

# Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xvii
1 Introduction	1
2 Optimization methodology of Borehole Heat Exchangers	9
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	10
2.2 Background. . . . .	11
2.3 Methodology . . . . .	12
2.4 Conclusions. . . . .	13
3 Assessing of Shallow Geothermal Laboratory	15
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	16
3.2 Material and methods. . . . .	17
3.3 Results. . . . .	26
3.4 Discussion. . . . .	30
3.5 Conclusions. . . . .	32

4 Numerical simulations to improve performance and cost-efficiency of BHEs	35
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	36
4.2 State of the art . . . . .	36
4.3 Parameter sensitivity analysis implementation . . . . .	43
4.4 Material development . . . . .	63
4.5 Conclusions . . . . .	68
5 Theoretical and experimental optimization of Borehole Heat Exchangers (BHEs)	71
5.1 Thermal performance assessment of single-U tube borehole according to working fluid flow rate . . . . .	72
5.2 Thermal borehole performance assessment of coaxial borehole according to working fluid flow rate . . . . .	99
6 Discussion	107
6.1 Geothermal laboratory and thermal test assessment . . . . .	108
6.2 Development of advanced materials guided by numerical simulations . . . . .	112
6.3 Effect of working fluid flow rate on the borehole thermal efficiency and pressure losses . . . . .	113
7 Conclusions	117
7.1 Future work . . . . .	119
Appendices	121
A Case 1: Flow 0.033 l/s . . . . .	123
B Case 2: Flow 0.044 l/s . . . . .	124
C Case 3: Flow 0.064 l/s . . . . .	126
D Case 4: Flow 0.083 l/s . . . . .	127
E Case 5: Flow 0.1 l/s . . . . .	129
F Case 6: Flow 0.15 l/s . . . . .	130
G Case 7: Flow 0.2 l/s . . . . .	132
H Case 8: Flow 0.25 l/s . . . . .	133
Nomenclature	135
Bibliography	139