Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/140928

This paper must be cited as:

Vayá Pérez, I.; Jiménez Molero, MC.; Miranda Alonso, MÁ. (2005). Stereodifferentiation in the fluorescence of naproxen-arginine salts in the solid state. Tetrahedron Asymmetry. 16(12):2167-2171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.05.018



The final publication is available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.05.018

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

Stereodifferentiation in the fluorescence of naproxen-arginine salts in the solid state

Ignacio Vayá, M. Consuelo Jiménez* and Miguel A. Miranda*

Departamento de Química-Instituto de Tecnología QuímicaUPV-CSIC, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Camino de Vera s/n, 46071, Valencia, Spain.

Abstract— Three stereoisomeric salts of naproxen (NPX) with arginine (Arg), namely (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg, (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg, have been prepared, and their fluorescence spectra recorded in solution and in the solid state. While the emission properties in solution did not show significant differences, with $\lambda_{max} = 355$ nm, τ_F (MeOH) *ca* 11.5 ns and τ_F (H₂O) ca 9 ns (as NPX/Na), the (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg solid salts displayed red-shifted fluorescence spectra with maxima at 375 nm and $\tau_F = 1.1$ ns. By contrast, the behaviour of solid (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg was similar to that of NPX/Na, with $\lambda_{max} = 355$ nm and τ_F *ca* 5.5 ns. These results are explained based on the X-ray crystal structures and attributed to formation of NPX excimers emitting at longer wavelengths. Accordingly, such excimer emission was also observed in the fluorescence spectrum of a model NPX dyad in solution.

© 2018 Elsevier Science. All rights reserved

1. Introduction

Arylpropionic acids such as ibuprofen, naproxen, flurbiprofen and ketoprofen belong to the family of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), widely used as therapeutic agents for the treatment of a broad spectrum of pathophysiological conditions. These include very common processes as fever, headaches and pain caused by degenerative diseases, as well as osteo and rheumatoid arthritis.¹

The activity of NSAIDs is associated with inhibition of the cyclooxygenase synthesis of the enzyme prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase (PGHS).^{2,3} This enzyme, also known as cyclooxygenase or COX, is a membrane protein that catalyzes in two steps the oxidation of arachidonic acid into hydroxy endoperoxide (PGH₂), which is the precursor of physiologically important agents as thromboxanes, prostaglandins and prostacyclins. Two isoforms of COX are known, namely COX-1 and COX-2. The amino acid sequences of three COX-1 and four COX-2 enzymes obtained from different sources are known.⁴ The residues at the cyclooxygenase binding site identified as being directly involved in the ligand-enzyme interaction include Arg (making a salt bridge) and Tyr (through hydrogen bonding) ⁵ This has been confirmed in the case of several COX-1 and

COX-2 complexed with NSAIDs, whose structures have been resolved by X-ray crystallography.^{4,6,7}

In order to gain a further insight on the nature of drugreceptor interactions, the salts of an arylpropionic acid and arginine have been synthesised in the present work, to approach the situation in drug-COX complexes, and its behaviour studied by photophysical techniques. Among the NSAIDs, naproxen (NPX) has been selected because its photophysical properties are well described in the literature.⁶ Thus, the possibilities of the first NPX singlet excited state to be used as reporter for the interaction of the drug with Arg have been explored. At this point it is worth mentioning that the antiinflammatory activity of NPX is mainly associated with the (S)-isomer.⁸ For this reason, it appeared interesting to compare the photophysical behaviour of the known (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg salt⁹ with that of its (R)/(S) isomer, that has not been previously described. To enhance the drug-amino acid interaction, the measurements have been performed on solid samples; the results have been discussed in connection with the X-ray structures, which are here reported for the first time.

^{*} M. Consuelo Jiménez. Tel.: 34-96-387-7340; fax: 34-96-387-7349; e-mail: mcjimene@qim.upv.es

^{*} Miguel A. Miranda. Tel.: 34-96-387-7340; fax: 34-96-387-7349; e-mail: mmiranda@qim.upv.es

2. Results and discussion

The preparation of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg (Scheme 1) was achieved by mixing equimolar amounts of (S)- or (R)- naproxen with (S)-arginine in deionized water. After removal of the solvent, the residue was recrystallised from methanol/acetonitrile.



Scheme 1. Structural formulae of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg

The structural assignment was based on ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, IR, and X-ray chrystallography.

2.1. Fluorescence studies.

Fluorescence spectra of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg were first performed in solution (water and methanol), under nitrogen. For comparison, parallel experiments were carried out for the sodium salt of (S)-naproxen ((S)-NPX/Na) under the same conditions. In all cases, the absorbance was 0.2 at the excitation wavelength (265 nm). The shape and position of the emission bands (centered at 350 nm), together with the fluorescence lifetimes of the two NPX-Arg salts (Table 1, entries 1, 2, 4 and 5) matched with those of the NPX sodium salt (Table 1, entries 3, 6). These results can be attributed to the fact that the interaction occurring between the two counterions of the salt in solution is relatively weak due to solvation.

In order to enhance the drug-amino acid interaction, the fluorescence spectra of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg were recorded in the solid state. In the former, the emission band displayed a maximum at 355 nm, very similar to the value obtained for solid (S)-NPX/Na; by contrast, (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg emitted at markedly longer wavelength (375 nm). The spectra of both salts are shown in Figure 1. Concerning the fluorescence lifetimes (measured at the emission maxima), a significant difference was found in the behaviour of the two diastereomers: while the (S,S)- salt had a $\tau_{\rm F}$ value of 5.4 ns (Table 1, entry 7) very close to that of (S)-NPX/Na (5.8 ns, Table 1, entry 10) the (R,S)- isomer exhibited a much shorter $\tau_{\rm F}$ (1.1 ns, Table 1, entry 8). Thus, a significant stereodifferentiation was observed for the decay process, indicating a much more efficient deactivation of the NPX singlet in (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg than in (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg. To rule out the possibility that this observation could be attributable to the presence of impurities in (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg, the salt (S)-NPX/(R)-Arg

(with inverted configuration in both stereocenters) was prepared, and its emission spectra were measured in the solid state (see Table 1, entry 9 and Figure 1). As expected for two enantiomers, their photophysical properties were identical, confirming that their anomalous behaviour was not an artefact. Moreover, the fluorescence spectra measured for equimolar mixtures of (R)-NPX and (S)-Arg or (S)-NPX and (S)-Arg were identical to each other (in position and lifetime); they closely matched the spectrum of (S)-NPX/Na. Thus, the obseved differences between the photophysical behaviour of the diastereomeric Arg salts in the solid state must be attributed to specific interactions experienced by the NPX chromophore in the crystalline microenvironment.

Table 1. Fluorescence lifetimes of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg, (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg, (S)-NPX/(R)-Arg and (S)-NPX/Na salts under different conditions.

Entry	Compound	Conditions	$\tau_{\rm F} \left(ns \right)$
1	(S)-NPX/(S)-Arg	H ₂ O	9.2
2	(R)-NPX/(S)-Arg	H_2O	9.1
3	(S)-NPX/Na	H_2O	9.0
4	(S)-NPX/(S)-Arg	CH ₃ OH	11.5
5	(R)-NPX/(S)-Arg	CH ₃ OH	11.4
6	(S)-NPX/Na	CH ₃ OH	11.4
7	(S)-NPX/(S)-Arg	solid	5.4
8	(R)-NPX/(S)-Arg	solid	1.1
9	(S)-NPX/(R)-Arg	solid	1.1
10	(S)-NPX/Na	solid	5.8



Figure 1. Fluorescence spectra of (*S*)-NPX/Na (\Box), (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg (**•**), (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg, (**•**) and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg (\circ) in the solid state.



2.2. X-Ray structures.

In order to ascertain whether the differences found in the fluorescence spectra in the solid state can be attributed to a different spatial arrangement of the counterpartners in the ionic network, single crystals of (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-NPX/(S)-Arg were obtained, and their structures were resolved by X-ray chrystallography (see unit cells in Figures 2 and 3).



Figure 3. Unit cell for (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg

The results showed that both salts crystallise in different spatial groups (trigonal and monoclinic, see table 2).

Table 2. Chrystallographic data for (S)-NPX/(S)-Arg and (R)-
NPX/(S)-Arg

	(\mathbf{C}) NDX $/(\mathbf{C})$	(\mathbf{D}) NDV $/(\mathbf{C})$
	(S)-NPX/ (S) -Arg	(R)-NPA/(S)-Arg
Empirical formula	C40 H56 N8 O10	C20 H28 N4 O5
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Trigonal
Space group	C 2	P 32 2 1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 14.662(5) Å	a = 9.145(5) Å
	b = 9.225(5) Å	b = 9.145(5) Å
	c = 17.129(5) Å	c = 43.307(5) Å
	$\alpha = 90.000(5)^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 90.000(5)^{\circ}$
	$\beta = 113.865(5)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 90.000(5)^{\circ}$
	$\gamma = 90.000(5)^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 120.000(5)^{\circ}$

A closer look at the crystal structures revealed that the main feature with potential photophysical implications was the NPX to NPX interaction, due to the relative spatial arrangement of different units of this chromophore in the network. Thus, in the case of (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg (Figure 3) all the NPX moieties are parallel to each other and close enough as to produce excimer emission, while in the case of (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg (Figure 2) the observed non-parallel arrangement prevents an efficient π interaction between different naphthalene rings. In principle, exciplex formation between NPX and Arg was unexpected, as this type of interaction is associated with a certain degree of charge transfer that requires the presence of free amino groups as donors. Obviously, this is not possible in the NPX/Arg salts, where only ammonium ions are present.

2.3. Excimer emission in a model dyad.

As stated above, the new emission of (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg at longer wavelength can be assigned to a NPX excimer. In order to check this hypothesis, the fluorescence of solutions containing increasing amounts of NPX (from $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M to 0.1 M) were measured. However, this was not enough as to generate the excimer, and the shape and the position of the spectral band ($\lambda_{max} = 355$ nm) indicated that only the monomer was emitting . To force a stronger interaction, a bichromophoric dyad containing two covalently bound NPX-related subunits¹⁰ (Scheme 2) was prepared and spectroscopically characterised, and its fluorescence properties were studied.



Scheme 2. Structural formula of the model dyad.

The fluorescence behaviour of the dyad in acetonitrile was compared with that of NPX in the same solvent; the normalised spectra are shown in Figure 4. In both cases, the emission maxima appear at $\lambda = 355$ nm; however, the shape of the bands is different at longer wavelengths, indicating that a new species is also emitting in the dyad. The difference between the above normalised spectra allows to obtain the fluorescence spectrum of the new species (Figure 4 insert), which can be attributed to the NPX-like excimer. Taking into account the different nature of the medium (solution *vs.* crystalline state) it is reasonably similar to the traces shown in Figure 1 for (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg in the solid state.



Figure 4. Normalised fluorescence spectra of (*S*)-NPX (\Box), and the model dyad (•) in acetonitrile ($\lambda_{exc} = 266$ nm). Insert: fluorescence spectrum of the excimer obtained by difference between the normalised spectra of S-NPX and that of the model dyad.

3. Conclusions

Three diastereomeric salts of naproxen with arginine have been prepared, and their fluorescence spectra have been recorded in solution and in the solid state. Their emission properties in solution did not show significant differences, however the (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*S*)-NPX/(*R*)-Arg solid salts displayed red-shifted fluorescence and a τ_F value shorter than those of (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*R*)-NPX/Na. This stereodifferentiation is explained based on the X-ray crystal structures and attributed to formation of NPX excimers emitting at longer wavelengths. Such excimer emission has been also observed in the fluorescence spectrum of a model NPX dyad in solution.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General

Isolation and purification were done by recrystallysation. UV spectra were recorded in water or acetonitrile; λ_{max} (nm) and log ε values (in parentheses) are given for each absorption band. IR spectra were obtained with a FTIR instrument; ν_{max} (cm⁻¹) is given for all the absorption bands. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were measured in D₂O or CDCl₃ with a 300-MHz instrument; chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) values. Mass spectra were determined under electron impact; the ratios m/z and the relative intensities (%) are indicated for the significant peaks.

4.2. Synthesis of the substrates.

To a solution of 173 mg (1 mmol) of *S*-arginine in deionised water (10 mL), 230 mg (1 mol) of *S*- or *R*-naproxen were added as a solid. The mixture was maintained under stirring for 30 min, the solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude solid was recrystallised from a 1:1 mixture of methanol and acetonitrile. The reaction was nearly quantitative. The crystals obtained following this procedure were suitable for X-ray characterisation. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures of (*S*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg and (*R*)-NPX/(*S*)-Arg have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 269482 and CCDC269481, respectively.

4.3. Fluorescence measurements.

Emission spectra were recorded on a spectrofluorimeter system, provided with a monochromator in the wavelength range 200-900 nm. For the experiments in solution, the samples were placed into $10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$ Suprasil quartz cells with a septum cap. The samples were purged with nitrogen for at least 15 min before the measurements The absorbance of the samples at the excitation wavelength was kept at 0.2. In the solid state, 2 x 10 mm² Suprasil quartz cells were used. Excitation and emission slits were maintained unchanged during the emission experiments. For time resolved fluorescence decay measurements, the conventional single photon counting technique was used.

4.4. Spectral data of the compounds.

(S)-Arginine, mono[(S)-6-methoxy-α-methyl-2naphthalene acetate] ((S)-NPX/(S)-Arg). White crystals, m. p.189-202 °C with decomposition. UV 230 (4.83), 262 (3.72), 272 (3.71), 318 (3.14), 330 (3.22); FTIR 3552, 3481, 3413, 3236, 1637, 1618, 1387, 1122, 621, 476; ¹H NMR 7.73-7.67 (d+d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, 4,8-ArH), 7.62 (brs, 1H, 1-ArH), 7.35 (dd, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, 3-ArH), 7.22 (brs, 1H, 5-ArH), 7.08 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 9.6$ Hz, $J_1 = 2.7$ Hz, 7-ArH), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.68-3.59 (q+t, 2H, CHCH₃, CHNH₃), 3.06 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂NH), 1.75 (m, 2H, CH₂CHNH₃), 1.53 (m, 2H, NHCH₂CH₂), 1.35 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CHC<u>H</u>₃); 13 C NMR: 183.7 (CH₃CH<u>C</u>OO⁻), 174.1 (NH₂CHCOO⁻), 156.3 (6-C), 138.6 (2-C), 132.7 (4a-C), 129.1-126.6 (8-CH, 8a-C, 4-CH, 9-CH), 125.0 (2-C), 118.0 (7-CH), 105.9 (5-CH), 55.0 (OCH₃), 54.0 (CHNH₂), 48.2 (CHCH₃), 40.1 (CH₂NH), 27.2 (CH₂CH₂CH), 23.5 (CH₂CH₂CH), 18.0 (CHCH₃).

(S)-Arginine, mono[(R)-6-methoxy- α -methyl-2naphthalene acetate] ((R)-NPX/(S)-Arg). White crystals, m.p. 188-201 °C with decomposition. 230 (4.83), 262 (3.71), 272 (3.71), 318 (3.14), 330 (3.22); FTIR 3552, 3481, 3413, 3236, 1637, 1618, 1387, 1118, 619, 471; ¹H NMR 7.70-7.65 (d+d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, 4,8-ArH), 7.59 (brs, 1H, 1-ArH), 7.31 (dd, $J_l = 8.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, 3-ArH), 7.20 (brs, 1H, 5-ArH), 7.05 (d, 1H, $J_l = 9.0$, $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz, 7-ArH), 3.78 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.65-3.57 (q+t, 2H, C<u>H</u>CH₃, C<u>H</u>NH₂), 3.06 (t, J = 6.9, 2H, C<u>H</u>₂NH), 1.73 (m, 2H, $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CHNH}_2\text{)}, 1.50 \ (m, 2\text{H}, \text{NHCH}_2\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{)}}, 1.31 \ (d, 3\text{H}, J = \\ \hline 7.2 \ \text{Hz}, \ \underline{\text{CHC}_3\text{)}};); {}^{13}\text{C} \ \text{NMR}: 183.7 \ (\underline{\text{CH}_3\text{CHC}_{OO}^{-}), 174.1 \\ (\underline{\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_{OO}^{-}), 156.3 \ (6-\text{C}), 138.6 \ (2-\text{C}), 132.7 \ (4a-\text{C}), \\ 129.1-126.6 \ (8-\text{CH}, 8a-\text{C}, 4-\text{CH}, 9-\text{CH}), 125.0 \ (2-\text{CH}), \\ 118.0 \ (7-\text{CH}), 105.9 \ (5-\text{CH}), 55.0 \ (-\text{OCH}_3), 54.0 \ (-\\ \underline{\text{CHNH}_2\text{)}}, 48.2 \ (-\text{CHCH}_3), 40.1 \ (\text{CH}_2\text{NH}), 27.2 \\ (\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}), 23.5 \ (\underline{\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}), 18.0 \ (\text{CH}_2\text{H}_3). \end{array}$

(R)-Arginine, mono[(S)-6-methoxy-α-methyl-2-naphtaleneacetate] ((S)-NPX/(R)-Arg). White crystals, m.p.188-202 °C with decomposition. 230 (4.83), 262 (3.71), 272 (3.71), 318 (3.14), 330 (3.22.); FTIR 3552, 3481, 3413, 3236, 1637, 1618, 1387, 1122, 621, 476; ¹H NMR 7.76-7.71 (d+d, J = 8.4, 2H, 4,8-ArH), 7.66 (brs, 1H, 1-ArH), 7.40 (dd, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, 3-ArH), 7.26 (brs, 1H, 5-ArH), 7.11 (dd, 1H, $J_1 = 9$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.3$ Hz, 7-ArH), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.72-3.63 (q+t, 2H, CHCH₃, CHNH₂), 3.14 (t, 2H, J = 6.9, CH₂NH), 1.80 (m, 2H, CH₂CHNH₂), 1.55 (m, 2H, NHCH₂C \underline{H}_2), 1.38 (d, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz, CHCH₃); ¹³C NMR: 183.6 (CH₃CHCOO⁻), 174.1 (NH₂CH<u>C</u>OO⁻), 156.3 (6-CO), 138.6 (2-C), 132.7 (4a-C), 129.1-126.5 (8-CH, 8a-C, 4-CH, 9-CH), 125.0 (2-CH), 118.0 (7-CH), 105.9 (5-CH), 55.0 (-OCH₃), 54.0 (-CHNH₂), 48.2 (-CHCH₃), 40.1 $(CH_2NH),$ 27.2 (CH₂CH₂CH), 23.5 (CH₂CH₂CH), 18.0 (CHCH₃).

2-(2-methoxynaphthalen-6-yl)ethyl-2-(2-

methoxynaphthalen-6-yl) acetate. White crystals; UV 230 (4.92), 262 (4.10), 272 (4.12), 318 (3.60), 330 (3.70); FTIR 3551, 3478, 3412, 3236, 1637, 1617, 1265, 1133, 619, 481; ¹H NMR 7.64-7.48 (m, 6H, 1,4,8-ArH, and 1',4',8'-ArH), 7.32-7.20 (dd+dd, $J_I = 8.7$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.1$ Hz and $J_I = 8.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.8$, 1H, 3-ArH and 3'-ArH), 7.14-7.08 (m, 4H, 5,7-ArH and , 5',7'-ArH), 4.39 (t, 2H, J = 6.9, CH₂O), 3.93 and 3.92 (s+s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.72 (s, 2H, CH₂CO), 3.04 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₂O); ¹³C NMR: 172.0 (CH₂COO), 157.9, 158.0 (6-C and 6'-C), 133.1-105.9 (aromatic C and CH), 65.6 (CH₂COO), 55.6 (OCH₃), 41.8 (CH₂CO), 35.3 (CH₂CH₂O); MS 400.30 (M⁺, 4%), 216.30 (9%), 185.3 (16%), 184.29 (100%), 172.2 (10%), 171.20 (41%), 141.20 (10%), 128.00 (23%), 115.20 (7%), 79.20 (60%).

Acknowledgments

The UPV (PI 2003-0522 and predoctoral fellowship to I. V.), the MYCT (grant CTQ2004-03811) the Generalitat Valenciana (Grupos03/082 and GV04B-468) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. We also thank our colleague Dr. M. L. Marin for providing a gift of (2-methoxynaphthalen-6-yl)acetic acid and Dr. A Llamas (Unidade de Raios X de la Universidade de Santiago de Compostela) for the X-Ray measurements.

References

- Sondhi, S. M.; Rajvanshi, S.; Singh, N.; Jain, S.; Lahoti, A. M. Central European J. Chem. 2004, 2, 141-187.
- Dannhardt, G.; Kiefer, W European J. Med. Chem. 2001, 36, 109.
- Bhattacharyya, D. K.; Lecomte, M.; Rieke, C. J.; Garavito, C. J.; Smith, W. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 2179.
- J. R. Kiefer, J. L. Pawlitz, K. T. Moreland, R. A. Stegeman, W. F Hood, J. K. Gierse, A. M. Stevens, D. C. Goodwin, S. W. Rowlinson, L. J. Marnett, W. C. Stallings, R. G. Kurumbail, *Nature* 2000, 405, 97.
- Kurumbail, R. G.; Stevens, A. M.;. Gierse, J. K; McDonald J. J; Stegeman, R. A.; J. Y. Pak, D. Gildehaus, J. M. Miyashiro, T. D. Penning, K. Seibert, P. C. Isakson, W. C. Stallings, *Nature* **1996**, 384, 644.
- S. Di Marco, J. P. Priestle, M. G. Grüter, L. P. Wennogle, W. Boyar, Acta Cryst. 1997, D53, 224.
- 7. D. Picot, P. J. Loll, R. M. Garavito, Nature 1994, 367, 243.
- 8. Evans, A.M. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1996, 36, 7S.
- 9. Fried, John H.; Harrison, Ian T US 73-372028 19730621
- 10.Ekuribe, N. N.; Dyanov, T. A. U. S. Patent 99-161864 19991027.