

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Samenvatting in het Nederlands</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Resumen en español</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>Resum en valencià</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Summary in English</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Overview of the contributions . . . . .	1-2
1.1.1 Very low complexity coding of images using Modulo-PCM	1-2
1.1.2 Pixel-domain distributed video coding . . . . .	1-3
1.1.3 Vision systems for 2D occupancy sensing . . . . .	1-4
1.1.4 Task assignment in intelligent vision networks . . . . .	1-5
1.2 Summary of the scientific output . . . . .	1-5
1.3 Outline . . . . .	1-6
<b>2 Very Low Complexity Coding of Images Using Modulo-PCM</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	2-1
2.2 MPCM coding . . . . .	2-3
2.2.1 MPCM coding of one-dimensional signals . . . . .	2-4
2.2.2 MPCM coding of images . . . . .	2-8
2.2.3 Relation with other coding techniques . . . . .	2-9
2.3 Distortion model of the MPCM coder . . . . .	2-11
2.3.1 Distortion model of the MPCM signal . . . . .	2-11
2.3.1.1 Theoretical expression . . . . .	2-11
2.3.1.2 Simulations . . . . .	2-13
2.3.2 Overall distortion of the MPCM coder . . . . .	2-15
2.4 Optimum coding parameters . . . . .	2-17
2.4.1 Theoretical optimum coding parameters . . . . .	2-17
2.4.2 Assignment table . . . . .	2-20
2.5 Computational Complexity . . . . .	2-21
2.5.1 Encoder . . . . .	2-22
2.5.2 Decoder . . . . .	2-23

---

2.6	Experimental results . . . . .	2-24
2.6.1	Experimental optimum parameters . . . . .	2-24
2.6.2	Coding efficiency and complexity . . . . .	2-26
2.6.3	Comparison with other techniques . . . . .	2-33
2.7	Conclusion . . . . .	2-37
<b>3</b>	<b>Pixel-Domain Distributed Video Coding</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	3-1
3.2	Pixel-domain Wyner-Ziv video coder . . . . .	3-4
3.2.1	General scheme . . . . .	3-4
3.2.1.1	Encoder . . . . .	3-5
3.2.1.2	Decoder . . . . .	3-6
3.2.2	Turbo code-based coder . . . . .	3-7
3.2.3	Comparison with literature and visual result . . . . .	3-9
3.3	Distortion analysis . . . . .	3-12
3.3.1	Distortion model . . . . .	3-12
3.3.2	Frame-adaptive $\Delta$ -selection algorithm . . . . .	3-14
3.3.3	Experimental Results . . . . .	3-16
3.4	Feedback channel removal . . . . .	3-19
3.4.1	Rate allocation problem for pixel-domain distributed video coders . . . . .	3-20
3.4.2	Rate allocation for feedback channel removal . . . . .	3-21
3.4.2.1	Estimation of $\sigma^2$ . . . . .	3-22
3.4.2.2	Estimation of the error probabilities $\{P_k\}$ . . . . .	3-23
3.4.2.3	Estimation of the encoding rates $\{R_k\}$ . . . . .	3-24
3.4.2.4	Estimation of the residual error probabilities $\{Q_k\}$ . . . . .	3-24
3.4.3	Experimental Results . . . . .	3-25
3.5	Reduction of decoder complexity and latency . . . . .	3-30
3.5.1	Decoder complexity and latency problem . . . . .	3-31
3.5.2	Rate allocation for complexity and latency reduction . . . . .	3-33
3.5.2.1	Estimation of $\sigma^2$ . . . . .	3-33
3.5.2.2	Estimation of the encoding rates $\{R_k\}$ . . . . .	3-36
3.5.3	Experimental results and discussion . . . . .	3-38
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	3-45
<b>4</b>	<b>Vision Systems for 2D Occupancy Sensing</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	4-1
4.2	Multi-view occupancy mapping . . . . .	4-4
4.2.1	Related work . . . . .	4-4
4.2.2	Dempster-Shafer theory of evidence . . . . .	4-5
4.2.3	Evidential multi-view occupancy maps . . . . .	4-6
4.3	Multiple light-integrating line sensors for occupancy sensing . . . . .	4-12
4.3.1	Related work . . . . .	4-12
4.3.2	System overview . . . . .	4-13
4.3.3	Foreground detection on scan lines . . . . .	4-19

4.3.3.1	Related work . . . . .	4-19
4.3.3.2	Method . . . . .	4-19
4.3.3.3	Computational requirements . . . . .	4-22
4.3.3.4	Memory requirements . . . . .	4-23
4.3.4	Occupancy maps from line sensor scan lines . . . . .	4-25
4.4	Results . . . . .	4-28
4.4.1	Comparison of multi-camera methods . . . . .	4-29
4.4.2	Comparison of all methods . . . . .	4-31
4.5	Real-time demonstrator . . . . .	4-33
4.6	Conclusion . . . . .	4-35
<b>5</b>	<b>Task Assignment in Vision Networks</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	5-1
5.2	Related work . . . . .	5-3
5.3	Problem formulation . . . . .	5-4
5.4	Constraints in smart camera networks . . . . .	5-6
5.4.1	Cost function . . . . .	5-6
5.4.2	Practically admissible assignments . . . . .	5-7
5.4.3	Limitation of peak computational load . . . . .	5-8
5.5	Suitability value for smart camera networks . . . . .	5-9
5.5.1	Quantification of task-related information . . . . .	5-10
5.5.2	Generalized information-theoretic approach . . . . .	5-11
5.6	Solving the optimization problem . . . . .	5-12
5.6.1	Greedy heuristics . . . . .	5-13
5.6.2	Complexity reduction . . . . .	5-15
5.7	Application to task assignment for multiple object tracking . . . . .	5-16
5.7.1	Related work . . . . .	5-17
5.7.2	Suitability value for tracking . . . . .	5-19
5.7.3	Practical choices . . . . .	5-20
5.7.3.1	Multi-camera multi-person tracking . . . . .	5-21
5.7.3.2	Obtaining the BBA $m_{S^k}$ . . . . .	5-22
5.7.3.3	Discretization scheme . . . . .	5-23
5.7.3.4	Avoiding costly data transmissions . . . . .	5-24
5.8	Results . . . . .	5-25
5.8.1	Test data . . . . .	5-25
5.8.2	Evaluation metrics . . . . .	5-26
5.8.3	Tracking performance . . . . .	5-27
5.8.4	Computation time and frame rate . . . . .	5-33
5.9	Conclusion . . . . .	5-35
<b>6</b>	<b>Overall Conclusion</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	6-1
6.1.1	Modulo-PCM based coding algorithm for very low complexity coding of images . . . . .	6-1

6.1.2	Thorough study and improvement of pixel-domain distributed video coding algorithms . . . . .	6-2
6.1.3	Novel vision systems for calculating accurate 2D occupancy maps . . . . .	6-3
6.1.4	Task assignment framework for intelligent vision networks	6-3
6.2	Contributions . . . . .	6-4
6.3	Future work . . . . .	6-5
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>A-1</b>
A.1	Derivation of (2.16) . . . . .	A-1
A.2	Derivation of (2.17) . . . . .	A-2