

---

# Contents

---

|  |             |
|--|-------------|
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>  | <b>vii</b>  |
| <b>Abstract</b>  | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>List of Figures</b>   | <b>xvii</b> |
| <b>List of Tables</b>  | <b>xx</b>   |
| <b>1 Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1 Motivation . . . . .   | 1           |
| 1.2 Objectives and Methodology . . . . .                                 | 2           |
| 1.3 Organization of the thesis . . . . .                                 | 3           |
| <b>2 Smartphone-based CrowdSensing: Existing Solutions</b>               | <b>5</b>    |
| 2.1 The CrowdSensing concept . . . . .                                   | 5           |
| 2.2 Reference Architecture for Mobile CrowdSensing . . . . .             | 9           |
| 2.3 Analysis of existing CrowdSensing proposals . . . . .                | 20          |
| 2.4 Open research issues . . . . .                                       | 43          |
| 2.5 Summary . . . . .  | 43          |
| <b>3 Environmental Pollution: an Overview</b>                            | <b>45</b>   |
| 3.1 Introduction . . . . .   | 45          |
| 3.2 Pollution measurement . . . . .                                      | 47          |
| 3.3 Studies addressing air pollution . . . . .                           | 51          |
| 3.4 Studies addressing noise pollution . . . . .                         | 54          |
| 3.5 Summary . . . . .  | 58          |
| <b>4 Ecosensor: a mobile sensing architecture to monitor air quality</b> | <b>59</b>   |

## CONTENTS

---

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4.1      | Mobile sensing architecture overview . . . . .  | 60         |
| 4.2      | Monitoring Process . . . . .  | 62         |
| 4.3      | Finding the optimal measurement strategy . . . . .  | 71         |
| 4.4      | Validation of the proposed approach . . . . .   | 76         |
| 4.5      | Summary . . . . .   | 79         |
| <b>5</b> | <b>GRC-Sensing: An Architecture to Measure Acoustic Pollution<br/>Based on CrowdSensing</b> | <b>81</b>  |
| 5.1      | Crowdsensing Architecture Overview . . . . .  | 82         |
| 5.2      | Summary . . . . .   | 90         |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Accurate Ambient Noise Assessment Using Smartphones</b>                                  | <b>91</b>  |
| 6.1      | Measuring Noise Level . . . . .   | 92         |
| 6.2      | Noise Calculation Algorithms . . . . .  | 93         |
| 6.3      | Calibration Procedure . . . . .   | 95         |
| 6.4      | Experimental Results . . . . .  | 97         |
| 6.5      | Validation in Real Outdoor Environments . . . . .   | 109        |
| 6.6      | Summary . . . . .   | 113        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Determining the Right Time to Obtain Noise Samples</b>                                   | <b>115</b> |
| 7.1      | Classification Techniques . . . . .   | 116        |
| 7.2      | Task sequencing optimization . . . . .  | 120        |
| 7.3      | Summary . . . . .   | 127        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Validation of the Proposed Architecture</b>  | <b>129</b> |
| 8.1      | Evaluation of our proposal in an isolated shopping area . . . . .                           | 130        |
| 8.2      | Evaluation of our proposal in an urban area . . . . .                                       | 130        |
| 8.3      | Summary . . . . .   | 134        |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Conclusions, Publications and Future Work</b>  | <b>135</b> |
| 9.1      | Publications . . . . .  | 137        |
| 9.2      | Future work . . . . .   | 141        |
| <b>I</b> | <b>Appendices and References</b>  | <b>143</b> |
| <b>A</b> | <b>Acronyms</b>   | <b>145</b> |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>   | <b>151</b> |

---

## List of Figures

---

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | Generic structure of crowdsensing solutions. . . . .  | 6  |
| 2.2 | Number of Crowdsensing-related proposals in the past 5 years. . . . .   | 9  |
| 2.3 | Proposed Mobile CrowdSensing architecture. . . . .  | 10 |
| 2.4 | Mobile Sensing Client (MSC) Components. . . . .   | 12 |
| 2.5 | Cloud Data Collection Server Components. . . . .  | 17 |
|     |   |    |
| 3.1 | Examples of health problems caused by environmental pollution (poor air quality and noise). . . . .                                 | 46 |
| 3.2 | Example of an air monitoring station, and the location of the 5 stations available in Valencia, Spain. . . . .                      | 47 |
| 3.3 | Relationship between Air Quality and health. . . . .  | 48 |
| 3.4 | Different types of Air Pollution sensors. . . . .   | 49 |
| 3.5 | Different types of Sound Level Meter. . . . .   | 50 |
| 3.6 | Typical Sound Levels (dBA). . . . .   | 51 |
| 3.7 | Available apps for air measurement. (a) OzoneMap.; (b) BeijingAir.; (c) Caliope. . . . .  | 53 |
| 3.8 | Available apps for noise measurement. (a) OpenNoise.; (b) Noise Capture.; (c) Ambiciti. . . . .                                     | 56 |
|     |   |    |
| 4.1 | Overview of the proposed mobile sensing architecture including the main hardware components and the technologies used. . . . .      | 61 |
| 4.2 | Android-based application deployed monitoring screen (back) and path of a monitoring session (front). . . . .                       | 63 |
| 4.3 | Example of the cloud application web page showing some monitoring sessions, and the analysis output for two air pollutants. . . . . | 64 |
| 4.4 | Monitoring process overview showing the different tasks associated to each step in the process. . . . .                             | 65 |

LIST OF FIGURES

---

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.5  | Relationship between captured data and filtered data (left), and relationship between captured data variation and the filtered data variation (right).            | 66  |
| 4.6  | Ozone evolution in June (left) and throughout the year (right).   | 68  |
| 4.7  | Example of an ozone distribution heatmap for the Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV) using the proposed architecture.                                       | 70  |
| 4.8  | Example of a semivariogram showing a Gaussian distribution. It shows the different parameters related with the interpolation techniques (Nugget, Sill and Range). | 70  |
| 4.9  | Analysis of the variability of mobile sensor readings: static vs. mobile sensor.  | 72  |
| 4.10 | Analysis of the variability of mobile sensing for different sensor orientations.  | 73  |
| 4.11 | Analysis of the output similarity with respect to reference sampling period (5 s).  | 74  |
| 4.12 | Heatmaps for the ozone distribution using different sampling periods (5, 20, and 80 seconds).   | 75  |
| 4.13 | Analysis of the similarity with respect to the reference trace (100% of the data used).   | 76  |
| 4.14 | Heatmaps for the ozone distribution using different fraction of the original trace (100%, 72%, and 42%).  | 77  |
| 4.15 | Comparison between captured data and typical values for the day/time of the year.   | 78  |
| 4.16 | Ozone levels in the target region using infrastructure data.  | 78  |
| 4.17 | Ozone level in the target region using mobile sensing data.   | 79  |
| 5.1  | General architecture for noise assessment based on crowdsensing.  | 83  |
| 5.2  | Proposed crowdsensing architecture for mobile noise analysis.   | 84  |
| 5.3  | Format of a JSON data message.  | 87  |
| 5.4  | GRCsensing Web-based application.   | 88  |
| 6.1  | Noise calibration using professional devices. Location: reverberant acoustic chamber, at the Universitat Politècnica de València.                                 | 96  |
| 6.2  | Sampling rate analysis. (a) Algorithm #1.; (b) Algorithm #2.; (c) Algorithm #3.   | 98  |
| 6.3  | Block size analysis. (a) Algorithm #1.; (b) Algorithm #2.; (c) Algorithm #3.  | 100 |
| 6.4  | Sampling rate analysis when fixing the block size. (a) Algorithm #1.; (b) Algorithm #3.   | 101 |
| 6.5  | Smartphone models used for testing. From left to right: BQ Aquaris, Samsung J5, Samsung S4 and Samsung S7 Edge.   | 103 |

---

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 6.6  | Estimation accuracy for the different smartphone models with and without linear regression. (a) Algorithm #1: default sampling; (b) Algorithm #1: values adjusted using linear regression; (c) Algorithm #1: estimation error. . . . . | 104 |
| 6.7  | Smartphones of a same model used for testing. . . . .  | 105 |
| 6.8  | Estimation error analysis when using similar smartphones. (a) Before regression; (b) after regression; (c) estimation error. . . . .   | 106 |
| 6.9  | Sampling period analysis. (a) S7 edge; (b) BQ Aquaris; (c) estimation error. . . . .   | 108 |
| 6.10 | Analysis sampling S7 and Aquaris for 1 s. . . . .  | 109 |
| 6.11 | Sampling period and error analysis when removing the first sample. (a) S7 Edge; (b) Aquaris; (c) error analysis. . . . .   | 110 |
| 6.12 | Analysis for the mobile scenario. . . . .  | 111 |
| 6.13 | Analysis of noise pollution for three outdoor scenarios. (a) Mobile scenario; (b) main avenue; (c) outdoor coffee shop. . . . .  | 112 |
| 7.1  | Visualization of the automatically generated trees. . . . .  | 119 |
| 7.2  | GPS analysis. . . . .  | 121 |
| 7.3  | Processing time for the different tree elements. . . . .   | 122 |
| 7.4  | Proposed resource-efficient decision tree. . . . .   | 125 |
| 7.5  | Time and energy consumption corresponding to the different tree levels. . . . .  | 126 |
| 8.1  | Task specification at the back-end server for noise distribution analysis at the Bonaire shopping mall. . . . .  | 130 |
| 8.2  | Analysis of the environmental noise in a shopping mall. (a) Task specification at the back-end server; (b) Noise distribution of the shopping mall (Bonaire). . . . .  | 131 |
| 8.3  | Specification of task at the back-end server for analysis noise distribution of the campus UPV. . . . .  | 132 |
| 8.4  | Comparison of the distribution of environmental noise according to official data, and using our architecture. . . . .  | 133 |

---

# List of Tables

---

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Crowdsensing surveys. . . . .   | 8   |
| 2.2 | Classification of the different crowdsensing proposals technologies according to the proposed architecture. . . . .       | 24  |
| 2.3 | Classification of the different crowdsensing proposals according to the proposed client architecture. . . . .             | 30  |
| 2.4 | Classification of the different crowdsensing proposal according to the proposed server architecture. . . . .              | 34  |
| 2.5 | Classification of the different data transmission solutions according to the proposed architecture. . . . .               | 40  |
| 3.1 | Characteristics of crowdsensing noise pollutions proposals. . . . .   | 57  |
| 4.1 | Relationship between sensor readings and monitoring station readings. . . . .   | 67  |
| 4.2 | Statistical summary of the sensor position analysis. . . . .  | 71  |
| 4.3 | Statistical summary of the time sampling analysis. . . . .  | 73  |
| 4.4 | Statistical summary of the spatial sampling analysis. . . . .   | 76  |
| 5.1 | Size of the message with different selection areas and captured noise samples. . . . .                                    | 89  |
| 6.1 | Estimation error and computational overhead achieved with the different algorithms (best overall configurations). . . . . | 102 |
| 6.2 | Sampling period analysis (when removing the first sample). . . . .  | 109 |
| 6.3 | Error in the three outdoor scenarios. . . . .   | 113 |
| 7.1 | Attribute candidate by categories. . . . .  | 117 |
| 7.2 | Details of the training dataset. . . . .  | 123 |
| 7.3 | Confusion matrix associated to phone status recognition. . . . .  | 123 |

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 7.4 | Energy consumption estimation for each leaf of the tree. . . . .   | 124 |
| 7.5 | Estimation of computational requirements and energy consumption for<br>the different decision trees. . . . . | 127 |