

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/140253>

This paper must be cited as:

Xu, S.; Chen, J.; Benítez López, J. (11-2). EP Elements in Rings with Involution. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society. 42(6):3409-3426.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-019-00731-x>



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-019-00731-x>

Copyright Springer-Verlag

Additional Information

EP elements in rings with involution

Sanzhang Xu and Jianlong Chen *

Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

Julio Benítez †

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Instituto de Matemática Multidisciplinar, Valencia, 46022, Spain

Abstract: Let R be a unital ring with involution. We first show that the EP elements in R can be characterized by three equations. Namely, let $a \in R$, then a is EP if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that $(xa)^* = xa$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$. Any EP element in R is core invertible and Moore-Penrose invertible. We give more equivalent conditions for a core (Moore-Penrose) invertible element to be an EP element. Finally, any EP element is characterized in terms of the n -EP property, which is a generalization of the bi-EP property.

Key words: Core inverse, EP, bi-EP, n -EP.

AMS subject classifications: 15A09, 16W10, 16U80.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a unital ring with involution, i.e., a ring with a mapping $a \mapsto a^*$ satisfying $(a^*)^* = a$, $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ and $(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$. The notion of core inverse for a complex matrix was introduced by Baksalary and Trenkler [3]. In [17], Rakić et al. generalized the core inverse of a complex matrix to the case of an element in R . More precisely, let $a, x \in R$, if

$$axa = a, xR = aR \quad \text{and} \quad Rx = Ra^*,$$

then x is called a *core inverse* of a . If such an element x exists, then it is unique and denoted by a^\oplus . The set of all core invertible elements in R will be denoted by R^\oplus . Also, in [17] the authors defined a related pseudo-inverse in a ring with an involution. If $a \in R$, then $a_\oplus \in R$ is called a *core dual inverse* of a if

$$aa_\oplus a = a, a_\oplus R = a^*R \quad \text{and} \quad Ra_\oplus = Ra.$$

Rakić et al. proved that if a has a core dual inverse (we say that a is core dual invertible), then it is unique. We denote by R_\oplus the subset of R composed of core dual invertible

*E-mail: xusanzhang5222@126.com. Corresponding author, E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn

†E-mail: jbenitez@mat.upv.es

elements. It is elemental to prove that $a \in R^\oplus$ if and only if $a^* \in R_\oplus$, and in this case, one has $(a^\oplus)^* = (a^*)_\oplus$. This last observation permits to get results concerning dual core inverses from the corresponding results on dual inverses.

Let $a, x \in R$. If

$$axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax \quad \text{and} \quad (xa)^* = xa,$$

then x is called a *Moore-Penrose inverse* of a . If such an element x exists, then it is unique and denoted by a^\dagger . The set of all Moore-Penrose invertible elements will be denoted by R^\dagger .

Let $a \in R$. It can be easily proved that the set of elements $x \in R$ such that:

$$axa = a, \quad xax = x \quad \text{and} \quad ax = xa$$

is empty or a singleton. If the set is a singleton, its unique element is called the *group inverse* of a and denoted by $a^\#$. The set of all group invertible elements will be denoted by $R^\#$. The subset of R composed of invertible elements will be denoted by R^{-1} .

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ is called an EP (range-Hermitian) matrix if the range equality $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(A^*)$, where $\mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over the field of complex numbers and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ stands for the range (column space) of $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. This concept was first introduced by Schwerdtfeger in [18]. An element $a \in R$ is said to be an EP element if $a \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ and $a^\dagger = a^\#$ [8]. The set of all EP elements will be denoted by R^{EP} . Mosić et al. in [12, Theorem 2.1] gave several equivalent conditions such that an element in R to be an EP element. Patrício and Puystjens in [16, Proposition 2] proved that for a Moore-Penrose invertible element $a \in R$, $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $aR = a^*R$. As for a Moore-Penrose invertible element $a \in R$, $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$, thus we deduce that $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$ if and only if $aR = a^*R$. In [17, Theorem 3.1], Rakić et al. investigated some equivalent conditions such that a (dual) core invertible element in R to be an EP element. Also, they showed that $R^\dagger \cap \text{core}R = R^\dagger \cap R^\#$. Motivated by [6, 12, 16, 17], in this paper, we will give new equivalent characterizations such that an element in R to be an EP element.

We first show that the EP elements in R can be characterized by three equations. That is, let $a \in R$, then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that $(xa)^* = xa$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$. In [17], Rakić et al. proved that $a \in R^\dagger$ if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $xR = a^*R$ and $Rx = Ra^*$. Inspired by this result, we show that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that

$$axa = a, \quad xR = aR \quad \text{and} \quad Rx^* = Ra.$$

In [6, Theorem 16], for an operator $T \in L(X)$, where X is a Banach space, Boasso proved that for a Moore-Penrose invertible operator T , T is an EP operator if and only if there exists an invertible operator $P \in L(X)$ such that $T^\dagger = PT$. We generalize this result to the ring case. Moreover, for $a \in R^\dagger$, we show that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists a (left) invertible element v such that $a^\dagger = va$. Similarly, for $a \in R^\oplus$, then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists a (left) invertible element s such that $a^\oplus = sa$.

In [17], Rakić et al. proved that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ with $a^\dagger = a^\#$. Also, it is proved that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^\oplus$ with $a^\# = a^\oplus$. In [14, Theorem 2.1],

Mosić and Djordjević proved that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\dagger}$ with $a^n a^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger} a^n$ for all choices $n \geq 1$. This result also can be found in [7, Theorem 2.4] by Chen. Motivated by [14, 17], we will give more new equivalent conditions under which a core invertible element is an EP element. And we define the concept of n -EP as a generalization of bi-EP. As an application, we will use n -EP property to give an equivalent characterization of the EP elements in R .

2 New characterizations of EP elements by equations

In this section, we first show that any EP element in R can be characterized by three equations. Let us begin with an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [11, Theorem 7.3] *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#}$ with $(aa^{\#})^* = aa^{\#}$.*

It is well known that the group inverse of an element in a ring can be characterized by three equations and the Moore-Penrose inverse of an element in a ring can be characterized by four equations. In the following theorem, we show that an EP element in a ring can be described by three equations.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that*

$$(xa)^* = xa, \quad xa^2 = a \quad \text{and} \quad ax^2 = x. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Let $x = a^{\dagger} = a^{\#}$, then $(xa)^* = (a^{\dagger}a)^* = a^{\dagger}a = xa$, $xa^2 = a^{\#}a^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = a(a^{\#})^2 = a^{\#} = x$. Conversely, if there exists $x \in R$ such that $(xa)^* = xa$, $xa^2 = a$ and $ax^2 = x$, then $a(x^2a) = (ax^2)a = xa = x(xa^2) = (x^2a)a$, $a(x^2a)a = (xa)a = xa^2 = a$, and $(x^2a)a(x^2a) = (xa)(x^2a) = x(ax^2)a = x^2a$. These three equalities prove that $a \in R^{\#}$, $a^{\#} = x^2a$, and $aa^{\#} = xa$. By Lemma 2.1, we get $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. \square

For an idempotent p in a ring R , every $a \in R$ can be written as

$$a = pap + pa(1-p) + (1-p)ap + (1-p)a(1-p)$$

or in the matrix form

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a_{11} = pap$, $a_{12} = pa(1-p)$, $a_{21} = (1-p)ap$ and $a_{22} = (1-p)a(1-p)$.

Let us observe that pRp and $(1-p)R(1-p)$ are subrings whose unities are p and $1-p$, respectively. Also, we notice that if $p = p^*$, then the above matrix representation preserves the involution. The term *projection* will be reserved for a Hermitian idempotent.

Suppose in this paragraph that $a \in R$ is an EP element. If we denote $p = aa^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}a$, since $ap = pa = a$ and $a^{\dagger}p = pa^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}$, then the matrix representations of a and a^{\dagger} with respect to the Hermitian idempotent p are

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad a^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} \dagger & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

respectively.

Recall that a ring R is *prime* if for any two elements a and b of R , $arb = 0$ for all r in R implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

In next theorem, the set of elements $x \in R^{\text{EP}}$ satisfying (2.1) is described.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $a \in R$. If a is EP, then $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\} = \{a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$. Moreover, if R is a prime ring, then $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\} = \{a^\dagger\}$ if and only if $a = 0$ or a is invertible.*

Proof. Suppose a is an EP element. We use the matrix representations of a and a^\dagger with respect to the projection $p = aa^\dagger$ given in (2.2). Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix}$ be the representation of any $x \in R$ with respect to p . From $xa^2 = a$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ua^2 & 0 \\ wa^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since a is EP and $aa^\dagger = p = a^\dagger a$, then a is invertible in pRp and its inverse is a^\dagger . Hence from $a = ua^2$ and $0 = wa^2$, we obtain $u = a^\dagger$ and $0 = w$, respectively. Now, from $x = ax^2$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} p & av \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v + avz \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies $z = 0$. Therefore,

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = a^\dagger + v,$$

that is $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\} \subseteq \{a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$.

Let us prove the opposite inclusion. We have that $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$ since a is EP. Then

$$[a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger)]a = a^\dagger a \text{ is Hermitian,}$$

$$[a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger)]a^2 = a^\dagger a^2 = a,$$

$$a[a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger)]^2 = [aa^\dagger + ay(1 - aa^\dagger)][a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger)] = a^\dagger + aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger).$$

Suppose that R is prime ring. If $a = 0$, then $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\} = \{0\}$. If a is invertible, then $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\} = \{a^{-1}\}$. If $\{x \in R : (xa)^* = xa, xa^2 = a, ax^2 = x\}$ is a singleton, then $aa^\dagger y(1 - aa^\dagger) = 0$ for all $y \in R$, by using that R is prime, then $aa^\dagger = 0$ or $1 - aa^\dagger = 0$. The first of the previous alternatives is equivalent to $a = 0$ and the second one (since a is EP) is equivalent to the invertibility of a . \square

We will also use the following notations: $aR = \{ax : x \in R\}$, $Ra = \{xa : x \in R\}$, ${}^\circ a = \{x \in R : xa = 0\}$, $a^\circ = \{x \in R : ax = 0\}$ and $[a, b] = ab - ba$. The following lemma will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.4. [19] *Let $a, b \in R$. Then:*

- (1) $aR \subseteq bR$ implies ${}^\circ b \subseteq {}^\circ a$ and the converse is valid whenever b is regular;

(2) $Ra \subseteq Rb$ implies $b^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and the converse is valid whenever b is regular.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $xR = aR$ and $Rx^* = Ra$;
- (3) there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $xR = aR$ and $Rx^* \subseteq Ra$;
- (4) there exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, $xR = aR$ and $Rx^* = Ra$;
- (5) there exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, $xR = aR$ and $Ra \subseteq Rx^*$;
- (6) there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $(x^*)^\circ = a^\circ$;
- (7) there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $a^\circ \subseteq (x^*)^\circ$;
- (8) there exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $(x^*)^\circ = a^\circ$;
- (9) there exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $(x^*)^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $x = a^\dagger = a^\#$, then $axa = a$, $x = a(a^\#)^2$, $x^* = (a^\dagger)^* = (a^\dagger aa^\dagger)^* = (a^\dagger)^* a^\dagger a$, and $xa^2 = a^\# a^2 = a = aa^\dagger a = aa^*(a^\dagger)^* = aa^*x^*$. Thus $xR = aR$ and $Rx^* = Ra$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) and (6) \Rightarrow (7) are clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (6) and (3) \Rightarrow (7) are obvious by Lemma 2.4.

(7) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $a^\circ \subseteq (x^*)^\circ$. Since $(1-ax)a = 0$, then $1-ax \in {}^\circ a = {}^\circ x$, hence $(1-ax)x = 0$. Since $a(1-xa) = 0$, then $1-xa \in a^\circ \subseteq (x^*)^\circ$, hence $x^*(1-xa) = 0$, i.e., $x = (xa)^*x$. We get $xa = (xa)^*xa$, hence xa is Hermitian. Finally, $x = xax$ implies $1-xa \in {}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$, whence $xa^2 = a$. Therefore $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Theorem 2.2.

The implications (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9) are similar to (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7).

(9) \Rightarrow (1): There exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$ and $(x^*)^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$. It is obvious that $(x^*)^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ is equivalent to ${}^\circ x \subseteq {}^\circ(a^*)$. We have $a = xa^2$ since $(1-xa)x = 0$ implies $(1-xa)a = 0$. Similarly, we have $a^* = xaa^*$ since $(1-xa)x = 0$ implies $(1-xa)a^* = 0$. Thus $(xa)^* = a^*x^* = xaa^*x^* = xa(xa)^*$, that is $(xa)^* = xa$. By $a^* = xaa^*$ and $(xa)^* = xa$, we have $a^* = xaa^* = (xa)^*a^* = (axa)^*$, that is $a = axa$. Hence by ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ a$, we have $(1-ax)a = 0$ implies $(1-ax)x = 0$, which gives $x = ax^2$. Therefore $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ and denote $p = aa^\dagger$. Then*

- (1) $\{x \in R : axa = a, x \in aR\} = \{a^\dagger + py(1-p) : y \in R\}$;
- (2) $\{x \in R : xax = x, xR = aR\} = \{a^\dagger + pz(1-p) : z \in R\}$;
- (3) $\{x \in R : axa = a, {}^\circ a \subseteq {}^\circ x\} = \{a^\dagger + py'(1-p) : y' \in R\}$;
- (4) $\{x \in R : xax = x, {}^\circ a = {}^\circ x\} = \{a^\dagger + pz'(1-p) : z' \in R\}$.

Furthermore, if R is prime, then any of the above subsets is a singleton if and only if $a = 0$ or a is invertible.

Proof. We use the matrix representations of a and a^\dagger with respect to the projection $p = aa^\dagger$ given in (2.2). Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix}$ be the representation of any x with respect to p .

(1) Let x satisfy $axa = a$ and $x \in aR$. From $axa = a$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} au & av \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aua & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$, we have that a is invertible in pRp and its inverse is a^\dagger . Hence $a = aua$ gives $u = a^\dagger$. Since $x \in aR$, we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 & \xi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\xi_1 & a\xi_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $w = z = 0$. Hence

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = a^\dagger + px(1-p) \in \{a^\dagger + py(1-p) : y \in R\}.$$

The opposite inclusion is trivial.

(2) Let $x \in R$ satisfy $xax = x$ and $xR = aR$. Since $x \in aR$, by the proof of (1), we have $w = z = 0$. Now, since $a \in xR$, we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 & \delta_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies

$$a = u\delta_1 + v\delta_3, \quad 0 = u\delta_2 + v\delta_4. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we use $xax = x$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ua & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} uau & uav \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$u = uau, \quad v = uav. \quad (2.4)$$

Post-multiply the first equality of (2.4) by δ_1 and the second equality of (2.4) by δ_3 to obtain

$$u\delta_1 = uau\delta_1, \quad v\delta_3 = uav\delta_3.$$

From (2.3),

$$a = u\delta_1 + v\delta_3 = uau\delta_1 + uav\delta_3 = ua(u\delta_1 + v\delta_3) = ua^2.$$

We get $u = a^\dagger$ because a is invertible in pRp and its inverse is a^\dagger . Therefore

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = a^\dagger + v = a^\dagger + px(1-p) \in \{a^\dagger + pz(1-p) : z \in R\}.$$

For the opposite inclusion, it is easy to check that $[a^\dagger + pz(1-p)]a[a^\dagger + pz(1-p)] = a^\dagger + pz(1-p)$ and $a^\dagger + pz(1-p) \in aR$ in view of $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. From $a = [a^\dagger + pz(1-p)]a^2$, we deduce that $a \in [a^\dagger + pz(1-p)]R$.

The proof of statements (3) and (4) follows from (1) and (2), respectively, since by Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $x \in aR$ is equivalent to ${}^\circ a \subseteq {}^\circ x$ and $xR = aR$ is equivalent to ${}^\circ a = {}^\circ x$, respectively.

The proof of the last affirmation of this theorem has the same proof as the corresponding part of Theorem 2.3. \square

By considering that a is EP if and only if a^* is EP and having in mind Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, we get the following four theorems.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists $y \in R$ such that*

$$(ay)^* = ay, \quad a^2y = a \quad \text{and} \quad y^2a = y.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $a \in R$. If a is EP, then $\{y \in R : (ay)^* = ay, a^2y = a, y^2a = y\} = \{a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)xaa^\dagger : x \in R\}$. Moreover, if R is a prime ring, then $\{y \in R : (ay)^* = ay, a^2y = a, y^2a = y\} = \{a^\dagger\}$ if and only if $a = 0$ or a is invertible.*

Theorem 2.9. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) there exists $y \in R$ such that $aya = a$, $Ry = Ra$ and $y^*R = aR$;
- (3) there exists $y \in R$ such that $aya = a$, $Ry = Ra$ and $y^*R \subseteq aR$;
- (4) there exists $y \in R$ such that $yay = y$, $Ry = Ra$ and $y^*R = aR$;
- (5) there exists $y \in R$ such that $yay = y$, $Ry = Ra$ and $aR \subseteq y^*R$;
- (6) there exists $y \in R$ such that $aya = a$, $y^\circ = a^\circ$ and ${}^\circ(y^*) = {}^\circ a$;
- (7) there exists $y \in R$ such that $aya = a$, $y^\circ = a^\circ$ and ${}^\circ a \subseteq {}^\circ(y^*)$;
- (8) there exists $y \in R$ such that $yay = y$, $y^\circ = a^\circ$ and ${}^\circ(y^*) = {}^\circ a$;
- (9) there exists $y \in R$ such that $yay = y$, $y^\circ = a^\circ$ and ${}^\circ(y^*) \subseteq {}^\circ a$.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ and denote $p = aa^\dagger$. Then*

- (1) $\{y \in R : aya = a, y \in Ra\} = \{a^\dagger + (1-p)xp : x \in R\}$;
- (2) $\{y \in R : yay = y, Ry = Ra\} = \{a^\dagger + (1-p)zp : z \in R\}$;
- (3) $\{y \in R : aya = a, a^\circ \subseteq y^\circ\} = \{a^\dagger + (1-p)x'p : x' \in R\}$;
- (4) $\{y \in R : yay = y, a^\circ = y^\circ\} = \{a^\dagger + (1-p)z'p : z' \in R\}$.

Furthermore, if R is prime, then any of the above subsets is a singleton if and only if $a = 0$ or a is invertible.

We will characterize when $a \in R$ is EP by another subset of three equations.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that*

$$a^2x = a, \quad ax = xa \quad \text{and} \quad (ax)^* = ax. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. If a is EP, by taking $x = a^\dagger = a^\#$, we get (2.5). Conversely, assume that exists $x \in R$ such that (2.5) is satisfied. We shall show that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ and $a^\# = ax^2$. Since $ax = xa$, we get $a(ax^2) = (ax^2)a$, but in addition, $a(ax^2) = (a^2x)x = ax$, which leads to $a(ax^2)a = a^2x = a$ and $(ax^2)a(ax^2) = (ax^2)ax = (a^2x)x^2 = ax^2$. Since $aa^\# = a^2x^2 = ax$ is Hermitian, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

We have seen that if $a \in R$ is EP, then $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\}$ is not empty. In next theorem we describe this last set.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $a \in R$. If a is EP, then $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\} = \{a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$. Moreover, if R is a prime ring, then $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\} = \{a^\dagger\}$ if and only if a is invertible.*

Proof. Suppose that a is an EP element. We use the matrix representations of a and a^\dagger with respect to the projection $p = aa^\dagger$ given in (2.2). Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix}$ be the representation of any $x \in R$ with respect to p .

Let $x \in R$ satisfy $a^2x = a, ax = xa$ and $(ax)^* = ax$. From $a^2x = a$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which leads to $a^2u = a$ and $a^2v = 0$. Since a is EP and $aa^\dagger = p = a^\dagger a$, then a is invertible in pRp and its inverse is a^\dagger . Hence from $a = a^2u$ and $0 = a^2v$, we obtain $u = a^\dagger$ and $0 = v$, respectively. Now, from $ax = xa$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & 0 \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & 0 \\ w & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies $0 = wa$, and taking into account that a is invertible in pRp , we have $0 = w$. Therefore,

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{bmatrix} = a^\dagger + z,$$

that is $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\} \subseteq \{a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$.

Let us prove the opposite inclusion. We have $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$ since a is EP. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} a[a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger)] &= aa^\dagger \text{ is Hermitian,} \\ a^2[a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger)] &= a^2a^\dagger = a, \\ a[a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger)] &= aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a = [a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger)]a. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that R is a prime ring. If a is invertible, then $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\} = \{a^{-1}\}$. If $\{x \in R : a^2x = a, ax = xa, (ax)^* = ax\}$ is a singleton, then $(1 - aa^\dagger)y(1 - aa^\dagger) = 0$ for all $y \in R$. By using that R is prime, we get $1 - aa^\dagger = 0$, which (since a is EP) is equivalent to the invertibility of a . \square

Theorem 2.13. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) ${}^\circ(a^2) \subseteq {}^\circ a$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that $xa^2 = a$ and $(xa)^* = xa$;
- (3) $(a^2)^\circ \subseteq a^\circ$ and there exists $x \in R$ such that $a^2x = a$ and $(ax)^* = ax$.

Furthermore, under these equivalences one has that the set of elements x satisfying (2) is $\{a^\dagger + y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$ and the set of elements x satisfying (3) is $\{a^\dagger + (1 - aa^\dagger)z : z \in R\}$. If R is prime and a is EP, then the sets of x satisfying (2) or (3) is $\{a^\dagger\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): The inclusion ${}^\circ(a^2) \subseteq {}^\circ a$ is evident from $a \in R^\#$. For the remaining, it is sufficient to take $x = a^\dagger = a^\#$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since $(ax - 1)a^2 = a(xa^2) - a^2 = a^2 - a^2 = 0$, we get $ax - 1 \in {}^\circ(a^2) \subseteq {}^\circ a$, hence $axa = a$. From

$$ax^2a^2 = ax(xa^2) = axa = a = xa^2$$

we get $ax^2 - x \in {}^\circ(a^2) \subseteq {}^\circ a$, hence $ax^2a = xa$. Now, we prove $a^\# = x^2a$ by the definition of the group inverse,

$$a(x^2a) = ax^2a = xa, \quad (x^2a)a = x(xa^2) = xa;$$

$$a(x^2a)a = xa^2 = a; \quad (x^2a)a(x^2a) = x(xa^2)x^2a = xax^2a = x(ax^2a) = x^2a.$$

Now, $a^\# = x^2a$ and $aa^\# = ax^2a = xa$ is Hermitian. Hence (1) follows from Lemma 2.1.

The proof of (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is similar to the proof of (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

Now, let us prove the last part of the theorem. Recall that a is EP. If $x \in R$ satisfies $xa^2 = a$, then $(x - a^\dagger)aa^\dagger = xaa^\dagger - a^\dagger = xa^2(a^\dagger)^2 - a^\dagger = a(a^\dagger)^2 - a^\dagger = 0$. Hence $x - a^\dagger = (x - a^\dagger)(1 - aa^\dagger)$, which yields $x \in \{a^\dagger + y(1 - aa^\dagger) : y \in R\}$. Reciprocally, it is evident that for any $y \in R$ one has that $[a^\dagger + y(1 - aa^\dagger)]a^2 = a$ and $[a^\dagger + y(1 - aa^\dagger)]a$ is Hermitian. The affirmation concerning the primality of R has the same proof as the corresponding in previous Theorem 2.12. \square

3 When a core invertible element is an EP element

Any EP element is core invertible, but when a core invertible element is EP? In this section we answer this question. Let us start this section with a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. [17, Theorem 2.14] *An element $a \in R$ is core invertible if and only if there exists $x \in R$ such that*

$$axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad xa^2 = a \quad \text{and} \quad ax^2 = x.$$

Under this equivalence, one has that $x = a^\oplus$.

Let us recall the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $a \in R$.*

- (1) [5, Proposition 8.24] a is group invertible if and only if exists an idempotent $p \in R$ such that $ap = pa = 0$ and $a + p$ is invertible. Under this equivalence, we have $p = 1 - aa^\#$ and $a^\# = (a + p)^{-1} - p$.
- (2) [4, Theorem 2.1] a is EP if and only if exists a projection $p \in R$ such that $ap = pa = 0$ and $a + p$ is invertible. Under this equivalence, we have $p = 1 - aa^\dagger$ and $a^\dagger = (a + p)^{-1} - p$.

In fact, the second item of previous result was stated for unital C^* -algebras, but as one can easily check, its proof remains valid for unital rings with an involution. We give a similar characterization of the core invertibility.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $a \in R$. The following affirmations are equivalent:*

- (1) a is core invertible.
- (2) Exists a projection p such that $pa = 0$ and $a(1 - p)$ is invertible in the subring $(1 - p)R(1 - p)$.
- (3) Exists a projection p such that $pa = 0$ and $a(1 - p) + p$ is invertible.
- (4) Exists a projection p such that $pa = 0$ and $a + p$ is invertible.

Under this equivalence, one has this projection p is unique and $p = 1 - aa^\oplus$. In addition,

$$(a(1 - p))_{(1-p)R(1-p)}^{-1} = a^\oplus, \quad (a(1 - p) + p)^{-1} = p + a^\oplus, \quad (a + p)^{-1} = p - a^\oplus ap + a^\oplus.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let us represent a with respect to the projection $p = 1 - aa^\oplus$. We use the notation $\bar{p} = 1 - p = aa^\oplus$. Observe that from Lemma 3.1 we have $pa = (1 - aa^\oplus)a = 0$ and $a^\oplus p = a^\oplus(1 - aa^\oplus) = 0$. Therefore,

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} pap & pa\bar{p} \\ \bar{p}ap & \bar{p}a\bar{p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ap & a\bar{p} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad a^\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} pa^\oplus p & pa^\oplus \bar{p} \\ \bar{p}a^\oplus p & \bar{p}a^\oplus \bar{p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & pa^\oplus \\ 0 & \bar{p}a^\oplus \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now, $a(a^\oplus)^2 = a^\oplus$ leads to $\bar{p}a^\oplus = a^\oplus$ and $pa^\oplus = 0$. Hence

$$a^\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a^\oplus \end{bmatrix} \in \bar{p}R\bar{p}.$$

From $a^\oplus a^2 = a$ we have $a^\oplus a^2 aa^\oplus = aa^\oplus$, i.e., $a^\oplus a\bar{p} = \bar{p}$. Furthermore, $a\bar{p}a^\oplus = aa^\oplus = \bar{p}$. Therefore, $a\bar{p} \in \bar{p}R\bar{p}$ is invertible in the subring $\bar{p}R\bar{p}$ and its inverse is a^\oplus .

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4): Let $p \in R$ be a projection such that $pa = 0$. The representation of a with respect to p is the same as in (3.1). Now we have

$$a(1 - p) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(1 - p) \end{bmatrix}, \quad a(1 - p) + p = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & a(1 - p) \end{bmatrix}, \quad a + p = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ ap & a(1 - p) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking into account that p is invertible in the subring pRp (in fact, p is the unity), evidently we have that $a(1 - p) \in ((1 - p)R(1 - p))^{-1} \Leftrightarrow a(1 - p) + p \in R^{-1} \Leftrightarrow a + p \in R^{-1}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $x \in (1-p)R(1-p)$ be the inverse of $a(1-p)$ in $(1-p)R(1-p)$. This means that $a(1-p)x = xa(1-p) = 1-p$. Observe that $x \in (1-p)R(1-p)$ implies $(1-p)x = x(1-p) = x$, and therefore, $ax = xa(1-p) = 1-p$. We will prove that $x = a^\oplus$ by using Lemma 3.1. Let us recall that we can use $pa = 0$ and $(1-p)a = a$ by hypothesis.

$$axa = (ax)a = (1-p)a = a.$$

$$xax = x(ax) = x(1-p) = x.$$

$$ax = 1-p \text{ is Hermitian.}$$

$$xa^2 = xa(1-p)a = (1-p)a = a.$$

$$ax^2 = (ax)x = (1-p)x = x.$$

Now, we shall prove the uniqueness. Assume that q is another projection such that $qa = 0$ and $a(1-q)$ is invertible in $(1-q)R(1-q)$. By the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (1) we get that the inverse of $a(1-q)$ in $(1-q)R(1-q)$ is a^\oplus , in particular $a^\oplus \in (1-q)R(1-q)$ and $a(1-q)a^\oplus = 1-q$. By using also Lemma 3.1 we get

$$(1-q)aa^\oplus = a(1-q)a^\oplus aa^\oplus = a(1-q)a^\oplus = 1-q. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $a^\oplus \in (1-q)R(1-q)$, exists $u \in R$ such that $a^\oplus = u(1-q)$. Now,

$$aa^\oplus(1-q) = au(1-q)^2 = au(1-q) = aa^\oplus.$$

Apply involution and use Lemma 3.1 in this last equality to get $(1-q)aa^\oplus = aa^\oplus$. From this last equality and (3.2) we obtain $aa^\oplus = 1-q$. In other words, we have proved the uniqueness of such q .

The expression of ()

□

Lemma 3.4. [17, Theorem 3.1] *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^\dagger$ and $[a, a^\dagger] = 0$;
- (3) $a \in R^\oplus$ and $[a, a^\oplus] = 0$;
- (4) $a \in R^\oplus$ and $a^\# = a^\oplus$;
- (5) $a \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ and $a^\dagger = a^\oplus$.

Lemma 3.5. [17, Theorem 2.18] *Let $a \in R^\oplus$. Then $a^\oplus \in R^{\text{EP}}$ and $(a^\oplus)^\oplus = (a^\oplus)^\dagger = (a^\oplus)^\# = a^2a^\oplus$. Moreover, if $a \in R^\dagger$, then $(a^\dagger)^\oplus = (a^\oplus a)^*a$.*

Lemma 3.6. [16] *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^\#$ and $aR = a^*R$;
- (3) $a \in R^\#$ and $Ra = Ra^*$.

In the following theorem, we show that the equality $aR = a^*R$ in Lemma 3.6 can be replaced by weaker inclusions $aR \subseteq a^*R$ or $a^*R \subseteq aR$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^{\#}$ and $aR \subseteq a^*R$;
- (3) $a \in R^{\#}$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra^*$;
- (4) $a \in R^{\#}$ and $a^*R \subseteq aR$;
- (5) $a \in R^{\#}$ and $Ra^* \subseteq Ra$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2)-(5) is obvious by Lemma 3.6.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): By $aR \subseteq a^*R$, we have $a = a^*r$ for some $r \in R$, then $a = (aa^{\#}a)^*r = (a^{\#}a)^*a^*r = (a^{\#}a)^*a$. Thus $a^{\#}a = aa^{\#} = (a^{\#}a)^*aa^{\#} = (a^{\#}a)^*a^{\#}a$, which gives $(a^{\#}a)^* = a^{\#}a$. Therefore $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by the definition of EP element.

(3)-(5) \Rightarrow (1) is similar to (2) \Rightarrow (1). □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\oplus}a)^* = a^{\oplus}a$;
- (3) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\oplus})^{\oplus} = a$;
- (4) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\oplus})^{\dagger} = a$;
- (5) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\oplus})^{\#} = a$;
- (6) $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\#}$ and $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = a$;
- (7) $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\#}$ and $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus})^{\dagger}$;
- (8) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $ap = 0$, where $p = 1 - aa^{\oplus}$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Then by Lemma 3.4, we have $a^{\dagger} = a^{\oplus}$. Thus $(a^{\dagger}a)^* = a^{\dagger}a$ implies $(a^{\oplus}a)^* = a^{\oplus}a$. Conversely, suppose $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\oplus}a)^* = a^{\oplus}a$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $aa^{\oplus}a = a$, $a^{\oplus}aa^{\oplus} = a^{\oplus}$ and $(aa^{\oplus})^* = aa^{\oplus}$. Thus by the definition of Moore-Penrose inverse, we have $a^{\dagger} = a^{\oplus}$. Hence by Lemma 3.4, we have $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3): Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Then by Lemma 3.4, we have $[a, a^{\oplus}] = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $(a^{\oplus})^{\oplus} = a^2a^{\oplus}$. Thus $(a^{\oplus})^{\oplus} = a^2a^{\oplus} = a(aa^{\oplus}) = a(a^{\oplus}a) = a$. Conversely, suppose $(a^{\oplus})^{\oplus} = a$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $(a^{\oplus})^{\oplus} = a^2a^{\oplus}$. Then $a = a^2a^{\oplus}$. Thus

$$a^{\oplus}a = a^{\oplus}a^2a^{\oplus} = aa^{\oplus}.$$

Therefore $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Lemma 3.4.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) is clear by Lemma 3.5.

(1) \Rightarrow (6): By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we have $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus}a)^*a = (a^{\dagger}a)^*a = a^{\dagger}a^2 = a^{\#}a^2 = a$.

(6) \Rightarrow (7): Suppose that $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = a$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we have $a^{\dagger}a^2 = a$ and $a(a^{\dagger})^2 = a^{\dagger}$. Thus $a^{\dagger}a = a(a^{\dagger})^2a = a^{\dagger}a^2a^{\dagger} = aa^{\dagger}$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Then $a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $(a^{\oplus})^{\dagger} = a^2a^{\oplus} = a^2a^{\#} = a$. Thus by $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = a$, we have $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus})^{\dagger}$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus})^{\dagger}$. Then by Lemma 3.5, we have $(a^{\oplus})^{\dagger} = a^2a^{\oplus}$ and $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus}a)^*a$. Thus by $(a^{\dagger})^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus})^{\dagger}$, we have

$$a^2a^{\oplus} = (a^{\oplus}a)^*a. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking involution on (3.3), we have $a^*a^{\oplus}a = (aa^{\oplus})^*a^* = aa^{\oplus}a^*$. Thus $a^{\oplus}a = (a^{\oplus})^*a^*a^{\oplus}a = (a^{\oplus})^*aa^{\oplus}a^* = aa^{\oplus}$. That is $[a, a^{\oplus}] = 0$, therefore $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Lemma 3.4.

(8) \Leftrightarrow (1): If a is an EP element, then $ap = 0$ is clear by $aa^{\oplus} = a^{\oplus}a$. Conversely, assume that (8) holds. Then $a = a^2a^{\oplus} = a(aa^{\oplus})^* = a(a^{\oplus})^*a^* \in Ra^*$. As a core invertible element is group invertible, thus $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Theorem 3.7. \square

Example 3.9. If $a \in R$ is core invertible element and there exists a projection $p \in R$ such that $ap = 0$, we could not get that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Considering the following counterexample. Let R be the ring of all 2×2 matrices over in field \mathbb{F} with transpose as involution. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A^{\#} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A^{\oplus} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$, $AP = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P^2 = P = P^*$. But $A^{\#} \neq A^{\oplus}$, therefore A is not EP.

Theorem 3.10. Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $aR \subseteq a^*R$;
- (3) $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $[a^{\oplus}, (a^{\oplus}a)^*a] = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is easy to see that by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.7.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose a is EP, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $a^{\#} = a^{\dagger} = a^{\oplus}$. Thus $[a^{\oplus}, (a^{\oplus}a)^*a] = [a^{\#}, (a^{\dagger}a)^*a] = [a^{\#}, a^{\dagger}a^2] = [a^{\#}, a^{\#}a^2] = [a^{\#}, a] = 0$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $[a^{\oplus}, (a^{\oplus}a)^*a] = 0$, then

$$a^{\oplus}(a^{\oplus}a)^*a = (a^{\oplus}a)^*aa^{\oplus}. \quad (3.4)$$

Taking involution $*$ on (3.4), we can get $a^*a^{\oplus}a(a^{\oplus})^* = (a^{\oplus})^*a^*a^{\oplus}a$, which gives

$$a^*a^{\oplus}a(a^{\oplus})^* = (a^{\oplus})^*a^*a^{\oplus}a = (aa^{\oplus})^*a^{\oplus}a = a(a^{\oplus})^2a = a^{\oplus}a.$$

Therefore $a^*a^{\oplus}a(a^{\oplus})^*a = a^{\oplus}a^2 = a$. That is $aR \subseteq a^*R$. Therefore the condition (2) is satisfied. \square

In [6, Theorem 16], for an operator $T \in L(X)$, where X is a Banach space, Boasso proved that for a Moore-Penrose invertible operator T , T is an EP operator if and only if there exists an invertible operator $P \in L(X)$ such that $T^{\dagger} = PT$. Inspired by this result, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. Let $a \in R^{\oplus}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) there exists a unit $u \in R$ such that $a^{\oplus} = ua$;
- (3) there exists a left invertible element $v \in R$ such that $a^{\oplus} = va$;
- (4) there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a^{\oplus} = ba$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$, then $a \in R^{\oplus}$ and $a^{\oplus} = a^{\#}$. Let $u = (a^{\#})^2 + 1 - aa^{\#}$. Since $u(a^2 + 1 - aa^{\#}) = (a^2 + 1 - aa^{\#})u = 1$, thus u is a unit. And $ua = ((a^{\#})^2 + 1 - aa^{\#})a = a^{\#} = a^{\oplus}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) and (3) \Rightarrow (4) are clear.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) We know that $Ra^{\oplus} = Ra^*$ by the definition of the core inverse. From $a^{\oplus} = ba$ we get $Ra^{\oplus} \subseteq Ra$. Thus $Ra^* = Ra^{\oplus} \subseteq Ra$. As a core invertible element is group invertible, we deduce that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ by Theorem 3.7. \square

4 When a Moore-Penrose invertible element is an EP element

Since any EP element is Moore-Penrose invertible, it is natural to ask when a Moore-Penrose invertible element is an EP element. The concept of bi-EP was introduced by Hartwig and Spindelböck in [18] for complex matrices. They proved that for a complex $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$, if A is group invertible, then A is an EP matrix if and only if A is bi-EP. We give a generalization of the above result in Theorem 4.3. In this section, we give the definition of n -EP, which is a generalization of bi-EP. We show that any n -EP element is an EP element whenever this element is group invertible.

Definition 4.1. [18] *An element $a \in R$ is called bi-EP if $a \in R^\dagger$ and $[aa^\dagger, a^\dagger a] = 0$.*

Definition 4.2. *Let n be a positive integer. An element $a \in R$ is called n -EP if $a \in R^\dagger$ and $[a^n a^\dagger, a^\dagger a^n] = 0$.*

Note that 1-EP is coincide with bi-EP.

In [14, Theorem 2.1], Mosić and Djordjević proved that $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^\# \cap R^\dagger$ and $a^n a^\dagger = a^\dagger a^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. This result also can be found in [7, Theorem 2.4] by Chen. In the following theorem, we give a generalization of this result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $a \in R$ and n be a positive integer. Then $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if $a \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ and a is n -EP.*

Proof. Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. Then $[a, a^\dagger] = 0$, which gives $[a^n a^\dagger, a^\dagger a^n] = 0$. That is a is n -EP.

Conversely, suppose that $a \in R^\dagger \cap R^\#$ and a is n -EP. Then we have

$$a^\dagger a^{2n} a^\dagger = a^n (a^\dagger)^2 a^n. \quad (4.1)$$

Pre-multiplication and post-multiplication of (4.1) by a respectively now yields $a^{2n} a^\dagger = a^{n+1} (a^\dagger)^2 a^n$, and $a^\dagger a^{2n} = a^n (a^\dagger)^2 a^{n+1}$. Thus

$$a^{2n-1} a^\dagger = a^\# a^{2n} a^\dagger = a^\# a^{n+1} (a^\dagger)^2 a^n = a^n (a^\dagger)^2 a^n. \quad (4.2)$$

$$a^\dagger a^{2n-1} = a^\dagger a^{2n} a^\# = a^n (a^\dagger)^2 a^{n+1} a^\# = a^n (a^\dagger)^2 a^n. \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), we have $a^{2n-1} a^\dagger = a^\dagger a^{2n-1}$. Hence by [14, Theorem 2.1], we have $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) there exists a unit $u \in R$ such that $a^\dagger = ua$;
- (3) there exists a left invertible element $v \in R$ such that $a^\dagger = va$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$, then $a \in R^\dagger$ and $a^\dagger = a^\#$. Let $u = (a^\#)^2 + 1 - aa^\#$. Since $u(a^2 + 1 - aa^\#) = (a^2 + 1 - aa^\#)u = 1$, thus u is a unit. And $ua = ((a^\#)^2 + 1 - aa^\#)a = a^\# = a^\dagger$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose there exists a left invertible element $v \in R$ such that $a^\dagger = va$. Then $1 = tv$ for some $t \in R$ and $ta^\dagger = tva = a$. Thus $Ra^\dagger \subseteq Ra$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra^\dagger$. Since $Ra^\dagger = Ra^*$ and $Ra^* = Ra^\dagger$, we deduce that $Ra^* \subseteq Ra$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra^*$. Therefore $Ra = Ra^*$, that is a is an EP element. \square

Remark 4.5. In Theorem 3.11 (4), we proved that for a core invertible element $a \in R$, $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$ if and only if there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a^{\oplus} = ba$. The following example shows that this affirmation can not be obtained for a Moore-Penrose invertible element.

Recall that An infinite matrix M is said to be *bi-finite* if it is both row-finite and column-finite.

Example 4.6. Let R be the ring of all bi-finite matrices over in field \mathbb{F} with transpose as involution and $e_{i,j}$ be the matrix in R with 1 in the (i, j) position and 0 elsewhere. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i+1,i}$ and $B = A^*$, then $AB = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} e_{i,i}$, $BA = I$. So $A^\dagger = B$ and $A^\dagger = A^\dagger BA = B^2 A$. It is easy to check that B^2 is not left invertible and A is not EP (since $AB \neq BA$).

Proposition 4.7. *Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $[a^\dagger a, a] = [a^\dagger, aa^\dagger] = 0$;
- (3) $[a^\dagger a, a] = [a, aa^\dagger] = 0$;
- (4) $[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = [a^\dagger, aa^\dagger] = 0$;
- (5) $[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = [a, aa^\dagger] = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2)-(3): If $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$, then $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$. Thus (2) and (3) are obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that (2) holds. Observe that $[a^\dagger a, a]$ implies that $a = a^\dagger a^2 \in a^* R$ and $[a^\dagger, aa^\dagger]$ implies that $a^\dagger = a(a^\dagger)^2 \in aR$, that is $a^* R \subseteq aR$ since $a^\dagger R = a^* R$. Thus, $aR = a^* R$, i.e., a is EP.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Assume that (2) holds. Observe that $[a^\dagger a, a]$ implies that $a = a^\dagger a^2 \in a^* R$ and $[a, aa^\dagger]$ implies that $a = a^2 a^\dagger \in Ra^\dagger$, that is $Ra \subseteq Ra^\dagger$ since $Ra^\dagger = Ra^*$. We deduce that $Ra \subseteq Ra^*$, which is equivalent to $a^* R \subseteq aR$. Thus, $aR = a^* R$, i.e., a is EP.

The equivalence between (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) is similar to the proof of the equivalence between (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). \square

Example 4.8. The condition $[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = 0$ in Proposition 4.7 does not imply that a is an EP element in general. Let R, A and B be same as Example 4.6, then $AB = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} e_{i,i}$, $BA = I$. So $A^\dagger = B$ and $[A^\dagger A, A^\dagger] = 0$. But A is not EP by $AB \neq BA$.

Lemma 4.9. [16] *Let $a \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $a \in R^\dagger$ and $aR = a^* R$;
- (3) $a \in R^\dagger$ and $Ra = Ra^*$.

Example 4.8 also shows that the equality $aR = a^* R$ in Lemma 4.9 cannot be replaced by inclusions $aR \subseteq a^* R$ or $a^* R \subseteq aR$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{\text{EP}}$;
- (2) $aR = a^2 R$ and $[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = 0$;
- (3) $aR = a^2 R$ and $[a^\dagger a, a] = 0$;
- (4) $aR = a^2 R$ and $aR \subseteq a^\dagger R$;
- (5) $aR = a^2 R$ and $aR \subseteq a^* R$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2)-(5): For a Moore-Penrose invertible element $a \in R$, $a \in R^{EP}$ if and only if $aa^\dagger = a^\dagger a$. Then (2)-(5) hold.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Assume that (2) holds. Observe that $[a^\dagger a, a^\dagger] = 0$ implies $a^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^2 a$. Thus $Ra^* \subseteq Ra$ (Since $Ra^\dagger = Ra^*$). That is $a^* = ra$ for some $r \in R$. We deduce that $a^* = ra = raa^\dagger a = a^* a^\dagger a$, applying involution on that last equality we obtain $a = a^\dagger a^2$. Therefore $a \in R^{EP}$ by Theorem 3.7.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): It is clear that $[a^\dagger a, a] = 0$ implies $a = a^\dagger a^2$. Thus $a \in R^{EP}$ by Theorem 3.7 and the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (1).

(5) \Rightarrow (1): As $aR \subseteq a^*R$ is equivalent to $Ra^* \subseteq Ra$, we get $a \in R^{EP}$ by the proof of (2) \Rightarrow (1).

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5): It is clear by $a^*R = a^\dagger R$. □

Similarly, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R^{EP}$;
- (2) $Ra = Ra^2$ and $[aa^\dagger, a^\dagger] = 0$;
- (3) $Ra = Ra^2$ and $[aa^\dagger, a] = 0$;
- (4) $Ra = Ra^2$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra^\dagger$;
- (5) $Ra = Ra^2$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra^*$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201063 and No. 11371089), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20120092110020); the Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds (No. 1501048B); the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20141327).

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel, T.N. Greville. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications. Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1977.
- [2] O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. Characterizations of EP, normal and Hermitian matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra 56:299-304, 2006.
- [3] O.M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices. Linear Multilinear Algebra 58(6):681-697, 2010.
- [4] J. Benítez. Moore-Penrose inverses and commuting elements of C^* -algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345(2):766-770, 2008.
- [5] K.P.S. Bhaskara Rao. The Theory of Generalized Inverses Over Commutative Rings. Taylor and Francis.
- [6] E. Boasso. On the Moore-Penrose inverse, EP Banach space operators, and EP Banach algebra elements. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339(2):1003-1014, 2008.

- [7] W.X. Chen. On EP elements, normal elements and partial isometries in rings with involution. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 23:553-561, 2012.
- [8] R.E. Hartwig. Block generalized inverses. *Arch. Retion. Mech. Anal.* 61(3):197-251, 1976.
- [9] R.E. Hartwig, J. Luh. A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements. *Pacific J. Math.* 71(2),449-461, 1977.
- [10] R.E. Hartwig, K. Spindelböck. Matrices for which A^* and A^\dagger commute. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 14:241-256, 1984.
- [11] J.J. Koliha, P. Patrício. Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 72(1):137-152, 2002.
- [12] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević, J.J.Koliha. EP elements in rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431:527-535, 2009.
- [13] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević. Partial isometries and EP elements in rings with involution. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 18:761-772, 2009.
- [14] D. Mosić, D.S. Djordjević. New characterizations of EP, generalized normal and generalized Hermitian elements in rings. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218:6702-6710, 2012.
- [15] X. Mary. On generalized inverses and Green's relations. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434:1836-1844, 2011.
- [16] P. Patrício, R. Puystjens. Drazin-Moore-Penrose invertibility in rings. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 389:159-173, 2004.
- [17] D.S. Rakić, Nebojša Č. Dinčić, D.S. Djordjević. Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 463:115-133, 2014.
- [18] H. Schwerdtfeger. *Introduction to Linear Algebra and the Theory of Matrices.* P. Noordhoff Groningen, 1950.
- [19] J. von Neumann. On regular rings. *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 22(12):707-713, 1936.