Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/161155

This paper must be cited as:

Bonet Solves, JA.; Ricker, W. (2020). Operators acting in the dual spaces of discrete Cesàro spaces. Monatshefte für Mathematik. 191(3):487-512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-020-01370-2



The final publication is available at

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-020-01370-2

Copyright Springer-Verlag

Additional Information

OPERATORS ACTING IN THE DUAL SPACES OF DISCRETE CESÀRO SPACES

JOSÉ BONET AND WERNER J. RICKER

ABSTRACT. The discrete Cesàro (Banach) sequence spaces ces(r), $1 < r < \infty$, have been thoroughly investigated for over 45 years. Not so for their dual spaces $d(s) \cong (ces(r))'$, with $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$, which are somewhat unwieldy. Our aim is to undertake a further study of the spaces d(s) and of various operators acting between these spaces. It is shown that $d(s) \subseteq d(t)$ whenever $s \le t$, with the inclusion being compact if s < t. The classical Cesàro operator C is continuous from d(s) into d(t) precisely when $s \le t$ and compact precisely when s < t. Moreover, C even maps the *larger* space ces(s) continuously into d(s). This is a consequence of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem and the remarkable property, for each $1 < s < \infty$, that $s \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $S(C(s)) \in d(s)$ if and only if $S(s) \in S(s)$. These results are used to analyze the spectrum and to determine the norm and the mean ergodicity of $S(s) \in S(s)$. Similar properties for multiplier operators are also treated.

1. Introduction

Given an element $x = (x_n)_n = (x_1, x_2, ...)$ of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ set $|x| := (|x_n|)_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and define $x \ge 0$ if |x| = x. The Cesàro operator $C : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is given by

$$C(x) := \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k\right)_n, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}};$$

it satisfies $|C(x)| \le C(|x|)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and is a vector space isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ onto itself. Define

(1.1)
$$\cos(p) := \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : ||x||_{\cos(p)} := ||C(|x|)||_p < \infty \}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

with $\|\cdot\|_p$ denoting the standard norm in ℓ_p . A detailed investigation of the Banach spaces $\cos(p)$, 1 , also called*discrete Cesàro spaces*, was undertaken in [6]; see also the references therein. They are reflexive,*p*-concave, complex Banach lattices for the coordinatewise order. In recent years there has been a keen interest in these spaces and in various linear operators acting in them (e.g., the Cesàro operator, multiplier operators, inclusion maps, convolution operators); see, for instance, [1], [3], [4], [6], [8], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [18], [21], [24], [27], [30], [32], [33] and the references therein.

The dual Banach spaces $(\cos(p))'$ of $\cos(p)$, 1 , are rather unwieldy. A description of these dual spaces was first presented in [21] as the solution to a problem posed by the Dutch Mathematical Society in 1968. An alternate and more tractable*isomorphic* $identification of <math>(\cos(p))'$ is presented in [6, Corollary 12.17]. To describe this identification consider

$$(1.2) d(s) := \left\{ x \in \ell_{\infty} : \hat{x} := \left(\sup_{k \ge n} |x_k| \right)_n \in \ell_s \right\}, \quad 1 < s < \infty,$$

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B45, 47B37; Secondary 47A10, 47A16, 47A35. *Key words and phrases*. Banach sequence space, Cesàro operator, regular operator, multiplier.

which is a Banach space for the norm $||x||_{d(s)} := ||\hat{x}||_s$. The sequence \hat{x} is called the *least decreasing majorant* of x. Then $(\cos(p))'$ is isomorphic to d(p'), where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, with the duality specified by

$$\langle u, x \rangle := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n x_n, \quad u \in \text{ces}(p), \quad x \in d(p');$$

see Section 2. The dual spaces $(ces(p))' \cong d(p')$ are less prominent than the discrete Cesàro spaces ces(p), although they have received some attention; see, for example, [6], [10], [11], [18], [21], [24], [30] and the references therein. The aim of this paper is to further study the spaces d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, in detail as well as the properties of certain linear operators acting in them. Let us be more precise.

In Section 2 the Banach spaces d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, are investigated; they are reflexive and the standard canonical vectors $e_n := (\delta_{kn})_k$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form an unconditional basis. It is shown that d(s) is lattice isomorphic to the dual Banach lattice $(\cos(s'))'$ of $\cos(s')$, $1 < s < \infty$, and hence, d(s) is s-convex. Whenever $1 < r \le s$ it turns out that $d(r) \nsubseteq d(s)$ (cf. Proposition 2.7(i)) with a compact inclusion map $d(r) \subseteq d(s)$ if r < s; see Remark 4.2. Whenever $r \ne s$, it is shown in Proposition 2.7(ii) that d(r) and d(s) are not isomorphic as Banach spaces. Although $d(s) \nsubseteq \ell_s$ is a proper containment for every $1 < s < \infty$, it is clear that every eventually decreasing, non-negative sequence $x \in \ell_s$ does lie in d(s) because x and \hat{x} coincide except for at most finitely many coordinates. The Banach spaces $\cos(p)$, $1 , have the following remarkable property. Let <math>1 and <math>x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then

(1.3)
$$C(|x|) \in ces(p)$$
 if and only if $x \in ces(p)$,

[6, Theorem 20.31]. In view of (1.1) this can be equivalently formulated as

(1.4)
$$CC(|x|) \in \ell_p$$
 if and only if $C(|x|) \in \ell_p$;

see Section 3. The relationship (1.4) between the spaces ℓ_p and the operator C acting in these spaces has the following analogue for d(s); see Proposition 3.7. Namely, for $1 < s < \infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ it turns out that

$$CC(|x|) \in d(s)$$
 if and only if $C(|x|) \in d(s)$.

A crucial property of d(s) is that it is *solid* in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, that is, if $x \in d(s)$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfy $|y| \le |x|$ then also $y \in d(s)$.

Since $d(s) \cong (\cos(s'))'$, it is clear from (1.1) that the Cesàro operator C is closely connected to the spaces d(s), $1 < s < \infty$; clarifying this connection is the purpose of Section 3. It turns out that $C: d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$, denoted by $C_{d(s)}$, is continuous with operator norm $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} = s'$ (cf. Proposition 3.2). Moreover, the spectrum of $C_{d(s)}$, denoted by $\sigma(C_{d(s)})$, is given by

(1.5)
$$\sigma(C_{d(s)}) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \lambda - \frac{s'}{2} \right| \le \frac{s'}{2} \};$$

see Corollary 3.5. Consequently, $C_{d(s)}$ fails to be mean ergodic. Moreover, the dual operator $C'_{d(s)}: (d(s))' \longrightarrow (d(s))'$ of $C_{d(s)}$ has a large point spectrum which implies that $C_{d(s)}$ cannot be supercyclic. Clearly $C_{d(s)}$ is a positive operator (i.e., $C_{d(s)}(x) \ge 0$ for every $x \in d(s)$ satisfying $x \ge 0$) and hence, it is also a *regular operator* in the complex Banach

lattice d(s). It is shown that the *order spectrum* of $C_{d(s)}$, that is, its spectrum relative to the Banach algebra of all regular operators in d(s), coincides with its spectrum $\sigma(C_{d(s)})$ as given in (1.5); see Proposition 3.6. An interesting and important fact (cf. Proposition 3.4) which will often be used is that C maps ℓ_s into the smaller space d(s) for every $1 < s < \infty$. Perhaps more surprising is that C even maps ces(s), which is genuinely larger that ℓ_s , into d(s); see Corollary 3.8.

Given a pair $1 < s, t < \infty$, an element $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a (d(s), d(t))-multiplier if it multiplies d(s) into d(t), that is, if $ax \in d(t)$ for every $x \in d(s)$, where $ax := (a_n x_n)_n$ is the coordinatewise product. The closed graph theorem implies that the associated linear (d(s), d(t))-multiplier operator $M^a_{d(s), d(t)} : x \longmapsto ax$ is then continuous from d(s) into d(t). We denote $M^a_{d(s), d(s)}$ simply by $M^a_{d(s)}$; it is the operator acting in d(s) via the diagonal matrix having the scalars $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in its diagonal. The vector space $\mathcal{M}_{d(s), d(t)}$ of all (d(s), d(t)-multipliers (briefly $\mathcal{M}_{d(s)}$ if s = t) has been identified by G. Bennett, [6, pp.69-70].

In Section 4 we investigate certain features of the operators $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ and all pairs $1 < s, t < \infty$. For example, those multipliers $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ for which $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a : d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ is a *compact operator* are characterized in Propositions 4.1 and 4.4. Moreover, if $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$, then it is shown that the spectrum of $M_{d(s)}^a : d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is given by

$$\sigma(M_{d(s)}^a) = \overline{a(\mathbb{N})}, \quad 1 < s < \infty,$$

where $a(\mathbb{N}) := \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and that $||M^a_{d(s)}||_{op} = ||a||_{\infty}$; see Proposition 4.5. In addition, those $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)}$ for which the operator $M^a_{d(s)}$ is *mean ergodic* are identified, as well as those for which $M^a_{d(s)}$ is *uniformly mean ergodic*; see Propositions 4.7 and 4.9, respectively.

In the final Section 5 it is shown that C maps d(s) into d(t), necessarily continuously, precisely when $1 < s \le t < \infty$. Moreover, all pairs $1 < s, t < \infty$ are identified for which C maps d(s) into ℓ_t and for which C maps ℓ_s into d(t) (cf. Proposition 5.3), as well as the subclasses of these continuous operators which are actually *compact*; see Proposition 5.4. This will require a knowledge of the continuity and compactness properties of various *inclusion maps* between pairs of spaces coming from the class $\{d(s), \ell_q, \cos(p) : 1 < p, q, s < \infty\}$; see Propositions 5.1 and 5.2.

2. The spaces
$$d(s)$$
 for $1 < s < \infty$

That the canonical vectors $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, with $e_n := (\delta_{nk})_k$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a Schauder basis in d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, is known, [1, Lemma 2.3]. The following result is a strengthening of this fact.

Proposition 2.1. For each $1 < s < \infty$ the vectors $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ form an unconditional Schauder basis for the Banach space d(s). Moreover, $||e_n||_{d(s)} = n^{1/s}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We need to verify the following criterion: Given $x \in d(s)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $M_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all finite sets $\sigma = \{\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots \sigma_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_1 > M_{\epsilon}$ we have $\|\Sigma_{n \in \sigma} x_n e_n\|_{d(s)} < \epsilon$; see [26, Vol. I, Proposition 1.c.1]. To verify this condition it suffices to show (as $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Schauder basis for d(s)), that for every σ as stated, we have

where $x^{(N)} := \sum_{k>N} x_k e_k$. From the definition of \hat{u} for $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ it is routine to verify that

$$(\sum_{n\in\sigma} x_n e_n)^{\hat{}} \le (x-x^{(N)})^{\hat{}}, \quad \forall N>M_{\epsilon}.$$

This inequality and (1.2) clearly imply (2.1).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\hat{e}_n = (1, ..., 1, 0, 0, ...)$ with 1 occurring n times. It is then immediate that $||e_n||_{d(s)} = n^{1/s}$.

It follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 that $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n$ for each $x \in d(s)$ and hence, that $0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n e_n||_{d(s)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} |x_n| n^{1/s}$. This is Proposition 1 of [30]. It was already stated that d(s) is *solid* in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$; this is immediate from (1.2) and the fact

It was already stated that d(s) is *solid* in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$; this is immediate from (1.2) and the fact that if $x \in d(s)$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfy $|y| \le |x|$, then $\hat{y} \le \hat{x}$ and so $||\hat{y}||_s \le ||\hat{x}||_s < \infty$. The Köthe dual of a solid Banach sequence space $(X, ||\cdot||_X)$ with $c_{00} \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, is the Banach space

$$X^{\times} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n| < \infty, \quad \forall y \in X \right\}$$

when equipped with the norm

$$||x||_{X^{\times}} := \sup \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n| : ||y||_X \le 1 \}, \quad x \in X^{\times}$$

[22, Section 30]. Here $c_{00} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the linear space of all vectors having only finitely many non-zero coordinates. The duality for the pair of Banach spaces X and X^{\times} is given by

$$[u, x] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n x_n, \quad x = (x_n)_n \in X^{\times}, \quad u = (u_n)_n \in X.$$

Then X^{\times} is a closed subspace of the dual Banach space X' of X and $||v||_{X^{\times}} = ||v||_{X'}$ for all $v \in X^{\times}$. For the following result we refer to [6, p.61 & Corollary 12.17], where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality between ces(p) and its dual Banach space (ces(p))'.

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then $(\cos(p))^{\times} = d(p')$ and $(d(p'))^{\times} = \cos(p)$ with equivalent norms. Moreover, the map $\Phi_p : (\cos(p))' \longrightarrow d(p')$ defined by

(2.2)
$$\Phi_p(f) := (\langle e_n, f \rangle)_n, \quad f \in (\operatorname{ces}(p))'$$

is a linear isomorphism of the dual Banach space (ces(p))' onto the Banach space d(p') and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p'}\|\Phi_p(f)\|_{d(p')} \le \|f\|_{(\cos(p))'} \le (p-1)^{1/p}\|\Phi_p(f)\|_{d(p')}, \quad f \in (\cos(p))',$$

and

$$\langle x, f \rangle = \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n, f \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \langle e_n, f \rangle = [x, \Phi_p(f)], \quad x \in \text{ces}(p), \ f \in (\text{ces}(p))'.$$

Remark 2.3. Since the Banach spaces ces(p), 1 , are known to be reflexive, [6, p.61], the dual Banach spaces <math>(ces(p))', 1 , are also reflexive. In view of Lemma 2.2, the Banach spaces <math>d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, are necessarily reflexive.

Let $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a real Banach lattice and $E = E_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus iE_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the complex Banach lattice that it generates. The modulus |z| of an element z = x + iy in E is given by

$$|z| := \sup_{0 \le \theta < 2\pi} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}z) = \sup_{0 \le \theta < 2\pi} (\cos(\theta)x + \sin(\theta)y)$$

and satisfies $|z| \in E^+$ (defined to be the positive cone $E_{\mathbb{R}}^+ := \{x \in E_{\mathbb{R}} : x \geq 0\}$ of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$). The norm in E is defined by $||z|| := |||z|||_{E_{\mathbb{R}}}$, where $||\cdot||_{E_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is the norm in E. The positive cone of the real dual Banach space $(E_{\mathbb{R}})'$ is specified by

$$x' \le y'$$
 if and only if $\langle x, x' \rangle \le \langle x, y' \rangle$, $\forall x \in E_{\mathbb{R}}^+$.

Then $(E_{\mathbb{R}})'$ is also a real Banach lattice, called the *dual lattice* of $E_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, the dual Banach space E' is then the complexification $(E_{\mathbb{R}})' \oplus i(E_{\mathbb{R}})'$ of $(E_{\mathbb{R}})'$; [28, p.71] and [34, p.235, Corollary 3]. Standard references for complex Banach lattices are [28], [34]. The relevant spaces in this paper are the real Banach lattices $E_{\mathbb{R}} = d_{\mathbb{R}}(s) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|\hat{x}\|_{s} < \infty\}$ for $1 < s < \infty$. The norm in $d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$ is again given by (1.2) and its lattice order is defined to be the coordinatewise one, that is, $x \le y$ if and only if $x_n \le y_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is precisely the lattice ordering induced in $d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$ via the unconditional Schauder basis $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ when it is normalized; see [26, Vol.II, p.2]. Since $\|\cdot\|_s$ is a lattice norm in ℓ_s , it follows from (2.4) below that $\|\cdot\|_{d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)}$ is a *lattice norm* in $d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$, that is, if $x, y \in d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$ satisfy $|x| \le |y|$, then $|x||_{d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)} \le |y||_{d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)}$. The complex Banach lattice $d(s) = d_{\mathbb{R}}(s) \oplus id_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$ is then the complexification of $d_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$. Moreover, $(\cos(p))' = (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))' \oplus i(\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$, for $1 , is the dual Banach lattice of <math>\cos(p) = \cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p) \oplus i \cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p)$, where $\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|C(|x|)\|_p < \infty\}$.

Let $E = E_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus iE_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $F = F_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus iF_{\mathbb{R}}$ be complex Banach lattices. For any continuous linear operator $T: E \longrightarrow F$ there exist canonical continuous, \mathbb{R} -linear operators $\operatorname{Re} T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ from $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ into $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $T(x) = (\operatorname{Re} T)(x) + i(\operatorname{Im} T)(x)$ for all $x \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$. A continuous \mathbb{R} -linear operator $S: E_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow F_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called a (Banach) lattice homomorphism if $S(x \wedge y) = S(x) \wedge S(y)$ and $S(x \vee y) = S(x) \vee S(y)$ for all $x, y \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$. This is equivalent to the condition that |S(x)| = S(|x|) for all $x \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, [28, Proposition 1.3.11]. In particular, every lattice homomorphism S is necessarily a positive operator (denoted by $S \geq 0$), i.e., $S(E_{\mathbb{R}}^+) \subseteq F_{\mathbb{R}}^+$. Generally, whenever $S \geq 0$ we have $|S(x)| \leq S(|x|)$ for all $x \in E_{\mathbb{R}}$, [34, p.58]. For complex Banach lattices E, F a continuous linear operator $T: E \longrightarrow F$ is called a lattice homomorphism if |T(z)| = T(|z|) for $z \in E$, [34, p.136], i.e., if both $\operatorname{Re} T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ are lattice homomorphisms. If, in addition, T is a bijection, then it is called a lattice isomorphism.

The following result is a strengthening of Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 2.4. Let $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then the linear Banach space isomorphism $\Phi_p: (\cos(p))' \longrightarrow d(p')$ as given by (2.2) is actually a Banach lattice isomorphism.

Proof. In view of the above discussion and the fact that (ces(p))', resp. d(p'), is the complexification of $(ces_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$, resp. of $d_{\mathbb{R}}(p')$, it suffices to show that the restriction $\Phi_p: (ces_{\mathbb{R}}(p))' \longrightarrow d_{\mathbb{R}}(p')$ of (2.2) is a Banach lattice isomorphism.

Since $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))^+$, it is clear from (2.2) and the definition of the order in d(p') that $\Phi_p \ge 0$. Accordingly,

$$(2.3) |\Phi_p(f)| \le \Phi_p(|f|), f \in (\operatorname{ces}_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'.$$

By Lemma 2.2 the linear operator Φ_p is a Banach space isomorphism and so, in particular, the inverse operator $\Phi_p^{-1}: d_{\mathbb{R}}(p') \longrightarrow (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$ exists and is linear and continuous. If $y = (y_n)_n \in d_{\mathbb{R}}(p')$, then $\Phi_p^{-1}(y) \in (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$ is given by

$$\langle x, \Phi_p^{-1}(y) \rangle := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n, \quad x = (x_n)_n \in \text{ces}_{\mathbb{R}}(p),$$

from which it is clear that $\Phi_p^{-1} \ge 0$. Accordingly,

$$|\Phi_p^{-1}(y)| \leq \Phi_p^{-1}(|y|), \quad y \in d_{\mathbb{R}}(p').$$

Fix $f \in (\operatorname{ces}_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$ and set $y := \Phi_p(f)$. By the previous inequality

$$|\Phi_p^{-1}(\Phi_p(f))| \leq \Phi_p^{-1}(|\Phi_p(f)|)$$

and so

$$0 \leq |f| = |\Phi_p^{-1}(\Phi_p(f))| \leq \Phi_p^{-1}(|\Phi_p(f)|), \quad f \in (\text{ces}_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'.$$

Apply $\Phi_n \ge 0$ to this inequality yields

$$\Phi_n(|f|) \le |\Phi_n(f)|, \quad f \in (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'.$$

Combining this inequality with (2.3) it follows that $\Phi_p(|f|) = \Phi_p(f)|$ for all $f \in (\cos_{\mathbb{R}}(p))'$. Hence, Φ_p is a Banach lattice homomorphism and, being a bijection, it is a Banach lattice isomorphism.

Corollary 2.5. For each $1 < s < \infty$, the Banach lattice d(s) is s-convex.

Proof. Set p := s'. According to Proposition 2.1(iii) of [14] the Banach lattice ces(p) is p-concave. Hence, its dual Banach lattice (ces(p))' is s = p' convex; combine Proposition 1.d.4 of [26, Vol.II], which is formulated for real Banach lattices, with [29, Lemma 2.49]. Since (ces(p))' is Banach lattice isomorphic to d(p') = d(s) by Proposition 2.4, it follows that d(s) is s-convex.

Remark 2.6. Since the Banach lattice d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, is reflexive (cf. Remark 2.3), it is known that d(s) has order continuous norm (i.e., if $0 \le x^{(N)} \in d(s)$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, is a decreasing sequence with $x^{(N)} \downarrow 0$ in the order of d(s), then $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|x^{(N)}\|_{d(s)} = 0$). Moreover, d(s) has the property that whenever a sequence $\{u^{(N)}\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subseteq (d(s))^+$ is increasing and satisfies $\sup_N \|u^{(N)}\|_{d(s)} < \infty$, then there exists $u \in (d(s))^+$ such that $u^{(N)} \uparrow u$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty} u^{(N)} = u$ in d(s), [36, Theorem 114.8]. The Banach lattice d(s) is also Dedekind complete, [36, Theorem 113.4].

We now exhibit some further properties of the class of Banach spaces d(s), $1 < s < \infty$.

Proposition 2.7. (i) For each pair
$$1 < s \le t < \infty$$
, we have $d(s) \subseteq d(t)$ with $||x||_{d(t)} \le ||x||_{d(s)}$, $x \in d(s)$.

(ii) For each pair $1 < s, t < \infty$ with $s \ne t$, the Banach spaces d(s) and d(t) are not isomorphic. In particular, for s < t the containment in part (i) is proper.

(iii) For each $1 < s < \infty$ we have $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$, with a proper containment, and

$$||x||_s \le ||x||_{d(s)}, \quad x \in d(s).$$

In particular, the natural inclusion map $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$ *is continuous.*

- (iv) For each pair $1 < s, t < \infty$, the Banach spaces ℓ_s and d(t) are not isomorphic.
- (v) For each pair $1 < s \le t < \infty$ we have $d(s) \subseteq \ell_t$ with the continuous inclusion map satisfying

$$||x||_t \le ||x||_{d(s)}, \quad x \in d(s).$$

Moreover, the containment $d(s) \subseteq \ell_t$ *is then proper.*

(vi) Let $1 < s < \infty$. For each $x = (x_n)_n \in d(s)$ we have

$$|x_n| \leq ||x||_{d(s)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, convergence of a sequence in d(s) implies its coordinatewise convergence.

- *Proof.* (i) Let $x \in d(s)$. Then $\hat{x} \in \ell_s \subseteq \ell_t$ and $||\hat{x}||_t \le ||\hat{x}||_s$. It follows from (1.2) that $||x||_{d(t)} \le ||x||_{d(s)}$.
- (ii) If d(s) and d(t) are isomorphic as Banach spaces, then also their dual spaces (d(s))' and (d(t))' are isomorphic, that is, ces(s') and ces(t') are isomorphic (cf. Lemma 2.2). Since $s' \neq t'$, this is *not* the case, [1, Proposition 3.3].

Suppose that s < t and d(s) = d(t). By part (i) and the open mapping theorem the identity map from d(s) into d(t) would be an isomorphism, which is a contradiction.

(iii) It is clear from the definition of \hat{x} that

$$(2.4) 0 \le |x| \le \hat{x}, \quad \forall \ x \in \ell_{\infty}.$$

Fix $x \in d(s)$. By definition $\hat{x} \in \ell_s$ and so (2.4) yields that also $x \in \ell_s$. Hence, $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$. Since $\|\cdot\|_s$ is a lattice norm it follows from (2.4) that

$$||x||_s = |||x|||_s \le ||\hat{x}||_s = ||x||_{d(s)}.$$

Set $m_k = [k^s]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes integer part, and define

$$x = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0 \dots)$$

with 0 occurring m_k times between $\frac{1}{k-1}$ and $\frac{1}{k}$, for $k \ge 2$. Clearly $x \in \ell_s$ as $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^s} < \infty$. On the other hand

$$\hat{x} = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{4}, \dots),$$

where $\frac{1}{k}$ occurs $1 + m_k$ times, for each $k \ge 2$. Since $\frac{1+m_k}{k^s} \ge 1$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\hat{x} \notin \ell_s$ as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\hat{x})_k^s = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+m_k}{k^s} = \infty$. Hence, $x \notin d(s)$. (iv) Assume that ℓ_s and d(t) are isomorphic. Then their dual Banach spaces $(\ell_s)'$

- (iv) Assume that ℓ_s and d(t) are isomorphic. Then their dual Banach spaces $(\ell_s)'$ and (d(t))') are also isomorphic, that is, $\ell_{s'}$ and $\cos(t')$ are isomorphic. This contradicts [6, Proposition 15.13].
- (v) Observe that $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$ (by part (iii)) and so $d(s) \subseteq \ell_t$. Fix $x \in d(s)$. Then $x \in \ell_t$ and so $||x||_t \le ||x||_s$. Part (iii) implies that $||x||_t \le ||x||_{d(s)}$.

Suppose that $d(s) = \ell_t$. Then the identity map from d(s) into ℓ_t is surjective and hence, is an isomorphism. This contradicts part (iv).

(vi) Let $x = (x_n)_n \in d(s)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (2.4) implies that $|x_n e_n| \le |x| \le \hat{x}$. Since $\|\cdot\|_s$ is a lattice norm, it follows that

$$|x_n| = ||x_n e_n||_s \le ||\hat{x}||_s = ||x||_{d(s)}.$$

Remark 2.8. (i) The example given in the proof of Proposition 2.7(iii) can be modified to show, for all choices of $1 < s, t < \infty$, that $\ell_s \nsubseteq d(t)$. To see this fix $1 < t < \infty$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m > t and define

$$x = (1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{2^2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{2^3}, 0, \dots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{2^n}, 0, \dots),$$

where 0 occurs 2^{mn} times between $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ and $\frac{1}{2^n}$ for each $n \ge 2$. Clearly $x \in \ell_1$ with $||x||_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = 2$. Observe that

$$\hat{x} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^3}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{1}{2^n}, \dots\right),\,$$

where $\frac{1}{2^n}$ occurs $2^{nm} + 1$ times for each $n \ge 2$. Accordingly,

$$\|\hat{x}\|_t^t = 1 + 2\frac{1}{2^t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + 2^{nm}) \frac{1}{2^{nt}} > 1 + 2\frac{1}{2^t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^{nm}}{2^{nt}} = \infty$$

because $2^{n(m-t)} > 1$ for all $n \ge 2$. So, $\hat{x} \notin \ell_t$. Hence, $x \in \ell_1 \subseteq \ell_s$ for all $1 < s < \infty$ but, $x \notin d(t)$.

- (ii) Since $\ell_s \subseteq \operatorname{ces}(s)$ for $1 < s < \infty$, the same x from part (i) shows that $\operatorname{ces}(s) \nsubseteq d(t)$ for all choices of $1 < s, t < \infty$.
- (iii) The proof of Proposition 2.7(iv) uses Proposition 15.13 of [6], in whose proof the following property of the spaces d(s) is established.
- (2.5) Let $1 < s < \infty$. Then there exists an unconditionally convergent series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{(k)}$ in d(s) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||x^{(k)}||_{d(s)}^r = \infty$ for every $1 \le r < \infty$.

By the classical Orlicz theorem, [16, Ch.IV, §1,(5)a], such a sequence cannot exist in any space ℓ_s , for $1 < s < \infty$.

For our next result we will require an equivalent norm for the Cesàro spaces ces(p), for $1 , [18, Theorem 4.1]. Namely, <math>x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ belongs to ces(p) if and only if

$$(2.6) ||x||_{[p]} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(1-p)} \left(\sum_{k=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} |x_k|\right)^p\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

- **Proposition 2.9.** (i) Let $1 < s < \infty$. Then d(s) contains a complemented subspace which is isomorphic to ℓ_s .
 - (ii) For any pair $1 < s, t < \infty$ with $s \ne t$, the Banach spaces d(s) and ces(t) are not isomorphic.

Proof. (i) Set p := s'. According to (2.6) the sectional (hence, complemented) subspace

$$Y := \{x \in ces(p) : x_k = 0 \text{ unless } k = 2^j \text{ for some } j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$$

is isomorphic to a weighted ℓ_p -space (as $||x||_{[p]} = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(1-p)} |x_{2^j}|^p)^{1/p}$ for $x \in Y$) and hence, Y is also isomorphic to ℓ_p . That is, $\cos(p) = Y \oplus Z$ with Y isomorphic to ℓ_p . Accordingly, $(\cos(p))' \cong Y' \oplus Z'$, that is, $d(s) \simeq \ell_s \oplus Z'$.

(ii) Assume that d(s) and ces(t) are isomorphic. By part (i) it follows that ℓ_s is isomorphic to a closed subspace of ces(t). The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [1], replacing there p with s and q with t, shows that this is impossible.

It would be interesting to know whether or not d(s) isomorphic to ces(s).

3. The Cesàro operator acting in d(s)

The aim of this section is to make a detailed analysis of the Cesàro operator when it acts in the spaces d(s), $1 < s < \infty$. We begin by collecting some useful elementary inequalities. Recall that $C : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a positive operator.

Lemma 3.1. (i) Let $x \in (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})^+$ be a decreasing sequence (i.e. $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then also C(x) is a decreasing sequence.

- (ii) $0 \le |C(x)| \le C(|x|) \le C(\hat{x}), \quad \forall x \in \ell_{\infty}.$
- (iii) $0 \le (C(x))^{\hat{}} \le C(\hat{x}), \quad x \in \ell_{\infty}.$

Proof. (i) Direct calculation yields

$$(C(x))_n - (C(x))_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j - \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j = \frac{(x_1 + \dots + x_n) - nx_{n+1}}{n(n+1)},$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x \ge 0$ is decreasing, it is clear that $(C(x))_n - (C(x))_{n+1} \ge 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence, C(x) is a decreasing sequence.

(ii) Fix $x \in \ell_{\infty}$. Then

(3.1)
$$|C(x)| = \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \right| \right) \le \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| \right)_n = C(|x|).$$

Since $0 \le |x| \le \hat{x}$ (see (2.4)) and C is a positive operator we have $C(|x|) \le C(\hat{x})$. Combining this with (3.1) yields the desired inequalities.

(iii) It follows from part (ii) and the definition of \hat{u} for $u \in \ell_{\infty}$ that

(3.2)
$$(C(x))^{\hat{}} = (|C(x)|)^{\hat{}} \le (C(\hat{x}))^{\hat{}}, \quad x \in \ell_{\infty}.$$

Since $\hat{x} \in \ell_{\infty}^+$ is decreasing, it follows from part (i) that $C(\hat{x}) \ge 0$ is also decreasing. Hence, $(C(\hat{x}))^* = C(\hat{x})$ and the required inequality follows from (3.2).

We require some notation. Consider a pair $1 < s, t < \infty$. Denote by $C_{d(s),d(t)}$ (resp. $C_{d(s),t}; C_{s,d(t)}; C_{s,t}$) the Cesàro operator C when it acts from d(s) into d(t) (resp. from d(s) into ℓ_t ; from ℓ_s into d(t); from ℓ_s into ℓ_t), whenever this operator exists. The closed graph theorem then ensures that this operator is continuous. With c(p) as an abbreviation for the space ces(p), $1 , it is clear which Cesàro operator is meant by the notation <math>C_{d(s),c(p)}; C_{c(p),d(s)}; C_{c(p),c(q)}; C_{s,c(p)}; C_{c(p),s}$ for $1 < s, q < \infty$. For $w \in \{d(s),c(p),t\}$ the operator $C_{w,w}$ is denoted simply by C_w . It is known that $\|C_s\|_{op} = s'$ and $\|C_{c(p)}\|_{op} = p'$ for all $1 < p, s < \infty$; see [20, Theorem 326] and [14, Theorem 5.1], respectively.

Given Banach spaces X, Y we denote the space of all continuous linear operators from X into Y by L(X, Y). If X = Y, we simply write L(X) for L(X, X). Let $T \in L(X)$. Then

$$\rho(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \text{ exists in } L(X) \}$$

is called the *resolvent set* of T; its complement $\sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$ is the *spectrum* of T. The quantity $r(T) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ is the *spectral radius* of T and satisfies

(3.3)
$$r(T) \le ||T||_{\text{op}},$$

[17, Ch.VII, Lemma 3.4]. A complex number λ for which there exists $x \in X\setminus\{0\}$ such that $T(x) = \lambda x$ is called an *eigenvalue* of T and x is called an *eigenvector* of T corresponding to λ . The set of all eigenvalues of T is denoted by $\sigma_{pt}(T)$ and satisfies $\sigma_{pt}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $1 < s < \infty$.

- (i) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous.
- (ii) The point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(C_{d(s)}) = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda \frac{s'}{2}| \leq \frac{s'}{2}\} \subseteq \sigma(C_{d(s)}).$
- (iv) $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} = s'$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in d(s) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$. By Lemma 3.1(iii) we have $0 \le (C(x))^{\hat{}} \le C(\hat{x})$. Since $\hat{x} \in \ell_s$ and $C_s : \ell_s \longrightarrow \ell_s$ is continuous, we can conclude that $C(\hat{x}) = C_s(\hat{x}) \in \ell_s$ and hence, by the previous inequality, that $(C(x))^{\hat{}} \in \ell_s$ with $\|(C(x))^{\hat{}}\|_s \le \|C(\hat{x})\|_s$. Accordingly, $C(x) \in d(s)$ and

$$||C(s)||_{d(s)} = ||(C(x))^{\hat{}}||_{s} \le ||C(\hat{x})||_{s} = ||C_{s}(\hat{x})||_{s}.$$

Moreover,

$$||C_s(\hat{x})||_s \le ||C_s||_{\text{op}} ||\hat{x}||_s = s'||x||_{d(s)}.$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that $C_{d(s)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous with $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} \le s'$.

- (ii) Suppose that there exists a point $\lambda \in \sigma_{pt}(C_{d(s)})$. Choose $x \in d(s) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $C(x) = \lambda x$. Since $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$ (cf. Proposition 2.7(iii)) we can conclude that $\lambda \in \sigma_{pt}(C_s)$, that is, $\sigma_{pt}(C_s) \neq \emptyset$. This is known *not* to be the case, [23, Theorem 1(a)].
 - (iii) It is known for the dual operator $C'_s: (\ell_s)' \longrightarrow (\ell_s)'$ of C_s that

$$(3.6) {\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| < \frac{s'}{2}} \subseteq \sigma_{pt}(C'_s),$$

[23, Theorem 1(b)]. Let λ satisfy $|\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| < \frac{s'}{2}$ and choose $u \in (\ell_s)' \setminus \{0\}$ such that $C'_s(u) = \lambda u$. Proposition 2.7(iii) implies that $(\ell_s)' \subseteq (d(s))'$ and so $u \in (d(s))' \setminus \{0\}$, that is, $\lambda \in \sigma_{pt}(C'_{d(s)})$. This shows that

$$(3.7) {\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| < \frac{s'}{2}} \subseteq \sigma_{pt}(C'_{d(s)}) \subseteq \sigma(C'_{d(s)}) = \sigma(C_{d(s)}),$$

- [17, Ch.VII, Lemma 3.7] Since $\sigma(C_{d(s)})$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{C} , it follows that the closure of the set in the left-side of (3.7) is also contained in $\sigma(C_{d(s)})$.
- (iv) It follows from part (iii) that the spectral radius $r(C_{d(s)}) \ge s'$ and hence, via (3.3), that $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} \ge s'$. In the proof of part (i) the reverse inequality $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} \le s'$ was established. Hence, $||C_{d(s)}||_{op} = s'$.

Remark 3.3. Let $1 < s < \infty$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| < \frac{s'}{2}$ it follows from (3.6) that the range of the operator $(C_s - \lambda I)$ is *not dense* in d(s).

In order to show that equality holds in Proposition 3.2(iii) we require the following result concerning the Cesàro operator $C_{s,d(s)}$ which was stated in [6, p.3] without a proof.

Given $x \in c_0$ the Hardy-Littlewood maximal sequence $M(x) = ((M(x))_k)_k$ of x is defined by

(3.8)
$$(M(x))_k := \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n-m+1} \sum_{j=m}^n |x_j| : 1 \le m \le k, \quad n \ge k \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The operator $M: x \mapsto M(x)$ is called the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator*.

Proposition 3.4. For each $1 < s < \infty$, the Cesàro operator C maps ℓ_s into d(s), that is, $C_{s,d(s)}$ exists and is continuous.

Proof. Fix $x \in c_0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows from (3.8), for the choice m = 1, that

$$(M(x))_k \ge \sup_{n \ge k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = \sup_{n \ge k} (C(|x|))_n = ((C(|x|))^{\hat{}})_k,$$

that is,

$$(3.9) (C(|x|))^{\hat{}} \le M(x), \quad x \in c_0.$$

By the Corollary on p.527 of [7] and the comment following it, we have the well known fact that $M(\ell_s) \subseteq \ell_s$. It follows from (3.9) that $(C(|x|))^* \in \ell_s$ whenever $x \in \ell_s \subseteq c_0$, that is, $C(|x|) \in d(s)$ for every $x \in \ell_s$. Accordingly, C maps ℓ_s into d(s). As noted earlier, the continuity of $C_{s,d(s)}$ is then a consequence of the closed graph theorem.

Corollary 3.5. For each $1 < s < \infty$, we have

(3.10)
$$\sigma(C_{d(s)}) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| \le \frac{s'}{2} \}.$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| > \frac{s'}{2}$. Since $\sigma(C_s)$ equals the right-side of (3.10), [23, Theorem 2], the operator $T := (C_s - \lambda I)^{-1} \in L(\ell_s)$ exists. Set $S := (C_s - \lambda I) \in L(\ell_s)$ so that TS = I = ST in $L(\ell_s)$.

Step 1. T maps d(s) into d(s).

Fix $x \in \ell_s$. Then $T(x) \in \ell_s$ and so $CT(x) \in d(s)$; see Proposition 3.4. This shows that CT maps ℓ_s into d(s). It is routine to verify that CT = TC in $L(\ell_s)$ and so also TC maps ℓ_s into d(s). In particular, TC maps d(s) into d(s). Note that $\lambda \neq 0$ and that $\lambda T = I + TC$ as an identity in $L(\ell_s)$. This identity implies that λT maps d(s) into d(s) and hence, so does T.

Step 2. The restriction $\tilde{T} := T|_{d(s)}$ belongs to L(d(s)).

Suppose that the sequence $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq d(s)$ satisfies $x^{(n)} \longrightarrow 0$ in d(s) and that $\tilde{T}(x^{(n)}) \longrightarrow y$ in d(s) for $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Since the inclusion $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$ is continuous (cf. Proposition 2.7(iii)), we have that $x^{(n)} \longrightarrow 0$ in ℓ_s and $T(x^{(n)}) = \tilde{T}(x^{(n)}) \longrightarrow y$ in ℓ_s for $n \longrightarrow \infty$. But, $T \in L(\ell_s)$ and so y = 0. Accordingly, \tilde{T} is a closed operator in d(s) and hence, is continuous.

Step 3. The restriction $\tilde{S} := S|_{d(s)} = (C_s - \lambda I)|_{d(s)}$ belongs to L(d(s)).

The continuity of \tilde{S} in d(s) follows from Proposition 3.2(i) and the continuous inclusion $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$.

To complete the proof of the Corollary let $x \in d(s)$. Then $d(s) \subseteq \ell_s$ implies that

$$\tilde{T}\tilde{S}(x) = \tilde{T}(S(x)) = T(S(x)) = x.$$

Similarly, $\tilde{S}\tilde{T}(x) = x$. Hence, $\tilde{S}\tilde{T} = I = \tilde{T}\tilde{S}$ as an identity in L(d(s)). That is, the operator $\tilde{S} = (C - \lambda I)|_{d(s)}$ is invertible in L(d(s)) with inverse $\tilde{T} = (C_s - \lambda I)^{-1}|_{d(s)}$. Accordingly, $\lambda \in \rho(C_{d(s)})$. Hence, we have shown that $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| > \frac{s'}{2}\} \subseteq \rho(C_{d(s)})$, that is, $\sigma(C_{d(s)}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| \le \frac{s'}{2}\}$. The reverse containment is Proposition 3.2(iii) and so the equality (3.10) is established.

Let E be a complex Banach lattice. An operator $T \in L(E)$ is called *regular* if it is a finite linear combination of positive operators. The complex vector space of all regular operators is denoted by $L^r(E)$; it is a unital Banach algebra for the norm

$$||T||_r := \inf\{S||_{\text{op}} : 0 \le S \in L(E), |T(z)| \le S(|z|) \ \forall z \in E\}, \quad T \in L^r(E).$$

Then $||T||_{op} \le ||T||_r$ for $T \in L^r(E)$ with equality whenever $T \ge 0$. The spectrum of $T \in L^r(E)$, considered as an element of the Banach algebra $L^r(E)$, is denoted by $\sigma_o(T)$

and is called its *order spectrum*. Then $\rho_0(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_0(T)$ is the order resolvent set of T. Clearly

(3.11)
$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_{o}(T), \quad T \in L^{r}(E).$$

Standard references for the above concepts and facts are [2], [34], [35].

Since C is a positive operator in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ it is a regular operator in the Banach lattices ℓ_s , d(s) and $\cos(s)$, for $1 < s < \infty$. It is known that

$$\sigma_{o}(C_s) = \sigma_{o}(C_{\operatorname{ces}(s)}) = \sigma(C_s) = \sigma(C_{\operatorname{ces}(s)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2} \le \frac{s'}{2}\},\$$

[8]. We now show that the same identities hold for $C_{d(s)}$. First we require some notation.

Let $\Sigma_0 := \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The formula for the inverse operator $(C - \lambda I)^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ whenever $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_0$ is known, [31, p.266]. Namely, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the *n*-th row of the lower triangular matrix determining $(C - \lambda I)^{-1}$ has the entries

(3.12)
$$\frac{-1}{n\lambda^2 \prod_{k=m}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda})}, \quad 1 \le m < n, \quad \text{and } \frac{n}{1 - n\lambda} = \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{n} - \lambda)}, \quad m = n,$$

with all other entries in row n being 0. We write

$$(3.13) (C - \lambda I)^{-1} = D_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E_{\lambda},$$

where the diagonal matrix $D_{\lambda} = (d_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ is given by

(3.14)
$$d_{nn}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{\binom{1}{n} - \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{nm}(\lambda) := 0 \quad \text{if } n \neq m.$$

Moreover, $E_{\lambda} = (e_{nm}(\lambda))_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ is the lower triangular matrix given by $e_{1m}(\lambda) = 0$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all $n \geq 2$ by

(3.15)
$$e_{nm}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n \prod_{k=m}^{n} (1 - \frac{1}{k\lambda})} & \text{if } 1 \leq m < n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.6. For each $1 < s < \infty$ the order spectrum of $C_{d(s)}$ satisfies

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{o}}(C_{d(s)}) = \sigma(C_{d(s)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| \le \frac{s'}{2}\}.$$

Proof. In view of (3.11), with $T := C_{d(s)}$, it suffices to verify that $\rho(C_{d(s)}) \subseteq \rho_o(C_{d(s)})$. We decompose $\rho(C_{d(s)}) = \left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{s'}{2}| > \frac{s'}{2}\right\}$ (see (3.10)) into two disjoint parts, namely

$$\rho_1:=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}: \operatorname{Re}(\tfrac{1}{\lambda})\leq 0\}=\{u\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}: \operatorname{Re}(u)\leq 0\}$$

and its complement $\rho_2 := \rho(C_{d(s)}) \setminus \rho_1$.

First fix $\lambda \in \rho_1$ in which case $(C_{d(s)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in L(d(s))$. Then $\lambda \notin \Sigma_0$ and so we may consider D_{λ} and E_{λ} as specified by (3.14) and (3.15), respectively. It is shown on p.72 of [14] that

$$(3.16) |e_{nm}(\lambda)| \le \frac{1}{n}, \quad 1 \le m < n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Warning. In [14] the set $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is used rather than $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ which is used here and so the inequalities from [14] are slightly different when they are stated here. Back to our proof, it is clear from the definition of C that the matrix $A = (a_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ of C

is lower triangular with its *n*-th row, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given by $a_{nm} := \frac{1}{n}$ for $1 \le m \le n$ and $a_{nm} = 0$ for m > n. Let $B = (b_{nm})_{n,m=1}^{\infty}$ be the matrix of E_{λ} . It is clear from (3.16) that

$$|b_{nm}| \leq a_{nm}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the space X := d(s) has the property that $A = C_{d(s)}$ maps X into X continuously, it follows via Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 from [8] that $E_{\lambda} : d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous and regular. Also the diagonal operator D_{λ} is continuous and regular in d(s), [8, Lemma 3.3]. It follows from (3.13) that $(C_{d(s)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in L^r(d(s))$.

Concerning ρ_2 it is known that $\rho_2 = \bigcup_{0 < \alpha < 1/s'} \Gamma_{\alpha}$, where

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{z}) = \alpha \} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |z - \frac{1}{2\alpha}| = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \};$$

see the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [8]. Fix $\lambda \in \rho_2$. Then there exists a unique $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s'})$ such that $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ namely, $\alpha := \text{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda})$. Then, for $1 \le m < n$ and $n \ge 2$ we have from (3.15) that

$$|e_{nm}(\lambda)| = \frac{1}{n|\Pi_{k=m}^{n}(1-\frac{1}{k\lambda})|}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n\Pi_{k=m}^{n}(1-\frac{\alpha}{k})} = e_{nm}(\frac{1}{\alpha}),$$

where we have used that $\frac{1}{|z|} \le \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Re}(z)|}$ whenever $\mathrm{Re}(z) \ne 0$ and $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}) > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\frac{1}{\alpha} > s'$ implies that $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \rho(C_{d(s)})$, that is , $(C_{d(s)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}I)^{-1} \in L(d(s))$. Since $D_{1/\alpha} \in L(d(s))$, it follows that

$$E_{1/\alpha} = \alpha^2 (D_{1/\alpha} - (C_{d(s)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}I)^{-1}) \in L(d(s)).$$

Accordingly, (3.17) and Corollary 3.2 of [8] imply that $E_{\lambda} \in L^{r}(d(s))$. It then follows from [8, Lemma 3.3] and (3.13) that $(C_{d(s)} - \lambda I)^{-1} \in L^{r}(d(s))$.

A remarkable property of the spaces ces(p), $1 , as stated in (1.3), is due to Bennett, [6, Theorem 20.31]. In view of (1.1), which implies (via Lemma 3.1(ii)) that <math>C : ces(p) \longrightarrow \ell_p$, this property can be equivalently formulated as follows. Let $1 and <math>x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then

(3.18)
$$C^{2}(|x|) \in \ell_{p} \text{ if and only if } C(|x|) \in \ell_{p}.$$

Clearly (3.18) is a relationship between the class of spaces ℓ_p , 1 , and the action of a particular operator, namely <math>C, in these spaces. It is known that (3.18) remains valid if ℓ_p is replaced with c_0 or ℓ_∞ , [14]. The same is true for the class of spaces N^p , $1 , arising in harmonic analysis and introduced in [5]; see [15, Theorem 2.4]. Namely, <math>N^p := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : |x| \le \mathcal{F}(f) \text{ for some } f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})\}$, where $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is the circle group and $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is the Fourier transform of f. Another class of such spaces is given by the following result.

Proposition 3.7. Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then

(3.19)
$$C^2(|x|) \in d(s) \text{ if and only if } C(|x|) \in d(s).$$

Proof. Fix $1 < s < \infty$. Define $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ via

$$\rho(x) := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((\hat{x})_n)^s\right)^{1/s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then $\mathbb{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : \rho(x) < \infty\}$ is precisely the space d(s) and so we know that C maps \mathbb{X} into \mathbb{X} continuously. Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{ces}(\mathbb{X}) := \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : C(|x|) \in \mathbb{X} \}$$

is the space $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : C(|x|) \in d(s)\}$. Since C is a positive operator in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we have $C(|C(|x|)|) = C^2(|x|)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. So, (3.19) will follow from Theorem 3 of [13] and the discussion after that result once we establish the following property of $\mathbb{X} = d(s)$:

(3.20)
$$x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$$
 belongs to $d(s)$ whenever $[x] \in d(s)$.

Here, given $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the element $[x] \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $[x] := (x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_3, \ldots)$. So, suppose that $[x] \in d(s)$. It is routine to verify that

$$[x]^{\hat{}} = ((\hat{x})_1, (\hat{x})_1, (\hat{x})_2, (\hat{x})_2, (\hat{x})_3, (\hat{x})_3, \ldots) = [\hat{x}].$$

Since $[x] \in d(s)$ implies that $[x]^{\hat{}} \in \ell_s$, the previous identity shows that $[\hat{x}] \in \ell_s$. Accordingly, $2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ((\hat{x})_j)^s < \infty$ which clearly implies that $\hat{x} \in \ell_s$, that is, $x \in d(s)$. This establishes (3.20) and thereby completes the proof of the proposition.

Since the containment $\ell_s \subseteq \cos(s)$ is strict for each $1 < s < \infty$, [14, Remark 2.2(ii)], the following result is an improvement on Proposition 3.4.

Corollary 3.8. For each $1 < s < \infty$, the Cesàro operator C maps ces(s) into d(s), that is, $C_{ces(s),d(s)}$ exists and is continuous.

Proof. If $x \in ces(s)$, then Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that

$$||C(x)||_s = ||C(x)||_s \le ||C(|x|)||_s = ||x||_{ces(s)},$$

which shows that $C : \operatorname{ces}(s) \longrightarrow \ell_s$ is continuous. Since also $C : \ell_s \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous (cf. Proposition 3.4), it follows that the composition C^2 maps $\operatorname{ces}(s)$ continuously into d(s). That is,

$$(3.21) C^2(x) \in d(s), \quad \forall \ x \in \cos(s).$$

Let $0 \le x \in \operatorname{ces}(s)$. It follows from (3.21) that $C^2(x) = C^2(|x|) \in d(s)$ and hence, by Proposition 3.7, that $C(x) = C(|x|) \in d(s)$. This shows that C maps the positive cone $(\operatorname{ces}(s))^+$ of $\operatorname{ces}(s)$ into d(s). Since each $x \in \operatorname{ces}(s)$ can be written as $(\operatorname{Re}(x))^+ - (\operatorname{Re}(x))^- + i((\operatorname{Im}(x))^+ - (\operatorname{Im}(x))^-)$ with $\{(\operatorname{Re}(x))^+, (\operatorname{Re}(x))^-, (\operatorname{Im}(x))^+, (\operatorname{Im}(x))^-\} \subseteq (\operatorname{ces}(s))^+$, it follows that C maps $\operatorname{ces}(s)$ into d(s). The continuity of $C_{\operatorname{ces}(s),d(s)}$ is a consequence of the closed graph theorem.

Since $C: d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is a positive operator, we may ask if there exist d(s)-valued extensions of C to larger, solid Banach lattices in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.8 show that this is indeed possible. The *largest* of those solid Banach lattices for which such a continuous d(s)-valued extension is possible is denoted by $[C, d(s)]_s$. With obvious notation, it is known that $[C, \ell_s]_s = \cos(s)$ and that $[C, \cos(s)]_s = \cos(s)$; see p.62 and Theorem 2.5 of [14], respectively.

Corollary 3.9. For each $1 < s < \infty$ it is the case that

$$[C, d(s)]_s = \operatorname{ces}(s).$$

Proof. Clearly $[C, d(s)]_s \subseteq [C, \ell_s]_s$. Via the above discussion we see that $[C, d(s)]_s \subseteq \cos(s)$. On the other hand, Corollary 3.8 shows that $\cos(s) \subseteq [C, d(s)]_s$.

Given any Banach space operator $T \in L(X)$ define its sequence of Cesàro averages by $T_{[n]} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} T^{m}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Setting $T_{[0]} := I$ it is routine to verify that

(3.22)
$$\frac{1}{n}T^n = T_{[n]} - \frac{(n-1)}{n}T_{[n-1]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If the sequence $\{T_{[n]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the strong operator topology (briefly, s.o.t.), that is, $\lim_{n\to\infty}T_{[n]}(x)$ exists in X for each $x\in X$, then T is called *mean ergodic*, [17, Ch. VIII]. We note that $\sigma(T)\subseteq\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ whenever an operator $T\in L(X)$ satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}T^n=0$ in the s.o.t., [17, p.709, Lemma 1]. Here $\mathbb{D}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. It is clear from (3.22) that necessarily $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}T^n=0$ in the s.o.t. whenever T is mean ergodic. An operator $T\in L(X)$ is called *uniformly mean ergodic* if $\{T_{[n]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence in L(X) for the operator norm.

Proposition 3.10. For each $1 < s < \infty$, the Cesàro operator $C_{d(s)} : d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ fails to be mean ergodic.

Proof. If $C_{d(s)}$ was mean ergodic, then the discussion prior to the proposition shows that necessarily $\sigma(C_{d(s)}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. But, this is *not* the case by Corollary 3.5.

Let X be a separable Banach space and $T \in L(X)$. If, for some $x \in X$, the projective orbit $\{\lambda T^n(x) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is dense in X, then T is called *supercyclic*. For the theory of such operators see [19], for example. Since the projective orbit coincides with $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(\text{span}\{x\})$, we see that being supercyclic is the same as being 1-supercyclic in the sense of [9].

Proposition 3.11. For each $1 < s < \infty$, the Cesàro operator $C_{d(s)} : d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ fails to be supercyclic.

Proof. It follows from (3.7) that the dual operator $C'_{d(s)}$ of $C_{d(s)}$ has at least two linearly independent eigenvectors. Hence, $C_{d(s)}$ is *not* supercyclic, [9, Theorem 2.1].

4. Multiplier operators from d(s) into d(t)

Let $a = (a_n)_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. According to table 6 on p.69 of [6] we have (in the notation of Section 1) that:

(4.1) Let $1 < s \le t < \infty$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ if and only if $(a_n n^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}})_n \in \ell_{\infty}$.

Note that $(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}) \le 0$. In particular, $\ell_{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$. If s = t, then $\mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$. For fixed $a \in \ell_{\infty}$ it is routine to verify that

$$(4.2) (ax)^{\hat{}} \le ||a||_{\infty} \hat{x}, \quad x \in \ell_{\infty}.$$

For each $1 < s < \infty$, it follows from (4.2) that

$$||M_{d(s)}^a(x)||_{d(s)} = ||(ax)^{\hat{}}||_s \le ||a||_{\infty} ||\hat{x}||_s = ||a||_{\infty} ||x||_{d(s)}, \quad x \in d(s).$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the vector $x := n^{-1/s} e_n$ satisfies $||x||_{d(s)} = 1$. Moreover,

$$(ax)^{\hat{}} = n^{-1/s} |a_n|(1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

with 1 occurring *n*-times and so $||(ax)^{\hat{}}||_s = |a_n|$, that is, $||M^a_{d(s)}(x)||_{d(s)} = |a_n|$. Accordingly, $M^a_{d(s)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous and satisfies

$$(4.3) ||M_{d(s)}^a||_{\text{op}} = ||a||_{\infty}, \quad a \in \ell_{\infty}, \quad 1 < s < \infty.$$

The following fact is from table 22 on p.70 of [6]:

(4.4) For
$$1 < t < s < \infty$$
, we have $\mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)} = d(r)$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}$.

Let $1 < s, t < \infty$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$, that is, $M^a_{d(s),d(t)} \in L(d(s),d(t))$. The dual Banach spaces are given by $(d(s))' = \cos(s')$ and $(d(t))' = \cos(t')$; see Lemma 2.2. Moreover, $s \le t$ if and only if $t' \le s'$. Accordingly, the identities

$$\langle M^a_{d(s),d(t)}(x),y\rangle=\langle ax,y\rangle=\langle x,ay\rangle,\quad x\in d(s),\quad y\in \mathrm{ces}(t'),$$

show that the dual operator $(M^a_{d(s),d(t)})' \in L(ces(t'),ces(s'))$ of $M^a_{d(s),d(t)}$ is precisely the multiplier operator $M^a_{c(t'),c(s')}: y \longmapsto ay$ from ces(t') to ces(s'). Here we have used the fact (as the Copson space cop(p) = ces(p) for $1 , [6, p.47]) that the multiplier spaces <math>\mathcal{M}_{c(t'),c(s')}$ as given in tables 16 and 32 on pp.69–70 of [6] are consistent with the dual map $T \longmapsto T'$ from L(d(s),d(t)) to L((d(t))',(d(s))') = L(ces(t'),ces(s')). That is, $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ is a multiplier from d(s) to d(t) if and only if $a \in \mathcal{M}_{c(t'),c(s')}$ is a multiplier from ces(t') into ces(s'). This will allow us to use duality arguments to deduce information about $M^a_{d(s),d(t)}$ via known facts about $M^a_{c(t'),c(s')}$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $1 < s \le t < \infty$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$. Then the continuous multiplier operator $M^a_{d(s),d(t)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ is compact if and only if $(a_n n^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}})_n \in c_0$.

Proof. According to Schauder's theorem, [17, Ch. VI, Theorem 5.2], $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a$ is a compact operator if and only if $(M_{d(s),d(t)}^a)' = M_{c(t'),c(s')}^a$ is compact. Since $t' \le s'$, it follows from [1, Proposition 2.2] that $M_{c(t'),c(s')}^a$ is compact if and only if $(a_n n^{\frac{1}{s'}-\frac{1}{t'}})_n \in c_0$. But, $(\frac{1}{s'}-\frac{1}{t'})=(\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{s})$ and so $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a$ is compact if and only if $(a_n n^{\frac{1}{t}-\frac{1}{s}})_n \in c_0$.

Remark 4.2. (i) Let $1 < s < t < \infty$. For the constant sequence $a = (1, 1, ...) \in \ell_{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ it follows from Proposition 4.1 that the natural inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)} = M^a_{d(s),d(t)}$ from d(s) into d(t) is *compact* because $(a_n n^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}})_n = (n^{\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}})_n \in c_0$.

(ii) For the case when s=t and $a\in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)}=\ell_{\infty}$, Proposition 4.1 implies that the multiplier operator $M^a_{d(s)}:d(s)\longrightarrow d(s)$ is compact if and only if $a\in c_0$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $1 < t < s < \infty$ and r satisfy $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{s}$. Then there exists a constant $B_{s,t} > 0$ such that

$$||M_{d(s),d(t)}^a||_{\text{op}} \le B_{s,t} ||a||_{d(r)}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)} = d(r).$$

Proof. That $\mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)} = d(r)$ is precisely (4.4). Since the norm $\|M^a_{d(s),d(t)}\|_{op}$ of $M^a_{d(s),d(t)}$ coincides with the norm $\|(M^a_{d(s),d(t)})'\|_{op}$ of the dual operator $(M^a_{d(s),d(t)})' = M^a_{c(t'),c(s')}$ and $1 < s' < t' < \infty$, the stated inequality follows from Lemma 2.4 of [1].

The following result, for $1 < t < s < \infty$, shows that *every* multiplier operator $M^a_{d(s),d(t)}$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact.

Proposition 4.4. Let $1 < t < s < \infty$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $a \in M_{d(s),d(t)}$, that is $M^a_{d(s),d(t)} : d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ is continuous.
- (ii) $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a: d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ is compact.
- (iii) $a \in d(r)$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{s}$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) is (4.4) and (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Using Lemma 4.3 above in place of Lemma 2.4 in [1] it is clear that the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) in Proposition 2.5 of [1] can be adapted to apply here; one needs to replace $M_{p,q}^a$ (= $M_{c(p),c(q)}^a$) in the notation from there in [1] with $M_{d(s),d(t)}^a$ here.

In view of (4.3), the following fact can be verified by modifying suitably the proofs of Lemma 2.6 and Proposition 2.7 in [1].

Proposition 4.5. Let $1 < s < \infty$. For each $a \in \ell_{\infty} = \mathcal{M}_{d(s)}$ we have that

and that

(4.6)
$$\sigma(M_{d(s)}^a) = \overline{a(\mathbb{N})} = \overline{\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$$

Remark 4.6. The Banach lattices d(s), $1 < s < \infty$, are also Banach function spaces over the σ -finite measure space $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$, where μ is counting measure, [36, p.252 and §112]. Since $\mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$, it follows from [8, Proposition 2.1(iii)] and Proposition 4.5 that the order spectrum

$$\sigma_{o}(M_{d(s)}^{a}) = \sigma(M_{d(s)}^{a}) = \overline{a(\mathbb{N})}, \quad \forall \ a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)}, \quad 1 < s < \infty.$$

A Banach space operator $T \in L(X)$ is called *power bounded* if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T^n||_{op} < \infty$. In this case $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} ||T^n||_{op} = 0$. The following result characterizes which multiplier operators are mean ergodic.

Proposition 4.7. Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$.
- (ii) The operator $M^a_{d(s)} \in L(d(s))$ is power bounded. (iii) The operator $M^a_{d(s)} \in L(d(s))$ is mean ergodic.
- (iv) The spectrum $\sigma(M_{d(s)}^a) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.
- (v) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} (M_{d(s)}^a)^n = 0$ for the s.o.t. in L(d(s)).

By using the identities (4.5) and (4.6) it is routine to establish Proposition 4.7 by suitably adapting the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [1].

In view of Proposition 4.7 we can suppose that $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and that $M_{d(s)}^a$ is power bounded whenever it is mean ergodic. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}||(M_{d(s)}^a)^n||_{op}=0$ and so, by a known result, [25], the *uniform* mean ergodicity of $M_{d(s)}^a$ is equivalent to the range $(I - M_{d(s)}^a)(d(s)) = (M_{d(s)}^{1-a})(d(s))$ of $I - M_{d(s)}^a$ being a closed subspace of d(s), where 1 denotes the constant sequence with all coordinates equal to 1.

Given $w \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define its support by $S(w) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : w_n \neq 0\}$. Then $w\chi_{S(w)} = w$ as elements of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $w \in \ell_{\infty}$, then the range

$$(4.7) M_{d(s)}^{w}(d(s)) := \{wx : x \in d(s)\} = \{wx\chi_{S(w)} : x \in d(s)\},$$

for each $1 < s < \infty$. We also require the *closed* subspace of d(s) which is the range of the continuous projection operator $M_{d(s)}^{\chi_{S(w)}}$, that is,

$$(4.8) X_{w,d(s)} := \{ x \chi_{S(w)} : x \in d(s) \} = M_{d(s)}^{\chi_{S(w)}}(d(s)).$$

It is routine to check that $X_{w,d(s)}$ is $M_{d(s)}^w$ -invariant. Let $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w: X_{w,d(s)} \longrightarrow X_{w,d(s)}$ be the restriction of $M_{d(s)}^w$ to $X_{w,d(s)}$ so that $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w \in L(X_{w,d(s)})$. Since $w_n \neq 0$ for each $n \in S(w)$, it follows that $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w$ is *injective*. Hence, $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w$ is a vector space isomorphism of $X_{w,d(s)}$ onto its range $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w(X_{w,d(s)})$ in $X_{w,d(s)}$. It follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that $\tilde{M}_{d(s)}^w(X_{w,d(s)}) = M_{d(s)}^w(d(s))$ provided that $M_{d(s)}^w(d(s))$ is closed in d(s).

The facts recorded in the previous paragraph can be used to establish the following result by adequately adapting the proof of Lemma 2.9 in [1].

Lemma 4.8. Let $w \in \ell_{\infty}$ and $1 < s < \infty$. If the range $M_{d(s)}^{w}(d(s))$ is closed in d(s), then $0 \notin \overline{(w\chi_{S(w)})(\mathbb{N})}$.

Equipped with Lemma 4.8 and the identities (4.7) and (4.8) the following result can be established along the lines of the proof of Proposition 2.10 in [1].

Proposition 4.9. Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) $M_{d(s)}^a$ is uniformly mean ergodic.
- (ii) $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $1 \notin \overline{a(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{1\}}$.

Example 4.10. Let $1 < s < \infty$ and set $a := (1 - \frac{1}{n})_n$. Then $a \in \ell_\infty$ and $||a||_\infty = 1$. Proposition 4.7 ensures that $M^a_{d(s)}$ is mean ergodic. However, as $1 \in \overline{a(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \{1\}}$ it follows from Proposition 4.9 that $M^a_{d(s)}$ is *not* uniformly mean ergodic.

Proposition 4.11. Let $1 < s < \infty$ and $a \in \mathcal{M}_{d(s)} = \ell_{\infty}$. The multiplier operator $M_{d(s)}^a \in L(d(s))$ fails to be supercyclic.

Proof. Since d(s) is dense in the Frèchet space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (equipped with its topology of coordinatewise convergence) and the natural inclusion $d(s) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is continuous (cf. Proposition 2.7(vi)), the supercyclicity of $M^a_{d(s)}$ would imply the supercyclicity of the multiplication operator $M^a: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $x \longmapsto ax$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$. But, it is known that this is *not* the case, [1, Lemma 2.11]. So, $M^a_{d(s)}$ is not supercyclic.

5. Cesàro and inclusion operators

Let $X, Y \in \{d(s), \cos(p), \ell_t : 1 < p, s, t < \infty\}$. The notation when considering the Cesàro operator $C: X \longrightarrow Y$ was introduced in Section 3. We use the analogous notation for the natural inclusion maps $i: X \longrightarrow Y$, whenever they exist. As a sample, $i_{d(s),t}$ (resp. $i_{d(s),c(p)}$) denotes the inclusion map from X = d(s) into $Y = \ell_t$ (resp. from X = d(s) into $Y = \cos(p)$). The main aim of this section is to identify those pairs of spaces X, Y such that $C: X \longrightarrow Y$ and $i: X \longrightarrow Y$ do exist (in which case continuity follows from the closed graph theorem) and, for such a pair X, Y, to determine whether or not the operator

is *compact*. Recalling the notation $C_p := C_{p,p}$ and $C_{c(p)} := C_{c(p),c(p)}$ and $C_{d(p)} := C_{d(p),d(p)}$, it was already noted earlier that

$$(5.1) \qquad \sigma(C_p) = \sigma(C_{c(p)}) = \sigma(C_{d(p)}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| \le \frac{p'}{2}\}, \quad 1$$

Accordingly, all such Cesàro operators *fail* to be compact as their spectrum is uncountable. Concerning inclusion maps, it is routine to check that $i: X \longrightarrow Y$ exists if and only if its dual operator $i': Y' \longrightarrow X'$ exists. Of course, the dual operator i' is the natural inclusion map $i: Y' \longrightarrow X'$. According to Schauder's theorem, $i: X \longrightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $i: Y' \longrightarrow X'$ is compact. The situation when both spaces X, Y belong to $\{\cos(p), \ell_q: 1 < p, q < \infty\}$ is completely answered in Section 3 of [1]. So, we only need to consider the remaining cases, that is, when at least one of X or Y is d(s) for some $1 < s < \infty$.

Let us begin with various inclusion operators.

Proposition 5.1. Let $1 < s, t < \infty$ be an arbitrary pair.

- (i) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),c(t)}:d(s)\longrightarrow c(t)$ exists if and only if $s\leq t$.
- (ii) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),t}:d(s) \longrightarrow \ell_t$ exists if and only if $s \le t$.
- (iii) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)}:d(s)\longrightarrow d(t)$ exists if and only if $s\leq t$.
- (iv) $\ell_s \nsubseteq d(t)$ and $ces(s) \nsubseteq d(t)$.
- *Proof.* (i) Let $s \le t$. Proposition 2.7(iii) implies that $i_{d(s),s}: d(s) \longrightarrow \ell_s$ exists and, by Proposition 3.2(ii) of [1], also $i_{s,c(t)}: \ell_s \longrightarrow \cos(t)$ exists. Accordingly, the composition $i_{d(s),c(t)} = i_{s,c(t)} \circ i_{d(s),s}$ exists .
- Let s > t. Suppose that $i_{d(s),c(t)}$ exists. Since the operator $C_{c(s),d(s)}$: $ces(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ exists (cf. Corollary 3.8), it follows that the operator $C_{c(s),c(t)} = i_{d(s),c(t)} \circ C_{c(s),d(s)}$ also exists. But, this is *not* the case, [1, Proposition 3.5(iii)]. So, $i_{d(s),c(t)}$ does not exist.
- (ii) Note that $s \le t$ if and only if the conjugate indices satisfy $t' \le s'$. Moreover, the dual operator $(i_{d(s),t})'$ is the natural inclusion map $i_{t',c(s')}:\ell_{t'} \longrightarrow \cos(s')$, interpreted to mean that whenever one of the operators exists then so does the other one. The stated claim then follows by setting p := t' and q := s' in Proposition 3.2(ii) of [1].
- (iii) Let $s \le t$. Then the inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ exists by Proposition 2.7(i). On the other hand, Proposition 2.7(ii) shows that $d(t) \subseteq d(s)$ whenever s > t, that is, the inclusion $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ does not exist.
 - (iv) Remark 2.8(i) implies that $\ell_s \nsubseteq d(t)$. Remark 2.8(ii) shows that $\operatorname{ces}(s) \nsubseteq d(t)$. \square

The following result characterizes, for those inclusion maps which exist, precisely when they are compact.

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $1 < s \le t < \infty$ *be an arbitrary pair.*

- (i) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),c(t)}:d(s)\longrightarrow c(t)$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (ii) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),t}: d(s) \longrightarrow \ell_t$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (iii) The inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- *Proof.* (i) Suppose that s < t. By Remark 4.2(i) the inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact and by Proposition 5.1(i) the inclusion $i_{d(t),c(t)}$ is continuous. So, $i_{d(s),c(t)} = i_{d(t),c(t)} \circ i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact.

For the case when s = t, suppose that the continuous inclusion map $i_{d(s),c(s)}$ (cf. Proposition 5.1(i)) is actually compact. Since the Cesàro operator $C_{c(s),d(s)}$: $ces(s) \longrightarrow d(s)$ is continuous (cf. Corollary 3.7), it follows that $C_{d(s)} = C_{c(s),d(s)} \circ i_{d(s),c(s)}$ is compact, which is *not* the case by (5.1). Hence, $i_{d(s),c(s)}$ fails to be compact.

- (ii) Note that $s \le t$ if and only if $t' \le s'$ and that the dual operator $(i_{d(s),t})'$ is the natural inclusion map $i_{t',c(s')}: \ell_{t'} \longrightarrow \cos(s')$. So, by Schauder's theorem, the stated claim follows by setting p := t' and q := s' in Proposition 3.4(iii) of [1].
- (iii) If s < t, then $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact by Remark 4.2(i). For the case of s = t we see that $i_{d(s),d(s)}$ is the identity operator on d(s) and hence, is surely not compact.

We now turn our attention to various Cesàro operators. First we need to determine which of these operators actually exist. By the closed graph theorem they are then continuous.

Proposition 5.3. Let $1 < s, t < \infty$ be an arbitrary pair.

- (i) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),c(t)}:d(s)\longrightarrow \cos(t)$ exists if and only if $s\leq t$.
- (ii) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),t}:d(s)\longrightarrow \ell_t$ exists if and only if $s\leq t$.
- (iii) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),d(t)}: d(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ exists if and only if $s \le t$.
- (iv) The Cesàro operator $C_{s,d(t)}: \ell_s \longrightarrow d(t)$ exists if and only if $s \le t$.
- (v) The Cesàro operator $C_{c(s),d(t)}$: $ces(s) \longrightarrow d(t)$ exists if and only if $s \le t$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $s \le t$. Then the inclusion map $i_{d(s),s}$ exists (cf. Proposition 5.1(ii)) as does $C_{s,c(t)}$, [1, Proposition 3.5(ii)]. Hence, also $C_{d(s),c(t)} = C_{s,c(t)} \circ i_{d(s),s}$ exists.

Let s > t and assume that $C_{d(s,),c(t)}$ does exist. Since $C_{c(t),d(t)}$ is continuous by Corollary 3.8 and $i_{d(t),d(s)}$ is compact by Proposition 5.2(iii), it follows that the operator

$$(C_{d(s)})^2 = i_{d(t),d(s)} \circ C_{c(t),d(t)} \circ C_{d(s),c(t)}$$

is compact. But, this is impossible because (5.1) and the spectral mapping theorem, [17, VII Theorem 3.11], imply that the set $\sigma((C_{d(s)})^2) = \{\lambda^2 : \lambda \in \sigma(C_{d(s)})\}$ is uncountable (it contains the real interval $[0, (s')^2]$, for example). Hence, $C_{d(s),c(t)}$ does not exist.

(ii) Assume that $s \le t$. Proposition 5.1(ii) implies the existence of $i_{d(s),s}$ and [1, Proposition 3.5(i)] ensures the existence of $C_{s,t}$. The existence of $C_{d(s),t} = C_{s,t} \circ i_{d(s),s}$ is then clear

Let s > t. Suppose that $C_{d(s),t}$ does exist. The continuity of $C_{t,d(t)}$ is known (cf. Proposition 3.4) and $i_{d(t),d(s)}$ is compact by Proposition 5.2(iii). Accordingly,

$$(C_{d(s)})^2=i_{d(t),d(s)}\circ C_{t,d(t)}\circ C_{d(s),t}$$

is compact. The proof of part (i) shows that this is not the case and so $C_{d(s),t}$ does not exist.

(iii) Let $s \le t$. Proposition 3.2(i) shows that $C_{d(t)}$ exists and the map $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ exists by Proposition 2.7(i). So, the existence of $C_{d(s),d(t)} = C_{d(s),d(t)} \circ i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is clear.

Let s > t and suppose that $C_{d(s),d(t)}$ exists. Since $i_{d(t),d(s)}$ is compact (cf. Proposition 5.2(iii)), it follows that $C_{d(s)} = i_{d(t),d(s)} \circ C_{d(s),d(t)}$ is also compact which contradicts (5.1). So, $C_{d(s),d(t)}$ does not exist.

(iv) Suppose that $s \le t$. It is well known that $i_{s,t}$ exists and, by Proposition 3.4, also $C_{t,d(t)}$ exists. Accordingly, $C_{s,d(t)} = C_{t,d(t)} \circ i_{s,t}$ surely exists.

- Let s > t. Note that $i_{d(s),s}$ exists by Proposition 5.1(i) and that $i_{d(t),d(s)}$ is compact by Proposition 5.2(iii). If $C_{s,d(t)}$ exists, then $C_{d(s)} = i_{d(t),d(s)} \circ C_{s,d(t)} \circ i_{d(s),s}$ is a compact operator, which is impossible by (5.1). Hence, $C_{s,d(t)}$ does not exist.
- (v) Let $s \le t$. Then $C_{c(t),d(t)}$ exists by Corollary 3.7 and the map $i_{c(s),c(t)}$ exists by [1, Proposition 3.2(iii)]. Hence, $C_{c(s),d(t)} = C_{c(t),d(t)} \circ i_{c(s),c(t)}$ also exists.

Assume that s > t. By Proposition 5.2(i) we see that $i_{d(t),c(s)}$ is compact. So, if $C_{c(s),d(t)}$ exists, then $C_{c(s)} = i_{d(t),c(s)} \circ C_{c(s),d(t)}$ is a compact operator, which is impossible by (5.1). Accordingly, $C_{c(s),d(t)}$ does not exist.

Our final result determines precisely which Cesàro operators, when they exist (see Proposition 5.3), are compact.

Proposition 5.4. Let $1 < s \le t < \infty$ be arbitrary.

- (i) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),c(t)}$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (ii) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),t}$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (iii) The Cesàro operator $C_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (iv) The Cesàro operator $C_{s,d(t)}$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- (v) The Cesàro operator $C_{c(s),d(t)}$ is compact if and only if s < t.
- *Proof.* (i) Let s < t. The inclusion map $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact (cf. Proposition 5.2(iii)) and $i_{d(t),t}$ is continuous (cf. Proposition 5.1(ii)). Since $C_{t,c(t)}$ is also continuous, [1, Proposition 3.5(ii)], it follows that $C_{d(s),c(t)} = C_{t,c(t)} \circ i_{d(t),t} \circ i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is a compact operator.

Suppose that s = t. Both $C_{d(s),c(s)}$ and $C_{c(s),d(s)}$ are continuous; see parts (i) and (v) of Proposition 5.3, respectively. If $C_{d(s),c(s)}$ were also compact, then $(C_{d(s)})^2 = C_{c(s),d(s)} \circ C_{d(s),c(s)}$ would be compact, which is not the case; see the proof of part (i) of Proposition 5.3(i). So, $C_{d(s),c(s)}$ fails to be compact.

- (ii) Let s < t. By Proposition 5.2(iii) the inclusion $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact and $C_{d(t),t}$ is continuous by Proposition 5.2(ii). Hence, $C_{d(s),t} = C_{d(t),t} \circ i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact.
- Assume that s = t. Both $C_{d(s),s}$ and $C_{s,d(s)}$ are continuous; see parts (ii) and (iv) of Proposition 5.3, respectively. If $C_{d(s),s}$ were also compact, then $(C_{d(s)})^2 = C_{s,d(s)} \circ C_{d(s),s}$ would be compact which is not so. Hence, $C_{d(s),s}$ is *not* compact.
- (iii) Let s < t. By Proposition 5.2(iii) the inclusion $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact. Moreover, $C_{d(t)}$ is continuous by Proposition 5.3(iii). So, $C_{d(s),d(t)} = C_{d(t)} \circ i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is necessarily compact. For s = t we note that $C_{d(s),d(s)}$ (= $C_{d(s)}$) is *not* compact; see (5.1) and the discussion following it.
- (iv) Let s < t. Then $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact by Proposition 5.2(iii). Moreover, $C_{s,d(s)}$ is continuous by Proposition 5.3(iv). Hence, $C_{s,d(t)} = i_{d(s),d(t)} \circ C_{s,d(s)}$ is a compact operator. Suppose that s = t. Both $C_{s,d(s)}$ and $i_{d(s),s}$ are continuous by Proposition 5.3(iv) and Proposition 5.1(ii), respectively. If $C_{s,d(s)}$ were also compact, then $C_s = i_{d(s),s} \circ C_{s,d(s)}$ would be compact which is not the case. Hence, $C_{s,d(s)}$ fails to be compact.
- (v) Let s < t. Then $i_{d(s),d(t)}$ is compact by Proposition 5.2(iii) and $C_{c(s),d(s)}$ is continuous by Proposition 5.3(v). Accordingly, $C_{c(s),d(t)} = i_{d(s),d(t)} \circ C_{c(s),d(s)}$ is compact.

Assume that s = t. Both $C_{c(s),d(s)}$ and $C_{d(s),c(s)}$ are continuous; see parts (v) and (i) of Proposition 5.3, respectively. If $C_{c(s),d(s)}$ were also compact, then $(C_{c(s)})^2 = C_{d(s),c(s)} \circ C_{c(s),d(s)}$ would be compact. But, (5.1) and the spectral mapping theorem imply that this is not the case. So, $C_{c(s),d(s)}$ is *not* compact.

Acknowledgement. The research of Prof. José Bonet was partially supported by the projects MTM 2016-76647-P and GV Prometeo 2017/102 (Spain).

REFERENCES

- [1] A.A. Albanese, J. Bonet, W.J. Ricker, Multiplier and averaging operators in the Banach spaces ces(p), 1 ,*Positivity*,**23**(2019), 177–193.
- [2] W. Arendt, On the o-spectrum of regular operators and the spectrum of measures, *Math. Z.*, **178** (1981), 271–287.
- [3] S.V. Astashkin, L. Maligranda, Structure of Cesàro function spaces, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **20** (2009), 329–379.
- [4] S.V. Astashkin, L. Maligranda, Structure of Cesàro function spaces: a survey, *Function Spaces X*, pp. 13–40. Banach Center Publ. **102**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014.
- [5] G.F. Bachelis, On the upper and lower majorant properties in $L^p(G)$, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford II Ser., **24** (1973), 119–128.
- [6] G. Bennett, Factorizing the classical inequalities, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120** (Nr. 576), viii + 130 pp. (1996).
- [7] G. Bennett, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Weighted Hardy inequalities for decreasing sequences and functions, *Math. Ann.*, **334** (2006), 489–531.
- [8] J. Bonet, W.J. Ricker, Order spectrum of the Cesàro operator in Banach lattice sequence spaces, *Positivity*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11117-019-00699-9
- [9] P.S. Bourdon, N.S. Feldman, J.H. Shapiro, Some properties of *N*-supercyclic operators, *Studia Math.*, **165** (2004), 135–157.
- [10] J. Bueno-Contreras, *The Cesàro Spaces of Dirichlet Series*, Ph.D. Thesis, Instituto de Matemàticas Univ. Sevilla IMUS, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain, 2018.
- [11] J. Bueno-Contreras, G.P. Curbera, O. Delgado, The Cesàro space of Dirichlet series and its multiplier algebra, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **475** (2019), 1448–1471.
- [12] G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, Solid extensions of the Cesàro operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **407** (2013), 387–397.
- [13] G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, A feature of averaging, Integral Equ. Oper. Theory, 76 (2013), 447–449.
- [14] G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, Solid extensions of the Cesàro operator on ℓ^p and c_0 , *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory*, **80** (2014), 61–77.
- [15] G.P. Curbera, W.J. Ricker, The Cesàro operator and unconditional Taylor series in Hardy spaces, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory*, **83** (2015), 179–195.
- [16] M.M. Day, Normed Linear Spaces, 3rd Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1973.
- [17] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators 1: General Theory*, Wiley Interscience Publ. Inc., New York, 1964.
- [18] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *The Blocking Technique*, *Weighted Mean Operators and Hardy's inequality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1679**, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
- [19] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. Peris Manguillot, *Linear Chaos*, Springer, London, 2011.
- [20] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.
- [21] A.A. Jagers, A note on Cesàro sequence spaces, Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 22 (1974), 113-124.
- [22] G. Köthe, Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1983.
- [23] G. Leibowitz, Spectra of discrete Cesàro operators, Tamkang J. Math., 3 (1972), 123–132.
- [24] K. Leśnik, L. Maligranda, Abstract Cesàro spaces. Duality, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 424 (2015), 932–951.
- [25] M. Lin, On the uniform ergodic theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1974), 337–340.
- [26] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I and II*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1996.

- [27] L. Maligranda, N. Petrot, S. Suantai, On the James constant and *B*-convexity of Cesàro and Cesàro-Orlicz sequence spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326** (2007), 312–331.
- [28] P. Meyer-Nieberg, Banach Lattices, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1991.
- [29] S. Okada, W.J. Ricker, E.A. Sánchez-Pérez, *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators Acting in Function Spaces*, Operator Theory Advances and Applications, Vol. **180**, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 2008.
- [30] J.C. Ramos-Fernández, M.A. Rivera-Sariento, M. Salas-Brown, On the essential norm of multiplication operators acting on Cesàro sequence spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces* 2019, Art. Id. 5069610, 5 pp.
- [31] J.B. Reade, On the spectrum of the Cesàro operator, Bull London Math. Soc., 117 (1985), 263–267.
- [32] W.J. Ricker, Convolution operators in discrete Cesàro spaces, Arch. Math., 112 (2019), 71-82.
- [33] W.J. Ricker, The order spectrum of convolution operators in discrete Cesàro spaces, *Indag. Math.* (*N.S*)., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2019.01.008
- [34] H.H. Schaefer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1974.
- [35] H.H. Schaefer, On the o-spectrum of order bounded operators, Math. Z., 154 (1977), 79–84.
- [36] A.C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces II, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- J. Bonet, Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada IUMPA, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46071 Valencia, Spain

EMAIL: JBONET@MAT.UPV.ES

W.J. Ricker: Math.-Geogr. Fakultät, Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, 85072 Eichstätt, Germany

EMAIL: WERNER.RICKER@KU.DE