

ÍNDICE GENERAL.....	i
ÍNDICE DE FIGURAS.....	ix
ÍNDICE DE TABLAS.....	xv
LISTA DE ABREVIATURAS.....	xvii



## ÍNDICE GENERAL

<b>INTRODUCCIÓN GENERAL</b> .....	1
<b>1. Métodos de lucha contra plagas basados en semioquímicos</b> .....	3
<b>1.1 Las feromonas de insectos</b> .....	4
<b>1.2 Aislamiento e identificación de feromonas</b> .....	6
<b>1.3 Aplicación de las feromonas</b> .....	7
1.3.1 <i>Detección y seguimiento de poblaciones</i> .....	7
1.3.2 <i>Métodos directos de control</i> .....	8
1.3.2.1 <i>Captura masiva</i> .....	8
1.3.2.2 <i>Atracción y muerte/esterilización/infección</i> .....	8
1.3.2.3 <i>Confusión sexual</i> .....	8
<b>1.4 Ventajas del uso de las feromonas y dificultades para su desarrollo</b> .....	9
<b>1.5 Dispositivos emisores</b> .....	10
<b>1.6 Emisores basados en materiales porosos inorgánicos</b> .....	13
<b>2. EL PIOJO ROJO DE CALIFORNIA (<i>Aonidiella aurantii</i>)</b> .....	16
<b>2.1 Importancia y distribución</b> .....	16
<b>2.2 Biología de la plaga</b> .....	17
<b>2.3 Daños</b> .....	18
<b>2.4 Métodos de lucha</b> .....	19
2.4.1 <i>Métodos convencionales</i> .....	19
2.4.2 <i>Feromonas</i> .....	21
<b>3. EL BARRENADOR DEL ARROZ (<i>Chilo suppressalis</i>)</b> .....	23
<b>3.1 Importancia y distribución</b> .....	23
<b>3.2 Biología de la plaga</b> .....	24
<b>3.3 Daños</b> .....	26
<b>3.4 Métodos de lucha</b> .....	26
3.4.1 <i>Control químico</i> .....	26
3.4.2 <i>Feromonas</i> .....	28
3.4.3 <i>Otros</i> .....	31
<b>4. LA POLILLA DEL RACIMO (<i>Lobesia botrana</i>)</b> .....	32
<b>4.1 Importancia y distribución</b> .....	32
<b>4.2 Biología de la plaga</b> .....	33

4.3 Daños.....	34
4.4 Métodos de lucha.....	34
4.4.1 Control químico.....	34
4.4.2 Control biológico.....	35
4.4.3 Feromonas.....	35
5. LA POLILLA DEL TOMATE ( <i>Tuta absoluta</i> ).....	38
5.1 Importancia y distribución.....	38
5.2 Biología de la plaga.....	39
5.3 Daños.....	40
5.4 Métodos de lucha.....	40
5.4.1 Control químico.....	40
5.4.2 Control biológico.....	41
5.4.3 Feromonas.....	41
6. LA MOSCA DEL OLIVO ( <i>Bactrocera oleae</i> ).....	44
6.1 Importancia y distribución.....	44
6.2 Biología de la plaga.....	44
6.3 Daños.....	45
6.4 Métodos de lucha.....	46
6.4.1 Control químico.....	46
6.4.2 Atrayentes y feromonas.....	46
6.4.3 Control biológico.....	48
7. LA MOSCA DEL MEDITERRÁNEO ( <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> ).....	49
7.1 Importancia y distribución.....	49
7.2 Biología de la plaga.....	49
7.3 Daños.....	50
7.4 Métodos de lucha.....	51
7.4.1 Control químico.....	51
7.4.2 Técnica del insecto estéril (TIE).....	52
7.4.3 Trampeo masivo.....	52
7.4.4 Feromonas.....	54
7.4.5 Control biológico.....	55
<b><u>JUSTIFICACIÓN Y OBJETIVOS</u></b> .....	59

<b>CAPÍTULO I</b> “The first account of the mating disruption technique for the control of California Red Scale, <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> Maskell (Hemiptera: Diaspididae) using new biodegradable dispensers”	65
<b>I.1 Introduction</b>	66
<b>I.2 Material and methods</b>	68
<b>I.2.1 Field trials</b>	68
<i>I.2.1.1 First trial year</i>	68
<i>I.2.1.2 Second trial year</i>	69
<b>I.2.2 Evaluation of treatment efficacy</b>	69
<b>I.2.3 Mesoporous pheromone dispenser</b>	70
<b>I.2.4 Pheromone release profiles</b>	70
<b>I.2.5 Statistical analysis</b>	71
<b>I.3 Results</b>	72
<b>I.3.1 Dose-response trial: 2006</b>	72
<i>I.3.1.1 Male catches</i>	72
<i>I.3.1.2 Fruit damage</i>	73
<i>I.3.1.3 Pheromone release profiles</i>	74
<b>I.3.2 Dose-response trial: 2007</b>	75
<i>I.3.2.1 Male catches</i>	75
<i>I.3.2.2 Fruit damage</i>	76
<i>I.3.2.3 Pheromone release profiles</i>	77
<b>I.4 Discussion</b>	78
<b>CAPÍTULO II</b> “Mating disruption of California red scale, <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> Maskell (Hemiptera: Diaspididae), using biodegradable mesoporous pheromone dispensers”	85
<b>II.1 Introduction</b>	86
<b>II.2 Material and methods</b>	88
<b>II.2.1 Mesoporous dispenser and device</b>	88
<b>II.2.2 Experimental design</b>	89
<i>II.2.2.1 Trial 1</i>	90
<i>II.2.2.2 Trial 2 and 3</i>	90
<b>II.2.3 Evaluation of treatment efficacy</b>	91
<b>II.2.4 Pheromone release profiles</b>	92
<b>II.2.5 Statistical analysis</b>	93
<b>II.3 Results</b>	93

<b>II.3.1 Efficacy trials</b> .....	93
<i>II.3.1.1. Male catches</i> .....	93
<i>II.3.1.2. Fruit damage</i> .....	96
<b>II.3.2. Pheromone release profiles</b> .....	99
<b>II.4 Discussion</b> .....	100

**CAPÍTULO III** “Different strategies to apply mating disruption for controlling *Aonidiella aurantii* Maskell (Hemiptera: Diaspididae)”..... 105

<b>III.1 Introduction</b> .....	106
<b>III.2 Material and methods</b> .....	108
<b>III.2.1 Mesoporous dispenser and device</b> .....	108
<b>III.2.2 Experimental design</b> .....	108
<b>III.2.3 Evaluation of treatment efficacy</b> .....	110
<b>III.2.4 Pheromone release profiles</b> .....	111
<b>III.2.5 Statistical analysis</b> .....	111
<b>III.3 Results</b> .....	112
<b>III.3.1 Efficacy of the different strategies</b> .....	112
<i>III.3.1.1 Male catches</i> .....	112
<i>III.3.1.2 Fruit damage</i> .....	114
<b>III.3.2 Pheromone release profiles</b> .....	115
<b>III.4 Discussion</b> .....	116

**CAPÍTULO IV** “Studies on the development of a mating disruption system to control the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* Povolny (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)”.....121

<b>IV.1 Introduction</b> .....	122
<b>IV.2 Material and methods</b> .....	124
<b>IV.2.1 Mesoporous pheromone dispensers</b> .....	124
<b>IV.2.2 Containment level trials</b> .....	124
<i>IV.2.2.1 Low-containment trial</i> .....	124
<i>IV.2.2.2 High-containment trial</i> .....	125
<b>IV.2.3 Efficacy trials</b> .....	126
<i>IV.2.3.1 First trial: 2009</i> .....	126
<i>IV.2.3.2 Second trial: 2010</i> .....	127
<b>IV.2.4 Evaluation of treatment efficacy</b> .....	128
<b>IV.2.5 Pheromone release profiles</b> .....	128
<b>IV.2.6 Statistical analysis</b> .....	129

<b>IV.3 Results</b> .....	130
<b>IV.3.1 Low-containment level trial: El Perelló 2008</b> .....	130
<b>IV.3.2 High-containment level trial: Paiporta 2009</b> .....	132
<b>IV.3.3 Efficacy trial: Alicante 2009</b> .....	134
<b>IV.3.4 Efficacy trial: Paiporta 2010</b> .....	136
<b>IV.3.5 Pheromone release profiles</b> .....	138
<b>IV.4 Discussion</b> .....	140

**CAPÍTULO V** “Study on the optimum pheromone release rate for attraction of *Chilo suppressalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)”..... 147

<b>V.1 Introduction</b> .....	148
<b>V.2 Material and methods</b> .....	149
<b>V.2.1 Pheromone dispensers and traps</b> .....	149
<i>V.2.1.1 Standard dispenser</i> .....	149
<i>V.2.1.2 Mesoporous dispenser</i> .....	150
<i>V.2.1.3 Funnel traps</i> .....	150
<i>V.2.1.4 Light traps</i> .....	150
<b>V.2.2 Field trial</b> .....	150
<b>V.2.3 Pheromone release rates</b> .....	151
<b>V.2.4 Statistical analysis</b> .....	152
<b>V.3 Results</b> .....	153
<b>V.3.1 Pheromone release rates</b> .....	153
<b>V.3.2 Field trial</b> .....	154
<i>V.3.2.1 Population dynamics</i> .....	154
<i>V.3.2.2 Trap catches</i> .....	157
<b>V.4 Discussion</b> .....	159

**CAPÍTULO VI** “Effect of sex pheromone emission on the attraction of *Lobesia botrana*”..... 165

<b>VI.1 Introduction</b> .....	166
<b>VI.2 Material and methods</b> .....	167
<b>VI.2.1 Pheromone dispensers and traps</b> .....	167
<b>VI.2.2 Field trial</b> .....	168
<b>VI.2.3 Pheromone emission rates</b> .....	168
<b>VI.2.4 Statistical analysis</b> .....	169
<b>VI.3 Results</b> .....	170

<b>VI.3.1 Pheromone emission rates</b> .....	170
<b>VI.3.2 Field trial: Trap catches</b> .....	172
<b>VI.4 Discussion</b> .....	175

**CAPÍTULO VII** “Response of two tephritid species, *Bactrocera oleae* and *Ceratitis capitata*, to different emission levels of pheromone and parapheromone”..... 183

<b>VII.1 Introduction</b> .....	184
<b>VII.2 Material and methods</b> .....	186
<b>VII.2.1 Olive fruit fly</b> .....	186
<i>VII.2.1.1 Traps and pheromones</i> .....	186
<i>VII.2.1.2 Field trial</i> .....	186
<b>VII.2.2 Mediterranean fruit fly</b> .....	187
<i>VII.2.2.1 Traps and pheromones</i> .....	187
<i>VII.2.2.2 Field trial</i> .....	187
<b>VII.2.3 Release rates</b> .....	188
<b>VII.2.4 Statistical analysis</b> .....	189
<b>VII.3. Results</b> .....	189
<b>VII.3.1 Release rates</b> .....	189
<b>VII.3.2 Field trial</b> .....	191
<i>VII.3.2.1 Trap catches</i> .....	191
<i>VII.3.2.2 Olive fruit fly</i> .....	193
<i>VII.3.2.3 Mediterranean fruit fly</i> .....	194
<b>VII.4 Discussion</b> .....	196

**CAPÍTULO VIII** “Solid phase microextraction of volatile emissions of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae): Influence of fly sex, age and mating status”..... 203

<b>VIII.1 Introduction</b> .....	204
<b>VIII.2 Material and methods</b> .....	206
<b>VIII.2.1 Insects</b> .....	206
<b>VIII.2.2 Collection of volatiles</b> .....	207
<b>VIII.2.3 Detection and identification of volatiles</b> .....	208
<b>VIII.2.4 Statistical analysis</b> .....	209
<b>VIII.3 Results and Discussion</b> .....	210
<b>VIII.3.1 Overview of identified compounds</b> .....	210
<b>VI.3.2 Data pretreatment</b> .....	216



VI.3.4 PCA: Score plots.....	216
VI.3.5 PCA: Loading plots.....	219
VI.3.6 ANOVA results.....	222
VI.3.7 Relationship between emission pattern and reported blends.....	225
VI.4 Conclusions.....	226
<b><u>DISCUSIÓN GENERAL</u></b> .....	229
<b><u>CONCLUSIONES</u></b> .....	253
<b><u>REFERENCIAS</u></b> .....	259



## ÍNDICE DE FIGURAS

### Introducción

<b>Figura 1.1</b> Imagen del apareamiento de la polilla de la seda, <i>Bombyx mori</i> L.....	5
<b>Figura 1.2</b> Túnel de viento (izq.) y olfatómetro en Y (dcha.) para ensayos biológicos de comportamiento.....	6
<b>Figura 1.5.1</b> Tipos de cinéticas de emisión.....	11
<b>Figura 1.5.2</b> Emisor de tipo <i>rubber septa</i> .....	12
<b>Figura 1.6.1</b> Estructura de la sepiolita.....	14
<b>Figura 1.6.2</b> Imágenes de emisores mesoporosos. Emisor para confusión sexual de <i>Lobesia botrana</i> (izq.) y emisor de acetato amónico para <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> (dcha.).....	15
<b>Figura 2.2.1</b> Hembra adulta junto a dos <i>crawlers</i> (izq.) y macho adulto (dcha.) de <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> .....	17
<b>Figura 2.2.2</b> Hembra joven con pigidio extendido (izq.) y hembra grávida con <i>crawlers</i> (dcha.).....	18
<b>Figura 2.3.1</b> Imagen de fruto atacado por piojo rojo de California.....	19
<b>Figura 2.4.2.1</b> Moléculas componentes de la feromona de <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> .....	21
<b>Figura 2.4.2.2</b> Imagen de trampa pegajosa para el seguimiento de poblaciones de <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> .....	22
<b>Figura 2.4.2.3</b> Imagen del emisor TCB-RSD (Red Scale Down®).....	22
<b>Figura 3.2.1</b> Imágenes del adulto, larva y puestas de <i>Chilo suppressalis</i> .....	25
<b>Figura 3.3.1</b> Daños en espiga de segunda generación de <i>Chilo suppressalis</i> y larva en el interior de una caña.....	26
<b>Figura 3.4.2.1</b> Molécula del (Z)-11-hexadecenal, componente mayoritario de la feromona de <i>Chilo suppressalis</i> .....	29
<b>Figura 3.4.2.2</b> Emisor para confusión sexual de <i>Chilo suppressalis</i> Selibate®CS..	29
<b>Figura 4.2.1</b> Imágenes del adulto y larva de <i>Lobesia botrana</i> .....	33
<b>Figura 4.3.1</b> Daños de primera y tercera generación provocados por <i>Lobesia botrana</i> en racimos.....	34
<b>Figura 4.4.3.1</b> Molécula del acetato de (E,Z)-7,9-dodecadienilo, componente mayoritario de la feromona de <i>Lobesia botrana</i> .....	36
<b>Figura 4.4.3.2</b> Emisor para confusión sexual de <i>Lobesia botrana</i> del tipo tubo de polietileno.....	37

<b>Figura 5.2.1</b> Estadios larvarios (izq.) y pupas (dcha.) de <i>Tuta absoluta</i> .....	39
<b>Figura 5.3.1</b> Insectos adultos (izq.) y planta de tomate gravemente atacada por <i>Tuta absoluta</i> .....	40
<b>Figura 5.4.3.1</b> Molécula del acetato de (3E,8Z,11Z)-tetradecatrienilo, componente mayoritario de la feromona de <i>Tuta absoluta</i> .....	42
<b>Figura 6.2.1</b> Pupas de <i>Bactrocera oleae</i> en el interior del fruto (izq.) e insecto adulto (dcha.).....	45
<b>Figura 6.4.2.1</b> Molécula del 1,7-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecano, feromona de la hembra de <i>Bactrocera oleae</i> .....	47
<b>Figura 6.4.2.2</b> Trampa pegajosa amarilla para seguimiento de poblaciones de <i>Bactrocera oleae</i> .....	47
<b>Figura 7.2.1</b> Dimorfismo sexual en <i>Ceratits capitata</i> .....	50
<b>Figura 7.4.3.1</b> Mosquero para captura masiva de <i>Ceratits capitata</i> y sistema de quimioesterilización Adress®.....	53

## Capítulo I

<b>Figure I.1</b> Male CRS catches per trap per day during the 2006 trial for pheromone treated plots, D8 and D20, and the untreated plot.....	72
<b>Figure I.2</b> Mean percentage of damaged fruits observed inside the untreated and pheromone treated plots, D8 and D20, at the end of the 2006 season.....	73
<b>Figure I.3</b> Relation between the amount of residual pheromone (in mg) and days of field exposure for the two types of dispensers (D8 and D20) tested in the 2006 trial.....	74
<b>Figure I.4</b> Male CRS catches per trap per day during the 2007 trial for pheromone treated plots, D50 and D100, and the untreated plot.....	75
<b>Figure I.5</b> Mean±SE percentage of damaged fruit inside the untreated and pheromone treated plots, D50 and D100, at the end of the 2007 season.....	76
<b>Figure I.6</b> Relation between the amount of residual pheromone (in mg) and day of field exposure for the two types of dispensers (D50 and D100) tested in the 2007 trial.....	77

## Capítulo II

<b>Figure II.1</b> Male CRS catches per trap per week, in monitoring sticky traps, for mating disruption treated plots and control plots in Trial 1.....	94
--	----

<b>Figure II.2</b> Male CRS catches per trap per week, in monitoring sticky traps, for mating disruption treated plots and control plots in Trial 2.....	95
<b>Figure II.3</b> Male CRS catches per trap per week, in monitoring sticky traps, for mating disruption treated plots and control plots in Trial 3.....	95
<b>Figure II.4</b> Mean percentage of damaged fruits observed inside the different plots: oil control, mating disruption (MD) and MD+oil treatment, for Trial 1.....	97
<b>Figure II.5</b> Mean percentage of damaged fruits observed inside the different plots: untreated, oil control, mating disruption (MD) and MD+oil treatment, for Trial 2.....	98
<b>Figure II.6</b> Mean percentage of damaged fruits observed inside the different plots: untreated, oil control, mating disruption (MD) and MD+oil treatment, for Trial 3.....	98
<b>Figure II.7</b> Relationship between the remaining amount of pheromone in the mesoporous dispensers (mg) and the corresponding days of field exposure.....	99

### Capítulo III

<b>Figure III.1</b> Sketch showing the arrangement of the 11 plots in the field with the corresponding strategies.....	109
<b>Figure III.2</b> Population dynamics of <i>Aonidiella aurantii</i> shown as males per trap per week (MTW) captured on the different mating disruption plots and the untreated plots.....	113
<b>Figure III.3</b> Mean percentage of damaged fruits observed in the different plots. Bars labelled with the same letter do not differ significantly (ANOVA test $P > 0.05$ ).....	114
<b>Figure III.4</b> Evolution of the remaining load of pheromone on the mesoporous dispensers (mg) versus time (days in orchard).....	116

### Capítulo IV

<b>Figure IV.1</b> Arrangement of the different plots inside the greenhouse for the 2009 efficacy trial (Alicante, Spain).....	127
<b>Figure IV.2a</b> Captures of <i>Tuta absoluta</i> , as moths per trap and day (MTD), in commercial monitoring traps for pheromone treated plots (T80 and T20) and the Reference plot.....	131
<b>Figure IV.2b</b> Damage level obtained in the mentioned plots (low-containment trial 2008), as percentage of plants with <i>T. absoluta</i> live stages (eggs, pupae or larvae).....	131

<b>Figure IV.3a</b> Captures of <i>T. absoluta</i> , as moths per trap and day (MTD), in commercial monitoring traps for the pheromone treated plot and the Reference plot with conventional chemical treatments.....	133
<b>Figure IV.3b</b> Damage level obtained in the mentioned plots (high-containment trial 2009), as percentage of plants with TLM live stages (eggs, pupae or larvae).....	133
<b>Figure IV.4a</b> Average captures of <i>T. absoluta</i> , as moths per trap and day (MTD), In commercial monitoring traps for the pheromone treated plots and the Reference plot with conventional chemical treatments.....	135
<b>Figure IV.4b</b> Damage level obtained in the mentioned plots (efficacy trial 2009), as percentage of plants with TLM live stages (eggs, pupae or larvae).....	135
<b>Figure IV.5a</b> Average captures of <i>T. absoluta</i> , as moths per trap and day (MTD), in commercial monitoring traps for the pheromone treated plots and the Reference plots with conventional chemical treatments.....	137
<b>Figure IV.5b</b> Damage level obtained in the mentioned plots (efficacy trial 2010), as percentage of plants with TLM live stages (eggs, pupae or larvae).....	137
<b>Figure IV.6a</b> Release profiles of (3 <i>E</i> ,8 <i>Z</i> ,11 <i>Z</i> )-tetradecatrienyl acetate (TDTA), the major <i>T. absoluta</i> pheromone component of T80 dispenser from low containment trial 2008.....	139
<b>Figure IV.6b</b> Release profiles of (3 <i>E</i> ,8 <i>Z</i> ,11 <i>Z</i> )-tetradecatrienyl acetate (TDTA), the major <i>T. absoluta</i> pheromone component of T20 dispenser from low containment trial 2008.....	139
<b>Figure IV.6c</b> Release profiles of (3 <i>E</i> ,8 <i>Z</i> ,11 <i>Z</i> )-tetradecatrienyl acetate (TDTA), the major <i>T. absoluta</i> pheromone component of T60 dispenser from high containment trial 2009.....	139
<b>Figure IV.6d</b> Release profiles of (3 <i>E</i> ,8 <i>Z</i> ,11 <i>Z</i> )-tetradecatrienyl acetate (TDTA), the major <i>T. absoluta</i> pheromone component of T80 dispenser from efficacy trials 2009-2010.....	139

## Capítulo V

<b>Figure V.1</b> Release profile of Z11-16:Ald, the major <i>C. suppressalis</i> pheromone component, from the two kinds of dispensers tested.....	154
<b>Figure V.2</b> Population dynamics of <i>C. suppressalis</i> in trial area according to the total number of moth catches recorded in 8 light traps.....	155
<b>Figure V.3</b> Moth catches per trap per day (MTD) along the growing season of the rice for each type of baited trap.....	155

<b>Figure V.4.</b> Means and 95% LSD intervals corresponding to factor emission, from the ANOVA carried out with data in Table V.1.....	159
---	-----

Capítulo VI

<b>Figure VI.1</b> Release profiles of ( <i>E,Z</i> )-7,9-dodecadienyl acetate, the major <i>Lobesia botrana</i> pheromone component, from the three kinds of dispenser tested.....	172
<b>Figure VI.2</b> Average number of moths caught per trap and week (MTW) for each of five types of baited trap, with <i>t</i> the day of inspection.....	173
<b>Figure VI.3</b> Scatter plot and fitted regression model (equation 7) of $\sqrt{N_c} - ASB$ vs. SRE (square root of emission).....	174

Capítulo VII

<b>Figure VII.1</b> Release dynamics of spiroacetal from commercial polyethylene dispensers.....	190
<b>Figure VII.2</b> Release dynamics of trimedlure from commercial mesoporous dispensers.....	191
<b>Figure VII.3</b> Average Olive fruit fly catches per trap per week obtained in yellow PVC sticky boards baited with commercial spiroacetal dispensers.....	192
<b>Figure VII.4</b> Average Mediterranean fruit fly catches per trap per week obtained in Moskisan® traps baited with mesoporous TML dispensers.....	192
<b>Figure VII.5</b> Captures of <i>B. oleae</i> and 95% LSD intervals corresponding to factor emission for spiroacetal release rates. Curve represents the quadratic model that best fits the mean values of captures according to emission rates.....	195
<b>Figure VII.6</b> Captures of <i>C. capitata</i> and 95% LSD intervals corresponding to factor emission for trimedlure release rates. Interval overlapping indicates the lack of a maximum attraction value.....	195

Capítulo VIII

<b>Figure VIII.1a</b> Score plot ( <i>t</i> [1] vs. <i>t</i> [2]) for the first and second principal components obtained from the emission matrix.....	217
<b>Figure VIII.1b</b> Score plot ( <i>t</i> [2] vs. <i>t</i> [1]) for the PCA using male's observations.....	217
<b>Figure VIII.1c</b> Score plot ( <i>t</i> [2] vs. <i>t</i> [1]) for the PCA using female's data.....	217
<b>Figure VIII.2</b> Loading plot ( <i>p</i> [1] <sup>3</sup> vs. <i>p</i> [2]) for the PCA carried out with the emission matrix, corresponding to the score plot in <b>Figure VIII.1a</b> .....	220

<b>Figure VIII.3</b> Loading plot (p[2] vs. p[1]) for the PCA carried out using female's observations, corresponding to the score plot in <b>Figure VIII.1c</b> .....	221
<b>Figure VIII.4</b> Loading plot (p[2] vs. p[1]) for the PCA carried out using male's observations, corresponding to the score plot in <b>Figure VIII.1b</b> .....	221
<b>Figure VIII.5</b> Interaction plot and 95% LSD intervals of 10 ANOVAs conducted with factor sex (males: thicker solid lines; females: thinner dashed lines) and factor age×status with 4 variants (v3: virgin 3-d old; v9: virgin 9-d old; m3: mated 3d old; m9: mated 9-d old).....	223



## ÍNDICE DE TABLAS

<b>Tabla 1</b> Categorías de semioquímicos.....	4
 <u>Capítulo I</u>	
<b>Table I.1</b> Mean±SE males per trap per week for each dispenser during the whole season and over separate flights in the 2007 trial.....	76
 <u>Capítulo II</u>	
<b>Table II.1</b> Mean and SE males per trap per day, mating disruption index (MDI) and statistical parameters obtained by analysis of variance (ANOVA), during 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> flights. Means in a row followed by the same letter are not significantly different (ANOVA test, $P>0.05$ ).....	96
 <u>Capítulo III</u>	
<b>Table III.1</b> Mean ± SE males per trap per day (MTD), mating disruption index (MDI), and statistical parameters obtained by analysis of variance (ANOVA).....	113
 <u>Capítulo V</u>	
<b>Table V.1.</b> Number of catches of <i>C. suppressalis</i> in traps baited with pheromone dispensers.....	156
 <u>Capítulo VI</u>	
<b>Appendix VI</b> .....	179
 <u>Capítulo VIII</u>	
<b>Table VIII.1</b> Compounds detected in the experiments of medfly emissions According to sex, age and mating status.....	214
<b>Table VIII.2.</b> Summary overview of the four principal components (PC) obtained from the emission matrix.....	218

