was already commented in Section 2.4.3 with automotive engines, only the first circumferential mode has to be taken into account, higher modes are generally negligible and outside the audible frequency range. Furthermore as it has been evidenced in Section 2.4.3.2, the amplitude of the resonance peaks is strongly influenced by the position of the measurement point with respect to the ignition site. Consequently, peak amplitude detected by the pressure transducer is expected to vary cycle-to-cycle and cylinder-to-cylinder.

Even though, in this case, the CFD calculation clearly over-predicts the amplitude of the resonance, the frequency of the first circumferential mode is approximately well captured. This can be regarded as a good result, considering the very simplistic model adopted for the excitation caused by the auto-ignition and the fact that in the calculation the movement of the piston was not taken into account.
Figure 4.32. Comparison of frequency response between an experimental measurement [EXP] at 1500 rpm, 37 Nm and the CFD calculation for Bowl A (CFD-A).

Hence from this preliminary analysis, it has been concluded that the CFD calculation may only be used qualitatively since it is capable of adequately predict only the resonance frequencies but not the actual quantitative values of the resonance energy.

4.5.1.2. Noise quality sensitivity to bowl geometry variation through CFD calculation

Keeping in mind the conclusions from the previous section, in order to compare the results with those obtained with the standard bowl A, a calculation with the new combustion chamber (Bowl B) has been also performed. With the information given by the monitors, it is possible to appreciate the global influence of the bowl geometry on the resonance. Figure 4.33 shows the frequency response obtained at a point located close to the wall and 3 mm below the excitation plane for both combustion chambers. Consistently with the modal theory, the comparison clearly shows that the frequency of the resonance peaks decreases when the bowl characteristic dimension is larger, which corresponds to the maximum diameter in the case of the Bowl B.

A representation of the pressure level in the whole domain is given by the non-dimensional energy of resonance $ER$ at each monitor located at position $[R,\theta,l]$. Figure 4.34 illustrates the value of $ER$ calculated for different depths in the plane $P$ (corresponding to the symmetry plane). In both geometries, the non-dimensional energy of resonance increases with the radial distance of the monitor location due to
Figure 4.33: CFD results: influence of the bowl geometry on the frequency response

The pressure waves bouncing off the walls and the minimum values correspond to the monitors located in the central part of the bowl.

The levels of ER are different in both geometries, as can be appreciated in Figure 4.35, where the maximum levels and the level at sensor position are shown for both geometries. The maximum ER of Bowl B is considerably higher than that of Bowl A. It is also worth noting that the location of the sensor may be relevant for the estimation of the energy of resonance. Indeed, Figure 4.35 clearly shows that the monitor located in the same position as the experimental sensor does not detect the maximum value of ER. The measurement would thus underestimate the actual level of energy of resonance.

According to these calculations, at the sensor monitor

\[
\frac{(ER_B)_{\text{sensor}}}{(ER_A)_{\text{sensor}}} = 1.248
\]

(4.13)

and, at the wall monitor (condition of maximal resonance energy), will be:

\[
\frac{(ER_B)_{\text{max}}}{(ER_A)_{\text{max}}} = 2.164
\]

(4.14)

Globally the Bowl B presents a higher energy of resonance with respect to Bowl A. However, it is more relevant to analyze whether this variation of the energy of resonance level is important in terms of the subjective perception of the noise. Using these results an estimation of the influence of the piston bowl change on the resonance
Figure 4.34: ER in plane $0^\circ$ at different depths, in bowl geometries A and B

Figure 4.35: Comparison between energy of resonance at sensor location and maximum detected in the standard bowl A and modified bowl B
indicator $I_4$ can be obtained. Furthermore, by the definition of the prediction model with two components correlation, also the variation of the mark characteristic of the noise quality can be estimated.

Respectively, from the increments of the energy of resonance of Equations (4.13) and (4.14), the variation of the combustion indicator $I_4$ can be calculated, at the sensor monitor results:

\[ (I_{4B})_{\text{sensor}} - (I_{4A})_{\text{sensor}} = 0.096 \]  \hfill (4.15)

and at the wall monitor it will be:

\[ (I_{4B})_{\text{wall}} - (I_{4A})_{\text{wall}} = 0.334 \]  \hfill (4.16)

Using the definition of the noise quality prediction model with both combustion indicators Equation (4.10), the influence of this variations on the mark representing the noise quality can be estimated. Using the $I_4$ increment calculated at the sensor monitor (4.15), it would result:

\[ (\Delta \text{Mark})_{\text{sensor}} \approx 0.076 \]  \hfill (4.17)

and at the wall monitor (4.16), it would be:

\[ (\Delta \text{Mark})_{\text{wall}} \approx 0.265 \]  \hfill (4.18)

According to these estimations, the effect of the geometry of the piston bowl on the noise quality can be considered negligible. Since the mark variation is lower than the uncertainty of the jury evaluation, it has to be expected that in the listening tests no difference will be appreciated in the noise quality estimated with different piston bowls.

4.5.2 Experimental results

In a first step, the pistons with the Bowl H shape have been assembled in the 1.6 litre engine and a simplified running-in procedure (according to engine manufacturer standards) of the engine has been performed, so that the engine hardware has been checked. The aim was to evaluate if the change of the pistons affects the engine performance. In fact, even though the compression ratio was kept constant, a modified piston bowl can change the squish and swirl characteristics inside the cylinder affecting the combustion evolution. Furthermore, to replace the pistons, the injection system had to be dismounted and mounted again and it is known that this could affect also the injection rate.

With the purpose to compare the current engine performance against that of the standard engine, a full load characterization of the engine has been carried out after
Figure 4.36: Comparison of the torque, power, specific consumption and maximal in-cylinder pressure measured at full-load with both piston bowls

The engine running-in. Figure 4.36 shows the comparison of the full load torque, power, specific consumption and maximal in-cylinder pressure measured with the engine equipped with the standard pistons (Bowl A) and with the new pistons (Bowl B). The plots also indicate the percentage of error between the two engine configurations. In the case of the torque and power at full load errors lower than 1% were observed, for the specific consumption and maximal cylinder pressure errors lower than 8% and 2% were measured respectively.

The differences observed in this figure, as well as those detected in the other engine performances (intake pressure and temperature, engine efficiency, etc.) are consistent with the expected dispersion between engines of the same family. Therefore, it can be concluded that the engine performance was not affected by the substitution of the pistons with the modified bowl shape.

4.5.2.1. Definition of the experimental plans

In order to experimentally analyze the influence of the piston bowl shape on the resonance energy and on the noise quality, conventional and multiple injection strategies used in the previous studies have been considered to define the test matrix.
From the wide range of variations, just 5 settings of each injection strategy tested in the preceding studies — with the engine equipped with standard piston bowls — have been chosen for each one of the 9 operating conditions of the engine listed in Section 3.5. The criterion employed for the settings selection has been to choose those conditions characterized by the widest variation of resonance energy and noise mark but limiting the torque dispersion.

Engine operating conditions tested in the former analysis, with both conventional and multiple injection strategies, have been reproduced with the engine equipped with the Bowl B pistons, in order to evaluate the sensitivity of the combustion noise to the combustion chamber geometry. With this purpose, the main target was to reproduce as close as possible the combustion process measured with the standard pistons. This fact would permit to reproduce also the in-cylinder pressure evolution, namely the gas excitation, and therefore, just the effect of bowl geometry on the resonance of combustion chamber should be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Torque (Nm)</th>
<th>EGR open Valve (%)</th>
<th>EGR estimated (%)</th>
<th>Pressure Rail (bar)</th>
<th>SOI pilot 2 (° TDC)</th>
<th>SOI pilot 1 (° TDC)</th>
<th>SOI main (° TDC)</th>
<th>Q pil 2 (mg/str)</th>
<th>Q pil 1 (mg/str)</th>
<th>Q main (mg/str)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.37: Example of experimental plan with multiple injection strategies. 1900 rpm 124 Nm

Regarding multiple injection strategies, tests with five different settings have been scheduled, at each running condition (speed and torque), from that used in the experimental plans described in Section 4.4. Figure 4.37 shows an example of experimental plan at 1900 rpm and 124 Nm, performed on the engine equipped with Bowl B. In addition to the baseline settings, four different settings have been selected, taking into account the most influencing parameters on the noise quality; That is:

- Minimal and maximal rail pressure levels
- Maximal fuel mass injected during the first pilot, \( Q_{\text{pil1}} \).
- Maximal EGR rate

With the purpose to reproduce as precisely as possible the engine performance obtained with the engine equipped with standard pistons at each operating condition, a meticulous control of the engine has been carried out along this experimental task. Some refinements of the combustion settings have been done to obtain EGR rates and engine torques similar to those measured previously. As commented before, since a
$CO_2$ probe for the EGR mass flow measurement was not available in the test facility, the EGR rate was estimated by subtracting the mass flow rate of air intake with EGR (valve open) to the intake mass flow when the EGR valve is closed. The same procedure has been used in this case to control the EGR rate at each of the operating conditions tested.

Concerning to **conventional injection strategies**, engine operating points from those described in Section 4.2.3, have been also considered. Figure 4.38 shows an example of experimental plan at 1900 rpm and 124 Nm with pilot and main injection strategies. In this case, in addition to the base line settings, four additional settings have been chosen from each experimental plan of the § running conditions introduced in Section 3.5. Unlike for multiple injection, a selection of the settings with which the lowest torque dispersion—lower than 20%—was obtained with the standard pistons was done first.

From the previous selection, such settings that have permitted the widest range of resonant signal energies and noise variation have been finally considered. With the selected settings, a torque variation lower than 5.5% at medium and high load conditions has been measured, while this limit has been increased up to 15% at low load operation (1350 rpm, 15 Nm; 2250 rpm, 19 Nm). In absolute value, the torque variation at these conditions was just +5 Nm.

Since during the tests of the engine with standard pistons and conventional injection strategies the EGR rate was controlled just by means of the opening percentage of the EGR valve, no estimation of the real rate was available. For the tests with the modified pistons, the EGR valve has been set to the position at which the intake air mass flow was similar to that measured in the previous phase. With this procedure if similar torque is also produced by the engine, then similar EGR rate should be expected.

![Table](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed</th>
<th>Torque (Nm)</th>
<th>EGR open. valve (%)</th>
<th>Pressure rail (bar)</th>
<th>SOI pilot (° TDC)</th>
<th>SOI main (° TDC)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ pilot (mg/str)</th>
<th>$\alpha$ main (mg/str)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>120,4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>23,0</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>16,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>128,2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>21,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>122,9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>20,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>119,1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>9,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>16,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>126,7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>1,9</td>
<td>20,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.38: Example of experimental plan with conventional injection strategies, 1900 rpm, 124 Nm.

Summarizing, a total of 90 tests have been performed on the 1.6 l engine equipped with the new pistons 48 tests using conventional (pilot + main) injection strategies and an equivalent number using multiple injections.
4.5.2.2. Analysis of the experiments

Like in previous studies, the in-cylinder pressure signals recorded with the engine equipped with the modified pistons were decomposed into compression, combustion and resonance sub-signals and then, the combustion indicators $I_{3\text{max}}$ and $I_4$—defined by the Equations (4.8) and (4.4)—were calculated. According to the main target of this study, the tests performed with the standard bowl geometry (Bowl A) were used as reference in the comparison with the current tests.

As was discussed above, this study would be feasible only if the same excitation of the combustion chambers is produced. In this way, any noise variation perceived by the jury should be due to changes in the bowl geometry and not to differences in the excitation itself. Moreover, it is also evident that this task is quite difficult in a complex system as an IC engine in which, apart from many parameters, the combustion process is dependent also on the bowl geometry. According to the definition of the combustion indicators, the comments given before would mean that $I_{3\text{max}}$, relative to the excitation provoked by the combustion—should be independent on the bowl geometry.

With the purpose to have a first evaluation of the combustion features in tests with bowls $A$ and $B$, an example of their corresponding in-cylinder pressure decomposition at a representative operating condition is shown in Figure 4.39. In this figure, it can be observed that the combustion derivative curves (blue line) obtained with both bowls present a quite similar shape and that the level of the peak values used in the $I_{3\text{max}}$ definition are of the same order. These results put in evidence that levels of excitation comparable to those produced by the combustion in the standard bowl were obtained with the modified combustion chamber. On the other hand, the black lines in the two plots of this figure, that represent the gas pressure oscillation due to the resonance of the combustion chamber, show that the amplitude of this oscillation is higher in the test with Bowl B. Then, with this amplitude increase a signal energy
level higher than that found with the standard bowl $A$ should be expected.

![Comparison of $I_{3max}$](image)

**Figure 4.40** Comparison of the combustion indicator $I_{3max}$ calculated with piston bowls $A$ and $B$.

Figure 4.40 shows a comparison plot between the combustion component $I_{3max}$ obtained from tests with standard bowl (abscissa axis corresponds to Bowl $A$) and with modified bowl (Bowl $B$ is in the ordinate). A quite good collapse can be observed in this plot, which indicates that quite similar excitations due to combustion have been produced with the two tested bowl geometries. Furthermore, in the same plot, more scattered results are evidenced in tests with conventional injection strategies. This trend can be justified by the fact that EGR rate was very difficult to reproduce in tests with Bowl $B$, because this parameter was not precisely controlled in the reference tests with Bowl $A$.

Considering that the Fourier transform does not give representative results with non-stationary non-periodic signals (as in the case of in-cylinder pressure resonance), a “zero-crossing” technique has been used to analyze the experimental measurements. Through the determination of the time interval that passes between the instants that the resonance sub-signal crosses zero, it is possible to estimate the variation of the resonant frequency during combustion. Figure 4.41 shows a comparison of the results obtained applying this technique to the measures obtained with the two different piston bowls. The plots refer to the same working conditions shown in Figure 4.35 and are obtained by averaging the results of approximatively 50 cycles relative to one cylinder. This figure shows that with both piston bowls the frequency of the resonance varies during combustion and decreases considerably after TDC (0°); this is due to...
the fact that, after the beginning of combustion, the temperature inside the cylinder decreases together with the speed of sound, and consequently the frequency of the resonance also tends to diminish.

Another important observation is that the curves in Figure 4.41, characteristic of the two piston bowls, are frequency shifted approximately 50 Hz during the resonance evolution. Assuming that the substitution of the pistons did not affect the engine performance and that, using the same injection settings, the combustion evolution has been well reproduced, it follows that this frequency difference is exclusively due to the variation of the piston geometry. This is in accordance with the modal theory (described in Section 2.4.3): an increase of the combustion chamber diameter (as in the case of the piston bowl B) leads to a decrease of the resonance frequency. Analogous conclusions were obtained with the CFD calculation presented in Figure 4.33.

![Graph showing frequency vs. angle for Piston Bowls](image)

**Figure 4.41: Comparison of the resonant frequencies of the two piston bowls**

Focussing now on the signal energy of the resonance of the combustion chamber, the ratio of this parameter measured with Bowl B in comparison with that measured with Bowl A, calculated averaging the ratios of all the measurements performed at different working conditions (90 in total), was:

$$\frac{E_{resB}}{E_{resA}} = 2.345$$ (4.19)

This value confirms that with the piston bowl B a higher resonance energy is produced. Furthermore, the energy increment is of the same order of magnitude than that calculated with [CFD code].
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Figure 4.42. Comparison of the combustion indicator $I_4$ calculated with piston bowls $A$ and $B$

Figure 4.42 shows now the comparison plot of the combustion indicator relative to the resonance of combustion chamber $I_4$ estimated for the measurements performed with the two bowl geometries. Like in Figure 4.40 relative to the combustion indicator $I_{3\text{max}}$, a quite good collapse is also evidenced in this plot, but the trend is now out of the “$y=x$ line”. Moreover, as it was expected, the resonance component $I_4$ with Bowl $B$ results higher than that estimated with Bowl $A$. In average, the difference is

$$\bar{T}_{4B} - \bar{T}_{4A} = 0.32C$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.20)

According to the proposed prediction model based on Equation (4.10), this increasing of the resonance indicator would mean a diminishing of 0.25 points from the mark given by the jury to the noise with the standard engine configuration. Since the threshold of the jury perception (0.5) is higher than the estimated difference, such variations would be hardly detected by the jury. These results are consistent with the results obtained by means of CFD calculations shown in Section 4.5.1.2.

To check the sensitivity of the jury to the bowl geometry variation, the quotation of the noises recorded with the tested piston bowls have been compared. Figure 4.43 shows two graphs where the marks of the noises recorded with bowl $A$ are plotted against those recorded with bowl $B$. For clarity, results with conventional and multiple injections have been plotted separately.

As expected from the estimations in Section 4.5.1.2 obtained combining the
UFU results with the quality predicting model. the plots in Figure 4.43 confirm that
the noise variation produced by using the different combustion chambers was below the perception threshold of the jury. From another point of view, these results
would also indicate that the geometry modification produced on the standard bowl
was not significant enough so as to cause noticeable changes in the noise quality.
However, an apparent modification of the geometry of the standard piston has been
really performed to define a bowl (piston $B$) which permitted a combustion similar to
that occurring with the standard configuration. Therefore, these observations seem to
indicate that the combustion noise is scarcely sensitive to the geometry of the piston
bowl at least with this engine family.

4.5.3: Sensitivity of the model to bowl geometry

Taking advantage of the additional measurements performed on the 1.6 l engine
equipped with the modified piston bowl $B$ and making use of those measurements
recorded at similar operating conditions with the standard piston, an analysis of the
influence of the bowl geometry on the noise quality prediction model could now be
done.

Considering the 90 tests performed on the engine with the modified bowl ($B$),
the coefficients of the model for the prediction on the combustion noise quality, with
a single combustion indicator (Equation (4.9)) as well as with two components corre-
lation (Equation (4.10)) have been calculated.

Figure 4.44 (left) shows the observed-predicted plot of the noise marks using the
model based uniquely in the regression with the combustion indicator $I_{3max}$ while in
Figure 4.44 (right) the predicted noise marks by means of the complete model,
based on Equation (4.10), are plotted against the marks given by the jury. Regarding
Table 4.12: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with Equation (4.9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{mean}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$R(%)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l [B]</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.13: Statistical parameters of estimated noise mark with Equation (4.10). $Mark = 10 - c_3 \hat{I}\_{\text{max}} - c_4 I_4$ engine equipped with the new piston bowls $B$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
<th>$c_4$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{mean}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$R(%)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.6 l [B]</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results Tables 4.12 and 4.13 summarize the statistics obtained with both models, together with the $c_i$ coefficients calculated in this case. As it was observed in previous studies, the statistics of the model is improved when the resonance component $I_4$ is included.

![Graphs showing Mark noise estimation with the new piston bowl (B)](image)

Figure 4.44 Mark noise estimation with the new piston bowl (B) 1.6 l Engine: single component (left), and two components (right) correlations.

Furthermore comparing the coefficients of the model calculated for the original engine (Tables 4.10 and 4.11) with those obtained in this study with the modified piston bowls (Tables 4.12 and 4.13), it results that $c_3$ is scarcely sensitive to the geometry of the combustion chamber. Just a small variation of this coefficient –for which in the worst case a maximum mark scatter lower than 0.47 could be induced– has been detected. This modification is in the expected range of variation due to the dispersion relative to the subjective evaluation of the noises by the jury. Taking into account these new results and those of the previous studies, it can be deduced that the coefficient $c_3$ is only dependent on the engine block structure.
Moreover, as it was expected, the $c_4$ coefficient seems to be highly sensitive to the geometrical features of the piston bowl. Effectively, the value of this coefficient obtained with bowl $H$ is different than that obtained with the standard bowl. These results would induce to determine a $c_4$ coefficient as a function of some characteristic parameter of the bowl geometry, such as for instance the diameter so that a general model could be defined. However, since the bowl geometry has been varied just in two levels in the current project, an accurate function for $c_4$ would be hardly obtained.
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5.1. Introduction

As it was already commented in Chapter 2, nowadays there is an increasing demand for the development of analysis tools for the study of engine acoustics. Unfortunately, only few techniques allow an estimation of the radiated noise levels and, in most of the cases, the accuracy of this estimation results inadequate. Consequently, taking into account the good results presented in the previous chapter for the assessment of the noise quality, a similar approach has been developed in order to evaluate the suitability of the in-cylinder pressure analysis to estimate the overall level of combustion noise.

In the second section, in order to have a point of reference, allowing an evaluation of the suitability of this new approach, a preliminary study is conducted using the classical methodology based on the attenuation curve, discussed in Section 2.5.1. Firstly, three standard attenuation curves found in literature and already introduced in Section 2.5.1 are used to estimate the combustion noise levels obtained with two different engine families:

- a 2.2 l engine, operating with two different injection strategies - single injection and double injection (pilot + main)

- a 1.6 l engine, operating with two different injection strategies - double injection (pilot + main, and multiple injection, 2 pilots + main)

For each engine family, optimized attenuation curves are calculated by averaging the attenuation curves estimated for all the operating conditions tested and minimizing the mean errors between measured and estimated noise level. The noise level estimations obtained using these optimized attenuation curves are then compared with those obtained with standard attenuation curves. The intention is to improve the results and to test the prediction capability of this classical approach.

In the third section a new approach based on the same methodology used for the assessment of the combustion noise quality is introduced. The procedure followed for the selection of the engine operation components and of the combustion components is highlighted. The noise levels estimated with this new approach are compared with those obtained experimentally and the results are confronted with the outcomes with the attenuation curve methodology.

In the last section of this chapter a first intent of assessing the combustion noise level in case of transient conditions is presented. Experiments are performed on the 1.6 l engine, two constant load acceleration ramps are evaluated. As for the stationary condition, also in this case two different approaches will be considered: a first based on the classical attenuation curve methodology, and a second inspired to the novel approach presented in this Thesis.
5.2. Suitability of block attenuation approach

In Section 2.5 four of the most widely used techniques for the analysis of the combustion noise have been described focusing on their suitability and limitations for the estimation of the radiated noise level. From this discussion it was concluded that the most reliable technique for the assessment of the noise level is based on the classical methodology introduced by Austen and Priester [18], also known as “block attenuation curve” or “structural attenuation” approaches.

This technique, described in Section 2.5.1, is based on the calculation of a curve representing the acoustic attenuation of the engine block, which is obtained as the difference between the in-cylinder pressure and the radiated noise 1/3-octave band spectra. In this theory, since a linear response of the engine structure is assumed, its characteristic attenuation curve can be used as a transfer function to estimate the sound pressure level spectrum of the engine noise from the in-cylinder pressure trace. Due to its simplicity and to the fact that the acquisition of the in-cylinder pressure signals is always available during engine development, this methodology is one of the most used for assessing the combustion noise level and hence it is adopted by several commercial noise meters.

In the following sections the results of a study on the attenuation curve approach will be shown. First of all a preliminary analysis of the suitability of standard attenuation curves for combustion noise level prediction will be presented. In order to improve the results, optimized attenuation curves will be calculated for each engine family. This will also permit to evaluate the potential and limits of this classical approach for noise level assessment. This analysis will provide a term of comparison for the novel approach that will be introduced in this Thesis.

5.2.1 Standard attenuation curves evaluation

A preliminary study has been conducted in order to evaluate the suitability of the standard attenuation curves introduced in Section 2.5.1. Figure 5.1 shows three standard 1/3-octave band attenuation curves: a classical curve proposed by Austen [8], the curve introduced by Russell [200,207] and the curve obtained from former studies at CMT Motores Térmicos 66 for a Euro 31 large automotive engine. Qualitatively, the three curves show similar trends. This means a high attenuation level at low frequencies that decays to a minimum in the band between 1 and 5 kHz. The attenuation level increases again for higher frequencies.

Quantitatively, in the low frequency range, a high scatter can be observed between the Austen curve and the other two curves, and in the mid-frequency range the attenuation levels of the three curves are different. These discrepancies could be due to the differences of the type, size and design concept of the engines tested in each case. The Austen curve was obtained testing a wide range of classic Diesel engines for truck and automotive applications, while Russell obtained his curve for first generation high speed direct injection Diesel engines.
Subtracting the attenuation curves from the averaged in-cylinder pressure spectrum, it is possible to estimate the sound pressure level spectrum of the radiated noise at any engine operating condition. In Figure 5.2, comparison between the overall noise levels evaluated with the standard attenuation curves discussed above and the measured noise levels is given for the 2.2 l and the 1.6 l engines. In both cases the plots evidence that, despite the rather high dispersion, this methodology leads to a good measured-estimated correlation. The estimations obtained with the Anderton and CMT curves are closer to the measurements than those obtained with the Russell curve. This is consistent with the fact that the highest contribution of radiated noise to the overall level is concentrated at mid-frequencies, precisely where Anderton and CMT curves are more similar.

Furthermore, it can be noticed that the standard curves are not suitable to predict the level of the noise radiated by the engine and, despite the acceptable correlation observed, an apparent disagreement between predicted and measured noise levels is noted, which indicates that the block structure response of these engine families are quite different from that of the engines characterized by the standard curves.

This observation is statistically corroborated by the absolute mean ($\bar{\epsilon}$mean), and maximal ($\epsilon_{\text{max}}$) errors, the standard deviation ($\sigma$), and the correlation coefficient ($R$), whose values are summarized in Table 5.1. Moreover, the disagreement is clearly more important in the case of the 1.6 l engine, which probably indicates that the block structure response of this engine is quite different to those of the engines characterized by the standard curves.
Figure 5.2: Noise level estimation with different standard attenuation curves: 2.9 l engine (left) and 1.6 l engine (right)
Table 5.1: Statistical parameters of estimated noise level with different standard attenuation curves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attenuation Curve</th>
<th>2.2 l Engine</th>
<th>1.6 l Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderton</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>12.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.2. Optimized attenuation curves

In order to improve the results and to evaluate the potential of the classical approach for noise level assessment, optimized attenuation curves have been obtained for both 2.2 l and 1.6 l engines. An optimized attenuation curve has been calculated for each engine, by averaging the attenuation curves estimated for all the operating conditions tested and minimizing the mean errors between measured and estimated noise levels. The results obtained will be used as reference points for the novel approach that will be presented in this Thesis.

5.2.2.1. Curves determination

As already commented in Section 2.5.1, measurements of engine radiated noise may be used for combustion noise assessment only when the combustion contribution to the radiated noise is predominant. According to Austin [18], an advanced injection causes a combustion noise increment which is clearly higher when compared with the corresponding mechanical noise increment. Therefore, if the level of the measured engine noise remains essentially constant when advancing the injection, it can be assumed that mechanical noise is dominant; otherwise, if the noise level increases, this indicates that combustion noise is dominant. This criterion has been respected in most of the conditions analyzed, and thus it can be assumed that the noise recorded can be considered representative of combustion noise. To get better results from such an analysis, according to Russell [20], it is advisable to measure the structure attenuation at different speeds and loads and to use at least 10 engine cycles to calculate a mean cylinder pressure spectrum. For all the operating conditions, an attenuation curve was obtained from the in-cylinder pressure signals and the engine noise measurements, both calculated in 1/3-octave band.

Figures 5.3 [a] and [b] show two examples of a set of data from the 2.2 l engine working with different injection settings, running at 750 rpm and 1200 rpm, respectively. Observing the in-cylinder pressure and noise spectra, it is clear the presence of peaks in correspondence with multiple values of the firing frequency dependent on engine speed. These peaks move to higher frequency ranges as the engine speed increases. In the higher frequency range (~5 kHz) of the in-cylinder pressure spectrum
is also evident the presence of a peak level due to the high frequency resonant pressure oscillation in the combustion chamber.

Figure 5.3: Examples of attenuation curve determination: large engine 750 rpm (a), 1200 rpm (b). Comparison between averaged attenuation curves at different rpm (c).

In Figures 5.3 (a’ and b’), are also included the averaged attenuation curves; a thick-solid line in the case of 750 rpm and a thick-dashed line for 1200 rpm. In each plot are contained the statistics relative to the comparison between the overall noise estimated with these averaged curves and the measured values. Even though in the case of the engine running at 750 rpm the use of the averaged attenuation curve for the estimation of the noise obtained with different injection settings leads to limited errors and dispersion of the same order of the measurement uncertainties; however, in the case of 1200 rpm the idea of using a single attenuation curve seems to be a
quite rough approach since it causes unacceptable mean and maximal errors as well as considerable dispersion.

Furthermore, notable differences can be observed also between the averaged attenuation curves calculated at different engine speeds, as shown in Figure 5.3 (c), where a comparison between the averaged curves for 750 and 1200 rpm is presented. Particularly, in correspondence of the characteristic "pass-band hole" in the range between 1 and 6 kHz, it can be observed that the averaged attenuation curves relative to these two different operating conditions present a scatter of approximately 2.5 dB.

From the previous analysis it can be concluded that different injection parameters as well as various engine working conditions should induce a considerable dispersion of the results in the assessment of the noise level with the attenuation curve methodology. In order to reduce these effects, the characteristic curve of each engine was obtained from an average of the results for all the operating conditions, minimizing the mean errors between measured and estimated noise levels.

![Figure 5.4: Optimized attenuation curves for the engines considered in this study](image)

Figure 5.4 shows the optimized curves obtained for the two engine families studied here. Even though the general shapes of both curves are similar to those shown in Figure 5.1, apparent differences in the attenuation level are observed. This observation induces to remark the high sensitivity of the attenuation curve to the mechanical issues of the engine structure, which does not permit to establish any kind of "universal curve" for combustion noise assessment in any type of Diesel engine.
Figure 5.5: Noise level estimation with optimized attenuation curves: large engine (left), small engine (right)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attenuation Curve</th>
<th>2.2 l Engine</th>
<th>1.6 l engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                   | $\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$ | $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ | $\sigma$ | $R$ | $\epsilon_{\text{mean}}$ | $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$ | $\sigma$ | $R$
| Optimized         | 1.44         | 6.27         | 1.23    | 96  | 1.18          | 3.97         | 0.89    | 98  |

Table 5.2: Statistical parameters of estimated noise level with optimized attenuation curves

5.2.2.2. Noise level prediction

Figure 5.5 shows that, with the optimized attenuation curves, a better collapse of the estimated noise level is obtained compared with the results obtained with standard attenuation curves shown in Figure 5.2, whose statistics are indicated in Table 5.1. These results improve notably regarding the standard deviation $\sigma$, but mean and maximal errors are rather high, as can be verified by the statistical parameters shown in Table 5.2.

As explained before, for each engine operating condition (speed and torque), noise variations have been obtained by changing the injection schemes and parameters (injected fuel quantities, injection timing, rail pressure, etc.). Figure 5.6 shows the comparison between measured and estimated noise levels with the optimized attenuation curve in the 1.6 l engine. This plot shows different tendencies as a function of the engine operating condition, evidencing the weakness of the classical approach for combustion noise evaluation at any running point. In fact, the tendency line of any set of injection scheme variation (at constant speed and torque) is different in comparison with the main diagonal. These results indicate that the attenuation curve is highly dependent on the in-cylinder source issues, which are strongly influenced by load and speed variations and also by injection parameter changes. Furthermore, it
Figure 5.6: Experimental evidence of the attenuation curve sensitivity to engine operating conditions. Noise level estimation with the optimized attenuation curve.

It is clear that the classical approach seems to be a suitable tool only for qualitative studies, but its weakness for quantification of combustion noise level at any operating condition is also clear. This situation puts in evidence the lack of diagnostic tools that account for in-cylinder source issues so that an accurate assessment of combustion noise in state-of-the-art Diesel engines at any operating conditions might be carried out.

5.3. Novel approach

According to the results presented in the previous section, it can be concluded that such a classical approach, based on the use of a block attenuation as a transfer function between the excitation (in-cylinder pressure) and the effect (radiated noise), do not seem to be feasible in practice for the analysis of combustion noise in state-of-the-art engines. This situation induces to attempt the combustion noise study following the same methodology that has been used for the development of the model for the assessment of the combustion noise quality.

That is, the overall level of combustion noise may be also assessed from the analysis of intuitive physical mechanisms in the noise source, directly extracted from in-
cylinder pressure measurements during engine operation. Difficulties associated with block vibration issues may thus be surpassed by establishing direct correlations between those mechanisms and the overall noise level. The sensitivity of combustion noise both to engine operating conditions and combustion strategies may be qualitatively evaluated through such correlations.

5.3.1. Indicators selection methodology

The approach proposed for the estimation of the radiated noise level in DI Diesel engines is based on the methodology presented in Chapter 3. First of all, indicators correlated with the excitation sources have been selected from the physical parameters that characterize the engine operation, i.e., engine speed, torque, fuel consumption, etc.

Then, taking advantage of the in-cylinder pressure decomposition technique described in the Section 3.5.1, indicators correlated to the combustion process; In this procedure, the in-cylinder pressure trace is decomposed into three sub-signals related to pseudo-motorized, combustion and combustion chamber resonance. These contributions may directly affect the level of the noise radiated by the engine. The pseudo-motorized signal does not represent any tendency related to combustion and thus it is considered just as a reference signal. The combustion signal characterizes the combustion process and its associated pressure evolution is strongly influenced by the rate of heat release, which is defined by the injection strategy for any operating condition. Furthermore, this strategy controls the time variation of this signal, which therefore contains information about the Diesel knock effect. Finally, the resonance sub-signal is associated with the oscillations of the burned gas inside the bowl ‘combustion chamber,’ due to the abrupt spatial pressure gradients caused by combustion.

5.3.1.1. Selection of engine operation indicators

With the purpose of defining the indicators allowing for engine noise assessment, a first group of engine operation parameters that physically may contribute to combustion noise has been considered. The correlation of each of the indicators with the rest has been firstly evaluated in order to detect redundant parameters and to form sub-groups of those parameters. With this aim, the adopted criterion considers that two indicators are redundant and belong to the same sub-group if their correlation coefficient is higher than 90%.

After the subgroups have been formed, the parameter having more physical sense regarding noise source issues has been selected as representative of its sub-group. Finally, a ranking of such parameters regarding their correlation with the measured engine noise has been formed. According to this procedure, the engine operation group consists of parameters that are indirectly related to the combustion process, such as engine speed, torque, intake air mass, boost pressure, fuel consumption (total and split), energizing time, timing, rail pressure, EGR rate, and fluid temperatures (air, coolant, oil, and fuel).
Figure 5.7: Ranking of the correlation coefficients of the engine operation parameters with the overall noise

Figure 5.7 shows the ranking of the engine operation parameters best correlated with engine noise level. This bar plot shows that in both engines, the engine speed results as the parameter with the highest correlation coefficient and that its contribution may be more important in the 2.2 L engine. These results indicate that engine speed is clearly the most relevant operation parameter that affects engine noise and therefore should be considered in the rest of the study.

Figure 5.8 evidences the dependence of the engine speed on the overall noise radiated by the engine. It is clear that the engine noise increases in proportion to the engine speed. As the noise level is expressed on a decibel logarithmic scale, a logarithmic fit has been evaluated to establish a relationship between engine speed and engine noise. In both engines, this type of alternative fit has given better correlation coefficients than the simple linear fit, as indicated in Figure 5.8.

The strong influence of engine speed on noise was already remarked in the literature. In Section 2.5.2, it has been shown that in most of the empirical formulae for the noise level prediction, the engine speed appears in a logarithmic tendency. However, Figure 5.8 shows that this parameter used singularly is not sufficient to describe the phenomenon. At a given engine speed, noise variations have been obtained by changing the combustion characteristics through injection settings, and these generated a high dispersion of the noise levels also at constant engine speed. Therefore, it seems evident that other parameters representative of the combustion process itself should be considered for an accurate prediction of the overall noise! In this sense, the main issue of the new approach is to use combustion indicators together with the most significant operation parameter in a multiple regression with the aim of estimating the level of radiated noise more accurately than with the classical approach.

Moreover, in order to achieve a more practical and useable dimensionless equation, non-dimensional parameters have been considered in the study. According to this, the selected operation indicator is associated with the engine speed non-dimensionalized by the idle speed and hence a preliminary indicator $I_{n_i}$ quantified
by the following equation, is defined:

\[ I_n = \log_{10} \left( \frac{n}{n_{idle}} \right) \]  \[5.1\]

where \( n \) is the actual engine speed and \( n_{idle} \) is the engine speed under an idle condition, set to 750 rpm for any of the engines used in this study.

### 5.3.1.2. Selection of combustion indicators

According to the last comments of the previous subsection, parameters that characterize the issues of the in-cylinder source (due to combustion process) have been now defined so that a combustion indicator group has been formed.

Several indicators determined from the decomposed signals—compression-expansion \((p_{\text{comp}})\), combustion \((p_{\text{comb}})\) and resonance \((p_{\text{res}})\)—have been included in the list relative to the combustion indicators group. Some of the considered indicators are the maximum pressure \((p_{\text{max}})\) and maximum first \((dp_{\text{max}} / dt)\) and second order pressure derivatives \((dp_{\text{max}}^2 / dt^2)\). Other evaluated indicators have been the mean pressure \((p_{\text{mean}})\), signal energy \((E)\), and sound pressure levels \((L)\); these indicators coincide with those used in the sound quality study.

Due to the different injection strategies used in this work, some parameters have been defined considering also the contribution of the split injection through the combustion sub-signal. Consequently, considering the definition of the combustion indicator \(I_{3\text{max}}\) developed for the study of the combustion noise quality, a parameter obtained from the addition of the two maximal pressure derivative peaks of the combustion signal \((dp_{\text{max1}} + dp_{\text{max2}})_{\text{comb}}\) was included as a possible component in the analysis. The characteristic value of each indicator has been quantified by the average of the values estimated for all the cycles recorded in the four cylinders.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-group</th>
<th>Non-dimensional Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>$E_{res}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii</td>
<td>$(p_{\text{mean}})<em>{\text{comb}} \cdot (p</em>{\text{max}})<em>{\text{comb}} \cdot E</em>{\text{comb}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii</td>
<td>$(dp_{\text{max}})_{\text{comb}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv</td>
<td>$(dp_{\text{max}1} + dp_{\text{max}2})_{\text{comb}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>$(d^2p_{\text{max}})_{\text{comb}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi</td>
<td>$L_{\text{comb}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii</td>
<td>$L_{\text{res}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 Sub-groups of non-dimensional combustion indicators

Since the compression-expansion sub-signal does not contain information relative to combustion, it has been used to obtain non-dimensional indicators. To do this, each parameter relative to the combustion and resonant sub-signals has been divided by the value of the same parameter associated with the pseudo-motor signal. However, dividing the maximal first and second order pressure derivative by the same quantities relative to the pseudo-motor signal would make them lose the time information. To recover it, as in the sound quality study, the engine speed has been used in a convenient way, so that the pressure rate-of-change could be quantified by the defined indicators.

As it has been done with the operation indicators, the correlation of each one of the non-dimensional combustion indicators with the rest has been firstly evaluated in order to detect redundant parameters (correlation coefficient higher than 90%). This procedure has led to the formation of seven sub-groups listed in Table 5.3.

As it has been observed before during the selection of the operation indicators, the correlation of the parameters listed in Table 5.3 with the noise level is also increased when a logarithmic functional dependence is used. With this purpose, the logarithm of every “pressure element” of the combustion indicator sub-groups (the pressure level parameters were already quantified in decibels) have been calculated. In this way, the non-dimensional parameters of sub-groups (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) considerably increase their correlation with noise, while this transformation does not affect the relation with the element of sub-group (iv). In addition, this action leads to a reduction in the number of the sub-groups to be analyzed, as is shown in Table 5.4.

Concerning this ranking, a classical statistic analysis has led to conclude that the parameters in sub-groups (ii) and (iii) were the worst correlated with the measured noise level and therefore, have been rejected for further analysis. Furthermore, it has been verified that the parameters belonging to sub-group (v) were highly correlated with engine speed. Since the basis of the proposed procedure is to use operation and combustion indicators in a multiple regression equation for overall noise assessment, the selected parameters must be linearly independent. This condition and the fact that the operation parameter is easier to be evaluated have induced to dispense with the parameters in sub-group (v). On the other hand, the parameters in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-group</th>
<th>Non-dimensional Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>( \log(E_{\text{res}}) \cdot L_{\text{res}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>( \log((p_{\text{mean}})<em>{\text{comb}}), \log((p</em>{\text{max}})<em>{\text{comb}}), \log(E</em>{\text{comb}}) \cdot L_{\text{comb}}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>( \log((d_{\text{p}<em>{\text{max}}})</em>{\text{comb}}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>( \frac{(d_{\text{p}<em>{\text{max}}})</em>{\text{comb}}}{(d_{\text{p}<em>{\text{max}}} + d</em>{\text{p}<em>{\text{max}}^2})</em>{\text{comb}}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>( \log((d_{\text{p}<em>{\text{max}}^2})</em>{\text{comb}}) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4: Sub-groups of non-dimensional combustion indicators when the log-
arithmetic dependence is assumed

Sub-groups (I) and (IV) were the highest correlated with the overall noise and also
linearly independent from the engine speed.

Finally, two combustion indicators have been extracted from sub-groups (IV) and (I), which have been statistically selected on the basis of their correlation degree
with the noise level radiated by the engine. Respectively, the two selected combustion
indicators are defined as:

\[
\frac{n}{n_{\text{data}}} \frac{(d_{\text{p}_{\text{max}}}/dt)_{\text{comb}} + (d_{\text{p}_{\text{max}}}/dt)_{\text{comb}}^2}{(d_{\text{p}_{\text{max}}}/dt)_{\text{puls}}},
\]

and

\[
\log_{10} \left( \frac{E_{\text{res}}}{E_{\text{os-mot}}} \right).
\]

The definition of the combustion indicator extracted from the sub-groups (IV) coincide
with that of the \( I_{3,\text{max}} \) indicator (Equation (4.8)) selected for the assessment of the
noise quality. As commented before, in a physical sense, this indicator characterizes
the sudden in-cylinder pressure rise associated with the high combustion velocity
responsible for the “knock” effect characteristic of Diesel combustion. On the other
hand, the combustion indicator extracted from the sub-groups (I) and defined as
Equation (5.3), is significant of the contribution of the combustion chamber resonances
to block excitation. It can be transformed into the \( I_n \) indicator (Equation (4.4)), by
simply multiplying the argument of the logarithm of the constant \( E_0 \). This permits
to use the same combustion indicators selected for the assessment of the combustion
quality also for the analysis of the noise level.

With the aim of evaluating the correlation degree of these indicators, in Figure
5.9 the relation between these and the measured engine noise in the two engines studied is plotted. These figures show that at the same operating conditions, speed and torque, the overall noise level is linearly correlated with both indicators individually. However, it seems clear that none of them is alone suitable for engine noise assessment. This observation is supported by the statistic parameters summarized in Table
5.5, where results with the preliminary \( I_n \) indicator have been also included. These
parameters show that even though the correlation of each single indicator with the engine noise is adequately high, the errors and the dispersion level are still inadmissible for engine noise evaluation. Furthermore, these results should be also interpreted in the sense that the defined indicators contain complementary information so that it is imperative to consider the whole set and not individual components.

![Graphs showing the relation between combustion indicators and engine noise](image)

Figure 5.9: Relation between combustion indicators $I_{3\text{max}}$ and $I_4$ with the measured engine noise: 2.2 l engine (left), 1.6 l engine (right)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single Component</th>
<th>2.2 l Engine</th>
<th>1.6 l Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\xi}$</td>
<td>$\xi_{\text{max}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_n$</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{3\text{max}}$</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_4$</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5: Statistical parameters for single-component correlations
Table 5.6: Statistical parameters for two-components correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Two Components</th>
<th>( 2.2 ) l Engine</th>
<th>( 1.6 ) l Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{mean}} )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} )</td>
<td>( \tau )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{dB} )</td>
<td>( \text{dB} )</td>
<td>( % )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_n )</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{3\text{max}} )</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_n ) ( \oplus ) ( I_4 )</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{3\text{max}} ) ( \oplus ) ( I_4 )</td>
<td>( \text{dB} )</td>
<td>( \text{dB} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7: Coefficient \( k_i \) calculated for three-components correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three Components</th>
<th>( 2.2 ) l Engine</th>
<th>( 1.6 ) l Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_1 )</td>
<td>( k_n )</td>
<td>( k_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_n )</td>
<td>76.28</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2 Noise level prediction

In the proposed approach the three most relevant indicators characteristic of engine operation and combustion process –selected in the previous sections– have been used to determine suitable relations with the engine noise level. The analysis exposed above evidenced that single component relations lead to results worse than those obtained with the classical approach and hence, with the aim to improve noise estimation, multiple component correlations have been also evaluated.

The results of the correlation between engine noise and two-component regressions can be evaluated through the statistical parameters given in Table 5.6. As expected, a noticeable improvement was observed in these results in comparison with those using single component regressions. Furthermore, any of the combustion indicators \( I_{3\text{max}} \) or \( I_4 \) together with the operation indicator \( I_n \) permits a noise level estimation with an accuracy higher than that expected with the classical approach. However, the pair of indicators \( I_{3\text{max}} \) and \( I_4 \) does not notably improve the statistics obtained with the single combustion component approach.

Finally a multiple regression with the three components \( I_n \) \( I_{3\text{max}} \) and \( I_4 \) was considered for the overall noise ON estimation as:

\[
\text{ON} = k_0 + k_n I_n + k_{3\text{max}} I_{3\text{max}} + k_4 I_4
\]

where coefficients \( k_i \) were found to be dependent on the engine family, as shows Table 5.7.

Results are evaluated through the statistical parameters summarized in Table 5.8, where high correlation coefficients are observed for both engines considered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three Components</th>
<th>2.2 L Engine</th>
<th>1.6 L Engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_{i0}; I_{i}; I_{i}$</td>
<td>$\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{mean}}$ dB</td>
<td>$\bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{mean}}$ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ dB</td>
<td>$\sigma$ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{R}$ (%)</td>
<td>$\text{R}$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.8: Statistical parameters for three-component correlations

and maximal error are considerably lower compared with the results obtained with the classical block attenuation approach shown in Tables 5.1 and 5.2.

![2.2 L Engine - Three-Components Equation](image1)
![1.6 L Engine - Three-components Equation](image2)

Figure 5.10: Noise estimation with the proposed approach: 2.2 L engine (left), 1.6 L engine (right).

Figure 5.11 presents the comparison between the noise levels estimated with the multiple correlation equation with three indicators and the noise levels observed experimentally. The agreement may be regarded as very good.

Figure 5.11 shows the relation between the three-components approach based on Equation (5.4) and the measured overall noise in the small engine. In which it is verified that at all operating conditions the tendency lines have a slope comparable to the diagonal, and a divergence lower than that found in the same cases evaluated with the optimized attenuation curve and shown in Figure 5.6. This observation indicates that unlike the classical methodology, the proposed approach is robust to injection parameter variations since it contains components of the combustion process that are sensitive to these changes. This feature permits not only a suitable tool for noise estimation but also the prediction of the effect of a given injection strategy on engine noise, which is useful for the optimization of injection strategies aiming at noise reduction.

177
Figure 5.11: Relation between three components approach and measured engine noise in small engine

5.4. Noise level assessment in transient operation

The studies presented so far and most of the works available in the literature address combustion noise at steady operation. However, only a small portion of a vehicle's operating pattern is true steady-state, e.g., when cruising on a motorway or at idle condition. In real applications, an automotive engine is operating in transient conditions more than in steady-state. This lack of research is mainly due to the additional difficulties that the transient operation brings to the already complex combustion noise generation phenomenon.

In transient operation, engine noise is not comparable to that radiated in steady operation since several variables governing the combustion law and hence the noise source are changing continuously along the transient [190, 233]. Many works [68, 92, 213] evidenced that transient overall engine noise exceeds steady-state levels. This is due to the lower cylindrical wall temperature during the first phase of the transient event. On the one hand, in the first cycles after a load or speed raise, the injected fuel quantity increases substantially cooling down the charge-air temperature. On the other hand, the temperature of the cylinder wall increases at a slower rate due to its internal inertia. This results in longer ignition delay that causes a harsher combustion and consequently higher combustion noise levels.

Modern, electronically controlled, common rail injection systems can control
this phenomenon by:

- optimizing the injection rate, for example by pilot injections, in order to reduce
  the premixed combustion phase.

- increasing the combustion chamber temperature by regulating the EGR valve
  or by increasing the turbocharger compression boost pressure.

However, also with modern engines, noise increase during transients compared
with steady state operation is still apparent [4]. Accordingly, it is necessary to develop
experimental tools that permit the assessment of the combustion noise level also in
transient operation [167, 168]. To surpass this limitation, two possible approaches
—adapted from the classical and multiple regression methods—are evaluated in this section.

In the case of transient tests, experiments have been performed on the 1.6 l
engine, two constant load acceleration ramps were evaluated. From a steady condition
of 1300 rpm, the engine was sped up over 2700 rpm while maintaining the torque 4 k
Nm. The electronic control of the dynamometer permitted torque variations lower
than ±5% in all tests. In this study, two durations were considered:

- Short acceleration - acceleration time of 1 s
- Long acceleration - acceleration time of 14 s.

Both in-cylinder pressure and noise records were synchronized with the crankshaft
motion by means of a tachometric signal supplied by an optical encoder attached to
this shaft. With this system, accurate time correlation between in-cylinder pressure
and noise signals with engine speed was ensured.

Figure 5.12(a) shows the two opening laws set in the pedal actuator. In both
cases, the pedal started at 11% of full position and linearly increased up to 19% in
the acceleration times indicated above. The temporal evolutions of the engine speed
measured during these accelerations are plotted in Figure 5.12(b). As expected, the
duration of engine acceleration was longer than the pedal variation because of the
inertia of the engine-dyno system.

5.4.1. Proposed Approach

In this study, as for the study on the engine operating in stationary condition,
two different approaches will be presented. First of all, the feasibility of the attenuation
curve method described in Section 5.2 for noise level assessment in transient operating
conditions will be explored. With this purpose, the optimized block attenuation curve
(shown in Figure 5.4) has been used to predict the overall level temporal evolution of
the noise radiated by the engine in transient operation.

In offer an alternative to the attenuation curve approach, a second procedure
based on the definition of indicators relative to engine operation that are characteristic
Figure 5.12: Engine control during transient tests: (a) actuation laws of the accelerator pedal. (b) Temporal evolution of the engine speed.

of dominant noise sources has been proposed. As a first approach, the procedure proposed in Section 5.3 was considered. In this procedure, combustion components determined from the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure into three sub-signals—pseudo-motored combustion and resonance—were proposed to assess the overall engine noise at steady operation conditions. Despite the high degree of accuracy of such a procedure, its application to transient running conditions was discarded, mainly due to the increased complexity that may be involved when determining the pseudo-motored sub-signal.

In the proposed approach, only the spirit of such a method has been followed, so that components representative of the noise sources were also explored. Taking advantage of the results of the study in steady condition, the engine speed in logarithmic scale has been directly selected as the most relevant operating condition parameter that affects engine noise. Combustion components that characterize the in-cylinder
Table 5.9: Ranking of the correlation coefficient of combustion components with the overall noise level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Linear dependence</th>
<th>Logarithmic dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$(dp/dt)_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(d^2p/dt^2)_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{v}_{\text{mean}}$</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...caused by combustion... such as maximum and mean pressure... maximum first... and second order pressure derivatives, and sound pressure level were also explored. However, in this case, only the total in-cylinder pressure signal has been considered, furthermore, only measures performed with multiple injection strategies have been analyzed. The value of each component was quantified by the average of the values estimated for all the cycles recorded in the four cylinders. The redundant components with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.9 were grouped and the most representative one of each group was considered as a candidate.

The analysis performed with the candidates of each group led to the conclusion that, together with the engine speed, the maximum of the first order in-cylinder pressure derivative was the most significant variables that affected the measured overall noise level. The ranking of the correlation coefficient between the first four most significant combustion variables is summarized in Table 5.9. The selected combustion component characterizes the sudden in-cylinder pressure rise, which is associated with the high combustion velocity responsible for the 'knock' effect during Diesel combustion. This, in turn, induces the medium and high frequency oscillation of gas in the chamber and hence contributes to engine noise. The effect of the engine load is included in this component.

In this approach, these two indicators that are characteristic of engine operation and the combustion process are used to determine a suitable relation with the engine noise level. As for the indicators selected for the stationary conditions, in order to achieve a more practical and useable dimensionless equation, non-dimensional parameters were considered. With this purpose, the operation and combustion components were referenced to those relative to idle operation, which is representative of the minimum running speed and the condition at which the lowest noise level due to combustion is expected. Finally, the first selected indicators is $I_n$, the same as for the stationary condition model, defined by the Equation (5.1). The second indicator is defined as

$$I_t = \log_{10} \frac{n \cdot (dp/dt)_{\text{max}}^n}{n_{\text{idle}} (dp/dt)_{\text{max}}^n_{\text{idle}}} \quad (5.5),$$

where $(dp/dt)_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum value of the in-cylinder pressure signal deriv-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{mean}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_d, l_l$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.10: Statistical parameters of estimated noise level with multiple regression

and $(dp/dt)_{\text{idle}}^{\text{max}}$ is the peak value of the in-cylinder pressure derivative at idle operation.

As shown in Table 5.9, the statistical analysis carried out in the study confirmed that the use of a logarithmic functional dependence on the selected variables improves the correlation of these with the overall noise. These results are consistent with the fact that the noise level is commonly expressed on a decibel logarithmic scale. The multiple regression method for estimating the overall engine noise $\Omega_N$ was obtained considering these two variables:

$$\Omega_N = t_0 + t_n l_n + t_l l_l$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)

where the coefficients $t_0$, $t_n$, and $t_l$ characterize the evaluated engine.

![Multiple Regression](image)

Figure 5.13: Noise level estimation with the multiple regression approach

Figure 5.13 shows the comparison between the noise levels estimated with this
multiple correlation equations with two indicators and the noise levels observed experimentally. A good collapse is observed and the agreement may also be regarded as very good. The statistical parameters summarized in Table 5.10, evidence the high reliability of the proposed method. Mean and maximal errors, standard deviation are considerably lower compared with those obtained with the attenuation block methodology (Table 5.2).

These results are also better than the statistical parameters obtained with three component correlations (Table 5.8). This is due to the fact that the analysis for the study in transient operation has been performed on a smaller set of measures, considering only multiple injection strategies. Generally, it has been observed that the fact of replacing \( I_{3\text{max}} \) and \( I_\text{a} \) with \( I_\text{b} \) leads to a deterioration of the results. However, as a first approach, the regression used for the transient operation provides an adequate trade-off between calculation simplicity and accuracy.

5.4.2. Noise level prediction

In both proposed approaches, the pulse signal generated by each crankshaft turn of the optical encoder was used to isolate the in-cylinder pressure traces of the four cylinders on a cycle-to-cycle basis. Then, the four traces were added in the time domain in order to obtain the pressure evolution characteristic of the noise source during each cycle.

For noise estimation through the attenuation curve approach, the 1/3 octave band spectrum of this signal was calculated using traditional Fourier analysis. Subtracting the optimized attenuation curve from the in-cylinder pressure spectrum gives an estimate of the spectrum of the radiated noise [during one cycle]. Finally, overall noise was calculated from the estimated spectrum. Applying the same procedure to all the measured cycles, the temporal evolution of the overall noise could be predicted.

For noise assessment by means of multiple regression, the instantaneous engine speed was determined by recording the crank angle signal supplied by the optical encoder coupled to the crankshaft. The cycle-to-cycle isolated in-cylinder pressure signals permit the calculation of four maximal pressure derivatives. Finally, the cycle-to-cycle overall noise level was calculated by using Equation (5.6) with the averaged values of those derivatives and the instantaneous engine speed.

Figure 5.14 shows the comparison between the predicted and measured engine noise level at each of the acceleration ramps considered in the study. The measurements [compare Figures 5.14 and 5.12(b)] evidence that engine noise is highly correlated with engine speed, and that the produced noise variation 'around' 10 dB, was high enough to permit the evaluation of the potential of both approaches for noise assessment during transients. Furthermore, the rise in noise is also due to other engine operating parameters, such as injection pressure, timings, fuel mass, and EGR rate, which are varied by the electronic control unit during the acceleration.

The comparison of the measurements with the overall noise estimated with the two procedures leads to the following remarks.
Figure 5.14: Sound pressure level at transient conditions. Comparison between measured and estimated evolution both with the classical and the multiple regression approaches. (a) short acceleration. (b) long acceleration.
- Even though the predicted noise level variation during the accelerations ('6-9 dB') is lower than that observed in the measurements, the temporal evolution has been acceptably reproduced with the two procedures.

- The approach based on the classical attenuation curve overestimates the noise level before the acceleration, when the engine operates in the steady condition, and in the first part of the ramp. The agreement between prediction and measurement is good at the end of the ramps, but the model underestimates the sound pressure level after the acceleration, when the engine goes back to steady operation.

- As observed in the analysis in steady operation, better results were obtained with the approach based on multiple regression. A good correspondence between measured and predicted data was achieved, and the maximum estimation error was below 2.5 dB for both accelerations analyzed. Despite these discrepancies, the proposed procedure can be considered to be a suitable approach for engine noise level assessment in transient conditions since the maximum error observed is of the same order of magnitude as that obtained in steady conditions and is closer to the uncertainty of the measurement.
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6.1. Conclusions

Summarizing the results that have been presented in this thesis, the main conclusions can be structured in different groups according to the various phases followed in the development of this work. The first conclusions can be deducted from the analysis of the state of the art of combustion noise framing the problematic of the combustion noise generation and justifying the novel approach developed in this thesis. In the second group, the conclusions deducted from the theoretical and experimental methodology will be highlighted. Finally, from the analysis of the results obtained with the proposed methodology, two categories of conclusions will be presented: the first, relative to the assessment of the combustion noise quality and the second, focused on the prediction of the overall noise level.

From the analysis of the state of the art of combustion noise a first group of conclusions can be remarked:

- The basis of the combustion noise theory has been introduced by describing the physical interpretation of the combustion noise generation phenomena. Experimental and theoretical approaches for the analysis of simplified flame models have been described evidencing the difficulties and limitations connected to a rigorous physical methodology. The main result of this study is a general understanding of the physics of the problems related to combustion noise generation and the important influence of heat-release, fuel heating value as well as flame speed on the acoustic emission.

- The importance of the combustion as noise source has been highlighted and compared to the other sources of noise of an internal combustion engine. The main techniques for the identification of the noise sources and for the limitation of their effects have been described. From this study, it has been concluded that till now, no truly satisfactory solution in terms of costs and reliability has been found. Furthermore, the different phases of the noise transmission flow have been analyzed, from the main source—the in-cylinder combustion—to the radiation of noise due to the vibration of the engine surfaces. This has evidenced that there are several patterns of acoustic energy propagation through the engine block whose response is highly nonlinear and time variant.

- Once the importance of the combustion has been established, a deeper analysis of the in-cylinder pressure has been done, and the main ways to control its evolution have been described. Decreasing ignition delay period, reducing fuel injected during the ignition delay period, restrict airflow/fuel mixing during the ignition delay period are the three basic ways to reduce the noise of a fully developed engine. Moreover, the combustion chamber resonance effect has been presented and the different parameters influencing this phenomenon have been analyzed. The resonance frequencies are proportional to the speed of sound in the gas and inversely proportional to the bore. Also, the bowl geometry and the location of the start of combustion have a strong influence on this phenomenon.
- The classic techniques for the analysis of combustion noise have been presented focusing on the potential and limits of these approaches for the estimation of the radiated noise level. Due to its simplicity, the block attenuation curve technique is one of the most used for the assessing of combustion noise. Unfortunately, this methodology leads to quite high estimation errors. This is mainly due to the inexact basic assumption that the engine structure behaves as a linear system. Also empirical formulae give only rough estimations; they can be used for the comparison of engines of different families but their use in not appropriate when an accurate assessment of the noise level is researched. The use of time-frequency methods is only limited to qualitative considerations. Numerical methods, like FEM and BEM, have the advantage that do not require hardware for their application and that a lot of modification can be analyzed through simulations. On the other hand, these methods do not give satisfactory results in a frequency range between 500 and 1500 Hz; their use is not recommended to predict absolute noise levels. This analysis of the classical approaches exhibited the necessity of developing practical and reliable techniques for the assessment of the combustion noise characteristics that could be used already in the first phase of the engine design.

- The concept of sound quality applied to the combustion noise has been described together with the basic techniques for a subjective evaluation of the noise. Furthermore, the main approaches found in literature to give an objective assessment for the concept of noise quality have been presented. This study evidenced the imperative necessity of listening tests in order to get a reliable evaluation of the combustion noise quality.

- The analysis of the state of the art of combustion noise demonstrated clearly the great difficulties to assess the combustion noise phenomenon and suggested the basic idea of the methodology developed in this Thesis: the definition of direct correlation between in-cylinder pressure evolution and the characteristics of the external sound field produced by the engine.

After evidencing the necessity of a new approach for the study of combustion noise, a novel methodology has been developed. From this phase, the following conclusions can be highlighted:

- As the approach described in this Thesis is basically experimental, first of all, an experimental set-up has been defined, so that the simultaneous recording of in-cylinder pressure signals and radiated noise was allowed. Furthermore, due to the acoustical purpose of this work, particular characteristics of the experimental set-up have been required as the binaural recording of noise and the realization of the measurements in an anechoic room.

- Once defined the experimental devices, an adequate measurement methodology has been developed. As noise is a time-related phenomenon, all measures have been performed at time-constant sampling. Moreover, in order to record the pseudo-motored signals, an electronic device has been used to cut periodically the injection in a cylinder.
- A methodology for the decomposition of the in-cylinder pressure signals has been used, considering it as the sum of three main sub-signals: combustion, pseudo-motored and resonance. This permitted the definition of parameters related to the combustion through its in-cylinder pressure evolution.

- A statistical procedure has been defined for the selection of the main engine operation indicators, as well as combustion indicators, better correlated with the characteristics of the noise radiated by the engine, taking into account subjective criteria (noise quality) and also objective criteria (overall noise level).

- A methodology in order to evaluate the noise quality through listening tests has been defined. The basic rules for the selection of the jury members have been described and a statistic analysis to assure the consistency of the jury has been performed.

The methodology introduced in this Thesis has been used for the assessment of both sound quality and overall level of combustion noise. From the results obtained in the study of the noise quality the following conclusions can be remarked:

- In case of engines operating with single and conventional injection strategies, two combustion indicators have been selected: \( I_3 \), related to the initial high fuel burning velocity, and \( I_4 \), relative to the combustion chamber resonance phenomenon. These showed to be the best correlated with the jury’s mark representing the engine noise quality.

- With these selected combustion indicators, multiple linear regressions have been found that permit a good estimation of the noise quality. The indicator \( I_3 \) resulted as the most significative parameter in any possible multiple component correlation. \( I_4 \) represents a complementary variable that supply the lack of information in \( I_3 \) and its importance resulted to be dependent on the engine family considered. The coefficients of the multiple regression, \( c_3 \) and \( c_4 \), depend on the size of the engine and on the structural features of the block.

- From the preliminary study on the multiple injection it has been experimentally confirmed that the post injection does not have any influence on the noise quality, this simplified the further investigations reducing the study to three injection strategies.

- In the case of engines operating with multiple injection strategies, the combustion indicator \( I_{3\max} \) has been selected, together with \( I_4 \), for the assessment of the noise quality. This new indicator, used as a single variable in a linear regression or in a multiple regression model with \( I_4 \), showed to give very good results with multiple as well as conventional injection strategies.

- In the study of the sensitivity of the noise quality to the combustion parameters the influence of the rail pressure, fuel mass injected, start of injection and EGR rate on the the jury’s mark has been confirmed. The trends of noise quality evolution against combustion parameters can be used as guidelines for future developments of combustion settings offering the possibility to optimize the injection strategies from the point of view of the combustion noise quality.
- From the study on the influence of the combustion chamber geometry, it has been deduced that the combustion noise is scarcely sensitive to the geometry of the piston bowl at least with the engine considered in the study. However, this affects the noise quality prediction model, particularly the coefficient $c_2$, resulted to be highly sensitive to the geometrical features of the piston bowl.

From the results obtained in the study of the noise level, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The potential and limits of the attenuation curve methodology for the assessment of the noise level have been showed. This study demonstrated the weakness of this standard approach for quantification of combustion noise levels at any operating condition and evidenced the lack of diagnostic tools for an accurate assessment of the overall noise level.

- An engine operation indicator ($I_n$, logarithmically linked to the engine speed) and the same combustion indicators as before ($I_3_{max}$ and $I_4$) were the best correlated with the overall level (expressed in decibels) of engine noise.

- The selected indicators have been used in a multiple regression equation in order to assess the noise level radiated by the engine. The agreement between the noise estimated with this predicting model and the noise level observed experimentally may be regarded as very good. Furthermore, unlike the attenuation curve methodology, the proposed approach contains components of the combustion process that are sensitive to injection parameter variations leading to a better estimation.

- A novel approach and the classical attenuation methodology have been used to predict the instantaneous overall level of combustion noise radiated by the engine in transient operation. Both procedures reproduced with a good agreement the temporal evolution. As for the analysis in steady operation, a better agreement between measured and prediction results has been obtained with the approach based on multiple regression.

The results of this Thesis offer a direct application in the design of predicting tools suitable for the assessment of the quality of combustion noise as well as of the overall noise level. Since this approach is mainly based on the noise source diagnosis through the in-cylinder pressure trace, which is usually available during the engine development phase, it arises as an alternative to the classical approaches suitable not only for engine noise estimation but also for the definition of optimal injection settings regarding combustion noise control.

### 6.2. Future works

The natural continuation of the works presented in this Thesis is the acoustical analysis based on the proposed approach of the emerging new Diesel combustion
concepts (Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition-HCCI, Premixed Charge Compression Ignition-PCCI, etc.) which are intrinsically noisy. Since these new concepts have been developed to comply with the increasingly restrictive emission standards without efficiency penalties, for this study the experimental set-up should also permit a control of the engine emissions and performance. Analogously, acoustical analysis should be conducted considering alternative fuels such as ethanol and biodiesel.

Another possible continuation could be the development of a dedicated software for the assessment of the combustion noise characteristics. This software would be based on the predicting models developed in this work permitting an acoustic optimization of the injection strategies from the first phases of an engine development and avoiding the necessity of long and expensive acoustic measurements and listening tests.

Furthermore, a data base of coefficients for the multiple regression equations should be created considering a wider range of engine families and sizes. Using extrapolations based on similar engines, it would be possible to predict the characteristics of the noise radiated by a new engine type already in the first phases of design.

Further efforts should be dedicated to the subjective evaluation of the noise quality. Due to the high costs and complexity of the listening tests, it has also to be considered the need to develop a listening tool that may facilitate both the performance of the tests by the jury, and the evaluation a posteriori of the consistency of the jury members.

Considering the encouraging results obtained in transient operation, further investigations should be done on this topic. Other transient conditions could be considered and particular attention should be dedicated to the cold start, where much lower cylinder wall temperatures lead to even longer ignition delay periods with a consequent noise deterioration.
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A.1. Experimental devices

In appendix the main features of the anechoic chamber will be presented. Furthermore, some important characteristics of the in-cylinder pressure measurement system and of the binaural measurement system will be defined and, to conclude, a short description of the ECU control system and of the engine test bench will be given.

A.1.1. Anechoic chamber description

![Figure A.1: Anechoic chamber plan - dimensions are expressed in mm](image)

All combustion noise measurements have been performed in an anechoic chamber, a room in which there are no echoes. The plan is presented in Figure A.1. In order to simulate free field conditions and to easily contain an engine and an electric brake the chamber has been built with generous internal dimensions, a 9.5 x 9 m base and a height of 7.2 m. Echoes are suppressed within the chamber by the installation of wedges made of fiberglass, an acoustically absorptive material. The wedge shapes act as a waveguide to focus the incident sound waves into the absorptive material that
converts the acoustic energy to heat. The wedges are disposed in an alternating pattern in order to achieve a more uniform angular absorption. This chamber has fixed wedges on five of its six sides; the wedges of a lateral wall are removable allowing the transformation to a semi-anechoic chamber useful for exhaust noise measurements. In anechoic chambers low frequency waves are not effectively absorbed by the wedges, the frequency at which the energy absorption drops below 99%, or pressure reflection exceeds 10%, is known as the low-frequency cut-off. The anechoic chamber used has a low-frequency cut-off of 100 Hz.

In order to get an effective isolation from possible noise and vibrations present in the external environment, the walls of the chamber are built of cement forming a dense shell that leans on a water-repellent coat. The bottom of the chamber is also composed of wedges and the floor is formed by iron meshes suspended above the bottom by leaning on an iron frame.

In the anechoic chamber there is an efficient ventilation system designed in order to avoid any disturbance to the acoustic measures. The speed of the air in the chamber is limited by using big section ducts, two for the introduction of the air and one for the extraction. The system is automatically controlled by the use of 4 pressure sensors, 4 temperature sensors and a carbon monoxide sensor constantly monitoring the chamber.

Every tested engine is positioned at center of the anechoic chamber and directly coupled to an electric dynamo. This asynchronous electric brake is acoustically isolated from the engine by means of sound damping panels. The engine noise is binaurally recorded by a torso head located at a height of 1.65 m from the floor and 1 m away from the engine block to avoid near field effects and in front of cylinder 4. The farthest cylinder from the electric brake, opposite to the side where the turbo-group and the exhaust system are located. Furthermore every auxiliary element that could represent a significant noise source are covered up with isolating foam. The intention is to minimize the effects of the accessory and flow noise sources and to record a noise where the combustion contribution is predominant.

A.1.2. In-cylinder pressure measurement system

For the in-cylinder pressure measurement piezoelectric pressure transducers have been chosen. This type of sensors take advantage of the electrical properties of naturally occurring crystals that generate an electrical charge when they are strained. On the one hand, the piezoelectric sensors present some drawback: they require charge amplification circuitry, are very susceptible to shocks and vibrations and sensitive to electrical parasites. On the other hand this type of sensors present also many advantages such as a very good linearity of the response and a high dynamic range. Furthermore the piezoelectric pressure transducers are rugged and, depending on the materials employed, have an extreme stability over temperature enabling sensors to have working ranges of thousands of °C. Besides, thanks to their characteristic small size, these sensors can be easily assembled on a glow plug adapter and installed directly in the combustion chambers. For these reasons the piezoelectric pressure transducers
Figure A.2: Simplified transducer, cable and charge amplifier model

are commonly used for in-cylinder pressure measurements even though their use is still limited to test bench applications due to their rather high cost [46].

In our case four Kistler 5055 Bsp have been chosen, every pressure transducer has been assembled on a glow plug adapter and installed instead of the glow plugs permitting a direct access to the combustion chamber. The piezoelectric sensors are connected to charge amplifier Kistler 5011 in order to obtain an electric signal with an adequate level of voltage and impedance necessary for the further step of analogical-digital (A/D) conversion. The sensors have a natural frequency of about 13C KHz, amply over the frequency range of interest, and they present an high sensitivity, necessary to observe dynamic signals with high energy content at low frequencies, such as an in-cylinder pressure signal. Due to the high sensitivity of these sensors and electrical parasites, the selection of adequate conductivity and length of the cables connecting sensors and amplifiers is essential to get a reliable measure, as well as the cleaning of the connections and an accurate calibration of the sensors.

In Figure A.2 a simplified model [115] of the set of piezoelectric transducer, cable and charge amplifier is shown, where

- \( C_t \) and \( C_c \) are the capacities of transducer and cable
- \( C_r \) and \( R_t \) are the range capacitor and the time constant resistor of the amplifier, respectively
- \( R_i \) is the insulation resistance of input circuit, transducer and cable
- \( q \) is the charge generated by the transducer
- \( V_c \) is the output voltage
- $A$ is the open loop Gain

Neglecting the effects of the resistors; the resulting output voltage becomes:

$$V_o = \frac{-q}{C_r} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\omega C_r (C_t + C_r + C_c)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

For high open loop gain, the cable and transducer capacitance can be neglected, simplifying the output voltage results only depending on the input charge and the range capacitance.

$$V_c = \frac{-q}{C_r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

Summarizing, the amplifier acts as a charge integrator which compensates the weak electrical charge generated by the transducer with a charge of equal magnitude and opposite polarity and ultimately produces a voltage across the range capacitor. This permits to convert the high impedance input charge ($q$) into a usable output voltage. Taking into account the transducer sensitivity and the pressure/voltage ratio $C_r$ permits the setting of the amplification.

Another important characteristic of the charge amplifier is the time constant ($TC$), defined as the discharge time of the AC coupled circuit, determined by the product of the range capacitor and the time constant resistor:

$$TC = R_t C_r$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

In these Kistler amplifiers, different time constants ("Short", "Medium" and "Long") can be selected by changing the time constant resistor ($R_t$). The frequency response of the system is the frequency range over which the sensor will provide a linear response. The longer the time constant, the better the low-end frequency response. Depending on the transducer sensitivity and on the measurement range, $C_r$ and $R_t$ are set determining the time constant and the cut-frequency that will be applied at low frequency. A deeper description of more setting details and of the calibration routine of the pressure transducer will be given in the Section A.1.2.1.

As can be observed in Figure 4.3, the in-cylinder pressure signals pass for various equipments before getting a personal computer. The signals obtained by the transducer-amplifier set reach a digital multichannel oscilloscope where are A/D converted and digitally stored in buffer memories; the range of the input signal has to be set in order to get the best resolution of the A/D conversion avoiding the saturation of the converter.

In the last step, the PC controls the transference of the data from the memory of the oscilloscope to the PC hard-disk where are stored and can be easily moved or processed. In this phase two multiplicative coefficients have to be set to convert the signals from volts to bars. The first coefficient is relative gain factor set in the charge amplifiers, the other coefficient is obtained from the calibration routine of the pressure transducers described successively.
A.1.2.1. Piezoelectric pressure sensors calibration

A careful calibration of the pressure sensors is essential to ensure that any difference between pressure records corresponding to the different transducers is due to a difference in the actual pressure signal and not to any eventual discrepancy between the behavior of the transducers themselves.

Piezoelectric sensors generate an electrical charge when they are strained, this is a natural characteristic of the material they are made of. In the case considered, the in-cylinder pressure variation is the cause for the generation of electric charges on the sensor surface. Even though this type of sensors present a quasi-steady and approximatively linear response, the idea of performing a dynamic calibration has to be rejected. In fact, in this case, it would be necessary the development of a specific tool to simulate the changing in-cylinder pressure conditions, a task too complex and expansive. Therefore, in this study, a traditional method based on a quasi-steady calibration by means of a Hotek 9000 Series hydraulic deadweight tester has been carried out.

In order to simplify the procedure and to avoid errors due to the incompatibility of the various components of the measuring system, it is convenient to calibrate at the same time the whole set of transducer, cable and amplifier. The calibration system is formed by a set of stainless steel non magnetic weights that are positioned on a vertical free floating piston of well-known area. On the other side a screw pump generates a pressure that pushes the piston vertically upwards. When the system is balanced, the pressure in the hydraulic circuit will be determined by the weights loaded on the piston divided by effective area of the piston with corrections for value of acceleration due to gravity, air buoyancy and surface tension. Together with the deadweight tester a digital oscilloscope is used to visualize the signal given by the transducer-cable-amplifier set. The basic idea of this procedure is to compare the well-known pressure applied on the pressure transducer with the measured signal.

The first step of the calibration is to set the zero levels of the deadweight tester,
the charge amplifier and the digital oscilloscope. The amplifier time constant is set on “Long”, the range and other parameters of the amplifier and oscilloscope channel are adjusted. Two series of tests are performed, a first ascending the other descending the weights. The range of pressure during the calibration must include the maximal values of pressure achieved into the fired cylinders of an engine. In this study, using the various weights furnished with the tester, a pressure range between 5 and 155 bar has been covered. Through a linear interpolation, for each pressure transducer, the correlation coefficient between the reference pressure obtained in the tester, and the pressure measured in the oscilloscope can be determined. Figure A.3 shows an example relative to two sensors calibration.

A.1.3. Binaural measurement system

Binaural recording is a method of recording audio which uses a special microphone arrangement in order to detect the noise physically in the same way the human beings do. Dummy head recording systems are used to figure in natural crossfeed or sonic shaping of the head and ear as well as sound reflections of shoulders and torso. This type of measurement is justified because in works where the recorded noise has to be not only quantified but also qualitatively analyzed through psychoacoustic parameters as well as subjectively estimated by means of listening tests [31,82,245].

A.1.3.1. Artificial Head

Figure A.4 shows the binaural measurement system HEAD Acoustics HUM III used in this work. This artificial head contains two microphones and simulates the torso and shoulders as well as the outer ear canals and all the acoustic relevant components of the human outer ear allowing aurally-accurate binaural recording of sound events.
24 bit technology together with the combination of analog and digital equalization enables the artificial head to achieve a high dynamic range comparable to that encountered in the human auditory apparatus, approximately 121 dB.

The artificial head is connected to a RME DIGI98/8 Pro sound card that allows a direct transference of digital audio data to a PC. In the personal computer, a set of softwares permit the control of the data acquisition: the choice of the measurement range as well as of an appropriate equalization of the acoustic signals. Five different modes of equalization are available: linear, directionally independent free-field, diffuse field and also a user specific. The equalizers take account of the way the artificial head impacts the sound field. These settings have to be kept constant during all tests to avoid sound pressure level differences that could disturb the subjective evaluation.

Another software installed in the same PC permits an automatic system check that detects any possible errors in the measurement chain, and guides the user through an accurate microphones calibration. A more detailed description of the artificial head calibration will be given in a following section.

A.1.3.2. Playback System

Binaural recording technique takes into account all characteristics of human aural perception, especially spatial hearing, which represents an essential condition for a correct auditory impression during playback. The sounds indeed can be recorded and subjectively evaluated as well as objectively analyzed in a second time, this is the only way to get an easy comparison of sounds in a listening test. Furthermore, it avoids the dangerous situation that could represent the presence of people inside the anechoic chamber, listening in real time close by a running engine. Clear spatial imaging and faithful, distortion-free reproduction of the recorded sounds at original volume are the requirements of a system for aurally-accurate playback of acoustical signals. In this way, the listeners have the impression of being placed directly at the location of the sound events and are able to localize recorded sound sources.

To get a true-to-the-original reproduction of sound events the combination of the programmable equalizer PEQV and the power amplifier PVA IV.3 of HEAD Acoustics together with high-grade electrostatic headphones have been used. Figure A.5 shows the set of equalizer (A), amplifier (B) and headphones (C). Playback equalization has to be matched to the Artificial Head equalization set at recording. Furthermore, the playback system must be able to reproduce the high dynamic range of the recorded signal. The power amplifiers have been designed specifically for the operation with electrostatic headphones, each amplifier is individually calibrated for one of the two associated headphones, and actually the electrostatic headphones are characterized by a 118 dB dynamic range, a broad frequency response and low distortion. Accordingly, this playback system ensures aurally-accurate true-to-the-original reproduction of sound events [91].
A.1.3.3. Binaural system calibration

Furthermore, after the calibration, the software permits an auto-check of the system indicating the gain factor to be applied to correct the measured level. If the calibration has been performed correctly the gain factor equals zero.

A.1.4. ECU Control System

The Common Rail injection system is controlled and regulated electronically. This control system can be schematized in three blocks:

- Sensors and transmitters of theoretical values for the monitoring of the engine working conditions. These elements convert different physical magnitudes into
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Thermometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Thermistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR [%]</td>
<td>Valve opening position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail pressure ['bar']</td>
<td>Pressure transducer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start of injection [° before TDC]</td>
<td>ECU output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected quantity [mg/cc]</td>
<td>ECU output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injector opening time [µs]</td>
<td>ECU output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.1: List of parameters measured with the ECU control system

electrical signals

- Electronic Control Unit (ECU) for the information processing, it analyzes the input data through mathematical algorithms and generates output electric signals
- Actuators for the conversion of the ECU output signals to mechanical magnitudes

The electronic control unit analyzes the parameters representative of the engine working conditions: pressures, temperatures and mass rates; accelerator pedal position; engine speed, etc. According to these input parameters and using maps of values stored in the ECU memory, the internal software commands the injection, regulating the pressure inside the rail and the injectors timing. Normally, the commercial ECUs are closed, that means that the parameters and maps stored in the memory cannot be modified. In our study, an open ECU has been used, this permitted to control with a software (INCA) installed in personal computer all the injection parameters independently. The use of this device allowed to obtain combustion noise variation keeping the engine speed and charge practically constant.

Furthermore, in every test, along with the in-cylinder pressure signals and noise, other parameters have been measured. In Table A.1 is presented the list of parameters that have been obtained taking advantage of the sensors used by the ECU control system.

The injection parameters of the list refer to a single injection. Anyway, in this study different injection strategies have been considered:

- Single injection
- Conventional injection (pilot + main)
- Multiple injection (2 pilots + main and 2 pilots + main + post)

Furthermore, in order to corroborate the ECU output, an amperometric plier has been used to check the electric signal that commands the injector solenoid valve. The precision degree of the sensors used in commercial engines is not sufficiently adequate to control some parameters that have to be more accurately measured. For these
reason in the next section, a further description of other experimental devices will be given;

**A.1.5. Engine test bench**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Parameter</strong></th>
<th><strong>Instrument</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed (\text{rpm})</td>
<td>Brake magnetic encoder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load (\text{Nm.})</td>
<td>Brake charge cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brake cooling water temperature (\text{°C})</td>
<td>Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake air mass rate (\text{g/s})</td>
<td>Mass flowmeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake temperature (\text{°C})</td>
<td>Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake pressure (\text{bar})</td>
<td>Pressure transmitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel mass rate (\text{g/s})</td>
<td>Gravimetric balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust temperature (\text{°C})</td>
<td>Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust pressure (\text{bar})</td>
<td>Pressure transmitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling circuit temperature (\text{°C})</td>
<td>Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubricating circuit temperature (\text{°C})</td>
<td>Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator pedal position (%)</td>
<td>Mechanical actuator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric pressure (\text{bar})</td>
<td>Manometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2 List of parameters measured in the test bench

As commented before, the engine is coupled to an asynchronous electric brake, the main parameters relative to the brake are visualized in a personal computer using a software, Xamara, developed at UPM-Motores Térmicos. This graphical interface indicates the main parameters that have to be monitored during the tests, giving warning alert in the case that certain limits of pressures and temperatures are exceeded. Furthermore, in the same PC are also showed other important engine parameters whose control have been considered essential for the goal of this research and that have been measured with appropriate precision devices in the test bench. In Table A.2 are listed the main physical magnitudes visualized with the Xamara together with the relative experimental instrument.
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