

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/160818>

This paper must be cited as:

Coll, C.; Herrero Debón, A.; Sánchez, E.; Thome, N. (2020). On the minus partial order in control systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 386:1-10.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2020.125529>



The final publication is available at

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2020.125529>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

On the minus partial order in control systems

C. Coll, A. Herrero, E. Sánchez and N. Thome¹

*Instituto Universitario de Matemática Multidisciplinar
Universitat Politècnica de València
Camino de Vera, 14. 46022 Valencia. Spain*

Abstract

In this paper, the minus matrix partial order is considered to introduce the concept of minus partial ordered control systems. The transmission of the reachability property under this binary relation is investigated. Furthermore, the analysis of compartmental systems leads us to consider block triangular matrices. Hence, the existence and computation of partially ordered matrices having a similar block structure are studied. These results are applied to compartmental systems to get, via feedback, related systems with the same block structure and ordered under the minus partial order.

Keywords: Generalized inverses, minus partial order, matrix equations, compartmental systems, reachability property.

2010 MSC: 15A09, 06A06, 93C05

1. Introduction and background

Matrix partial orders have been object of research in the literature having an increasing attention lastly due to their potential for real applications in areas such as electrical networks or statistical problems. In particular, the minus partial order plays an important role in solving problems that involve shorted operators or modified matrices by adding/deleting a row or a column [8, 14]. Some results on theoretical, applied, and numerical aspects of generalized inverses and partial orders can be found in [6, 7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21].

Algebraic relations and properties of partially ordered matrices motivate research on the usefulness of partial orders in the field of linear dynamic systems. A first approach to this research appeared in [11], where the sharp partial order was applied to study linear autonomous systems.

Systems in which we are interested in the present work are compartmental systems, as for example the models related to population dynamic behaviour or the evolution of an infectious disease [1, 10]. Usually, these models are repre-

¹Corresponding author. Email: njthome@mat.upv.es

16 sented by discrete/continuous time compartmental control systems whose state
 17 matrix has a prescribed structure, for instance, a block triangular matrix [4].

18 Moreover, we are interested in applying the minus partial order to these
 19 models to improve their performance and to analyze properties that guarantee
 20 the efficiency of certain techniques in the medium and long term. Previously,
 21 we need to introduce the novel concept of minus partial ordered systems and to
 22 make the first general considerations that derive from them.

23 Furthermore, we present the study of the effect of a feedback on the state
 24 coefficient matrix such that the obtained system is related to the initial system
 25 under the minus partial order. Since a model represents a real process, it is
 26 fundamental to keep the structure of the state coefficient matrix. We look for
 27 successor systems to the initial system by improving the characteristics of it.
 28 Specifically, we focus our attention on the new state coefficient matrix of the
 29 system and on the transmission of the reachability property.

30 In order to ensure that the structure is maintained for the state coefficient
 31 matrix of a compartmental system, we have to analyze the minus partial order
 32 for block triangular matrices under a perturbation.

33 We recall that for a given $n \times n$ real matrix M , the matrix M^- is a $\{1\}$ -
 34 generalized inverse of M if $MM^-M = M$, and M^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose inverse
 35 of M if it satisfies $MM^\dagger M = M$, $M^\dagger MM^\dagger = M^\dagger$, and MM^\dagger and $M^\dagger M$ are
 36 symmetric matrices (see [3]).

37 Let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the set of $n \times n$ real matrices. For two given matrices $M_1, M_2 \in$
 38 $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, it is well known that M_2 is a successor of M_1 under the minus partial order
 39 if there exists a $\{1\}$ -generalized inverse M_1^- of M_1 such that $M_1 M_1^- = M_2 M_1^-$
 40 and $M_1^- M_1 = M_1^- M_2$. This binary relation will be denoted by $M_1 \leq M_2$ (see
 41 [14]). The following result gives a characterization of the minus partial order.

42 **Proposition 1.** [2] *Let $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{rank}(M_1) = r$. Then, the follow-*
 43 *ing assertions are equivalent:*

44 (a) $M_1 \leq M_2$.

(b) *There exist nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that*

$$PM_1Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad PM_2Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

45 *for some matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$.*

46 (c) $\text{rank}(M_2) = \text{rank}(M_1) + \text{rank}(M_2 - M_1)$ (*rank substractivity condition*).

A discrete-time linear control system is given by

$$x(k+1) = Mx(k) + Bu(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2)$$

47 where $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the state
 48 coefficient matrix and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the input coefficient matrix. This system is
 49 denoted by (M, B) .

We recall that the system (M, B) is reachable if for any state x there exists a control sequence transferring the trajectory of the system from the origin state 0 into x . The reachability property is characterized by the condition that the reachability matrix defined by

$$R(M, B) = (B \ MB \ M^2B \ \dots \ M^{n-1}B),$$

50 has full rank. Moreover, (M, B) is controllable if for any state x there exists a
 51 control sequence transferring the trajectory of the system from the state x into
 52 the origin state 0. In the discrete-time case, these properties are equivalent if
 53 the state coefficient matrix M is nonsingular. In [5], the authors studied the
 54 reachability property for higher order linear systems.

55 We highlight that the main contribution of this paper is to state a link
 56 between linear control systems and matrix partial orders. The authors think
 57 that this idea can be fruitful and will provide an interesting new research line
 58 where areas are enriching each other.

59 This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the minus
 60 partial order for control linear systems and analyze the reachability property.
 61 In Section 3, we consider the minus partial order for block triangular matrices
 62 and we establish conditions for the existence and computation of successor
 63 matrices, under this order, of a given matrix. Finally, compartmental systems
 64 are considered in Section 4. We compute the explicit expression of a feedback
 65 in order to obtain successor closed-loop systems preserving the block triangular
 66 structure. Moreover, the reachability property is analyzed in an interesting case
 67 of compartmental systems.

68 2. Minus partial ordered control systems

69 In this section we consider control systems and we are going to introduce
 70 the minus partial order relation between two given systems. Inspired by the
 71 definition given in [11] for the sharp partial order, we can give the following
 72 definition.

73 **Definition 1.** *We say that two autonomous linear control systems $x(k+1) =$
 74 $M_1x(k)$ and $x(k+1) = M_2x(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are ordered under the minus partial
 75 order if $M_1 \leq M_2$.*

76 Notice that two ordered autonomous systems under the sharp partial order
 77 are equivalent under similarities [11], while this situation is not true, in general,
 78 for two ordered autonomous systems under the minus partial order. In this
 79 last case, we can construct chained systems satisfying the condition $\text{rank}(M_i) =$
 80 $\text{rank}(M_{i-1}) + \text{rank}(M_i - M_{i-1})$, $i \geq 1$. The final system of the sequence will
 81 be a system whose state coefficient matrix is nonsingular, that is, a reversible
 82 system.

83 If we consider a linear control system with inputs as in (2), the natural
 84 extension of this concept allows us to obtain a successor system where the
 85 reachability from 0 and controllability to 0 properties are equivalent.

86 **Definition 2.** We say that two linear control systems (M_1, B) and (M_2, B)
87 defined as in (2) are minus partially ordered if $M_1 \bar{\leq} M_2$. This relation is
88 denoted by $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$.

Given two minus partially ordered systems $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, by Proposition 1, there exist nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that M_1 and M_2 satisfy the relation (1). Hence, with respect to the system (M, B) we can write

$$PQQ^{-1}x(k+1) = PM_1QQ^{-1}x(k) + PBu(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$y(k+1) = \bar{M}_1y(k) + Q^{-1}Bu(k),$$

where $y(k) = Q^{-1}x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$. The state coefficient matrix can be partitioned as

$$\bar{M}_1 = (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & O \\ A_{21} & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $(PQ)^{-1}$ has been denoted by $(PQ)^{-1} = (A_{ij})$ with $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_j}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $n_1 = r$ and $n_2 = n - r$. In the same way, the transformation $y(k) = Q^{-1}x(k)$ applied to the system (M_2, B) leads us to the following system

$$y(k+1) = \bar{M}_2y(k) + Q^{-1}Bu(k), \quad (4)$$

89 with

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_2 &= (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix} = \bar{M}_1 + \Delta, \\ \Delta &= (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O & A_{12}Y \\ O & A_{22}Y \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

90 Thus, $\bar{M}_i = (PQ)^{-1}PM_iQ = Q^{-1}M_iQ$, $i = 1, 2$.

91 Summarizing, we have shown the following result.

92 **Lemma 2.** For two given ordered systems, that is $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, the fol-
93 lowing statements hold.

94 (a) The system (M_i, B) is similar to the system $(\bar{M}_i, Q^{-1}B)$ with \bar{M}_i defined
95 in (3) and (5), $i = 1, 2$.

96 (b) $\sigma(M_1) = \sigma(\bar{M}_1) = \sigma(A_{11}) \cup \{0\}$ and $\sigma(M_2) = \sigma(\bar{M}_2)$, where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes
97 the spectrum of the matrix.

98 (c) $(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) \bar{\leq} (\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B)$.

99 Notice that matrices \bar{M}_1 and \bar{M}_2 are related by (5). Then, the system
100 $(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B)$ can be interpreted as the result of a disturbance in the state co-
101 efficient matrix of the system $(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)$. This provides an interpretation of
102 what determines the order relation in the field of linear control systems.

103 Moreover, in control theory this kind of perturbation can be considered the
104 result of the action of a feedback. So, we can search for successor systems of
105 a given system (M, B) via a state-feedback $u(k) = Fx(k) + v(k)$, that is, to
106 look for systems $(M + BF, B)$ which are ordered with (M, B) under the minus
107 partial order. In this case, $\text{rank}(M + BF) = \text{rank}(M) + \text{rank}(BF)$ according to
108 the characterization given in Proposition 1.

109 2.1. Reachability

110 For two given systems ordered under the minus partial order, $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq}$
111 (M_2, B) , we are interested in studying their structural properties to see if there
112 exists some relationship between them. Specifically, we are going to focus on the
113 reachability property. Since, by Lemma 2, system (M_i, B) is similar to system
114 $(\bar{M}_i, Q^{-1}B)$, $i = 1, 2$, we can derive the reachability property from the last one.

115 We analyze several cases attending to the structure of the control coefficient
116 matrix B :

117 **Case 1.** Assume that $B^Q = \left\{ Q \begin{pmatrix} S \\ O \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } S \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m} \right\}$ and let $B \in B^Q$.

118 The reachability matrix of the systems $(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)$ and $(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B)$ are given
119 by

$$120 \quad \text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) = \quad H \begin{pmatrix} S & O & O & \dots & O \\ O & S & A_{11}S & \dots & A_{11}^{n-2}S \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

120 and

$$121 \quad \text{R}(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B) = \quad \text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) + H \begin{pmatrix} O & O & \star & \dots & \star \\ O & O & \star & \dots & \star \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

121 where $H = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & A_{11} \\ O & A_{21} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2r}$ and where \star 's represent suitable block ma-
122 trices obtained to construct the reachability matrix which are not needed in the
123 remaining computations.

124 According to this expression we have the following result.

125 **Proposition 3.** *Let (M_1, B) and (M_2, B) be two minus partially ordered control*
126 *systems, $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, with $B \in B^Q$. If $\text{rank}(S) = r$ we have*

127 (a) *(M_1, B) is reachable if and only if $\text{rank}(A_{21}) = n - r$.*

128 (b) *If (M_1, B) is reachable then (M_2, B) is also reachable.*

129 **Proof.**

(a) Assume that (M_1, B) is a reachable system. By Lemma 2, $(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)$ is reachable as well. Then, $\text{rank}(\text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)) = n$. By using factorization (6), we have

$$n = \text{rank} \left(H \begin{pmatrix} S & O & O & \cdots & O \\ O & S & A_{11}S & \cdots & A_{11}^{n-2}S \end{pmatrix} \right) \leq \text{rank}(H) \leq n,$$

130 which implies $\text{rank}(H) = n$. By definition of H , $\text{rank}(A_{21}) = n - r$.

Conversely, if $\text{rank}(A_{21}) = n - r$ and $\text{rank}(B) = r$, we have (see [18])

$$\text{rank}(\text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)) \geq \text{rank}(H) + \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} S & O & O & \cdots & O \\ O & S & A_{11}S & \cdots & A_{11}^{n-2}S \end{pmatrix} - 2r = n.$$

(b) By using (6) we have

$$\text{rank}(\text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)) = \text{rank} \left(H \begin{pmatrix} S & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

131 Then, from (7) we have $\text{rank}(\text{R}(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B)) = n$. □

Case 2. Assume that $B^P = \left\{ B / PB = \begin{pmatrix} S \\ O \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } S \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m} \right\}$ and let $B \in B^P$. The reachability matrix of the systems $(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B)$ and $(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B)$ are given by

$$\text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) = (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} S & A_{11}S & A_{11}^2S & \cdots & A_{11}^{n-2}S \\ O & O & O & \cdots & O \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{R}(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B) = \text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) + (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & \star & \cdots & \star \\ O & YA_{21}S & \star & \cdots & \star \end{pmatrix}.$$

132 Then, the following result is straightforward.

133 **Proposition 4.** *Let (M_1, B) and (M_2, B) two minus partially ordered control*
134 *systems, $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, with $B \in B^P$. Then*

135 (a) (M_1, B) is not reachable.

136 (b) If $\text{rank}(YA_{21}S) = n - r$ and $\text{rank}(S) = r$ then (M_2, B) is reachable.

Case 3. Assume that $B_Q = \left\{ Q \begin{pmatrix} O \\ S \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } S \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times m} \right\}$ and let $B \in B_Q$. Then, the reachability matrices are

$$\text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) = \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O & \cdots & O \\ S & O & O & \cdots & O \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{R}(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B) = \text{R}(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) + \begin{pmatrix} O & A_{12}YS & \star & \cdots & \star \\ O & A_{22}YS & \star & \cdots & \star \end{pmatrix}.$$

137 We can prove the following result.

138 **Proposition 5.** *Let (M_1, B) and (M_2, B) be two minus partially ordered control*
 139 *systems, $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, with $B \in B_Q$.*

140 (a) *(M_1, B) is always not reachable.*

141 (b) *If $\text{rank}(A_{12}YS) = r$ and $\text{rank}(S) = n - r$ then (M_2, B) is reachable.*

Case 4. Assume that $B_P = \left\{ B / PB = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ S \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } S \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times m} \right\}$ and let $B \in B_P$. The reachability matrices are

$$R(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) = (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O & A_{12}S & A_{11}A_{12}S & \dots & A_{11}^{n-2}A_{12}S \\ S & O & O & \dots & O \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$R(\bar{M}_2, Q^{-1}B) = R(\bar{M}_1, Q^{-1}B) + (PQ)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & \star & \dots & \star \\ O & YA_{22}S & \star & \dots & \star \end{pmatrix}.$$

142 Now, we state the following proposition.

143 **Proposition 6.** *Let (M_1, B) and (M_2, B) be two minus partially ordered con-*
 144 *trol systems, $(M_1, B) \bar{\leq} (M_2, B)$, with $B \in B_P$. If $\text{rank}(A_{12}S) = n - r$ and*
 145 *$\text{rank}(S) = n - r$ then (M_1, B) and (M_2, B) are reachable.*

146 The study of these special classes allow us to assert that, in general, the
 147 reachability property is not preserved under the minus partial order for systems.

148 Interested on compartmental systems, whose state coefficient matrix has a
 149 block triangular structure, in the following section we analyze block triangular
 150 matrices under the minus partial order. We will obtain a characterization for
 151 successor matrices under the minus partial order of a given matrix M preserving
 152 the same structure as M .

153 3. Ordering block triangular matrices

In this section we are going to consider a fixed block triangular matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ O & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $M_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ and $M_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$, with $n = n_1 + n_2$, and $\text{rank}(M) = r > 0$. This matrix allows us to define the following set:

$$\mathbb{M} = \left\{ M_X = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ O & M_{22} + X \end{pmatrix} : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2} \right\}.$$

154

155 **Remark 1.** Notice that, for a given square real matrix, we can always use the
 156 Schur decomposition to get an orthogonally similar upper-triangular matrix M .
 157 Since, moreover, the minus partial order is preserved under nonsingular matrices
 158 [14], the above structure is not restrictive at all.

159 From now on, we say that M_X is associated with $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ whenever
 160 $M_X \in \mathbb{M}$.

It is well known that always there exist nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$PMQ = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

By partitioning these matrices P and Q as

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

161 with $P_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n_1}$, $P_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times n_2}$, $Q_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times r}$, and $Q_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times (n-r)}$, we
 162 can state the following characterization on successor matrices of M in the set
 163 \mathbb{M} under the minus partial order.

Proposition 7. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a structured matrix as in (8) with $\text{rank}(M) = r > 0$. Then, there exists a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ such that $M_X \in \mathbb{M}$ satisfies $M \bar{\leq} M_X$ if and only if there exist nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ decomposed as in (10), a matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$ such that the relation (9) holds, and the following system

$$P_{12}XQ_{21} = O, \quad P_{12}XQ_{22} = O, \quad P_{22}XQ_{21} = O, \quad P_{22}XQ_{22} = Y \quad (11)$$

164 has a solution X .

Proof. Let X be a matrix such that $M_X \in \mathbb{M}$ and $M \bar{\leq} M_X$. By Proposition 1, there are nonsingular matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$ such that

$$PMQ = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad PM_XQ = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

165 Moreover, by definition of \mathbb{M} , $M_X = M + \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & X \end{pmatrix}$. By combining both
 166 results and by using the decompositions of P and Q given in (10), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{12}XQ_{21} & P_{12}XQ_{22} \\ P_{22}XQ_{21} & P_{22}XQ_{22} \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & X \end{pmatrix} Q = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

167 Then, we get that the system (11) has a solution.

168 Conversely, we consider P, Q , and Y such that M satisfies (9) and the system
 169 (11) has a solution X . Now, it is straightforward to show that the matrix

170 $M_X = M + \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & X \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}$ satisfies $M \bar{\leq} M_X$.

171 However, the existence of a solution of the matrix system (11) for matrices
 172 P and Q satisfying (9) is not always guaranteed. This fact is illustrated in the
 173 following example.
 174

Example 8. Consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ 0 & m_{22} & m_{23} \\ 0 & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $m_{11} \neq 0$, $m_{22} \neq 0$, and $m_{12}m_{23} \neq m_{13}m_{22}$. Then, for the matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{11}} & 0 & -\frac{m_{12}}{m_{11}m_{22}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m_{22}} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{m_{11}m_{23}}{m_{12}m_{23}-m_{13}m_{22}} & 1 & \frac{m_{11}m_{23}}{m_{13}m_{22}-m_{12}m_{23}} \\ \frac{m_{11}m_{22}}{m_{13}m_{22}-m_{12}m_{23}} & 0 & \frac{m_{11}m_{22}}{m_{12}m_{23}-m_{13}m_{22}} \end{pmatrix},$$

175 the equation (9) holds for $r = 2$. The system (11) has only the trivial solution
 176 $X = O$ if $Y = 0$. And for $Y \neq 0$, the system (11) has no solution. Therefore,
 177 in this last case, there are not successors under the minus partial order of the
 178 matrix M in the set $\mathbb{M} - \{M\}$.

In general, the consistency of the system (11) and its form depend on the properties of the block matrices of P and Q . In order to study them we will use the Kronecker product of two matrices which is defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mp \times nq},$$

179 for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$. According to this definition the following prop-
 180 erties can be easily stated.

181 **Lemma 9.** Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times s}$, and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times s}$. Then

182 (i) There exists a permutation T such that $A \otimes \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} A \otimes B \\ A \otimes C \end{pmatrix}$.

183 (ii) $\begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \otimes A = \begin{pmatrix} B \otimes A \\ C \otimes A \end{pmatrix}$.

184 Moreover, we will denote by $\text{vec}(A)$ the vectorization of the matrix A , which
 185 consists of stacking the columns of A into a unique column vector.

186 This vectorization and the Kronecker product allow us to express the ma-
 187 trix equation $AXB = C$ by means of the linear system of equations $(B^T \otimes$
 188 $A) \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(C)$ (see [13]).

189 In addition, if S^- is a $\{1\}$ -generalized inverse of S , we denote by $P_S =$
 190 $I - SS^-$ the oblique projector onto the null space $\mathcal{N}(SS^-)$ along the column
 191 space $\mathcal{R}(S)$.

192 **Theorem 10.** *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a structured matrix as in (8) with $\text{rank}(M) =$
 193 $r > 0$. Consider the block decomposition (10) of a pair of matrices P and Q
 194 that satisfy (9). Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

195 (a) *There exists a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ such that $M_X \in \mathbb{M}$ associated with X
 196 satisfies $M \leq M_X$.*

(b) *There exists a matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} U P_{Q_{21}} Q_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for some } Q_{21}^-.$$

197 (c) $P_G \text{vec} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = O$ with $G = Q_{22}^T P_{Q_{21}}^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ for some G^- and Q_{21}^- .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) By Proposition 7, the system (11) has a solution. This system
 can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} X Q_{21} = O, \quad \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} X Q_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Firstly, the general solution of the homogeneous equation in (12) is given by
 $X = U - \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix}^- \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} U Q_{21} Q_{21}^-$, for an arbitrary $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$. Since
 (12) has a solution, by substituting the above expression of X into the second
 equation of (12), we can ensure the existence of a matrix U such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} U P_{Q_{21}} Q_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

198 (b) \Rightarrow (a) It is easy to check that $X = U - \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix}^- \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} U Q_{21} Q_{21}^-$
 199 satisfies the system (12), provided that (b) holds. Now, by Proposition 7, the
 200 result follows.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c) By using the Kronecker product, the relation given in (b) can be
 expressed as

$$\left(Q_{22}^T P_{Q_{21}}^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) \text{vec}(U) = \text{vec} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

201 That is, $\text{vec} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$, where G is defined as in the statement (c). Since
 202 $\mathcal{R}(G) = \mathcal{R}(GG^-) = \mathcal{N}(P_G)$ (see [3]), we get that $P_G \text{vec} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = O$. \square

203 We close this section with a result that provides an explicit solution X of
 204 the system (11).

Proposition 11. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a structured matrix as in (8) with $\text{rank}(M) = r > 0$. Consider the block decomposition (10) of a pair of matrices P and Q that satisfy (9). If the system (11) is consistent for some matrix Y , then a solution X is given by*

$$\text{vec}(X) = ((\tilde{Q}^T)^\dagger \otimes \tilde{P}^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \text{vec}(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

205 with $\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{Q} = (Q_{21} \quad Q_{22})$, and S being a suitable permutation
 206 matrix.

Proof. By applying vectorization to equations of the system (11), we obtain the system given by $(Q_{21}^T \otimes P_{12})\text{vec}(X) = O$, $(Q_{22}^T \otimes P_{12})\text{vec}(X) = O$, $(Q_{21}^T \otimes P_{22})\text{vec}(X) = O$, $(Q_{22}^T \otimes P_{22})\text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(Y)$. By using Lemma 9, we get

$$(\tilde{Q}^T \otimes \tilde{P}) \text{vec}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \text{vec}(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

with \tilde{P} and \tilde{Q} as in the statement, and $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n(n-r) \times n(n-r)}$ being a suitable permutation matrix. Since the matrix $R := \tilde{Q}^T \otimes \tilde{P}$ has full column rank and $R^\dagger = (\tilde{Q}^T)^\dagger \otimes \tilde{P}^\dagger$ (see [13]), a solution of the last system is given by

$$\text{vec}(X) = R^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \text{vec}(Y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

207

\square

Remark 2. Since the matrices $Q_{21}Q_{21}^T + Q_{22}Q_{22}^T$ and $P_{12}^T P_{12} + P_{22}^T P_{22}$ in

$$(\tilde{Q}^T)^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{21}^T \\ Q_{22}^T \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = (Q_{21}Q_{21}^T + Q_{22}Q_{22}^T)^{-1} (Q_{21} \quad Q_{22})$$

and

$$\tilde{P}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} \\ P_{22} \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = (P_{12}^T P_{12} + P_{22}^T P_{22})^{-1} (P_{12}^T \quad P_{22}^T)$$

208 are positive definite, their inverses are given by a simple numerical computation.

209 **4. Ordered compartmental systems**

210 Compartmental systems are frequently used in real process of areas such as
 211 biology, demography, engineering; see [12] and references therein. A compart-
 212 mental system consists of a finite number of connected subsystems and hence,
 213 the coefficient matrices have a specific block structure. They appear, for in-
 214 stance, when individuals of a specie are organized in classes depending on the
 215 stage of life [4]. In this case the state matrix shows a block triangular structure
 216 as in [1].

Consider a compartmental system (M, B) whose state coefficient matrix M has the structure given in (8). By applying a state-feedback $u(k) = Fx(k) + v(k)$, we obtain the closed-loop system

$$x(k+1) = (M + BF)x(k) + Bv(k).$$

We look for admissible feedbacks F that preserve the structure of the state matrix M and satisfy

$$BF = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & B_2 F_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F = (F_1 \quad F_2), \quad (14)$$

217 with $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times m}$, $B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times m}$, $F_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_1}$, and $F_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_2}$. Moreover, we
 218 are interested in obtaining successor closed-loop systems of the initial system
 219 under the minus partial order. In this way, we look for systems that preserve the
 220 structure of the state matrix M and that the rank of its state matrix satisfies
 221 $\text{rank}(M + BF) = \text{rank}(M) + \text{rank}(B_2 F_2)$. This problem is solved in the following
 222 result which follows from Theorem 10 and Proposition 11.

223 **Proposition 12.** *Let (M, B) be a compartmental system with M structured as*

224 *in (8) and $B = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\text{rank}(B_2) = m$. There exists a matrix $F_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2}$*

225 *such that $M + BF$ satisfies $M \bar{\leq} M + BF$ for $F = (O \quad F_2)$ if and only if*

226 *$P_G \text{vec} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = O$ with $G = Q_{22}^T P_{Q_{21}}^T \otimes \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} B_2 \\ P_{22} B_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some G^- and Q_{21}^- .*

Moreover,

$$\text{vec}(F_2) = ((\tilde{Q}^T)^\dagger \otimes \tilde{P}^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ \text{vec}(Y) \end{pmatrix},$$

227 *with $\tilde{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{12} B_2 \\ P_{22} B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{Q} = (Q_{21} \quad Q_{22})$, and S being a suitable permutation*

228 *matrix.*

Next, we consider a particular case in which the interconnections in the first compartment of (8) have associated a nonsingular matrix, that is $0 < \text{rank}(M_{11}) = n_1 < r$. Then, if $r_2 = \text{rank}(M_{22})$, we have that

$$r = \text{rank}(M) = \text{rank}(M_{11}) + \text{rank}(M_{22}) = n_1 + r_2. \quad (15)$$

Consider two nonsingular matrices P_2 and Q_2 that satisfy

$$P_2 M_{22} Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r_2} & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can check that

$$PMQ = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & O \\ O & P_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{-1} & -M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} Q_2 \\ O & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

229 Hence, we can establish the following result.

Proposition 13. *Let (M, B) be a compartmental system with M structured as in (8) satisfying the condition (15) and $B = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$ such that $\text{rank}(B_2) = m$. Then, the state-feedback $u(k) = (O \ F_2)x(k)$ with*

$$F_2 = (B_2^T B_2)^{-1} B_2^T P_2^{-1} \hat{Y} Q_2^{-1}, \quad (18)$$

230 and $\hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{r_2} & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}$ for some $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$, provides a block triangular

231 matrix $M + BF$ such that $(M, B) \bar{\leq} (M + BF, B)$.

Proof. In order to get a feedback such that $M + BF$ is a successor to matrix M , we impose that the equation given in (1) is verified, with the matrices P and Q given in (17). Taking into account the structure of the matrix $M + BF$, we get

$$P(M + BF)Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & O \\ O & P_2(M_{22} + B_2 F_2)Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix},$$

for some matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$. Then, we have

$$P_2 B_2 F_2 Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} O_{r_2} & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

232 Since P_2 and Q_2 are nonsingular matrices and B_2 has full column rank, its
 233 Moore-Penrose inverse is given by $B_2^\dagger = (B_2^T B_2)^{-1} B_2^T$, we obtain that the feed-
 234 back $u(k) = (O \ F_2)x(k)$ with F_2 as in (18), for some $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$, provides
 235 $(M, B) \bar{\leq} (M + BF, B)$. \square

236 Now, we consider the reachability property and the set of reachable states
 237 (reachability space), which is the space generated by the columns of the reach-
 238 ability matrix. Next proposition establishes that the set of reachable states
 239 remains invariant under this kind of feedback.

240 **Proposition 14.** *Consider the ordered systems (M, B) and $(M + BF, B)$ with*
 241 *M as in (8) satisfying the condition given in (15). Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} O \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, with*
 242 *$B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times m}$ being a full column rank matrix, and $F = (O \ F_2)$ given as in (18).*
 243 *Then, the reachability space of (M, B) is the same as the reachability space of*
 244 *$(M + BF, B)$.*

Proof. We analyze systems $(\bar{M}, Q^{-1}B)$ and $(\bar{M}_F, Q^{-1}B)$, introduced in Section 2, similar to ordered systems (M, B) and $(M + BF, B)$. From (17) we obtain that these similar systems are given by

$$\bar{M} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12}M_{22}Q_2 \\ O & Q_2^{-1}M_{22}Q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{M}_F = \bar{M} + \Delta = \bar{M} + \begin{pmatrix} O & M_{12}B_2F_2Q_2 \\ O & Q_2^{-1}B_2F_2Q_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$Q^{-1}B = \begin{pmatrix} M_{12}B_2 \\ Q_2^{-1}B_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, the reachability matrix of the system $(\bar{M}_F, Q^{-1}B)$ is

$$R(\bar{M}_F, Q^{-1}B) = (Q^{-1}B (\bar{M} + \Delta)Q^{-1}B \cdots (\bar{M} + \Delta)^{n-1}Q^{-1}B),$$

and we have to analyze matrix products of the kind $(\bar{M})^i \Delta^j Q^{-1}B$ and $(\bar{M})^i \Delta^j Q^{-1}B$. In this way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^i Q^{-1}B &= Q^{-1}B(F_2B_2)^i, \\ \Delta(\bar{M})^i Q^{-1}B &= Q^{-1}BF_2M_{22}^i B_2, \\ \Delta^i(\bar{M})^j Q^{-1}B &= Q^{-1}B(F_2B_2)^i F_2M_{22}^j B_2, \\ (\bar{M})^i \Delta^j Q^{-1}B &= (\bar{M})^i Q^{-1}B(F_2B_2)^j. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can assure that the blocks of the matrix $R(\bar{M}_F, Q^{-1}B)$ satisfy

$$(\bar{M}_F)^i Q^{-1}B = (\bar{M})^i Q^{-1}B + V,$$

with $V = (v_1 \cdots v_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ such that

$$v_i \in \text{span}(Q^{-1}B, \bar{M}Q^{-1}B, \dots, \bar{M}^{i-1}BQ^{-1}B), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\text{span}(X, Y, \dots)$ denotes the subspace of \mathbb{R}^n generated by the column vectors of matrices X, Y , and so on. Thus,

$$\text{span}(R(\bar{M}, Q^{-1}B)) = \text{span}(R(\bar{M}_F, Q^{-1}B)).$$

245

□

246 5. Conclusions

247 In this work, we have introduced the minus partial order relation for control
248 systems. This notion allows us to generalize in two senses the study done in
249 [11]: (a) from autonomous systems to control systems and (b) from the sharp
250 partial order (only defined for index-one matrices) to the minus partial order.
251 In general, minus partially ordered matrices are not related under similarities,
252 this fact allows us to do a more general study than that carried out in [11].
253 We have analyzed the reachability property for two ordered control systems
254 under the minus partial order. Depending on the control coefficient matrix,
255 this property is inherited by the successor of a system or we can get a reachable
256 successor system from a non-reachable one. Moreover, we have studied feedbacks
257 in compartmental systems to get related systems with the same structure and
258 ordered under the minus partial order.

259 Acknowledgements

260 We would like to thank the Referees for their valuable comments and sug-
261 gestions which helped us to improve the presentation of the paper.

262 This research was partially supported by Ministerio de Economía, Industria
263 y Competitividad of Spain (Red de Excelencia Grant MTM2017-90682-REDT).
264 The fourth author was partially supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires of
265 Argentina (Proyecto EXP-UBA: 13.019/2017, 20020170100350BA).

266 References

- 267 [1] A.S. Ackleh and P. Zhang. Competitive exclusion in a discrete stage-
268 structured two species model, *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena*
269 **4** (6) (2019), pp. 156–175.
- 270 [2] R.B. Bapat. Linear Algebra and Linear Models, Third Edition, Springer,
271 New York, 2012.
- 272 [3] A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville. Generalized Inverses: Theory and Ap-
273 plications, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 2003.
- 274 [4] B. Cantó, C. Coll, and E. Sánchez. Structured parametric epidemic models,
275 *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* **91** (2) (2013), pp. 188–197.
- 276 [5] M.V. Carriegos, H. Diez-Machío, and M.I. García-Planas. On higher order
277 linear systems: Reachability and feedback invariants, *Linear Algebra and its*
278 *Applications* **413** (2006), pp. 285–296.
- 279 [6] D. Cvetković-Ilić, D. Mosić, and Y. Wei. Partial orders on $B(H)$, *Linear*
280 *Algebra and its Applications* **481** (2015), pp. 115–130.
- 281 [7] G. Dahl, A. Guterman, and P. Shteyner. Majorization for matrix classes,
282 *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **555** (2018), pp. 201–221.

- 283 [8] M. Eagambaram, K. Manjunatha Prasad, and K.S. Mohana. Column space
284 decomposition and partial order on matrices, *Electronic Journal of Linear
285 Algebra* **26** (2013), pp. 795–815.
- 286 [9] A. Hernández, M. Lattanzi, N. Thome, and F. Urquiza. The star partial
287 order and the eigenprojection at 0 on *EP* matrices, *Applied Mathematics
288 and Computation* **218** (21) (2012), pp. 10669–10678.
- 289 [10] N. Hernández-Cerón, Z. Feng, and P. van den Driessche. Reproduction
290 numbers for discrete-time epidemic models with arbitrary stage distribu-
291 tions, *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* **19** (10) (2013), pp.
292 1671-1693.
- 293 [11] A. Herrero and N. Thome. Sharp partial order and linear autonomous sys-
294 tems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **366** (2020), pp. 1–11.
- 295 [12] J.A. Jacquez and C.P. Simon. Qualitative theory of Compartmental sys-
296 tems, *SIAM Review* **35** (1) (1993), pp. 43–79.
- 297 [13] P. Lancaster and M. Tismenetsky. *The Theory of Matrices*, Academic
298 Press, San Diego, 1985.
- 299 [14] S.K. Mitra, P. Bhimasankaram, and S.B. Malik. *Matrix Partial Orders,
300 Shorted Operators and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Company,
301 London, 2010.
- 302 [15] D. Mosić. Weighted binary relations for operators on Banach spaces, *Ae-
303 quationes Mathematicae* **90** (4) (2016), pp. 787–798.
- 304 [16] D. Mosić and D. Cvetković-Ilić. Some orders for operators on Hilbert space,
305 *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **275** (2016), pp. 229–237.
- 306 [17] J.L. Stuart. The partial order graph for a ZME-matrix, *Linear Algebra
307 and its Applications* **141** (1990), pp. 123–152.
- 308 [18] N. Thome. Inequalities and equalities for $l = 2$ (Sylvester), $l = 3$ (Froben-
309 ius), and $l > 3$ matrices, *Aequationes Mathematicae* **90** (2016), pp. 951–960.
- 310 [19] M. Zhou, J. Chen, P. Stanimirović, V. Katsikis, H. Ma. Complex varying-
311 parameter Zhang neural networks for computing core and core-EP inverse,
312 *Neural Processing Letters* Doi: 10.1007/s11063-019-10141-6 (2019).
- 313 [20] H. Zhu, P. Patrício. Several types of one-sided partial orders in rings,
314 *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Serie
315 A, Matemáticas* Doi 10.1007/s13398-019-00685-6 (2019).
- 316 [21] H. Zhu, J. Chen, P. Patrício. The Moore-Penrose inverse of differences
317 and products of projectors in a ring with involution, *Turkish Journal of
318 Mathematics* **40** (2016) pp. 1316–1324.