Effects of parent material on soil erosion within Mediterranean new vineyard plantations
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ABSTRACT

Parent material can determine specific physical and chemical soil properties and, therefore, soil erosion rates. However, for new vine plantations, there is not enough research on soil erosion assessment on different parent materials which could be helpful for agricultural management plans. The main aim of this research was to quantify soil erosion rates of two recent vineyard plantations under similar climate and land use management conditions, but on different parent materials, namely colluvium (2 years old) and marls (8 years old), located within the Les Alcusses valley vineyards in Eastern Spain. To achieve this goal, the ISUM (improved stock unearthing method) was applied. ISUM involves measurements of vertical distances from a horizontally stretched meter band attached to opposite pair vine plants to the topsoil surface at 5 sampling points along the cross sections of the pair vine rows. The original surface level was determined from the fixed distance of 2 cm of the graft unions from the soil surface. Digital elevation modelling of the vertical measurements was used to infer the erosion rates. Annual total soil erosion rates were 87.7 Mg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and 4.35 Mg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ in the marls and colluvium plots, respectively. For the marls plot, 67% of the depletion occurred in the inter-row areas, whereas for the colluvium plot the inter-row areas registered 4.78 Mg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ depletion and the row areas showed only a deposition of 0.44 Mg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. We hypothesised that the inter-row areas registered the highest erosion rates due to the tillage practices. In the row areas, the cover of the vines possibly reduced soil erosion rates and acted as sinks for sediments. This behaviour of the inter-row areas acting as sources and the row areas as sinks for sediments was more evident on the colluvium plot, while most sections on the marls plot showed intense erosion features. It is suggested that more attention should be paid by policymakers and stakeholders to these differences when new plantations are introduced on marls and
colluvium vineyards. We claim that initial soil erosion control measures should be applied during the first few years of plantations instead of when the vineyards are much older and soil has already been mobilised.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Parent material is a key factor that determines the main physical and chemical properties of a soil (Chesworth, 1973; Osher and Buol, 1998). Soil scientists have accepted this fact as a universal law. Notable examples of soil scientists who have paid attention to the effects of the parent material on soil properties include Brimhall et al. (1991), Driese et al. (2003), Muhs et al. (2001), Rawlins et al. (2003) and Yesilonis et al. (2008). Within the Earth Sciences, the effect of parent material on soil processes was confirmed when a holistic view was applied by means of the biochemical cycle approach (Anderson, 1988).

It is well-known that soil formation is a consequence of the weathering of the rocks, the decomposition of the organic matter and the turnover of the materials, minerals, water, organic matter, air and life, which result in the horizon formation (Wagai et al., 2008; Duchaufour, 1997; Fitzpatrick, 1980; Chesworth, 1973). Moreover, some specific soil degradation phenomena occur in connection with certain parent materials due to the abundance of other environmental and anthropogenic factors which reduce soil depths, organic matter, biota activity, water retention capacity, and fertility (Orgill et al., 2017).

Soil erosion is a key process that determines the soil fate in natural ecosystems, and parent material again plays a key role as it determines soil erosion processes and rates (Cerdà, 1999, 2002), soil erodibility, surface topography and landforms (Bryan et al., 1989; Bryan, 2000). However, little attention has been paid to other topics such as the biotic (mainly plants’ effects on soil erosion), hydric (rainfall and runoff effect on sediment delivery), soil properties and land management impact on soil erosion in relation to the parent material and the age of plantation.
This brief description of the state-of-the-art underscores the importance of parent material on soil processes. However, there is little research on the effects of parent material on soil erosion (Mohammadkhan et al., 2011; Pennock et al., 1995; Weaver, 1991), the evolution of the biological properties (Braithwaite et al., 1984; Ulrich and Becker, 2006) and availability of nutrients (Yavitt, 2000; Yesilonis et al., 2008). The role that parent materials play in soil erosion is also relevant to understanding the fate of the soils, their formation, and degradation (Nazari Samani et al., 2016; Orgill et al., 2017). Therefore, the need for researching the role of parent materials on soil erosion and other associated processes cannot be overstated.

The importance of parent material is especially relevant on bare soils devoid of protective vegetation cover such as in recently afforested areas and planted orchards (Corti et al., 2011). The lack of vegetation allows the parent material to be a driving factor of soil erosion (Atucha et al., 2013; Lombardi et al., 2017). This is very relevant in agricultural land such as vineyards, where the lack of vegetation due to tillage and the use of herbicides results in high erosion rates that are dependent on the parent material type. It is noted that the soil horizon development and soil biomass composition are highly dependent on soil formation and degradation processes (Cattanio, 2017; Foltz et al., 2011). Among the degradation processes, soil erosion is the severest threat as it removes soil mass. In agricultural lands, and specifically in conventional vineyards with partially bare soils in young plantations, the impact of parent material on soil erosion is highly relevant due to low vegetative cover and low root development, but it is in many instances ignored. Recently, the negative impact of the age of plantations has been highlighted, but only the parent material was mentioned with little information on age (Rodrigo-Comino et al., 2018a; Cerdà et al., 2017). Another key factor studied at great lengths in the literature is the control that vegetation exerts on soil erosion (Martínez-
Casasnovas et al., 2009). The vegetation cover and the age of plantation is highly controlled by the climate but also influenced by human activities such as agriculture. Different land management strategies have been widely investigated during the last decade though (Berland et al., 2017; Dawson et al., 2017).

In European vineyards, where intensive tillage with machinery (Arnaez et al., 2007) or herbicide applications (Salomé et al., 2016) have resulted in bare soils, the parent material has become one of the most relevant key factors of terroir characterisation (Vaudour, 2002; Vaudour et al., 2015), but its effect on different lithological groups has not been highlighted. Within Mediterranean vineyards, soil erosion has been studied in facies such as sedimentary (Quiquerez et al., 2008, Martínez-Casasnovas et al., 2009) and metamorphic materials (Biddoccu et al., 2017a) with bare soils. All tested soils demonstrated that vegetation cover reduces the soil and water losses to some degree depending on the percentage cover. However, long-term monitoring at the pedon scale of the influence of the parent material on soil erosion rates in new plantations is rare.

The main goal of this research is to quantify soil mobilisation rates and micro-topographical changes on two different parent materials (colluvium and marls) within two vineyards using the improved stock unearthing method (ISUM). We intend to demonstrate the impacts of age of plantations under the same land use management and climate conditions on erosion.

Although, water erosion is commonly observed on steeper slopes (slope angles > 16°) rather than on conventional mild slope terraces (slope angle < 5°), previous research showed that intense ploughing, use of pesticides, herbicides and fungicides, and extreme rainfall events can cause high soil erosion rates in vineyards of both mild and steep slope terraces (Ramos and Porta, 1997, slope angles >16°; Sofia and Tarolli,
2017, slope angles 2° - 9°). Therefore, we decided to conduct our research in two conventional vineyards at the Les Alcusses valley, in Eastern Spain, that contain small terraces with moderate slopes supported by long rows of plants. We hypothesise that, although both plots of different ages are producing high amounts of grapes, the degradation processes on the older plot are increased due to erosion. Therefore, the identification of a little-known driving factor of soil erosion, namely the age of plantations or different parent material, may be useful for the development of nature based-solutions and land management plans.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study area

Spain is one of the largest wine producers in the world, and the vineyard management practice is predominantly based on tillage which results in high erosion rates (Marques et al., 2015; Martínez-Casasnovas et al., 2010). We selected an area located in the Eastern Iberian Peninsula that is representative of the vineyards in Spain (Fig. 1). The two chosen experimental paired-plots are situated in the Les Alcusses valley within the Moixent municipality. These areas belong to the traditional viticulture region of the Terres dels Alforins Valencia province. The climate is typically Mediterranean, characterised by warm to hot dry months between June and September. The average total annual rainfall is 350 mm yr⁻¹ and the average annual temperature is about 13.8°C. Extreme rainfall intensities higher than 200 mm d⁻¹ have been reported during autumn (Castillo and Ruiz Beltrán, 1979).

The first studied plot, having slope angles lower than 5° because of the terracing (Fig. 1a), belongs to José Molla Frances and Pablo Calatayud and was planted with Pedro Ximénez grape variety eight years before the measurements that took place in
February 2017. With the plot length of 115.5 m, an inter-row distance of 2.5 m, and inter-plant distance of 1.5 m, each vine row bordering the plot has 77 plants. The plot is placed on lithological facie of marly rocks that come from the Tap facies rich in swelling clays, white in colour, and highly erodible. Also, the Tap facies sediments are rich in calcium carbonate (70%). The soil texture can be classified as clay and, also, described as *Terric Calcic Anthrosols* (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014).

The second plot (Fig. 1b) is in a vineyard that is part of the Celler del Roure winery which belongs to Pablo Calatayud and was planted with Monastrell grape variety. In developing the plantation, the soil was levelled and the vines were situated in relatively flat micro-terraces. The plantation framework is characterised by 3.0 m inter-row distance and 0.75 m inter-plant distance. Thus, the tested plot length of 57.8 m has 77 plants per row, and its inclination is also lower than 5°. The Celler del Roure plot is placed on a footslope of lithological facie of colluvium from limestones, sandstones and dolomitic materials of the Serra Grossa Range. The soil texture is clay loam, being classified as *Terric Anthrosols with colluvic materials* (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014). Table 1 presents more information on the soil properties of the two plots, noting in particular that measurements were taken two years after the plantation commenced on the Celler del Roure plot. Soil samples were collected from both plots with seven repetitions along the inter-row and row areas within 0-10 cm soil depths.

Before the plantation activities began in both plots, all the cultivated fields were levelled and flattened in the form of terraces and the vines were planted into flat and smooth terrains (slope angles <5°). Several tractor passes were performed during the first year to plough the soil and to prepare it before the hand planting of the vines. Therefore, after planting, topsoil compaction signals up to 15 cm depth were visible in both areas. At some locations, weakly and moderately cemented soils could also be
noted because of the carbonates. After the planting, tillage was carried out with a tractor that tilled the soil to a depth of about 25 cm, redistributing the material from the inter-row areas to the row areas in the same direction. The soil surfaces were devoid of vegetative cover except underneath the vines. The tractor passes were conducted along the inter-row, which means along the contour line directions perpendicular to the slope direction.

2.2. Improved stock unearthing method (ISUM)

The stock unearthing method (SUM) is based on the measurements of the vertical distance between frontal marks on the graft union (visible on grape vines) and the actual topsoil level. At the graft union, unearthed or buried signals register the initial point that signifies the distance from the vine stock to the actual soil surface of the plantation at the time that the observation was taken. As other authors observed (Brenot et al., 2006; Biddoccu et al., 2017b), the distance of the graft union in relation to the original soil surface does not change as a result of plant growth after the vine plantation, thus we could use it as a fixed biomarker. Rodrigo-Comino et al. (2016) also confirmed this in German vineyards, by taking measurements each year over a period of three years in recent plantations, where only the new vine’s part corresponding to the grafted grape variety grew. Thus, possible new changes from the initial conditions due to depletion or accumulation could be recorded. The initial distance was constant from the grafting point to the original topsoil level, about 2 cm with a non-significant uncertainty (within mm) to avoid the effect from soil moisture, freezing or fungus. This information was obtained from the farmers by interview and confirmed with recent vineyard plantations’ observations in the same area.

It is important to remark that a major weakness of the methodological procedure is the assumption of almost flat topsoil surface. This assumption does not account for
roughness related to rills, footpaths and wheel tracks (Brenot et al., 2008; Casalí et al., 2009). Therefore, we measured 5 locations along the cross-section of the opposite pair plants located on the two bordering rows; at each of the vine stock graft unions, 0.5 m and 1 m from the vine stock graft unions, respectively, for the marls and for colluvium plots, and one at the middle of the cross section as indicated in Fig. 2 (and also in Suppl. Material 1). Thus, 385 (77 x 5) measuring points were sampled for each plot. A meter band was stretched to join the opposite pair graft unions of the two bordering vine rows. The meter band was placed 30 cm above each paired-vine plant to allow measuring buried vine stocks (positive measures) and unearthed ones (negative measures). A meter stick was used to measure the vertical distance between the horizontally stretched meter band and the current soil surface at all sampling points. Using the collected data, DEMs (digital elevation models) were generated in ArcMap 10.5 (ESRI). Linear processes such as rills, sinks, accumulations or connectivity processes were detected. This is an improved version of SUM, the ISUM (improved stock unearthing method).

2.3. Topsoil level cartography, soil erosion rates and statistical analysis

To mimic the actual topsoil level on the day of measurements (08/02/2017), point fishnets were generated from the DEMs. The obtained maps were then used to assess topsoil level changes over the plots. The total soil loss (Mg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) was estimated from the erosion–deposition (ER) equation of Paroissien et al. (2010):

\[
ER = \frac{Vol \times BD}{A \times AV}
\]  

(1)

where Vol is the volume of soil lost corresponding to the space between the graft union and the current topsoil levels, A is the total area in hectares, Av is the age of the vines (eight years for the marls and two years for the colluvium plots) and BD is the bulk density. Measured BD values were 1.43 g cm\(^{-3}\) for the vineyards on marls and 1.05 g
cm\(^3\) for the vineyards on colluvium. These results were obtained by sampling with a steel cylinder (100 cm\(^3\)) from different depths (0-15 cm) and at seven slope positions. The mean values of BD at different depths were used as representative of the entire studied plot. More information related to this procedure can be found in Rodrigo-Comino and Cerdà (2018).

The measured points are shown in boxplots (Fig. 2), representing averages (dotted lines), medians (continuous line in the middle of the box) and 5\(^{th}\) and 95\(^{th}\) percentiles at the ends of the boxes. To compare the results obtained in the 5 inter-row sampling points, a Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test was performed with Sigma Plot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc.). Neither a normal distribution nor equal variance could be observed in the measured data. This was confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality and equal variance test.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Actual topsoil level assessment

Boxplots showing the averages, median and 5\(^{th}\) and 95\(^{th}\) percentiles are presented in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the DEM of the actual topsoil level for (a) colluvium and (b) marls using the data collected. In the colluvium (Fig. 3a), the highest depletions were observed in the middle part of the inter-row (-3.8 cm), 1 m from the left vine stock (-1.3 cm) and 1 m from the right vine stock (-4.14 cm). The most important erosional features (sinks and rills) that could be observed appeared in the section from 50 m to 70 m, close to the outlet of the inter-row (warm colours). In general, the soil surface showed a higher topsoil level in the colluvium study site than in the marl one. This can imply that the soil has been better conserved since the vines were planted or be a consequence of the difference in age, colluvium plantation being only 2 years old while the marls
plantation is 8 years old. The maximum accumulation value was +12 cm and the minimum reached -11 cm.

For the measurements carried out on the marls plantation (Fig. 2b), no soil accumulation zones were found. The highest depletion values were registered in the inter-row sampling points, varying between -6.2 cm at 1 m from the left vine stock and -5.2 cm at 1 m from the right vine stock. In both vine stock measurements, soil depletion was also noted (from -3.7 to -4.3 cm). The maximum positive point measurement values reached +8 cm in the row areas and no change in the inter-row areas, while the minimum depletion reached -19 cm. On the map, the highest average soil depletion can be observed from 10\textsuperscript{th} to 20\textsuperscript{th} (lower end) vine row, and from the middle section (40\textsuperscript{th} vine row) to the upper part (70\textsuperscript{th} vine row), where the warm colours are predominant, showing several sinks and initial linear soil erosion features. Soil accumulation or topsoil level maintenance can be observed only close to the vine stocks, and mainly from the 10\textsuperscript{th} to 30\textsuperscript{th} vine rows.

Comparing the two plots, the statistical analysis (Table 2) showed significant differences at the vine stocks and 1 m from the left vine stock sampling points ($p<0.001$). This is also true when all data from each plot were combined and compared, reaching an average of 3.2 cm. On the contrary, at the middle inter-row sampling points and 2 m from the left vine stock, the difference in the mean values of the two groups was not statistically significant at the 5\% level ($p<0.095$ and $p<0.103$). This was due to the fact that the highest values of soil depletion coincided in the same areas for both plots.

3.2. Soil erosion estimations from botanical benchmarks

Soil erosion rates were estimated using ISUM, separating the values at the inter
graft unions (row) from the inter-row values (Table 3). Our results showed that, in the row positions where the measures were conducted at the graft union, lower differences are found compared to the inter-row measures in both studied plots. On the marls plot, a total soil depletion of -87.7 Mg ha yr\(^{-1}\) was recorded, -59 Mg ha yr\(^{-1}\) coming from the inter-row positions. On the colluvium plot, soil depletion was much lower than on the marls plot. ISUM showed a soil depletion value of -4.35 Mg ha yr\(^{-1}\), while soil accumulation of +0.44 Mg ha yr\(^{-1}\) was observed on the row sections. On the contrary, a total soil depletion of -4.79 Mg ha yr\(^{-1}\) was registered in the inter-row areas.

4. DISCUSSION

Our findings showed that the changes in topsoil level could also be influenced by the age of plantations and the parent material. Future research should address the magnitude each of these factors plays compared with the well-established factors such as local slope, rainfall intensity, tillage, and trampling effect, vine vigour, soil properties or antecedent soil moisture (Biddoccu et al., 2017a; Novara et al., 2018).

The ISUM was easily applied and generated a fairly accurate data that enhanced a better evaluation of the soil erosion processes at the pedon scale. Moreover, ISUM may be an easily reproducible tool which can generate the production of an actual DEM without drones or planes. In comparison to traditional SUM, which assumes the inter-row areas as a flat surface (Brenot et al., 2008), ISUM allows better estimation of soil erosion rates due to the fact that the soil depletion rates take place mainly along the inter-row areas, where SUM did not measure (Rodrigo-Comino and Cerdà, 2018). ISUM has major strengths against other methods such as Gerlach troughs, pins, or close plots. These other methods can only be applied for time periods lasting up to a few years and are highly dependent on rainfall events (Biddoccu et al., 2016; Novara et al.,
Moreover, they introduce artifacts into the pedological system affecting the soil and measurement qualities (Stroosnijder, 2005). Nevertheless, we also acknowledge some limitations of the method (Rodrigo-Comino and Cerdà, 2018). It is obvious that its accuracy is not the best because it is based on a low resolution (cm vs mm) in the field. Also, the general assumption that the graft union is always at the same place may in some cases be violated. Thus, more sampling points in the inter-row areas may be necessary.

Our research confirms that recent vine plantations on marls and colluvium registered more soil erosion in this study compared with that reported in other older vineyards (Corti et al., 2011) or even forested areas (Mathys et al., 2005). Research carried out in the Valencian viticulture area confirmed that the age of the plantation played an important role in soil erosion rates and other hydrological processes (Cerdà et al., 2017; Rodrigo-Comino et al., 2018a). However, our findings showed that, in spite of the age of the plantation (the colluvium vineyard being younger than the marls one), marls showed a higher erodible parent material by 1.2 orders of magnitude, which have not ever been registered for plantations with the same parent material but of different ages (Rodrigo-Comino et al., 2018a). These results also support the findings of Martínez-Hernández et al. (2017) that obtained higher erosion rates in marls than in metamorphic and limestones using rainfall simulations in south-eastern Spain. Also, with rainfall simulations, Cerdà (1999, 2002) confirmed similar differences among plots on different parent materials in Mediterranean degraded areas.

ISUM has demonstrated that the recent vine plantations on colluvium soils function as a sink area (little depression) located underneath the vines as the soil losses at the graft unions are very low or negligible. This could be due to the plant cover that minimises raindrop impact, reduces soil surface sealing and runoff generation (Ruiz-
Colmenero et al., 2013). Moreover, the vines are able to intercept and reallocate the rainfall due to the interception and throughfall (Battany and Grismer, 2000). Splash erosion is also relevant in the redistribution of the particles as the inter-row is a source of particles and the rows act as sinks. However, tillage is a factor that determines the accumulation of sediments underneath the vines (Lieskovský and Kenderessy, 2014). Tilling accumulated soils underneath the vines changes the slope micro-topography and the soil horizon distribution (de Alba Alonso et al., 2006). This was also confirmed using ISUM by Rodrigo-Comino et al., (2018b). They demonstrated that tractor passes can cause a reallocation of sediments, coinciding with a decrease in bulk density and modifying the final micro-topographical assessments.

The ISUM showed that the soil depletion takes place in the inter-row areas. Previous research did not distinguish inter-row and row environments within the vineyards (Brenot et al., 2008; Paroissien et al., 2010; Rodrigo Comino et al., 2016). Therefore, our research contributes to showing the spatial variability within the vineyards at the pedon scale and demonstrates that tillage and the row direction against the general slope direction determine the micro-topography and the reallocation of the soil particles. Therefore, it is important to remark that, in recent vine plantations, parent material could be considered as a second driving factor in comparison to the human management (Vrsic et al., 2011). Future research must focus on determining the magnitude to which parent material can affect soil erosion rates. While it was impossible to compare young vineyards with the same age and different parent material due to lack of data, we encourage other researchers to check this interesting possibility in other viticultural areas where paired-plots of similar age can be found.

This paper focused mainly on the effect of different lithology on soil erosion processes within new vine plantations, and we have demonstrated that the parent
material could also determine the erosion rate differences. We used micro-topographical measurements and a DEM modelling to confirm this finding. Using DEMs, we could not conclude that soil erosion differences were generated as a consequence of different parent materials, but they allow us to observe the evident micro-topographical differences and intensity of the processes due to the introduction of new plantations. It seems that marls are more susceptible to the detachment of particles by raindrop impact compared with colluvium because colluvium is sandy in nature, develops soil crusts and has rock fragments that decrease soil erosion rates.

The behaviour of the inter-rows serving as sources and the rows of vines as sinks of sediments was more evident in the colluvium plot. While most of the sections in the marls study plot showed intense erosion features, soil detachment was more active as the measurements with the ISUM demonstrated. We cannot exclude that the difference of age of these plantations (6 years) can play an important role, but we confirmed that the effect of the age of plantation is not the unique factor acting on soil erodibility.

Our research demonstrates that restoration and rehabilitation programs in vineyards should focus on recent plantations where soil erosion rates are very high. Previous numerous researches in cultivated areas demonstrated that the use of vegetation cover could reduce the soil erosion rates by one order of magnitude. However, we highlight that these measures must be taken within a few years after the plantation is initially developed, rather than after several years.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Soil erosion in vineyards is affected by several natural and anthropogenic driving factors. Thus, we applied ISUM, which is an efficient method to determine soil erosion
rates taking into account a specific driving factor of age. In this case, the possible influence of the age of plantations on soil erosion on different parent material was determined and quantified. Our results showed that vineyards on marls resulted in a total soil depletion of 87.7 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) and those on colluvium materials reached 4.35 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). By using the ISUM, the main source of sediments was found to be from the inter-row areas where there is no vegetation cover. This behaviour of the inter-rows as sources and the rows of vines as sinks of sediments was more evident on the colluvium plot, while most of the sections in the marl study plot showed intense erosion features, and the soil detachment was more active. Thus, we would like to highlight that soil erosion control measures must be taken soon after the plantation is first developed instead of waiting several years when the negative consequences such as loss of fertility and productivity have taken place.
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