CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES XIV
LIST OF TABLES XVI

1. INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1. PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR AND STEAM GENERATOR 1
1.2. THE SGTR SEVERE ACCIDENT SCENARIO 4
1.3. BACKGROUND AND FRAME OF THE WORK 7
1.4. THESIS SETTING OUT: MOTIVATION, OBJECTIVES 11

2. DEVELOPMENT OF FLUID FLOW MODELING **13**

2.1. COMPUTATIONAL TOOL AND MODELING PERFORMED 14
2.1.1. The FLUENT code 14
2.1.2. Development of the geometry and grid 16
2.1.3. Hypothesis and boundary conditions performed 19
2.1.4. Assessment of numerics and mesh quality 20
2.1.5. Validation of the simulations 23
2.2. AERODYNAMIC RESULTS OF THE SIMULATIONS 28
2.2.1. Guillotine breach analysis 28
2.2.2. Fish-mouth breach analysis 32
2.3. DEVELOPMENT OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL 37
2.3.1. Characterization of the guillotine breach jet 38
2.3.2. Characterization of the fish-mouth breach jet 41
2.3.3. Guillotine and fish-mouth closing results 43
2.4. CONCLUSIONS OF FLUID FLOW MODELING 45

3. DEVELOPMENT OF THE AEROSOL MODELING **47**

3.1. HYPOTHESES AND APPROXIMATIONS PERFORMED 49
3.1.1. The filter approach applied to the scenario 49
3.1.2. The single tube efficiency applied to the scenario 52
3.1.3. The aerodynamic characterization performed 54
3.1.4. Development of the jet penetration 55
3.2. DEVELOPMENT OF THE FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS 55
3.2.1. Size dependence 56
3.2.2. Assessment of phenomena relevance 56
3.2.3. Deposition 58
3.2.3.1. Turbulent deposition 58
3.2.3.2. Inertial impaction 62
3.2.4. Resuspension 64
3.3. DEVELOPMENT OF ARICODE 67
3.3.1. ARI3SG structure 68
3.3.2. Input description 71
3.3.3. Output description 71
3.4. VERIFICATION OF THE ARI3SG MODEL 72

3.5. VALIDATION OF THE ARI3SG MODEL 73
3.5.1. Experimental Set-up *73*
3.5.2. Database *75*
3.5.3. Hypotheses and approximations performed *76*
3.5.4. Results obtained *77*
3.5.4.1. TiO_2 simulations 78
3.5.4.2. SiO_2 simulations 82
3.6. UNCERTAINTY ANALYSES PERFORMED TO ARI3SG 85
3.6.1. Model uncertainty applied to ARI3SG *85*
3.6.2. Input parameters uncertainty applied to ARI3SG *89*
3.6.3. Sensitivity analysis performed *95*
3.6.4. Total uncertainty performed *97*
3.7. DERIVED CORRELATION OF ARI3SG 98
3.8. CONCLUSIONS OF THE AEROSOL MODELING 102

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS 105

4.1. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS ON FLUID FLOW MODELING 105
4.2. CONCLUSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS ON AEROSOL MODELING 106
4.3. MODELING DRAWBACKS AND FUTURE WORK 107

5. PUBLISHED PAPERS 109

5.1. INTERNATIONAL JOURNALS 109
5.2. NATIONAL JOURNALS 110
5.3. INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES 110
5.4. NATIONAL CONFERENCES 111

6. NOMENCLATURE 113

7. REFERENCES 117

APPENDIXES

A. PRELIMINARY HYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATIONS…….. …… A-1
A.1.SIMPLIFIED BUNDLE….. ……..…….. … A-1
A.1.1. AERODYNAMIC RESULTS…….……... A-2
A.1.2. DISCUSSION OF AEROSOL EXPERIMENTS……..… A-5
A.2. SUPPORT PLATE AS A POROUS MEDIA …….. ……..…….. A-7
A.3. PROCEDURE FOR CFD SIMULATIONS……..…..… A-9
A.4. INITIAL SIMULATIONS: STANDARD κ-ε MODEL……..…….. A-13
A.5. TURBULENCE ASSESSMENT: RSM MODEL…….A-17
B. POSTPROCESS OF FLUENT FILES……..…….……B-1
C. RESUSPENSION…….…….…….. C-1
D.ARI3SG INPUT GENERATION……..…….…….…… D-1
D.1. LOGNORMAL PARTICLE DISCRETIZATION…….……... D-1
D.2. SOURCE CODE OF INPUT GENERATION OF ARICODE…….…... D-4
E. INPUT AND OUTPUT FILES OF ARICODE…….…….. E-1

E.1. ARICODE INPUT..…….... E-1
E.2. ARICODE OUTPUT..…….... E-4
E.2.1. OUTBUNDLE.DAT…… E-4
E.2.2. OUTUBE.DAT…….... E-5
E.2.3. OUTPSIZE.DAT…… E-7
E.2.4. OUTIME.DAT……E-13
F. UNCERTAINTY OF THE DIMENSIONLESS AXIAL DEPOSITION VELOCITY AND RESUSPENSION RATE CORRELATIONS.....……...F-1

ARICODE…….…….ARICODE.f-1
ARI3SG……...…….....ARI3SG.f-1
ARI3WSC…….ARI3WSC.f-1