Índex

Abstract **iii**

Resumen **v**

Resum **vii**

Glossary **ix**

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Motivation . . . 1
1.2 Research questions and objectives . . . 3
1.3 Thesis contributions . . . 5
1.3.1 Main contributions . . . 5
1.3.2 Scientific publications . . . 7
1.3.3 Software . . . 9
1.3.4 Clinical studies . . . 10
1.3.5 Patents . . . 10
1.3.6 Transference . . . 11
1.4 Projects and partners . . . 11
1.5 Thesis outline . . . 13

2 Rationale **17**
2.1 Glioblastoma . . . 17
2.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging . . . 21
2.2.1 Anatomical MRI . . . 24
2.2.2 Quantitative MRI . . . 25
2.3 Probability theory . . . 30
2.3.1 Basic concepts . . . 30
2.3.2 Probability distributions . . . 34
2.3.3 Parameter estimation . . . 39
2.4 Mixture Models . . . 43
2.4.1 Finite Mixture Model . . . 43
2.4.2 Spatially Varying Finite Mixture Model . . . 48
2.4.3 DCM-Spatially Varying Finite Mixture Models . . . 53
2.5 Deep Learning . . . 57
2.5.1 Artificial Neural Networks . . . 58

2.5.2 Convolutional Neural Networks 66

3 Comparative study of unsupervised learning algorithms for glioblastoma segmentation 73
3.1 Introduction 74
3.2 Materials 76
3.3 Methods 77
3.3.1 MRI preprocessing 77
3.3.2 Feature Extraction and Dimensionality Reduction 80
3.3.3 Unsupervised voxel classification 80
3.3.4 Automated pathological label identification 88
3.3.5 Evaluation 91
3.4 Results 92
3.5 Discussion 94

4 Non Local Spatially Varying Finite Mixture Models for unsupervised image segmentation 99
4.1 Introduction 100
4.2 Background on Spatially Varying Finite Mixture Models 101
4.3 Background on Probabilistic Non Local Means 102
4.4 The Non Local Spatially Variant Finite Mixture Model 103
4.5 Experimental results 106
4.5.1 Evaluation on the BRATS 2013 high grade glioma dataset . . . 106
4.5.2 Evaluation on the Berkeley Segmentation Dataset 107
4.6 Discussion 108

5 Vascular heterogeneity assessment of glioblastoma through the Hemodynamic Tissue Signature 113
5.1 Introduction 114
5.2 Materials 115
5.2.1 Patient selection 115
5.2.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging 116
5.3 Methods 117
5.3.1 Quantification of DSC parametric maps 117
5.3.2 Enhancing tumor and edema segmentation 117
5.3.3 Hemodynamic Tissue Signature habitats 118
5.3.4 Statistical analysis 119
5.4 Results 120
5.5 Discussion 126

6 Multi-center international validation of the Hemodynamic Tissue Signature for glioblastoma 131
6.1 Introduction 131
6.2 Materials 133
6.2.1 Patient selection 133
6.2.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging 134

6.3 Methods . . . 134
6.3.1 Vascular heterogeneity assessment based on HTS habitats . . . 134
6.3.2 Association among OS and HTS markers - whole cohort study . 136
6.3.3 Association among OS and HTS markers - inter-center study . . 136
6.4 Results . . . 136
6.4.1 Association among OS and HTS markers - whole cohort study . 136
6.4.2 Association among OS and HTS markers - inter-center study . . 137
6.5 Discussion . . . 141

7 ONCOhabitats: A system for glioblastoma heterogeneity assessment through Magnetic Resonance Imaging 145
7.1 Introduction . . . 146
7.2 Materials . . . 147
7.3 Methods . . . 148
7.3.1 High-grade glioma segmentation service . . . 149
7.3.2 Glioblastoma vascular heterogeneity assessment service . . . 150
7.3.3 Clinical report . . . 151
7.3.4 ONCOhabitats system . . . 152
7.4 Results . . . 158
7.4.1 High-grade glioma segmentation service . . . 159
7.4.2 Glioblastoma vascular heterogeneity assessment service . . . 159
7.5 Discussion . . . 160

8 Concluding remarks and recommendations 163
8.1 Concluding remarks . . . 163
8.2 Recommendations . . . 165

Bibliografia 169