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Abstract

One of the most interesting innovations in the CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage) field is the use of MCFCs as carbon dioxide concentrators, feeding their cathode side (or air side) with the exhaust gas of a traditional power plant. The feasibility of this kind of application depends on the resistance of the MCFC to air-side contaminants, with particular attention to SO$_2$. The aim of this work is to investigate the effects of poisoning when sulphur dioxide is added to the cathodic stream in various concentrations and in different operating conditions. This study was carried out operating single cells (80 cm$^2$) with a cathodic feeding composition simulating typical flue gas conditions, i.e. N$_2$, H$_2$O, O$_2$ and CO$_2$ in 73:9:12:6 mole ratio as reference mixture. On the anodic side a base composition was chosen with H$_2$, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O in 64:16:20 mole ratio. Starting from these reference mixtures, the effect of single species on cell poisoning was experimentally investigated considering, as main parameters chosen for the sensitivity analysis, SO$_2$ (0-24ppm) and CO$_2$ (4-12%) content in the cathodic feeding mixture, H$_2$ (40-64%) content in the anodic stream as well as the operating temperature (620-680°C). Results showed that degradation caused by SO$_2$ poisoning is strongly affected by the operating conditions. Data gathered during this experimental campaign will be used in a future work to model the poisoning mechanisms through the definition of MCFC electrochemical kinetics which take into account the SO$_2$ effects.
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1. Introduction

Recently the public awareness concerning greenhouse gases emissions, with particular reference to CO₂, has significantly increased.

It seems clear that the problem of global warming caused by greenhouse gases has to be tackled using a plurality of solutions and technologies to obtain results in short, medium and long term periods. In this scenario Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) appears to be a suitable “bridge” technology in the transition to a low carbon economy. CCS, in fact, contributes to reducing atmospheric emissions coming from fossil fuel power plants, giving the needed time to renewable sources to reach an appropriate technology readiness level, in order to be a profitable alternative from economical and technical point of view.

Thanks to the reactions occurring at anode and cathode (reactions 1, 2), the MCFC can be considered a CO₂ concentrator. It transfers diluted CO₂ present at the cathode side to the anode side, where it is highly concentrated in a stream composed of just CO₂, H₂O and a small amount of unreacted fuel; thus CO₂ is easily separable from the anodic outlet.

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & H_2 + CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow H_2O + CO_2 \\
(2) \quad & CO_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow CO_3^{2-}
\end{align*}
\]

The MCFC thus behaves as an active CCS technology, producing electric power (instead of consuming it in the case of passive technologies as ammine scrubbing) while removing carbon dioxide from the exhaust gases of traditional power plants.

Such post-combustion carbon dioxide separation is most effective applied to coal combustion and combined cycle power generation, due to the large potential of CO₂ reduction from these plants. The flue gas from these types of combustion technologies typically contains 3-12% CO₂ and residual O₂, both in the lower operational range of the MCFC: the stability of operation in these conditions has been studied experimentally in [1–8]. Several other studies have assessed the integration of an MCFC as CCS retrofit approaching the topic with numerical models, considering the same ranges of CO₂ content [9–13].

However, the greatest barrier to the feasibility of this application is the presence of pollutants in the flue gas entering the cathode. In CCS, SO₂ is the most harmful pollutant agent present in the flue gas, which causes performance decay and structural degradation of the MCFC. It is known that SO₂ content can significantly affect the cell performance as discussed in previous works [14–16]. Specifically, in [14] long term tests allowed to investigate the evolution of the sulphur poisoning mechanism under fixed operating conditions and concentrations of the pollutant agent. To provide a complete framework, necessary to perform quantitative analysis of this phenomenon, investigation must be carried out to characterize the effect of the main operating parameters on SO₂ poisoning. The objective of the present work addresses extended and immediate sulphur dioxide poisoning effects and how these are affected by the variation of operating parameters as SO₂ (0-24ppm) and CO₂ (4-12%) contents in the cathodic feeding mixture, H₂ (40-64%) content in the anodic stream, as well as the operating temperature (620-680 °C). All the obtained data of this in-depth sensitivity analysis will be used in a future work to validate a kinetic model of the MCFC which takes into account also the poisoning due to SO₂.

2. SO₂ effects

There are few literature contributions concerning the interactions between SO₂ and MCFC, since this poisoning mechanism has not been studied as intensely as that of other pollutants like H₂S. Consequently, it is not easy to predict the effects of sulphur dioxide during the life of a cell. It appears that reactions, occurring when SO₂ is fed to the cathode, involve directly the electrolyte.
Specifically, SO₂ is converted to sulphate by reaction (3); consequently, under load, sulphate ions migrate to the anode, accumulating in the electrolyte. At the anode side sulphur is released as H₂S according to the reverse of reaction (4).

Beyond corrosion of metallic parts, sulphur causes poisoning both due to loss of CO₃²⁻ charge carriers in the electrolyte (3), as due to H₂S released from the electrolyte at the anode side.

The effects of hydrogen sulphide on MCFC components have been deeply investigated [17–23]. In particular, H₂S reacts:

- according with chemisorption (5) and adsorption (6) reactions [20], on the anode nickel surface

\[
(5) \quad Ni(s) + H_2S(g) \rightarrow Ni - H_2S_{(ads)}
\]

\[
(6) \quad Ni(s) + H_2S(g) \rightarrow Ni - HS_{(ads)} + Ni - H_{(ads)} \rightarrow Ni - S_{(ads)} + Ni + H_2(g)
\]

- chemically (7)-(8) [17]

\[
(7) \quad Ni + H_2S \rightarrow NiS + H_2
\]

\[
(8) \quad 3Ni + xH_2S \rightarrow Ni_3S_x + xH_2
\]

- electrochemically (9)-(10) [17]

\[
(9) \quad Ni + S^{2-} \rightarrow NiS + 2e^-
\]

\[
(10) \quad 3Ni + xS^{2-} \rightarrow Ni_3S_x + 2xe^-
\]

The effects of these reactions depend on chemical and electrochemical conditions, but all contribute to decreasing cell performance, forming nickel sulphides on the anode surface. The latter block active sites meant for hydrogen oxidation, covering the pore network and changing the wettability of the electrolyte.

3. Experimental method

In operando analysis, polarisation curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), was performed at two different laboratories (ENEA hotlab, UNIPG fuel cell laboratory) on state-of-the-art single cells (active area 80 cm²). The experimental campaign was structured to simulate CCS conditions: in the large cathodic compared to the anodic flow rate, in the CO₂ content in the cathodic stream as well as in its humidification.

According to the main objective of the study, operating conditions, in terms of cell temperature and both cathodic (SO₂ and CO₂) and anodic (H₂) compositions, were suitably varied to comprehend a significant and consistent range of working points. The variation of anodic and cathodic reactants was inspired by a previous work [24] performed in clean condition without any addition of pollutant agents.

The poisoning protocol was structured in order to recognize the transfer mechanism of sulphur from cathode side to anode side and, at the same time, to study the accumulation of sulphur ions in the electrolyte.

Table 1 summarizes the considered parameters and the corresponding variation range. Specifically, boldface values correspond to the “Standard” conditions, tested also in absence of SO₂ for
benchmarking, while the other values refer to all working points systematically taken into account for the sensitivity analysis.

Four different concentrations of SO₂ (4/8/16/24 ppm) were tested. For each SO₂ concentration, the other operating parameters (hydrogen and cathodic carbon dioxide) were varied, maintaining constant anodic and cathodic flow rates. To this aim nitrogen was used to compensate the variation of H₂ and CO₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anode side</th>
<th>Molar fraction (%)</th>
<th>Flow rate (Sccm/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>64/52/40 %</td>
<td>1.67/1.36/1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>0/12/24%</td>
<td>0/0.31/0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode side</th>
<th>Molar fraction (%)</th>
<th>Flow rate (Sccm/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>73/75/67%</td>
<td>18.32/18.82/16.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>6/4/12%</td>
<td>1.5/1/3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cell Temp.** 650/620/680°C

**SO₂ at the cathode** 0 (“clean”)/4/8/16/24 ppm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poisoning tested conditions</th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>Testing laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>single cell #1</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low content of SO₂ 4 ppm</td>
<td>single cell #2</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium content of SO₂ 8 ppm</td>
<td>single cell #3</td>
<td>University of Perugia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High content of SO₂ 24 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium/high content of SO₂ 16 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Operating parameters for the experimental campaign**

Finally, the whole test campaign (initially performed at 650°C) was repeated at 620°C and 680°C working temperature. Globally, for each relevant parameter (H₂, CO₂, and operating temperature) four different SO₂ contents (4/8/16/24 ppm) as well as a “clean” benchmark were considered, leading to a test matrix of over 40 different analysed conditions.

As already mentioned before the experimental campaign was carried out in two different testing laboratories (ENEA hotlab and fuel cell laboratory at University of Perugia); table 2 summarizes cell specimens used for the experimentation with the indication of the testing laboratory.

**Table 2: single cells and test benches used.**

As already mentioned before, the interactions between sulphur dioxide and cell components are various and of different nature. Because of this, measurements of MCFC performance were initiated only after H₂S was observed and stabilized at the anode outlet, thereby assuming that the reverse of reaction (4), as all other poisoning mechanisms, had achieved equilibrium conditions. The quantification of the amount of H₂S at the anode was performed, using colorimetric syringe (prod: Kitagawa; mod: 120SE).

The experimental campaign, described above, was carried out aiming to characterize the effect of
operating parameters on the degradation mechanism. Figure 1 summarizes how the experimental campaign was conducted.

To better understand the occurred degradation phenomena, also post mortem analysis was carried out. Specifically, EDX analysis was performed after test exposure under medium/high poisoning conditions. Moreover, to complete the experimental characterization of the SO\textsubscript{2} effects on the operating MCFC, a long-term test was carried out under 1 ppm SO\textsubscript{2} in Standard conditions (see Table 1), as opposed the sensitivity measurements carried out at higher concentrations and shorter durations. This test, performed on a further virgin cell (specimen #4), was specifically targeted to obtain representative results in post-test analysis.

4. Results and discussion

Kinetic characterization of poisoning mechanisms

The first set of experiments were performed on cell #1 in “clean” conditions, varying operating parameters (excluding temperature) without any addition of sulphur dioxide; this was necessary to have a strong reference for the future comparison of the “polluted” results.

Experiments under clean conditions, plotted as polarisation curves in fig. 2, are sensitive to the variation of cathodic CO\textsubscript{2} and anodic H\textsubscript{2}. A systematic increase in cell voltage can be observed with increasing reagent concentration, where the cathodic CO\textsubscript{2} emerges as the crucial parameter for the cell performance. In the region of high current densities representative of mass transport phenomena, this behaviour is confirmed by the EIS spectra (fig. 3), which show an increase of the low-frequency semicircle when the CO\textsubscript{2} concentration decrease.
Figure 2: Polarisation curves using clean gas @650 °C (Standard composition: H₂= 64% ; CO₂= 6%). Polarisation curves in clean conditions show a significant dependence on the CO₂ concentration in the cathodic stream.

Figure 3: EIS spectra using clean gas @650 °C (Standard composition: H₂= 64% ; CO₂= 6%). EIS spectra confirm what found with the polarisation curves showing a lower mass transfer resistance for higher concentrations of cathodic CO₂.

After the tests under clean conditions, 4 ppm of sulphur dioxide were introduced in the cathodic stream. After 36 hours a small amount of H₂S (<1ppm) was detected at the anodic outlet, confirming that SO₄²⁻ ions were reacting with the H₂ fed to the anode forming H₂S (following the reverse of reaction 4). Compared to the EIS spectrum in clean conditions (at 650 °C, fig. 3), although H₂S was revealed at the anode outlet (case of 4 ppm SO₂ fed at the cathode) indicating sulphur transfer through the cell, no appreciable change in EIS spectra can be detected (fig. 4a). Figures 4 a, b and c show the response of the poisoned cell #1 at the 3 different investigated temperatures.
Figure 4: a,b,c: EIS spectra with 4 ppm of SO₂ in the cathodic stream @650 °C (a), 620 °C (b) and 680°C (c) (Standard composition: H₂= 64% ; CO₂= 6%). In this case EIS spectra don’t show any changes that can be imputed to the SO₂ poisoning.
As the EIS plots (fig. 4,a, b, c), the I-V curves (fig. 5 a, b, c) clearly visualize the change in temperature, since the increase of electrolyte conductivity with temperature becomes apparent under current swap conditions. However, it is peculiar that the cell performance at higher temperature (680 °C) is not noticeably higher than at 650 °C, in the same way as the performance at lower temperature (620 °C) is significantly reduced. This may be due to reaction sluggishness or other ageing effects resulting from having operated the cell first (in chronological order) at lower temperatures, as we shall observe later as well. The behaviour in terms of voltage dependence on variation of the selected parameters is the same as recorded in the “clean” case (fig. 2).
Thereafter, cell #2 was tested under medium poisoning conditions, i.e. 8 ppm of SO2 were introduced in the cathodic stream. After 36 hours, 2 ppm of H2S were observed at the anode outlet and the reference composition was modified according to the tests campaign (H2 and CO2 variation) as already done for 4 ppm.

Results in this case show a different behaviour in the EIS spectra (fig. 6) compared with tests in both clean and low poisoning (4 ppm SO2) conditions, showing an increased internal resistance of the cell when the CO2 molar fraction decreases compared to the standard gas composition. The reason of this behaviour has to be found in the reaction 3: when SO2 is introduced in the cathode stream, it rapidly reacts with the electrolyte consuming CO3²⁻ charge carriers, with a consequent decrease in the electrolyte conductivity revealed by the increasing of ohmic resistance. This behaviour is more evident when the CO2 molar fraction decreases because of the shorter supply of fresh CO3²⁻ ions, so that the cathodic reaction is less favoured.

Results at 650 °C are more explicative in this case because directly comparable with reference conditions. Moreover, the shift of the internal resistance is not caused by the temperature because all the spectra were obtained at 650 °C, allowing an immediate comparison with clean conditions (fig 3) when the shift of internal resistance wasn’t noticeable.

Figure 6: EIS spectra with 8 ppm of SO2 introduced at cathode side @ 650 °C. IR resistance increase of 40% compared with standard 8 ppm (H₂= 64%; CO₂= 6%) when CO₂ molar fraction decreases to 4%
Concerning the tests carried out with medium/high and high content of pollution, single cells (specimens #3 and #2) were operated with cathodic compositions at 16 ppm and 24 ppm SO$_2$. Introducing 16 ppm of SO$_2$ at the cathode side of virgin MCFC #3, after 6 hours in pollution regime, 2.7 ppm of H$_2$S were detected at the anodic outlet via colorimetric syringe. This highlights the acceleration of the MCFC poisoning process with respect to the previous cases. The collection of IV curves measured varying the anodic and cathodic mixtures is shown in figure 7a (working temperature $T=650\, ^\circ C$), 7b ($T=620\, ^\circ C$) and 7c ($T=680\, ^\circ C$). Generally, the sulphur impact is reflected in the evident global performance decrease and the increase in IV curves spread. The latter is amplified at lower temperature (fig. 7b). It is underscored again that the graphs are presented in the same order as the test sequence: as before and, against theoretical prediction, a low performance at 680 °C is measured. As well as probable cumulated degradation effects due to sulphur poisoning, it is apparent that the tested cell suffers from previous operation at low temperature (620 °C), which inhibits the expected positive effect of higher temperature on MCFC performance. The marked variation of the cell I-V response over time would then suggests a rapid degradation, possibly due to a combination of the SO$_2$ level and the dynamics of the test conditions.

a)

![Graph a](image)

b)

![Graph b](image)

c)

![Graph c](image)
Qualitative similar effects were finally obtained as results of tests at 24 ppm in terms of performance dependence on operating parameters, in spite of the different benches used for the tests execution. A H$_2$S concentration of 40 ppm was measured at the anode outlet. It is to be noted that during the experimental campaign no sulphur compounds were detected at any time at the cathode outlet. It is therefore deduced that all SO$_2$ entering the cathode chamber, immediately and completely reacts with the electrolyte (according to reaction 3), where subsequent reactions determine the fate of the sulphur introduced (reverse of reaction 4). The significant difference, in the amount of H$_2$S expelled from the anode in the two poisoning conditions tested on cell #2 (about 4 ppm and 40 ppm H$_2$S with 8 ppm and 24 ppm SO$_2$ respectively in the cathode mixture), may well be related to the accumulation of sulphate ions in the electrolyte during the first experiment when the same single cell was poisoned with 8ppm of SO$_2$ (table 2). The accumulation was clearly viewable, after the tests at 24ppm SO$_2$, when the cell was returned to clean conditions and H$_2$S continued to exit the anode for a further week of operation under load. These data serve to underline the importance of the dynamic component of the poisoning effects. The higher poisoning effect is evident also from the polarisation curves of Fig. 8a, b and c.

a)

b)
EIS spectra (figure 9a), instead, show an increase in the second semicircle, commonly associated to the mass transfer resistance. At the anode side, the increase in this resistance probably occurs because of the reduction of the number of active sites for hydrogen oxidation. Moreover, the effects of the increase in mass transfer resistance are more evident for low contents of hydrogen. This trend is confirmed by the EIS spectra carried out at 620 °C and 680 °C (fig. 9b-9c). Additionally, it is clear that the anode-side (hydrogen-side) poisoning effects are more significant at lower operating temperature, confirming previous observations regarding H₂S fed to the anode side [20].
Figure 9: a,b,c: EIS spectra with 24 ppm of SO2 in the cathodic stream @650 °C (a), 620 °C (b) and 680°C (c) (Standard composition: H2= 64%; CO2= 6%)
The combination of multiple effects, due to the injection of sulphur dioxide with different concentrations at the cathode, is more evident comparing the EIS spectra (figure 10) acquired on cell #2 under the three relevant phases of the experiment campaign: pre-poisoning, post- 8 ppm poisoning (in absence of SO₂) and post- 24 ppm poisoning (in absence of SO₂), at reference operating conditions (as detailed in table 1). As already discussed, instead, in the case of 4ppm SO₂, same spectra were acquired during post-poisoning and pre-poisoning operation, implying that no relevant effects can be detected with such small amount of pollutant agent.

![Figure 10: EIS spectra at standard conditions (no SO₂ fed to the cathode): before poisoning, after poisoning with 8 ppm and after poisoning with 24 ppm.](image)

After tests with 24 ppm of SO₂, the cell was operated with clean gas in standard conditions to observe if an eventual performance recovery could be observed: the anode continued to expel H₂S for over a week, without any sulphur dioxide fed at the cathode. The degradation of the cell was too advanced to see any benefit in terms of performance (IV and EIS curves). As already remarked, this indicates that a significant accumulation of sulphur took place inside the cell, subsequently released once the cell was operated in clean conditions.

**Post mortem analysis**

After operation, cell specimens were dismantled and disassembled, to separate the components forming the single cell. Aiming to highlight differences in poisoning according to specific testing conditions, a further test was scheduled with respect to the campaign described in Section 3.

In particular, to have a comparative case-analysis relative to long-term low poisoning conditions, the virgin cell #4 was tested under reference conditions (bold values in Table 1), except for SO₂ content and test duration (1ppm SO₂; 1,000 h). No regeneration was performed.

Regarding tests scheduled in Section 3, instead, cell specimen #3, exposed to medium/high poisoning for a short time (16 ppm SO₂; 230h), it was chosen to perform EDX analysis because of the significant degradation effect discussed above and the absence of a subsequent regeneration phase.

Post mortem analysis was therefore performed on cell specimens #3 and #4. It is remarked that details (specifically concerning quantitative analysis) cannot be presented due to confidentiality agreements with the cell supplier.

Regarding cell #4, the following evidence (fig. 11) was provided by EDX analysis:

- The MCFC specimen does not show sulphur presence on cathode and electrolyte.
• The sulphur is identified on the anode with two components: a weak isotropic one (0.1-0.4% weight fraction) and the second, localized as drop-like accumulations (smooth and oval deposits, distinct from the background).

• Sulphur is identified alongside the potassium (but not the contrary) and complementary to the nickel as clearly evident from the K and S EDX maps in fig. 11.

• The punctual analysis on a restricted area, characterized by sulphur drop-like accumulations, shows elements (K, S, O) concentrations compatible with K$_2$SO$_4$ formation.

Figure 11. Anode detail of MCFC after 1,000 hours under 1 ppm of SO$_2$.

In the case of 230 hours operation under 16 ppm of SO$_2$, instead, the EDX analysis of the MCFC specimen #3 gave the following outcomes (fig. 12, 13, 14):

• The MCFC presents an increasing sulphur concentration from the cathode (0.15-0.25% weight fraction), to the electrolyte (0.3% at the cathode side and 0.45% at the anode side, always expressed as weight fractions) and finally to the anode, where the concentration increases suddenly (3%) on the electrolyte interface.

• At the cathode, sulphur has a weak and almost uniform distribution (S EDX map of fig. 12) as compared to the potassium-like distribution (K EDX map of fig. 12); in particular, there is no correlation with the potassium gatherings (K-S EDX map of fig. 12).

• The sulphur gatherings (potassium sulphate, probably) begin to appear on the electrolyte (S EDX map of fig. 13).

• The high anodic sulphur concentration (S EDX map of fig. 14) does not show localized gatherings, since they seem to follow the electrolyte traces (in particular the potassium distribution, as evident from the comparison between K and S EDX maps) and to be complementary to the nickel distribution.

• By increasing the distance from the anode-electrolyte interface, sulphur and potassium become residual (S<0.1% weight fraction).
Figure 12. Cathode detail of MCFC after 230 hours under 16 ppm of SO₂.

Figure 13. Electrolyte detail of MCFC after 230 hours under 16 ppm of SO₂.

Figure 14. Anode detail of MCFC after 230 hours under 16 ppm of SO₂.
It is moreover remarked that EDX analysis is not sensible to lithium, which would have an expected
distribution similar to that for potassium. Moreover, it is seems that in the case of a MCFC specimen
exposed for long-term to low SO₂ poisoning (fed at the cathode), the sulphur accumulates in an
appreciable way only at the anode side (in drop-like shapes), while under higher SO₂ content (even
if for a shorter period) it produces a more uniform and spread-out distribution.

5. Conclusions

The experimental campaign carried out in this work puts in evidence the strict correlation between
MCFC operating parameters and sulphur dioxide concentration introduced at the cathode side.

The primary effect of poisoning (loss of ionic transfer in the electrolyte) was more evident during the
set of experiments at 8 ppm of SO₂ added in the cathode feeding mixture. Moreover, the variation of
operating parameters highlighted an increased ohmic resistance when the CO₂ content was 4%
underlining the effect of usurpation of charge carriers by sulphur ions determining a lower
conductivity of the electrolyte. The secondary effect (release of H₂S at the anode side with associated
poisoning of electro-catalytic sites) started to contribute to the performance decay when a higher
concentration of SO₂ was used (24 ppm); the EIS spectra, in fact, showed a significant change of
mass transfer resistance. Also in this case the variation of experimental parameters (particularly H₂)
gave important information about the poisoning mechanism.

Post mortem analysis, performed on a cell exposed to medium/high sulphur poisoning (cell #3),
showed a high selectivity of elemental sulphur with potassium. This was more evident in the samples
of the interface between anode and electrolyte. Furthermore, EDX analysis evidenced an
accumulation of sulphur at anode side, probably in the form of potassium sulphate, evolved during
the operation of the cell. This assumption is confirmed by the fact that, in case of specimen #2, H₂S
continued to flow out from the anode for one week, once that the poisoning (24 ppm) was interrupted.

The results obtained in this work give new inputs to better understand the complex degradation
mechanism caused by sulphur dioxide feeding at the cathode. Nevertheless, a new test campaign is
expected next to lead a deeper quantitative analysis by isolating the poisoning effects from pre-
experimental condition.
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