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# HYPERCYCLIC ALGEBRAS FOR CONVOLUTION AND COMPOSITION OPERATORS 

J. BÈS, J. A. CONEJERO, AND D. PAPATHANASIOU


#### Abstract

We provide an alternative proof to those by Shkarin and by Bayart and Matheron that the operator $D$ of complex differentiation supports a hypercyclic algebra on the space of entire functions. In particular we obtain hypercyclic algebras for many convolution operators not induced by polynomials, such as $\cos (D), D e^{D}$, or $e^{D}-a I$, where $0<a \leq 1$. In contrast, weighted composition operators on function algebras of analytic functions on a plane domain fail to support supercyclic algebras.


## 1. Introduction

A special task in linear dynamics is to understand the algebraic and topological properties of the set

$$
H C(T)=\left\{f \in X: \quad\left\{f, T f, T^{2} f, \ldots\right\} \text { is dense in } X\right\}
$$

of hypercyclic vectors for a given operator $T$ on a topological vector space $X$. It is well known that in general $H C(T)$ is always connected and is either empty or contains a dense infinite-dimensional linear subspace (but the origin), see [24]. Moreover, when $H C(T)$ is non-empty it sometimes contains (but zero) a closed and infinite dimensional linear subspace, but not always [7, 17]; see also [6, Ch. 8] and [19, Ch. 10].

When $X$ is a topological algebra it is natural to ask whether $H C(T)$ can contain, or must always contain, a subalgebra (but zero) whenever it is non-empty; any such subalgebra is said to be a hypercyclic algebra for the operator $T$. Both questions have been answered by considering convolution operators on the space $X=H(\mathbb{C})$ of entire functions on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, endowed with the compact-open topology; that convolution operators (other than scalar multiples of the identity) are hypercyclic was established by Godefroy and Shapiro [16], see also [12, 20, 2], together with the fact that convolution operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ are precisely those of the form

$$
f \stackrel{\Phi(D)}{\mapsto} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} D^{n} f \quad(f \in H(\mathbb{C}))
$$

[^0]where $\Phi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is of (growth order one and finite) exponential type (i.e., $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq M \frac{R^{n}}{n!}(n=0,1, \ldots)$, for some $\left.M, R>0\right)$ and where $D$ is the operator of complex differentiation. Aron et al $[3,4]$ showed that no translation operator $\tau_{a}$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$
$$
\tau_{a}(f)(z)=f(z+a) \quad f \in H(\mathbb{C}), z \in \mathbb{C}
$$
can support a hypercyclic algebra, in a strong way:
Theorem 1. (Aron, Conejero, Peris, Seoane) For each integer $p>1$ and each $f \in H C\left(\tau_{a}\right)$, the non-constant elements of the orbit of $f^{p}$ under $\tau_{a}$ are those entire functions for which the multiplicities of their zeros are integer multiples of $p$.

In sharp contrast with the translations operators, they also showed that the collection of entire functions $f$ for which every power $f^{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ is hypercyclic for $D$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{C})$. Later Shkarin [23, Thm. 4.1] showed that $H C(D)$ contained both a hypercyclic subspace and a hypercyclic algebra, and with a different approach Bayart and Matheron [6, Thm. 8.26] also showed that the set of $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ that generate an algebra consisting entirely (but the origin) of hypercyclic vectors for $D$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{C})$, and by using the latter approach we now know the following:

Theorem 2. (Shkarin [23], Bayart and Matheron [6], Bès, Conejero, Papathanasiou [10]) Let $P$ be a non-constant polynomial with $P(0)=0$. Then the set of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ that generate a hypercyclic algebra for $P(D)$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Motivated by the above results we consider the following question.
Question 1. Let $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be of exponential type so that the convolution operator $\Phi(D)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra. Must $\Phi$ be a polynomial? Must $\Phi(0)=0$ ?

In Section 2 we answer both parts of Question 1 in the negative, by establishing for example that $\Phi(D)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra when $\Phi(z)=\cos (z)$ and when $\Phi(z)=z e^{z}$ (Example 10 and Example 11), as well as when $\Phi(z)=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} z^{n}\right)^{k}$ with $\left|a_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and $0 \neq a_{1}$ and when $\Phi(z)=e^{z}-a$ with $0<a \leq 1$ (Corollary 9 and Example 12). All such examples are derived from our main result:

Theorem 3. Let $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be of finite exponential type so that the level set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\Phi(z)|=1\}$ contains a non-trivial, strictly convex compact arc $\Gamma_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set of entire functions that generate a hypercyclic algebra for the convolution operator $\Phi(D)$ is residual in $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Here for any $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ the symbol conv $(A)$ denotes its convex hull, and $\mathbb{D}$ denotes the open unit disc. Also, an $\operatorname{arc} \mathcal{C}$ is said to be strictly convex provided for each $z_{1}, z_{2}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the segment $\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right)$ intersects $\mathcal{C}$ at at most two points.

In Section 3 we consider the following question, motivated by Theorem 1:
Question 2. Can a multiplicative operator on a $F$-algebra support a hypercyclic algebra? In particular, can a composition operator support a hypercyclic algebra on some space $H(\Omega)$ of holomorphic functions on a planar domain $\Omega$ ?

The study of hypercyclic composition operators on spaces of holomorphic functions may be traced back to the classical examples by Birkhoff [12] and by Seidel and Walsh [22], and is described in a recent survey article by Colonna and Martínez-Avendaño [14]. Grosse-Erdmann and Mortini showed that the space $H(\Omega)$ of holomorphic functions on a planar domain $\Omega$ supports a hypercyclic composition operator if and only if $\Omega$ is either simply connected or infinitely connected [18].

We show in Section 3 that a given multiplicative operator $T$ on an $F$ algebra $X$ supports a hypercyclic algebra if and only if $T$ is hypercyclic and for each non-constant polynomial $P$ vanishing at zero the map $X \rightarrow X$, $f \mapsto P(f)$ has dense range (Theorem 16). We use this to derive that for each $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$ the translation operator $\tau_{a}$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ (Corollary 21) but fails to support a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ (Corollary 17). Here by $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$ we denote the Fréchet space of $\mathbb{K}$-valued infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ whose topology is given by the seminorms

$$
P_{k}(f)=\max _{0 \leq j \leq k} \max _{t \in[-k, k]}\left|f^{(j)}(t)\right| \quad\left(f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}), k \in \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

Finally, we show that no weighted composition operator $C_{\omega, \varphi}: H(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $H(\Omega), f \mapsto \omega(f \circ \varphi)$, supports a supercyclic algebra (Theorem 22). Recall that a vector $f$ in an $F$-algebra $X$ is said to be supercyclic for a given operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ provided

$$
\mathbb{C} \cdot \operatorname{Orb}(f, T)=\left\{\lambda T^{n} f: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, n=0,1, \ldots\right\}
$$

is dense in $X$. Accordingly, any subalgebra of $X$ consisting entirely (but zero) of supercyclic vectors for $T$ is said to be a supercyclic algebra.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 3 and its consequences

The proofs of Theorem 2 and of its earlier versions exploit the shift-like behaviour of the operator $D$ on $H(\mathbb{C})[23,6,10]$. Our approach for Theorem 3 exploits instead the rich source of eigenfunctions that convolution operators on $H(\mathbb{C})$ have (i.e.,

$$
\Phi(D)\left(e^{\lambda z}\right)=\Phi(\lambda) e^{\lambda z}
$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and each $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ of exponential type) as well as the following key result by Bayart and Matheron:

Proposition 4. (Bayart-Matheron [6, Remark 8.26]) Let $T$ be an operator on a separable $F$-algebra $X$ so that for each triple ( $U, V, W$ ) of non-empty open subsets of $X$ with $0 \in W$ and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $P \in U$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T^{q}\left(P^{j}\right) \in W  \tag{2.1}\\
T^{q}\left(P^{m}\right) \in V
\end{array} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j<m,\right.
$$

Then the set of elements of $X$ that generate a hypercyclic algebra for $T$ is residual in $X$.

We start by noting the following invariant for composition operators with homothety symbol.
Lemma 5. Let $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be of exponential type, and let $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi(z)=a z$ be a homothety on the plane, where $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\Phi_{a}:=C_{\varphi}(\Phi)$ is of exponential type and

$$
C_{\varphi}\left(H C\left(\Phi_{a}(D)\right)\right)=H C(\Phi(D)) .
$$

In particular, the algebra isomorphism $C_{\varphi}: H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ maps hypercyclic algebras of $\Phi_{a}(D)$ onto hypercyclic algebras of $\Phi(D)$.
Proof. For each $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$ we have $C_{\varphi}(f)(z)=f(a z)(z \in \mathbb{C})$, and thus

$$
D^{k} C_{\varphi}(f)=a^{k} C_{\varphi} D^{k}(f) \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots) .
$$

Hence given $\Phi(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$ of exponential type $\Phi_{a}:=C_{\varphi}(\Phi)$ is clearly of exponential type and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(D) C_{\varphi}(f) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} D^{k} C_{\varphi}(f)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} a^{k} C_{\varphi} D^{k}(f) \\
& =C_{\varphi}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} a^{k} D^{k}\right)(f) \\
& =C_{\varphi} \Phi_{a}(D)(f) \quad(f \in H(\mathbb{C})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\Phi_{a}(D)$ is conjugate to $\Phi(D)$ via the algebra isomorphism $C_{\varphi}$.
Remark 6.
(1) Lemma 5 is a particular case of the following Comparison Principle for Hypercyclic Algebras. Any operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ on a Fréchet algebra $X$ that is quasi-conjugate via a multiplicative operator $Q$ : $Y \rightarrow X$ to an operator $S: Y \rightarrow Y$ supporting a hypercyclic algebra must also support a hypercyclic algebra. Indeed, if $A$ is a hypercyclic algebra for $S$, then $Q(A)=\{Q y: y \in A\}$ is a hypercyclic algebra for $T$.
(2) If $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3, then so will $\Phi_{a}:=C_{\varphi}(\Phi)$ for any non-trivial homothety $\varphi(z)=a z$. Indeed, notice that for any $r>0$ we have

$$
a \Phi_{a}^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})=\Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D}) .
$$

Hence if $\Gamma \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})$ is a smooth arc satisfying

$$
\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \mathbb{D})
$$

then $\Gamma_{a}:=\frac{1}{a} \Gamma \subset \Phi_{a}^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})$ is a smooth arc satisfying

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{a} \cup\{0\}\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{a} \cup\{0\}\right) \subset \Phi_{a}^{-1}(r \mathbb{D})
$$

Moreover, if $\Gamma$ is a strictly convex, compact, simple and non-closed arc whose convex hull does not contain the origin, say, then $\Gamma_{a}$ will share each corresponding property as these are invariant under homothecies. In particular, the angle difference between the endpoints of $\Gamma$ is the same as the corresponding quantity in $\Gamma_{a}$.

The next result ellaborates on the geometric assumption of Theorem 3. Here for any $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\arg (z)$ the argument of $z$ that belongs to $[0,2 \pi)$.

Proposition 7. Let $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\Gamma \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})$ be a simple, strictly convex arc with endpoints $z_{1}$, $z_{2}$ satisfying $0<\arg \left(z_{1}\right)<\arg \left(z_{2}\right)<\pi$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)$, where $r>0$. Suppose that $0 \notin \operatorname{conv}(\Gamma)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega:=\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \mathbb{D}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \backslash \Gamma$ consists of two connected components of which $\Omega$ is the bounded one, where

$$
S\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left\{0 \neq w \in \mathbb{C}: \arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \arg (w) \leq \arg \left(z_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Omega=\{t z:(t, z) \in(0,1) \times \Gamma\}=\{t z:(t, z) \in(0,1) \times \operatorname{conv}(\Gamma)\}
$$

and $\partial \Omega=\left[0, z_{1}\right) \cup\left(0, z_{2}\right) \cup \Gamma$. In addition,

$$
\Gamma \cap(I \times(0, \infty))=\operatorname{Graph}(f) \cup\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}
$$

for some smooth function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I$ is the closed interval with endpoints $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)$ and where $f$ is concave up if $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)$ and concave down if $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$.

In Figure 1 we illustrate one case of the statement of this Proposition 7.
Proof. Since $|\Phi| \leq r$ on $\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\})$ by (2.2), the maximum modulus principle ensures that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}))=\emptyset \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \subset\left\{0 \neq w \in \mathbb{C}: \arg (w) \in\left[\arg \left(z_{1}\right), \arg \left(z_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, notice that since $0 \notin \operatorname{conv}(\Gamma)$ the arc $\Gamma$ cannot intersect the ray $\left\{t e^{i\left(\arg \left(z_{2}\right)+\pi\right)}: t \geq 0\right\}$, and by (2.3) it cannot intersect the interior of the triangle $\operatorname{conv}\left\{0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$, either. Also, notice that if $H$ denotes the open half-plane not containing 0 and with boundary

$$
\partial H=\left\{z_{1}+t\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$



Figure 1. A representation of the sets appearing in Proposition 7.
then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset=\Gamma \cap H \cap\left\{0 \neq w \in \mathbb{C}: \arg (w)<\arg \left(z_{1}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as any $z \in \Gamma \cap H$ with $\arg (z)<\arg \left(z_{1}\right)$ would make $z_{1} \in \operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{z, z_{2}, 0\right\}\right)\right)$, contradicting (2.3). Finally, since $\Gamma$ is simple it now follows from (2.5) that

$$
\emptyset=\Gamma \cap\left\{0 \neq w \in \mathbb{C}: \arg (w) \in\left[\pi+\arg \left(z_{2}\right), 2 \pi\right) \cup\left[0, \arg \left(z_{1}\right)\right)\right\},
$$

and thus any $w \in \Gamma$ satisfies $\arg \left(z_{1}\right) \leq \arg (w)$. By a similar argument, each $w \in \Gamma$ satisfies $\arg (w) \leq \arg \left(z_{2}\right)$, and (2.4) holds. Next, using (2.3) and the continuity of the argument on $S\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ it is simple now to see that for each $\theta \in\left[\arg \left(z_{1}\right), \arg \left(z_{2}\right)\right]$ the ray

$$
\left\{t e^{i \theta}: t \geq 0\right\}
$$

intersects $\Gamma$ at exactly one point, giving the desired description for $\Omega$. For the final statement, assume $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$ (the case $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)$ follows with a similar argument).

Notice that for each $x=t \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)+(1-t) \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$ with $0<t<1$ there exists a unique $y \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \in \Gamma \text { with } y \in\left[t \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)+(1-t) \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right), \infty\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the continuous path $\Gamma$ from $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ lies in $S\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and only meets the closed triangle $\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}\right)$ at $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, so the existence of
a $y$ verifying (2.6) follows (it also follows for the cases $t=0,1$, in which case there may exist up to two values per endpoint, by (2.4)). To see the uniqueness, if $y_{2}>y_{1}>t \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)+(1-t) \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{1}\right)$ with $\left(x, y_{1}\right),\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in \Gamma$, then

$$
\left(x, y_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, x+i y_{2}\right\}\right) \cap \Gamma \subset \Omega \cap \Gamma=\emptyset\right.
$$

a contradiction. Hence (2.6) defines a smooth function $f:\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \rightarrow$ $(0, \infty)$ whose graph $\Gamma_{0}$ is a subarc of $\Gamma$, provided that if at either endpoint $x \in\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right\}$ there are two values $y$ satisfying $x+i y \in \Gamma$ we let $f(x)$ be the largest of such two values.

Finally, Lemma 8 below will enable us to apply Proposition 4. Recall that for a planar smooth curve $\mathcal{C}$ with parametrization $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \gamma(t)=$ $x(t)+i y(t)$, its signed curvature at a point $P=\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ is given by

$$
\kappa(P):=\frac{x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)-y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{\left|\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{3}}
$$

and its unsigned curvature at $P$ is given by $|\kappa(P)|$. It is well-known that $|\kappa(P)|$ does not depend on the parametrization selected, and that the signed curvature $\kappa(P)$ depends only on the choice of orientation selected for $\mathcal{C}$. It is simple to see that any straight line segment has zero curvature. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is strictly convex provided each segment with endpoints in the arc only intersects the arc at these points. Notice also that for the particular case when $\mathcal{C}$ is given by the graph of a function $y=f(x), a \leq x \leq b$, (and oriented from left to right), its signed curvature at a point $P=\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is given by

$$
\kappa(P)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

In particular, $\kappa<0$ on $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $y=f(x)$ is concave down (i.e., $(1-s) f\left(a_{1}\right)+s f\left(b_{1}\right)<f\left((1-s) a_{1}+s b_{1}\right)$ for any $s \in(0,1)$ and any subinterval $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ of $\left.[a, b]\right)$.

Lemma 8. Let $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be of exponential type supporting a non-trivial, strictly convex compact arc $\Gamma_{1}$ contained in $\Phi^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$ so that

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D})
$$

Then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $r>1$, a non-trivial, strictly convex smooth arc $\Gamma \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{t z:(t, z) \in(0, \infty) \times \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\epsilon>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash \Gamma \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(r \mathbb{D}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda+\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m} \Gamma \subset \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m} \Gamma \subset \Omega \quad \text { for each } 1 \leq j<m \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & :=\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \\
\Lambda & :=\Omega \cap D(0, \epsilon) \cap \operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Figure 2 we illustrate the different sets appearing in the statement of Lemma 8.


Figure 2. The sets appearing in Lemma 8, case $m=4$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ is strictly convex, replacing it by a subarc if necessary we may further assume by Remark 6.(2) that $\Gamma_{1}$ is simple and with endpoints $z_{1}, z_{2}$ satisfying $0<\arg \left(z_{1}\right)<\arg \left(z_{2}\right)<\pi$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and so that $0 \notin \operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. By Proposition 7,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{t z:(t, z) \in(0,1) \times \operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right\} \subset S\left(0, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\partial \Omega=\left[0, z_{1}\right) \cup \Gamma_{1} \cup\left(0, z_{2}\right)$ and we may assume $\Gamma_{1}$ is the graph of a concave down function $f:\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)\right] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ (i.e., replacing $z_{j}$ by $z_{j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{j}\right)+i f\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{j}\right)\right), j=1,2$, if necessary). Now, pick $z_{0} \in \Gamma_{1} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ with $\Phi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$, and let $w_{0}:=\Phi\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta_{0}}$, where $\theta_{0} \in[0,2 \pi)$. Choose $\rho>0$ small enough so that the only solution to

$$
\Phi(z)=w_{0}
$$

in $D\left(z_{0}, \rho\right)$ is at $z=z_{0}$, and so that $D\left(z_{0}, \rho\right) \cap\left(\left[0, z_{1}\right] \cup\left[0, z_{2}\right]\right)=\emptyset$. Next, pick

$$
0<s<\min \left\{\left|\Phi(z)-w_{0}\right|:\left|z-z_{0}\right|=\rho\right\}
$$

and let $0<\delta<\min \{1, s\}$ so that the polar rectangle

$$
R_{\delta}:=\left\{z=r e^{i \theta}:(r, \theta) \in[1-\delta, 1+\delta] \times\left[\theta_{0}-\delta, \theta_{0}+\delta\right]\right\}
$$

is contained in $D\left(w_{0}, s\right)$. Then

$$
g: R_{\delta} \rightarrow D\left(z_{0}, \rho\right), g(w)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left|z-z_{0}\right|=\rho} \frac{z \Phi^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(z)-w} d z
$$

defines a univalent holomorphic function satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \circ g=\text { identity on } R_{\delta} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

see e. g. [15, p. 283]. So $W:=g\left(R_{\delta}\right)$ is a connected compact neighborhood of $z_{0}$, and $\Phi$ maps $W$ biholomorphically onto $R_{\delta}$. Hence for each $1-\delta \leq$ $r \leq 1+\delta$

$$
\eta_{r}:=g\left(R_{\delta} \cap r \partial \mathbb{D}\right)
$$

is a smooth arc contained in $W \cap \Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})$. In particular, $\eta_{1}=W \cap \Gamma_{1}$ is a strictly convex subarc of $\Gamma_{1}$. Next, notice that since

$$
W \cap \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad W \cap \operatorname{Ext}(\Omega)
$$

are the two connected components of $g\left(R_{\delta} \backslash \partial \mathbb{D}\right)=W \backslash \eta_{1}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$, by (2.10) the homeomorphism $g: R_{\delta} \backslash \partial \mathbb{D} \rightarrow W \backslash \eta_{1}$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(R_{\delta} \cap \operatorname{Ext}(\mathbb{D})\right) & =W \cap \operatorname{Ext}(\Omega) \\
g\left(R_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{D}\right) & =W \cap \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
W \cap \overline{\operatorname{Ext}(\Omega)}=\underset{1 \leq r \leq 1+\delta}{\cup} \eta_{r}
$$

and $g$ induces a smooth homotopy among the curves $\left\{\eta_{r}\right\}_{1 \leq r \leq 1+\delta}$. Namely, each $\eta_{r}(1 \leq r \leq 1-\delta)$ has the Cartesian parametrization

$$
\eta_{r}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X(r, t) \\
Y(r, t)
\end{array} \quad \theta_{0}-\delta \leq t \leq \theta_{0}+\delta\right.
$$

where $X, Y:[1-\delta, 1+\delta] \times\left[\theta_{0}-\delta, \theta_{0}+\delta\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(r, t) & :=\operatorname{Re}(g)\left(r e^{i t}\right) \\
Y(r, t) & :=\operatorname{Im}(g)\left(r e^{i t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for any point $P=g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ in $W$ the (signed) curvature $\kappa^{\eta_{r}}(P)$ of $\eta_{r}$ at $P$ is given by

$$
\kappa^{\eta_{r}}(P)=\frac{\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(r, \theta) \frac{\partial^{2} Y}{\partial^{2} t}(r, \theta)-\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t}(r, \theta) \frac{\partial^{2} X}{\partial^{2} t}(r, \theta)}{\left(\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}(r, \theta)\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t}(r, \theta)\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

Hence the map $K: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, K\left(g\left(r e^{i t}\right)\right):=\kappa^{\eta_{r}}(P)$, is continuous. Now, since $\eta_{1}$ is strictly convex there exists some $P=g\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)$ in $\eta_{1}$ for which each of
$\kappa^{\eta_{1}}(P)$ and $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}\left(1, \theta_{1}\right)$ is non-zero. Hence by the continuity of $K$ and of $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}$ we may find some $0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta$ so that the polar rectangle

$$
R_{\delta^{\prime}}:=\left\{z=r e^{i \theta}:(r, \theta) \in\left[1-\delta^{\prime}, 1+\delta^{\prime}\right] \times\left[\theta_{1}-\delta^{\prime}, \theta_{1}+\delta^{\prime}\right]\right\}
$$

is contained in the interior of $R_{\delta}$ and so that $K$ and $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}$ are bounded away from zero on $g\left(R_{\delta^{\prime}}\right)$ and on $R_{\delta^{\prime}}$, respectively.

In particular, either $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}>0$ or $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}<0$ on $R_{\delta^{\prime}}$, and either $K>0$ or $K<0$ on $g\left(R_{\delta^{\prime}}\right)$. So each $\eta_{r} \cap g\left(R_{\delta^{\prime}}\right)\left(1 \leq r<1+\delta^{\prime}\right)$ is the graph of a smooth function

$$
f_{r}:\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right) \rightarrow(0, \infty),
$$

with

$$
\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(X\left(r, \theta_{1}-\delta^{\prime}\right), X\left(r, \theta_{1}+\delta^{\prime}\right)\right) & \text { if } \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}>0 \text { on } R_{\delta^{\prime}} \\ \left(X\left(r, \theta_{1}+\delta^{\prime}\right), X\left(r, \theta_{1}-\delta^{\prime}\right)\right) & \text { if } \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}<0 \text { on } R_{\delta^{\prime}} .\end{cases}
$$

Since $g\left(r e^{i t}\right) \underset{r \rightarrow 1}{\rightarrow} g\left(e^{i t}\right)$ uniformly on $t \in\left[\theta_{1}-\delta, \theta_{1}+\delta\right]$, so

$$
\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right) \underset{r \rightarrow 1}{\rightarrow}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)
$$

and fixing a non-trivial compact subinterval $[a, b]$ of $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ there exists there exists $0<\delta^{\prime \prime}<\delta^{\prime}$ so that

$$
[a, b] \subset \cap_{1 \leq r \leq 1+\delta^{\prime \prime}}\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right)
$$

So for each $1<r \leq 1+\delta^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\eta_{r}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x, f_{r}(x)\right) ; x \in[a, b]\right\}
$$

is a subarc of $\eta_{r}$. In particular, $f_{1}=f$ on $[a, b]$ must be a concave down function, and so must be each $f_{r}$ with $1 \leq r \leq 1+\delta^{\prime \prime}$. Thus choosing $r>1$ close enough to 1 the arc $\Gamma:=\eta_{r}^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \mathbb{D}) \cap\left\{t z:(t, z) \in(0, \infty) \times \Gamma_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m} \Gamma \subset \Omega \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m-1
$$

By the compactness of $\Gamma$ we may now get $\epsilon>0$ small enough so that the subsector

$$
\Lambda:=\Omega \cap D(0, \epsilon) \cap \operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\})
$$

satisfies that

$$
\Lambda+\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m} \Gamma \subset \Omega \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m-1,
$$

and Lemma 8 holds.
We are ready now to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $U, V$ and $W$ be non-empty open subsets of $H(\mathbb{C})$, with $0 \in W$, and let $1 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By Proposition 4 , it suffices to find some $f \in U$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(D)^{q}\left(f^{j}\right) & \in W \quad \text { for } j=1, \ldots, m-1, \\
\Phi(D)^{q}\left(f^{m}\right) & \in V \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The case $m=1$ is immediate as $\Phi(D)$ is topologically transitive, so we may assume $1<m$. Now, let $r>1$, let $\Gamma \subset \Phi^{-1}(r \partial \mathbb{D})$ and let $\Omega$ and the subsector $\Lambda$ be given by Lemma 8 . Since the arc $\Gamma$ is non-trivial and $\Lambda$ has non-empty interior, each of $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ has accumulation points in $\mathbb{C}$. Hence there exist $\left(a_{k}, b_{k}, \lambda_{k}, \gamma_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \Lambda \times \Gamma(k=1, \ldots, p)$ so that

$$
(A, B):=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} e^{\frac{\lambda_{k} z}{m}}, \sum_{k=1}^{p} b_{k} e^{\gamma_{k} z}\right) \in U \times V .
$$

Next, set $R=R_{q}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{k} e^{\frac{\gamma_{k} z}{m}}$, where for each $1 \leq k \leq p$ the scalar $c_{k}=c_{k}(q)$ is some solution of

$$
z^{m}-\frac{b_{k}}{\left(\Phi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right)^{q}}=0
$$

Notice that for any $k=1, \ldots, p$ we have $\left|\Phi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right|=r>1$ and thus $\left|c_{k}\right|^{m}=$ $\frac{\left|b_{k}\right|}{\mid \Phi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)^{q}} \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{q} \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have

$$
(A+R)^{j}=\sum_{\ell=(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}_{j}}\binom{j}{\ell} a^{u} c^{v} e^{\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right) z}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ conists of those multiindexes $\ell=(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{p} \times \mathbb{N}_{0}^{p}$ satisfying $|\ell|:=$ $|u|+|v|=\sum_{k=1}^{p} u_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{p} v_{k}=j$ and where for each $\ell=(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}_{j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{u} & :=a_{1}^{u_{1}} a_{2}^{u_{2}} \cdots a_{p}^{u_{p}}, \\
c^{v} & :=c_{1}^{v_{1}} c_{2}^{v_{2}} \cdots c_{p}^{v_{p}}, \text { and } \\
\binom{j}{\ell} & =\frac{j!}{u_{1}!\cdots u_{p}!v_{1}!\cdots v_{p}!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So for $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have

$$
\Phi(D)^{q}\left((A+R)^{j}\right)=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{j}} U_{j, \ell},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{j, \ell} & =\binom{j}{\ell} a^{u} c^{v}\left(\Phi\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right)\right)^{q} e^{\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right) z} \\
& =\binom{j}{\ell} a^{u} b^{\frac{v}{m}}\left(\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{p} \Phi\left(\gamma_{k}\right)^{\frac{v_{k}}{m}}}\right)^{q} e^{\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right) z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, notice that if $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right\}$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{p}$, our selections of $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p}\right)$ ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{q}(D)\left((A+R)^{m}\right)-B=\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{m}^{*}} U_{m, \ell} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{m}^{*}=\left\{\ell=(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}_{m}:|u| \neq 0 \text { or } \quad v \notin\left\{m e_{1}, \ldots, m e_{p}\right\}\right\}
$$

Also, for each $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $\ell=(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}_{j}$ with $|v|<m$ we have

$$
U_{j, \ell} \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

as our selections of $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ give by (2.8) that $\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m} \in \Omega$ and thus

$$
\left|\Phi\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right)\right|<1<r=\left|\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right|=\cdots=\left|\Phi\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right| .
$$

Hence since each $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ is finite we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(D)^{q}\left(\left(A+R_{q}\right)^{j}\right) \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0 \quad(1 \leq j<m) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, recall that by Lemma 8 we have

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{r}\right) \backslash \Gamma_{r} \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(r \mathbb{D})
$$

Hence if $\ell=(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}_{m}^{*}$ with $|v|=m$ (so $\|v\|_{\infty}<m$ and $u=0$ ) we also have that $U_{m, \ell} \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0$, as

$$
\left|\Phi\left(\frac{u \cdot \lambda+v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right)\right|=\left|\Phi\left(\frac{v \cdot \gamma}{m}\right)\right|<r=\left|\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right|^{\frac{v_{1}}{m}} \ldots\left|\Phi\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right|^{\frac{v_{p}}{m}}
$$

Thus

$$
\Phi^{q}(D)\left(\left(A+R_{q}\right)^{m}\right) \underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} B
$$

and (2.11) follows by (2.12) and (2.14).
2.1. Some consequences of Theorem 3. Theorem 3 complements [10, Thm. 1] and gives an alternative proof to those of Shkarin [23, Thm. 4.1] and Bayart and Matheron [6, Thm. 8.26] that $D$ supports a hypercyclic algebra.
Corollary 9. Let $P(z)=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} z^{k}\right)^{n}$ with $\left|a_{0}\right| \leq 1, a_{1} \neq 0$, and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P(D)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $H(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Notice first that $Q_{1}(z)=a_{0}+z^{k}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3 , and hence so does $Q_{2}(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z^{k}$, by Remark 6. The conclusion now follows by a result due to Ansari [1] that the set of hypercyclic vectors for an operator $T$ coincides with the corresponding set of hypercyclic vectors for any given iterate $T^{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

We may also apply Theorem 3 to convolution operators that are not induced by polynomials.

Example 10. The operators $\cos (a D)$ and $\sin (a D)$ support a hypercyclic algebra on $H(\mathbb{C})$ if $a \neq 0$. To see this, notice first that by Lemma 5 we may assume that $a=1$. For the first example, notice that $\Phi(z)=\cos (z)$ is of exponential type and

$$
|\Phi(z)|^{2}=|\cos (z)|^{2}=\cos ^{2}(x)+\sinh ^{2}(y) \quad(z=x+i y, x, y \in \mathbb{R})
$$

So $\Gamma=\{(x, f(x)): 0 \leq x \leq \pi\} \subset \Phi^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$ for the smooth function $f:[0, \pi] \rightarrow[0, \infty), f(x)=\sinh ^{-1}(\sin (x))$, which is concave down since its second derivative $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{-2 \sin (x)}{\left(1+\sin ^{2}(x)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ is negative on $(0, \pi)$. Now

$$
\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash(\Gamma \cup\{0\})
$$

is the region bounded by the graph of $f$ and the $x$-axis, on which $|\Phi|<1$, and $\cos (D)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra by Theorem 3. The proof for $\sin (D)$ follows similarly by considering instead the subarc

$$
\Gamma_{0}:=\left\{\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2}, \sinh ^{-1}(\sin (x))\right): 0 \leq x \leq \pi\right\}
$$

of $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\sin (z)|=1\}$.
The next two examples should be contrasted with [3, Corollary 2.4].
Example 11. The operator $T=D \tau_{1}=D e^{D}$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$, where $\tau_{1}$ is the translation operator $g(z) \mapsto g(z+1), g \in H(\mathbb{C})$ supports a hypercyclic algebra.

Let $\Phi(z)=z e^{z}$. Clearly $\Phi$ is of exponential type, so we may check the conditions of Theorem 3. Writing $z=x+i y$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)|=1 \Leftrightarrow y^{2}=e^{-2 x}-x^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation has solutions provided the function $\phi(x)=e^{-2 x}-x^{2}$ satisfies that $\phi(x) \geq 0$. By doing some elementary calculus, one shows that $\phi$ is strictly decreasing on $\mathbb{R}$ and has a unique solution say $r \in(0,1)$. Thus the graph of the function

$$
h(x)=\sqrt{e^{-2 x}-x^{2}}, \quad x \in(-\infty, r]
$$

lies in $f^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$. Taking derivatives, we get that $h^{\prime}<0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}<0$ on $(0, r)$, thus $h$ is strictly decreasing and concave down on $[0, r]$. Furthermore, it is evident that the sector

$$
S=\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq x<r, 0 \leq y<h(x)\}
$$

lies in $f^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$. Thus, the strictly convex arc

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq x \leq r, y=h(x)\}
$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3, which guarantees the existence of a hypercyclic algebra for the operator $f(D)$.

Example 12. For each $0<a \leq 1$, the operator $T=\tau_{1}-a I=e^{D}-a I$ supports a hypercyclic algebra. To see this, we will show that the exponential type function $\Phi(z)=e^{z}-a$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3. If $z=x+i y$ then an easy calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(z)| \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow e^{2 x}-2 a \cos (y) e^{x}+a^{2}-1 \leq 0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we restrict $y \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ the inequality (2.16) has solution $x \leq \log (a \cos (y)+$ $\left.\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}\right)$. Hence, setting

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{4}, x=\log \left(a \cos (y)+\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}\right)\right\}
$$

we get that $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Phi^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D})$, and that $\left\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: 0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{4}, x<\log \left(a \cos y+\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}\right)\right\} \subset \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, since $0<a \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$, it follows that

$$
x=\log \left(a \cos (y)+\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}\right)>0
$$

and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x}{d y}=-\frac{a \sin (y)}{\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}}<0, \\
& \frac{d^{2} x}{d y^{2}}=-\frac{a \cos (y)}{\left(1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)\right)^{3 / 2}}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the function $x=\log \left(a \cos (y)+\sqrt{1-a^{2} \sin ^{2}(y)}\right), y \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ is positive, decreasing and concave down. It follows that $\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \backslash \Gamma_{1} \subset \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$, and hence by Theorem 3 that $\Phi(D)$ has a hypercyclic algebra as claimed.

The following observation by Godefroy and Shapiro allows to conclude the existence of hypercyclic algebras for differentiation operators on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 13. (Godefroy and Shapiro) The restriction operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}: H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \\
f=f(z) \mapsto f(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

is continuous, of dense range, and multiplicative, and for any complex polynomial $P=P(z)$ we have

$$
\mathcal{R} P\left(\frac{d}{d z}\right)=P\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right) \mathcal{R}
$$

By Theorem 3, Remark 6, Remark 13 and [10, Thm. 1] we have:
Corollary 14. Let $P \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be either a non-constant polynomial vanishing at zero or so that the level set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\Phi(z)|=1\}$ contains a non-trivial, strictly convex compact arc $\Gamma_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup\{0\}\right) \subseteq P^{-1}(\mathbb{D})
$$

Then the operator $P\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $T=a I+b \frac{d}{d x}$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ whenever $|a| \leq 1$ and $0 \neq b$.

Remark 15. The geometric assumption (1.1) in Theorem 3 does not seem to be a necessary one, as the following example by Félix Martínez suggests. The polynomial $P(z):=\frac{9^{9 / 8}}{8} z\left(z^{8}-1\right)$ vanishes at zero, so $P(D)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra by [10, Thm. 1]. On the other hand, numerical evidence suggests that the level set $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|P(z)|=1\}$ does not contain any nontrivial strictly convex compact arc $\Gamma$ so that $\operatorname{conv}(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \backslash(\Gamma \cup\{0\}) \subset$ $P^{-1}(\mathbb{D})$, see Figure 3.


Figure 3. The level curve $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|P(z)|=1\}$ for $P(z):=$ $\frac{9^{9 / 8}}{8} z\left(z^{8}-1\right)$.

We conclude the section by posing the following problem.
Problem 1. Let $\Phi(D): H(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})$ be a hypercyclic convolution operator not supporting a hypercyclic algebra.
(i) (Aron) Can $\Phi$ be a polynomial?
(ii) Must $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be of the form $\Phi(z)=e^{a z}$, for some $a \neq 0$ ?

## 3. Hypercyclic algebras and composition operators

3.1. Translations on the algebra of smooth functions. As observed in Theorem 1 by Aron et al [3] no translation operator $\tau_{a}(f)(\cdot)=f(\cdot+a)$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $H(\mathbb{C})$. We show in Corollary 21 below that in contrast each non-trivial translation $\tau_{a}$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. The proof is based on the following general fact.

Theorem 16. Let $T$ be a hypercyclic multiplicative operator on a separable $F$-algebra $X$ over the real or complex scalar field $\mathbb{K}$. The following are equivalent:
(a) The operator $T$ supports a hypercyclic algebra.
(b) For each non-constant polynomial $P \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ with $P(0)=0$, the map $\widehat{P}: X \rightarrow X, \quad f \mapsto P(f)$, has dense range.
(c) Each hypercyclic vector for $T$ generates a hypercyclic algebra.

Proof. The implication $(c) \Rightarrow(a)$ is immediate. To see $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$, let $g \in X$ generating a hypercyclic algebra $A(g)$ for $T$. In particular, for each polynomial $P$ vanishing at the origin the multiplicativity of $T$ gives that $\widehat{P}(\operatorname{Orb}(T, g))=\operatorname{Orb}(T, P(g))$ is dense in $X$. Finally, to show the implication (b) $\Rightarrow(c)$, let $f \in X$ be hypercyclic for $T$ and let $P \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ be a non-constant polynomial with $P(0)=0$. Given $V \subset X$ open and non-empty, by our assumption the set $\widehat{P}^{-1}(V)$ is open and non-empty. So there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $T^{n}(f) \in \widehat{P}^{-1}(V)$. The multiplicativity of the operator $T$ now gives

$$
T^{n}(P(f))=\widehat{P}\left(T^{n}(f)\right) \in V
$$

So $P(f)$ is hypercyclic for $T$ for each non-constant polynomial $P$ that vanishes at zero.

Corollary 17. For each $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$ the translation operator

$$
T_{a}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), T_{a}(f)(x)=f(x+a), x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

is weakly mixing but does not support a hypercyclic algebra.
Proof. Notice first that $J \tau_{a}=\left(T_{a} \oplus T_{a}\right) J$ for the $\mathbb{R}$-linear homeomorphism $J$ : $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), J(f)=(\operatorname{Re}(f), \operatorname{Im}(f))$. So $T_{a}$ is weakly mixing. But the multiplicative operator $T_{a}$ does not support a hypercyclic algebra, since $\left\{f^{2}: f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\right\}$ is not dense in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

The next two lemmas are used to establish Proposition 20.
Lemma 18. Let $B \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ be of compact support. Then for each countable subset $F$ of $\mathbb{C}$ and each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $a \in D(0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Range}(B) \cap(F-a)=\emptyset .
$$

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B$ is supported in $[-N, N]$. Notice that the restriction of $B$ to $[-N, N]$ is a closed rectifiable planar curve. Now, suppose that Range $(B) \cap(F-a) \neq \emptyset$ for each $a \in D(0, \epsilon)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(0, \epsilon) & =\bigcup_{y \in F}\{a \in D(0, \epsilon): y-a \in \operatorname{Range}(B)\} \\
& =\bigcup_{y \in F}[D(0, \epsilon) \cap(y-\operatorname{Range}(B))],
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $F$ is countable we must have for some $y \in F$ that

$$
m(D(0, \epsilon) \cap(y-\operatorname{Range}(B)))>0,
$$

where $m$ denotes the two dimensional Lebesgue measure. But since $B$ is rectifiable $m(y-\operatorname{Range}(B))=m(\operatorname{Range}(B))=0$, a contradiction.

Lemma 19. Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $B \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ be constant outside of a compact set such that

$$
\operatorname{Range}(B) \cap P\left(\left\{P^{\prime}=0\right\}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

Then there exists $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $P(g)=B$.
Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B$ is constant outside $[-N, N]$. Consider the family $\mathcal{M}$ of tuples $((-\infty, b), h)$ with $b \in(-\infty, \infty]$ and $h \in C^{\infty}((-\infty, b), \mathbb{C})$ satisfying that $P \circ h=B$ on $(-\infty, b)$. Endow $\mathcal{M}$ with the partial order $\leq$ given by $\left(\left(-\infty, b_{1}\right), h_{1}\right) \leq\left(\left(-\infty, b_{2}\right), h_{2}\right)$ if and only if both $b_{1} \leq b_{2}$ and $h_{1}=$ $h_{2}$ on $\left(-\infty, b_{1}\right)$. Observe that $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ as by picking $c \in P^{-1}(B(-N))$ and letting $h:(-\infty,-N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, h(x)=c$, we have $((-\infty,-N), h) \in \mathcal{M}$. Also, any totally ordered subfamily $\left\{\left(\left(-\infty, b_{j}\right), h_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ has $((-\infty, b), h) \in$ $\mathcal{M}$ as an upper bound, where $b=\sup _{j \in J} b_{j}$ and where $h:(-\infty, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $h(x)=h_{j}(x)$ for $x \in\left(-\infty, b_{j}\right)$. It follows by Zorn's Lemma that $\mathcal{M}$ contains some maximal element $((-\infty, b), g)$. We claim that $b=\infty$. Now, if $b<\infty$ then since Range $(B) \cap P\left(\left\{P^{\prime}=0\right\}\right)=\emptyset$ there exist $m$ distinct points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$ such that $P\left(z_{1}\right)=\cdots=P\left(z_{m}\right)=B(b)$. Furthermore, we may find a neighbourhood $W$ of $B(b)$ and pairwise disjoint open sets $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{m}$ with $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right) \in U_{1} \times \cdots \times U_{m}$ and biholomorphisms $g_{j}: W \rightarrow U_{j}$ such that $g_{j} \circ P(z)=z$ for $z \in U_{j}(j=1, \ldots, m)$. Now, pick an open interval $(a, c) \subset B^{-1}(W)$ with $b \in(a, c)$. Notice that $g((a, b)) \subset U_{j}$ for some unique $j$. Define $h$ on $(-\infty, c)$ by $h(x)=g(x)$ if $x \in(-\infty, b)$ and $h(x)=g_{j} \circ B(x)$ if $x \in(a, c)$. Then $h$ is well defined and in $C^{\infty}((-\infty, c), \mathbb{C})$ and $((-\infty, b), g)<$ $((-\infty, c), h)$ contradicting the maximality of $((-\infty, b), g)$. So $b=\infty$ and $P(g)=B$, concluding the proof.

We note that Lemma 19 also follows from an (albeit longer) compactness argument that does not require Zorn's Lemma.

Proposition 20. Let $P$ be a non-constant polynomial with complex coeficients and which vanishes at zero. Then $\widehat{P}: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, $f \mapsto P(f)$, has dense range.

Proof. Given $U \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ open and non-empty, pick $B \in U$ with compact support. By Lemma 18 there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B_{1}:=B+a \in U$ and Range $\left(B_{1}\right) \cap P\left(\left\{P^{\prime}=0\right\}\right)=\emptyset$. By Lemma 19 there exists some $g \in$ $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $P(g)=B_{1}$.

Corollary 21. For each $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$ the translation operator $\tau_{a}$ supports a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The hypercyclicity of $\tau_{a}$ on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ follows from Birkhoff's theorem and the so-called Comparison Principle; notice that $\tau_{a} \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R} \tau_{a}$ where the translation on the right hand side is acting on $H(\mathbb{C})$. The conclusion now follows by Proposition 20 and Theorem 16.
3.2. Composition operators on $H(\Omega)$. Recall that given a domain $\Omega$ in the complex plane, each $\omega \in H(\Omega)$ and $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ holomorphic induce a weighted composition operator

$$
C_{\omega, \varphi}: H(\Omega) \rightarrow H(\Omega), f \mapsto \omega(f \circ \varphi)
$$

When $C_{\omega, \varphi}$ is supercyclic, the weight symbol $\omega$ must be zero-free and the compositional symbol $\varphi$ must be univalent and without fixed points. Moreover, these conditions are sufficient for the hypercyclicity of $C_{\omega, \varphi}$ when $\Omega$ is simply connected [9, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1]. We conclude the paper by noting the following extension of Theorem 1.

Theorem 22. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain. Then no weighted composition operator $C_{\omega, \varphi}: H(\Omega) \rightarrow H(\Omega)$ supports a supercyclic algebra.

Proof. Given any $f \in H(\Omega)$ and $a \in \Omega$, the polynomial $g(z)=(z-a)^{3}$ is not in the closure of

$$
\mathbb{C} O r b\left(C_{\omega, \varphi}, f^{2}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{f^{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\lambda \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{\varphi}^{j}(\omega) C_{\varphi}^{n}\left(f^{2}\right): n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\right\}
$$

Indeed, if $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ is a scalar sequence satisfying

$$
\lambda_{k}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n_{k}-1} C_{\varphi}^{j}(\omega)\right) C_{\varphi}^{n_{k}}\left(f^{2}\right)=\lambda_{k} C_{\omega, \varphi}^{n_{k}}\left(f^{2}\right) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} g
$$

then by Hurwitz theorem [15, page 231] there exists a disc $D(a, \delta) \subset \Omega$ centered at $a$ so that for each large $k$

$$
\lambda_{k}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n_{k}-1} C_{\varphi}^{j}(\omega)\right) C_{\varphi}^{n_{k}}\left(f^{2}\right)=\lambda_{k}\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n_{k}-1} C_{\varphi}^{j}(\omega)\right)\left(C_{\varphi}^{n_{k}}(f)\right)^{2}
$$

has exactly three zeroes (counted with multiplicity) on $D(a, \delta)$ which is impossible since $\omega$ is zero-free.

When $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is simply connected and $C_{\varphi}$ is a hypercyclic composition operator on $H(\Omega)$, then any operator in the algebra of operators generated by $C_{\varphi}$ is also hypercyclic [8, Theorem 1]. Hence it is natural to ask:
Question 3. Let $C_{\varphi}$ be a hypercyclic composition operator on $H(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is simply connected, and let $P$ be a non-constant polynomial with $P(0)=$ 0 . Can $P\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$ support a hypercyclic algebra?

The answer must be affirmative if Problem 1(ii) has an affirmative answer. Finally, notice that in contrast with Theorem 22, by Corollary 21 it is possible for a composition operator to support a hypercyclic algebra on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. The hypercyclic weighted composition operators on $C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is open, have been characterized in [21], see also [13]. We conclude the paper with the following question.

Question 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be open and nonempty. Which weighted composition operators on $C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ support a hypercyclic algebra?
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