

# CONVERGENT DISCRETE NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS OF STRONGLY COUPLED MIXED PARABOLIC SYSTEMS \*

L. JÓDAR AND M.C. CASABÁN  
Instituto de Matemática Multidisciplinar  
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain  
{ljodar,macabar}@mat.upv.es

## Abstract

This paper deals with the construction of convergent discrete numerical solutions of strongly coupled parabolic partial differential systems. The proposed method is based on the application of a discrete separation of variables technique to the discretized problem and its further exact solution which avoids the solution of large algebraic systems.

**Keywords:** Difference schemes, strongly coupled system.

## 1 Introduction

Coupled partial differential systems with coupled boundary value conditions are frequent in quantum mechanical scattering problems [2, 14], chemical physics, thermoelastoplastic modelling, diffusion problems [8], nerve conduction problems [13], mechanics [16] and other fields. This paper deals with coupled parabolic systems of the form

$$u_t(x, t) - Au_{xx}(x, t) - Bu(x, t) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$A_1 u(0, t) + B_1 u_x(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$A_2 u(1, t) + B_2 u_x(1, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (3)$$

$$u(x, 0) = F(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (4)$$

---

\*This work has been partially supported by the Spanish D.G.I.C.Y.T. grant BMF 2000-0206-C04-04.

where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_s)^T$  y  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)^T$  are  $s$ -dimensional vectors, elements of  $\mathbb{C}^s$ , and  $A_i, B_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  are  $s \times s$  complex matrices, elements of  $\mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$ .

We assume that

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ A_2 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_1 \text{ are invertible matrices.} \quad (5)$$

Strongly coupled partial differential systems of the type (1)–(4) appear in Geomechanics [18], the study of the Hodgkin-Huxley nerve conduction equation [7, 13], in ignition of a single component nonreacting gas in a closed cylindrical vessel with with conservation of mass [12], or in the study of sudden cardiac death as a consequence of ventricular fibrillation [20].

Analytic-numerical solutions of problem (1)–(4) have been given in [9] for the case where  $B = 0$ , and in [17] for the case where  $B_2 = B_1 = 0$  and  $A_2$  is invertible. In this paper convergent discrete numerical solutions of problem (1)–(5) are constructed using difference schemes, a discrete separation of variables method and solving explicitly the mixed partial difference discretized problem. Particular cases of the above problem have been recently treated in [8, 11]. It is important to point out that method proposed here avoids the solution of large algebraic systems as it occurs using standard difference methods.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the study of the boundary partial difference problem resulting from the discretization of problem (1)–(3) using forward difference schemes under hypothesis (5). Section 3 deals with the construction of convergent discrete solutions of problem (1)–(5) using a discrete separation of variables method and results of section 2. Finally section 4 includes an illustrative example.

Throughout this paper, the set of all eigenvalues of a matrix  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$  is denoted by  $\sigma(D)$ . The spectral radius of  $D$  denoted by  $\rho(D)$  is the maximum of the set  $\{|z|; z \in \sigma(D)\}$ . We denote by  $D^H$  the conjugate transpose of  $D$  and by  $D^\dagger$  the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of  $D$ . The kernel of  $D$ , denoted by  $\ker D$  coincides with the image of the matrix  $I - D^\dagger D$  denoted by  $\text{Im}(I - D^\dagger D)$ , see [4]. We say that a subspace  $E$  of  $\mathbb{C}^s$  is invariant by the matrix  $A$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{s \times s}$  si  $A(E) \subset E$ . Hence, property  $A(\ker G) \subset \ker G$  is equivalent to the condition  $GA(I - G^\dagger G) = 0$ . The 2-norm of  $D$  will be denoted by

$$\|D\| = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{\|Dv\|_2}{\|v\|_2},$$

where for a vector  $v$  in  $\mathbb{C}^s$ ,  $\|v\|_2 = (v^H v)^{1/2}$  is the Euclidean norm of  $v$ , see [6]. If  $D = D^H$  is an Hermitian matrix.

## 2 The discretized partial difference boundary problem

Let us divide the domain  $[0, 1] \times [0, \infty[$  into equal rectangles of sides  $\Delta x = h$  and  $\Delta t = k$ , introduce coordinates of a typical mesh point  $(mh, nk)$  and let us represent  $U(m, n) = u(mh, nk)$ . Approximating the partial derivatives appearing in (1) by the forward difference approximations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t(mh, nk) &\approx \frac{U(m, n+1) - U(m, n)}{k}; \\ u_{xx}(mh, nk) &\approx \frac{U(m+1, n) - 2U(m, n) + U(m, n-1)}{h^2} \end{aligned} \right] , \quad (6)$$

substituting (6) into (1)-(4) and denoting

$$r = \frac{k}{h^2}, \quad h = \frac{1}{M}, \quad (7)$$

one gets the partial difference system:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &U(m, n+1) \\ &= rA[U(m+1, n) + U(m-1, n)] + \left(I + \frac{rB}{M^2} - 2rA\right)U(m, n) \\ &1 \leq m \leq M-1, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \right] , \quad (8)$$

$$A_1 U(0, n) + MB_1 [U(1, n) - U(0, n)] = 0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$A_2 U(M, n) + MB_2 [U(M, n) - U(M-1, n)] = 0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

$$U(m, 0) = F(mh) = f(m), \quad 0 \leq m \leq M. \quad (11)$$

The difference scheme (8) is consistent with equation (1) in the sense of [19, p.19], see section 3 of [11]. Let us seek nontrivial solutions  $\{U(m, n)\}$  of the boundary problem (8)–(10) of the form

$$U(m, n) = G(n)H(m), \quad G(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times s}, \quad H(m) \in \mathbb{C}^s. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) into (8) and taking into account section 3 of [11] one gets that  $\{U(m, n)\}$  given by (12) satisfies (8) if  $\{G(n)\}$ ,  $\{H(m)\}$  satisfy

$$G(n+1) - \left(I + \frac{rB}{M^2} + \rho A\right)G(n) = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (13)$$

$$H(m+1) - \left(\frac{2r+\rho}{r}\right) H(m) + H(m-1) = 0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1, \quad (14)$$

where  $\rho$  is a real number. Note that the solution of (13) satisfying  $G(0) = I$ , is given by

$$G(n) = \left(I + \frac{rB}{M^2} + \rho A\right)^n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

If  $\rho$  satisfies

$$-4r < \rho < 0, \quad (16)$$

then the algebraic equation

$$z^2 - \left(\frac{2r+\rho}{r}\right) z + 1 = 0, \quad (17)$$

has two different solutions  $z_0, z_1$  given by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} z_0 &= \frac{2r+\rho}{2r} + i \left(1 - \left(\frac{2r+\rho}{2r}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{i\theta}, \\ z_1 &= \frac{2r+\rho}{2r} - i \left(1 - \left(\frac{2r+\rho}{2r}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-i\theta}, \\ 0 < \theta < \pi, \quad \cos \theta &= \frac{2r+\rho}{2r}, \quad \rho = -4r \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \quad i^2 = -1 \end{aligned} \right\} \cdot (18)$$

Since vector equation (14) has scalar coefficients, its solution can be written in the form

$$H(m) = \cos(m\theta) c + \sin(m\theta) d, \quad c, d \in \mathbb{C}^s, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1. \quad (19)$$

Under hypothesis (5), premultiplying the boundary condition (2) by  $A_1^{-1}$  one gets a new condition where matrix appearing in the left upper block is the identity matrix. Thus we assume that  $A_1 = I$ . Using (12), the boundary condition (9) takes the form

$$G(n) H(0) + M B_1 G(n) [H(1) - H(0)] = 0, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (20)$$

By (19) one gets  $H(0) = c$  and considering (20) for  $n = 0$ , it follows that

$$[I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1] c = -(M \sin \theta) B_1 d. \quad (21)$$

Premultiplying (19) by  $[I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1]$  and taking into account (21) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
& [I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1] H(m) \\
& = -M B_1 \cos(m\theta) \sin \theta d + \sin(m\theta) [I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1] d \\
& = [\sin(m\theta) I - 2M B_1 \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2m-1}{2}\right)\theta\right)] d \\
& \qquad 1 \leq m \leq M - 1 .
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By the *spectral mapping theorem* [5, p.569] the eigenvalues of matrix  $I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1$  are  $\{1 - (1 - \cos \theta) M w; w \in \sigma(B_1)\}$  and the real part of these eigenvalues are

$$1 - (1 - \cos \theta) M w_1; \quad w = w_1 + i w_2 \in \sigma(B_1).$$

If  $w_1 \leq 0$  then  $1 - (1 - \cos \theta) M w_1 \neq 0$ . If  $w_1 > 0$ , taking

$$M > \frac{1}{(1 - \cos \theta) w_1},$$

one gets  $1 - (1 - \cos \theta) M w_1 < 0$ . Thus, taking  $M$  large enough so that

$$M > \frac{1}{(1 - \cos \theta) \gamma(B_1)}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\gamma(B_1) = \begin{cases} \min \{w_1; w = w_1 + i w_2 \in \sigma(B_1), w_1 > 0\}, & \text{if} \\ \exists w \in \sigma(B_1), \operatorname{Re}(w) > 0 \\ (1 - \cos \theta)^{-1}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(w) \leq 0 \forall w \in \sigma(B_1), \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

one gets that

$$I - (1 - \cos \theta) M B_1 \quad \text{is invertible,} \tag{25}$$

and then for  $1 \leq m \leq M - 1$

$$H(m) = \left[ \sin(m\theta) I - 2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2m-1}{2}\right)\theta\right) B_1 \right] d, \tag{26}$$

is also a solution set of equation (14) for every vector  $d \in \mathbb{C}^s$ . Taking into account (14) for  $m = 1$ , (26) for  $m = 1, 2$ , that  $\cos \theta = \frac{2r+\rho}{2r}$  together with (20), one gets

$$H(0) = -(M \sin \theta) B_1 d. \tag{27}$$

Substituting (15), (26) and (27) into (20), for  $n > 0$  one gets

$$-M \sin \theta \left[ \left( I + \frac{rB}{M^2} + \rho A \right)^n B_1 - B_1 \left( I + \frac{rB}{M^2} + \rho A \right)^n \right] d = 0, \quad n > 0. \quad (28)$$

Since  $\sin \theta \neq 0$  because  $\theta \in ]0, \pi[$ , by (18) we have

$$w = \frac{r}{M^2 \rho} = \frac{-1}{M^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)} \neq 0, \quad (29)$$

and (28) can be written in the form

$$[(I + \rho(A + wB))^n B_1 - B_1 (I + \rho(A + wB))^n] d = 0, \quad d \in \mathbb{C}^s, \quad n > 0. \quad (30)$$

Considering (14) for  $m = M - 1$ , one gets

$$H(M) = \left[ \sin(M\theta) I - 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2M-1}{2} \right) \theta \right) B_1 \right] d, \quad d \in \mathbb{C}^s. \quad (31)$$

By imposing to  $U(m, n)$ , given by (12), the boundary condition (10) for  $n \geq 0$  and using (15), (26) and (31) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ A_2 (I + \rho(A + wB))^n \sin(M\theta) \\ & - 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2M-1}{2} \right) \theta \right) A_2 (I + \rho(A + wB))^n B_1 \\ & + 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2M-1}{2} \right) \theta \right) B_2 (I + \rho(A + wB))^n \\ & + 4M^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \sin((M-1)\theta) B_2 (I + \rho(A + wB))^n B_1 \} d = 0, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Substituting (30) into (32) for  $n > 0$  and using (32) for  $n = 0$ , it follows that for  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ A_2 \sin(M\theta) - 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2M-1}{2} \right) \theta \right) A_2 B_1 \right. \\ & + 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2M-1}{2} \right) \theta \right) B_2 \\ & \left. + 4M^2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \sin((M-1)\theta) B_2 B_1 \right\} (I + \rho(A + wB))^n d = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let  $p$  be the degree of the minimal polynomial of the matrix  $A + wB$ , then by *Cayley-Hamilton theorem*, see [15, p. 206], for  $n \geq p$  the powers  $(A +$

$wB)^n$  are expressed in terms of  $I, A + wB, (A + wB)^2, \dots, (A + wB)^{p-1}$ . Since  $w \neq 0$ , condition (33) holds if:

$$\left\{ 2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2M-1}{2}\right)\theta\right) (B_2 - A_2B_1) + A_2 \sin(M\theta) \right. \\ \left. + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin((M-1)\theta) B_2B_1 \right\} (A + wB)^n d = 0, \quad 0 \leq n < p. \quad (34)$$

In order to guarantee that  $\{U(m, n)\}$  is a nontrivial solution, vectors  $d$  appearing in (34) must be nonzero. By (34), there are nonzero vectors  $d$  satisfying (34) if

$$L(\theta) = 2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2M-1}{2}\right)\theta\right) (B_2 - A_2B_1) + A_2 \sin(M\theta) \\ + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin((M-1)\theta) B_2B_1 \text{ is singular, } \quad 0 < \theta < \pi. \quad (35)$$

Note that  $L(\theta)$  can be written in the form:

$$L(\theta) = 2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2M-1}{2}\right)\theta\right) \left[ (B_2 - A_2B_1) + \frac{A_2}{M} \right] \\ + \sin((M-1)\theta) \left[ A_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) B_2B_1 \right]. \quad (36)$$

By the properties of the *Schur complement* of a matrix, see [3], together with hypothesis (5) with  $A_1 = I$ , it follows that

$$B_2 - A_2B_1 \text{ is invertible.} \quad (37)$$

By (37) and the *Banach lemma*, see [6], it follows that

$$(B_2 - A_2B_1) + \frac{A_2}{M} \text{ is invertible if } M > \|A_2\| \left\| (B_2 - A_2B_1)^{-1} \right\|. \quad (38)$$

If  $M$  satisfies (38) and  $0 < \theta < \pi$  makes that  $L(\theta)$  defined by (36) is singular, then we obtain that  $\sin((M-1)\theta) \neq 0$ . Thus  $L(\theta)$  is singular if and only if

$$A_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) B_2B_1 + \\ + \frac{2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{2M-1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \left[ (B_2 - A_2B_1) + \frac{A_2}{M} \right] \text{ is singular,} \quad (39)$$

or the equivalent condition

$$\frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} (B_2 - A_2 B_1)^{-1} A_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (B_2 - A_2 B_1)^{-1} B_2 B_1 + \frac{2M \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{(2M-1)\theta}{2}\right)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} I, \text{ is singular, } 0 < \theta < \pi. \quad (40)$$

Let us introduce the matrices

$$\widehat{A}_2 = (A_2 B_1 - B_2)^{-1} A_2, \quad \widehat{B}_2 = (A_2 B_1 - B_2)^{-1} B_2 = \widehat{A}_2 B_1 - I. \quad (41)$$

Using matrices  $\widehat{A}_2, \widehat{B}_2$  defined in (41) and the *spectral mapping theorem* condition (39) means that

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} M \left( \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} - 1 \right) \text{ is an eigenvalue of the matrix} \\ \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \widehat{A}_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\widehat{A}_2 B_1^2 - B_1), \quad 0 < \theta < \pi \end{array} \right]. \quad (42)$$

Let us assume that

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{There exist } \alpha \in \sigma(\widehat{A}_2) \cap \mathbb{R}; \beta \in \sigma(B_1) \cap \mathbb{R} \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{C}^s \sim \{0\} \\ \text{such that } (\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) v = (B_1 - \beta I) v = 0 \end{array} \right]. \quad (43)$$

By (43) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \widehat{A}_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\widehat{A}_2 B_1^2 - B_1) \right] v = \\ & = \left[ \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \alpha + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\alpha \beta^2 - \beta) \right] v, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} v \text{ is an eigenvector of the matrix} \\ \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \widehat{A}_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\widehat{A}_2 B_1^2 - B_1) \\ \text{associated to the real eigenvalue} \\ \frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} \alpha + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (\alpha \beta^2 - \beta) \end{array} \right]. \quad (44)$$



Taking  $M$  large enough so that

$$M > \alpha,$$

condition (42) and (44) makes possible to find solutions of the scalar equation

$$\frac{\sin(M\theta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta)} = \frac{M}{M-\alpha} + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \frac{(\alpha\beta^2 - \beta)}{M-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi,$$

or

$$\cot((M-1)\theta) = -\cot\theta + \frac{M}{M-\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin\theta} + 2M(\alpha\beta^2 - \beta) \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right] \quad (45)$$

$$0 < \theta < \pi.$$

For each integer  $\delta$  with  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ , in the interval  $J_\delta = \left] \frac{(\delta-1)\pi}{M-1}, \frac{\delta\pi}{M-1} \right[$  one satisfies

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \frac{(\delta-1)\pi}{M-1}^+} \cot((M-1)\theta) &= +\infty; \\ \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \frac{\delta\pi}{M-1}^-} \cot((M-1)\theta) &= -\infty; \cot((M-1)\theta) \text{ decreases in } J_\delta, \end{aligned} \right] \quad (46)$$

because

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} (\cot((M-1)\theta)) = -\frac{M-1}{\sin^2((M-1)\theta)} < 0.$$

Furthermore the function  $e_M(\theta)$  describing the right hand side of (45) is continuous and increasing in  $]0, \pi[$  if

$$M > \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{1 - \cos\theta}, \alpha \right\}, \quad \theta \in ]0, \pi[, \quad (47)$$

and some of the following conditions are satisfied

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \beta &= 0, \\ \alpha\beta &= 1, \\ \beta &> 0 \text{ and } \alpha\beta > 1, \\ \beta &< 0 \text{ and } \alpha\beta < 1. \end{aligned} \right] \quad (48)$$

Then by (46)–(48) there exists only one solution  $\theta_\delta$  of (45) in the interval  $J_\delta$ , satisfying

$$= -\cot \theta_\delta + \frac{M}{M-\alpha} \left[ \frac{\cot((M-1)\theta_\delta)}{\sin \theta_\delta} + 2M(\alpha\beta^2 - \beta) \tan\left(\frac{\theta_\delta}{2}\right) \right] \Bigg|_{1 \leq \delta \leq M-1, \theta_\delta \in J_\delta}. \quad (49)$$

Hence condition (34) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta) (A + w_\delta B)^n d_\delta &= 0, \\ 0 \leq n \leq p(\delta) - 1, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta) &= \frac{\sin(M\theta_\delta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta_\delta)} \widehat{A}_2 + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta}{2}\right) (\widehat{A}_2 B_1^2 - B_1) + \\ &\quad - \left[ \frac{\sin(M\theta_\delta)}{\sin((M-1)\theta_\delta)} \alpha + 4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta}{2}\right) (\alpha\beta^2 - \beta) \right] I, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$p(\delta)$  is the degree of the minimal polynomial of the matrix  $A + w_\delta B$ , being  $\theta_\delta$  the solution of (49) and

$$w_\delta = \frac{-1}{4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta}{2}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M - 1. \quad (52)$$

Let us introduce the block matrix defined by

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta) = \begin{bmatrix} B_1(A + w_\delta B) - (A + w_\delta B)B_1 \\ B_1(A + w_\delta B)^2 - (A + w_\delta B)^2 B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_1(A + w_\delta B)^{p(\delta)-1} - (A + w_\delta B)^{p(\delta)-1} B_1 \\ S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta) \\ S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta)(A + w_\delta B) \\ S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta)(A + w_\delta B)^2 \\ \vdots \\ S(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta)(A + w_\delta B)^{p(\delta)-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

Then vectors  $d_\delta$  satisfy (50) and the corresponding to (30), i.e.,

$$[(A + w_\delta B)^n B_1 - B_1 (A + w_\delta B)^n] d_\delta = 0, \quad 0 < n < p(\delta), \quad (54)$$

if and only if

$$T(\alpha, \beta, \theta_\delta) d_\delta = 0, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1, \quad d_\delta \in \mathbb{C}^s \sim \{0\}. \quad (55)$$

Note that if vectors  $\{d_\delta\}_{\delta=1}^{M-1}$  are chosen so that

$$(B_1 - \beta I) d_\delta = (\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) d_\delta = 0, \quad d_\delta \in \mathbb{C}^s \sim \{0\}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1, \quad (56)$$

and

$$\{(A + w_\delta B)^n d_\delta; 1 \leq n \leq p(\delta) - 1\} \subset \ker(\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta I) \quad (57) \\ 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1,$$

then vectors  $d_\delta$  satisfy (50) and (54), or equivalently (55). Replacing  $\theta$  by  $\theta_\delta$  into (15) and (26), by (12) it follows that

$$U_\delta(m, n) = \left[ I - r \left( 4 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right) A - \frac{B}{M^2} \right) \right]^n \cdot \left[ \sin(m\theta_\delta) - 2M\beta \sin \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right) \cos \left( \left( \frac{2m-1}{2} \right) \theta_\delta \right) \right] d_\delta, \quad (58)$$

for  $1 \leq m \leq M-1$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , define nonzero solutions of problem (8)–(10).

Summarizing the following result has been established:

**Theorem 2.1** *Let us consider the boundary value problem (8)–(10) under hypothesis (5) with  $A_1 = I$ , let  $\widehat{A}_2 = (A_2 B_1 - B_2)^{-1} A_2$  and let  $M > 0$  be a large enough positive integer so that (23) and (38) hold.*

- (i) *Assume condition (43) and take  $M$  satisfying (47). Then there exist solutions  $\theta_\delta$  of (49),  $\theta_\delta \in \left] \frac{(\delta-1)\pi}{M-1}, \frac{\delta\pi}{M-1} \right[ = J_\delta$ ,  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ , making the matrix  $L(\theta_\delta)$  defined by (36) singular.*
- (ii) *Under hypothesis of (i), let  $d_\delta$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^s$  satisfying (56) and (57) for  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ , then  $\{U_\delta(m, n)\}$  given by (58) defines nontrivial solutions of problem (8)–(10).*

**Remark 2.1** *The case where apart from the invertibility of  $A$  one has  $B_1 = I$  can be treated in an analogous way taking into account the properties of the Schur complement, see [3]. Considering the change  $m \rightarrow M-m$ , the cases where  $A_2 = I$  or  $B_2 = I$  can be transformed into the previous cases.*

### 3 The mixed problem

This section deals with the construction of exact solutions of the mixed difference problem (8)–(10). Assume the notation and hypotheses of *theorem 2.1-(i) and (ii)*. By superposition of solutions of the boundary problem (8)–(10) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U(m, n) \\
 &= \sum_{\delta=1}^{M-1} \left[ I - r \left( 4 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right) A - \frac{B}{M^2} \right) \right]^n \cdot \\
 & \cdot \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(m\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(m\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right] d_\delta, \\
 & \rho_\delta = -4r \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right), \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

By imposing to  $\{U(m, n)\}$  given by (59) that satisfies the initial condition (11), implies that vectors  $d_\delta$  appearing in (59) must verify

$$f(m) = \sum_{\delta=1}^{M-1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(m\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(m\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right] d_\delta. \tag{60}$$

Let  $f_q(m)$  and  $d_{\delta,q}$  be the  $q$ -th component of vectors  $f(m)$  and  $d_\delta$  respectively. Consider the scalar Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 -h(m+1) + 2h(m) - h(m-1) &= -\frac{\rho}{r} h(m) \\
 h(0) &= \frac{\beta M}{\beta M - 1} h(1) \\
 h(M) &= \frac{M(\alpha\beta - 1)}{\alpha + M(\alpha\beta - 1)} h(M-1)
 \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1. \tag{61}$$

By [1, chap. 11] problem (61) has exactly  $M-1$  eigenvalues given by  $\left\{ \frac{-\rho_\delta}{r} \right\}_{\delta=1}^{M-1}$ , where  $\rho_\delta = -4r \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right)$  and  $\theta_\delta$  satisfies (49). For each eigenvalue  $\frac{-\rho_\delta}{r}$  there exists one eigenfunction sequence

$$\{h_\delta(m)\} = \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(m\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(m\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\}, \tag{62}$$

and these eigenfunctions are orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $w(m) = 1$ , for  $1 \leq m \leq M - 1$ . The  $q$ -th component of equation (60) takes the form

$$f_q(m) = \sum_{\delta=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(m\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(m\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\} d_{\delta,q}. \quad (63)$$

By the orthogonality of eigenfunctions  $\{h_\delta(m)\}$  appearing in (60) and the theory of discrete Fourier series, see [1, chap. 11], it follows that

$$d_{\delta,q} = \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\} f_q(\nu)}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\}^2}, \quad (64)$$

$$1 \leq \delta \leq M - 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq s,$$

or in vectorial form

$$d_\delta = \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\} f(\nu)}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta M \rho_\delta}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta) - \beta M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta) \sin(\theta_\delta) \right\}^2}, \quad (65)$$

$$1 \leq \delta \leq M - 1.$$

Expression (65) for vectors  $d_\delta$  must be compatible with conditions (56), (57). This means that  $\{f(m)\}$  must satisfy

$$(B_1 - \beta I) f(m) = (\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) f(m) = 0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq M - 1, \quad (66)$$

and if  $w_\delta$  is given by (52),

$$\{(A + w_\delta B)^n f(m), \quad 1 \leq n \leq p(\delta) - 1\} \subset \ker(\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta I), \quad (67)$$

for  $1 \leq m \leq M - 1, 1 \leq \delta \leq M - 1$ .

If  $\{f(m)\}_{m=1}^{M-1}$  satisfies (66), (67) then  $\{U(m, n)\}$  defined by (59) where  $d_\delta$  is given by (65) is a solution of problem (8)–(11). Note that conditions (66) and (67) are satisfied if

$$f(m) \in \ker(\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta I), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1, \quad (68)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \ker(\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta I) \text{ is an invariant subspace} \\ \text{by the matrix } A + w_\delta B, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1. \end{array} \right] . \quad (69)$$

Using *lemma 1* of [9], conditions (68) and (69) can be written in the form

$$f(m) \in \text{Im } L(\alpha, \beta), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1, \quad (70)$$

$$(I - L(\alpha, \beta)L(\alpha, \beta)^\dagger)(A + w_\delta B)L(\alpha, \beta) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1, \quad (71)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} L(\alpha, \beta) = (I - P_\alpha^\dagger P_\alpha) \left\{ I - [Q_\beta (I - P_\alpha^\dagger P_\alpha)]^\dagger [Q_\beta (I - P_\alpha^\dagger P_\alpha)] \right\} \\ P_\alpha = \widehat{A}_2 - \alpha I, \quad Q_\beta = B_1 - \beta I, \end{array} \right] . \quad (72)$$

Note that condition (71) means that  $\text{Im } L(\alpha, \beta)$  is an invariant subspace by the matrix  $A + w_\delta B$ , for  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ . The solution  $\{U(m, n)\}$  of the mixed problem (8)–(11), defined by (59), (65), is stable, i.e. remains bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\{f(m)\}$  is bounded and matrices

$$I - r \left( 4A \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta}{2} \right) - \frac{B}{M^2} \right), \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1,$$

are convergent. By *theorem 2.1* of [10] this occurs if

$$x > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \sigma \left( \frac{A + A^H}{2} \right), \quad (73)$$

$$y \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in \sigma \left( \frac{B + B^H}{2} \right), \quad (74)$$

and if  $\widetilde{A}_1 = \frac{A+A^H}{2}$ ,  $\widetilde{B}_1 = \frac{B+B^H}{2}$ ,  $\widetilde{A}_2 = \frac{A-A^H}{2i}$ ,  $\widetilde{B}_2 = \frac{B-B^H}{2i}$  and  $\theta_1$  is the unique solution of (49) in  $]0, \frac{\pi}{M-1}[$ ,  $r$  satisfies

$$r < \frac{M^2 \left[ \left( 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta_1}{2} \right) \right)^2 \lambda_{\min}(\tilde{A}_1) - \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{B}_1) \right]}{\left[ 4M^2 \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{A}_1) + \rho(\tilde{B}_1) \right]^2 + \left[ 4M^2 \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{A}_2) + \rho(\tilde{B}_2) \right]^2}. \quad (75)$$

Summarizing the following result has been established:

**Theorem 3.1** Consider the mixed problem (8)-(11) under hypothesis (43) and (5) with  $A_1 = I$ . Let  $\hat{A}_2 = (A_2 B_1 - B_2)^{-1} A_2$  and let  $M > 0$  integer large enough so that (23), (38) and (47) hold. Let  $\theta_\delta$  be the solution of (49) and  $w_\delta$  be defined by (52) for  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ . Suppose that  $\{f(m)\}$  satisfies conditions (70) and (71) where  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined by (72). Then  $\{U(m, n)\}$  defined by (59) where  $d_\delta$  is given by (65) is a solution of problem (8)-(11). Furthermore, if matrices  $A, B$  satisfy conditions (73)-(74),  $\{f(m)\}$  is bounded and  $r$  is small enough so that (75) holds, then  $\{U(m, n)\}$  is stable.

Now we study conditions more general than those considered in theorem 3.1. Let us assume that

$$\Lambda = \{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(t)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \cap \sigma(\hat{A}_2), \quad (76)$$

$$\Omega = \{\beta(1), \dots, \beta(q)\} \subset \mathbb{R} \cap \sigma(B_1). \quad (77)$$

By lemma 1 of [9] condition

$$L(\alpha(i), \beta(j)) \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q, \quad (78)$$

is equivalent to

$$\ker(\hat{A}_2 - \alpha(i)I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta(j)I) \neq \emptyset, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t, \quad 1 \leq j \leq q. \quad (79)$$

Consider the set  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Lambda \times \Omega$  defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell)) \in \Lambda \times \Omega \text{ satisfying some of the conditions of (48),} \\ \left( \hat{A}_2 - \alpha(i_\ell)I \right) v_\ell = (B_1 - \beta(j_\ell)I) v_\ell = 0, \quad v_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^s \sim \{0\}, \\ L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell)) \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (80)$$

and the block matrix

$$\mathcal{L} = [L(\alpha(i_1), \beta(j_1)), L(\alpha(i_2), \beta(j_2)), \dots, L(\alpha(i_p), \beta(j_p))] \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times ps}. \quad (81)$$

and suppose that  $f(m) \in \text{Im } \mathcal{L}$  for  $0 \leq m \leq M$ , or equivalently

$$(I - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger) f(m) = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq M, \quad (82)$$

because  $\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \ker(I - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger)$ . By *lemma 1* of [9] one gets

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell = \text{Im } L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell)) = \ker(\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha(i_\ell)I) \cap \ker(B_1 - \beta(j_\ell)I), \quad (83)$$

and by (81), (83), the subspace  $\text{Im } \mathcal{L}$  is the direct sum of the subspaces  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ ,

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \mathcal{S}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{S}_p. \quad (84)$$

Let  $\{\widehat{f}_\ell(m)\}_{m=0}^M$  be the projection sequence of  $\{f(m)\}_{m=0}^M$  on the subspace  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ , defined by:

$$\widehat{f}_\ell(m) = [0, \dots, 0, L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell)), 0, \dots, 0] \mathcal{L}^\dagger f(m), \quad (85)$$

$$1 \leq \ell \leq p, \quad 0 \leq m \leq M.$$

Since  $\widehat{f}_\ell(m)$  lies in  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ , by (82) it follows that:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^p \widehat{f}_\ell(m) = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^\dagger f(m) = f(m), \quad 0 \leq m \leq M. \quad (86)$$

Let us suppose that  $\text{Im } \mathcal{L}(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell))$  is an invariant subspace by the matrix  $A + w_\delta^{(\ell)}B$ , i.e.:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} [I - L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell))L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell))^\dagger] (A + w_\delta^{(\ell)}B) L(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell)) = 0, \\ w_\delta^{(\ell)} = \frac{-1}{4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}}{2}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1, \end{array} \right] \quad (87)$$

where  $\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}$  is the solution of (49) associated to the pair  $(\alpha(i_\ell), \beta(j_\ell))$  in  $J_\delta$ . Consider problem  $(P_\ell)$  defined by (8)–(10) together with the initial condition

$$U(m, 0) = \widehat{f}_\ell(m), \quad 0 \leq m \leq M, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq p, \quad (88)$$



and note that solution  $\{U_\ell(m, n)\}$  of problem  $(P_\ell)$  is defined by (59) where  $d_\delta^{(\ell)}$  is given by

$$d_\delta^{(\ell)} = \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta(j_\ell)M\rho_\delta^{(\ell)}}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) - \beta(j_\ell)M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \sin(\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \right\} \widehat{f}_\ell(\nu)}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{M-1} \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta(j_\ell)M\rho_\delta^{(\ell)}}{2r} \right) \sin(\nu\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) - \beta(j_\ell)M \cos(\nu\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \sin(\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \right\}^2}, \quad (89)$$

for  $1 \leq \delta \leq M-1$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ .

$$U_\ell(m, n) = \sum_{\delta=1}^{M-1} \left[ I - r \left( 4A \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}}{2} \right) - \frac{B}{M^2} \right) \right]^n \cdot \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta(j_\ell)M\rho_\delta^{(\ell)}}{2r} \right) \sin(m\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) - \beta(j_\ell)M \cos(m\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \sin(\theta_\delta^{(\ell)}) \right] d_\delta^{(\ell)}. \quad (90)$$

By linearity and (86), (90) it follows that

$$U(m, n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^p U_\ell(m, n), \quad 1 \leq m \leq M-1, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (91)$$

is a solution of problem (8)–(11). Furthermore (91) is a stable solution if (73)–(74) hold and the parameter  $r$  verifies

$$r < \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq p} \left\{ \frac{M^2 \left[ \left( 2M \sin \left( \frac{\theta_1^{(\ell)}}{2} \right) \right)^2 \lambda_{\min}(\tilde{A}_1) - \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{B}_1) \right]}{\left[ 4M^2 \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{A}_1) + \rho(\tilde{B}_1) \right]^2 + \left[ 4M^2 \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{A}_2) + \rho(\tilde{B}_2) \right]^2} \right\}. \quad (92)$$

Summarizing the following result is a consequence of *theorem 3.1*.

**Theorem 3.2** Consider problem (8)–(11) under hypothesis (5) with  $A_1 = I$ , assume (76) and (77) and let  $M$  be an integer satisfying (23), (38) and

$$M > \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq p} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(i_\ell)}{1 - \cos(\theta^{(\ell)})}, \alpha(i_\ell) \right\}. \quad (93)$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be defined by (80) and (81) respectively, assume that  $\{f(m)\}$  is bounded, conditions (73)–(74) are satisfied and  $r$  is small enough so that (92) holds. Let  $\{\widehat{f}_\ell(m)\}_{m=0}^M$  be defined by (85), let  $w_\delta^{(\ell)}$  be defined by (87) and assume that condition (87) holds. If  $\{U_\ell(m, n)\}$  is given by (90) then  $\{U(m, n)\}$  defined by (91) is a stable solution of problem (8)–(11).

## 4 Example

Consider the problem (1)–(4) with data:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = I,$$

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{9}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$f(m) = F(mh) = (f_1(m), f_2(m), f_3(m))^T$ , and  $h = \frac{1}{M}$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq M-1$ . Hypothesis (5) is satisfied,  $\widehat{A}_2 = (A_2 B_1 - B_2)^{-1} A_2 = A_2$  with

$$\sigma(\widehat{A}_2) = \{-1, 2\}, \quad \sigma(B_1) = \left\{-\frac{1}{2}, 3\right\}.$$

Let  $\alpha(1) = -1$ ,  $\alpha(2) = 2$ ,  $\beta(1) = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta(2) = 3$  and note that both pairs  $(\alpha(1), \beta(1))$ ,  $(\alpha(2), \beta(2))$  satisfy (48) and

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha(1)I)v = (B_1 - \beta(1)I)v = 0,$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\widehat{A}_2 - \alpha(2)I)w = (B_1 - \beta(2)I)w = 0.$$

For the pair  $(\alpha(1), \beta(1)) = (-1, -1/2)$  the matrix  $L(\alpha(1), \beta(1))$  defined by (72) takes the value

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L(-1, -1/2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0; \\ I - L(-1, -1/2)L(-1, -1/2)^\dagger &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \right] \quad (94)$$

Let  $\{\theta_\delta^{(1)}\}_{\delta=1}^{M-1}$  be the solutions of (49) corresponding to the pair  $(-1, -1/2)$  and let

$$w_\delta^{(1)} = \frac{-1}{4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta^{(1)}}{2}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1.$$

Hence

$$A + w_\delta^{(1)}B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3w_\delta^{(1)} & -1 + 2w_\delta^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - 8w_\delta^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + 5w_\delta^{(1)} & 1 - 3w_\delta^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (95)$$

By (94) and (95) it follows that

$$\left[ I - L(-1, -1/2)L(-1, -1/2)^\dagger \right] \left( A + w_\delta^{(1)}B \right) L(-1, -1/2) = 0, \quad (96)$$

$$1 \leq \delta \leq M-1,$$

Let us consider now the pair  $(\alpha(2), \beta(2)) = (2, 3)$ . Computing one gets

$$L(2, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ and } I - L(2, 3)L(2, 3)^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (97)$$

Let  $\{\theta_\delta^{(2)}\}_{\delta=1}^{M-1}$  be the solutions of (49) corresponding to the pair  $(2, 3)$  and let

$$w_\delta^{(2)} = \frac{-1}{4M^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_\delta^{(2)}}{2}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq \delta \leq M-1.$$

Note that

$$A + w_\delta^{(2)} B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3w_\delta^{(2)} & -1 + 2w_\delta^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 - 8w_\delta^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + 5w_\delta^{(2)} & 1 - 3w_\delta^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing the matrix  $\mathcal{L} = [L(\alpha(1), \beta(1)), L(\alpha(2), \beta(2))]$  one gets

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Condition (82) is satisfied by any vector function  $\{f(m)\}$  of the form

$$f(m) = (f_1(m), 0, f_3(m))^T.$$

The projections  $\{\widehat{f}_1(m)\}, \{\widehat{f}_2(m)\}$  defined by (85) take the form

$$\widehat{f}_1(m) = [L(\alpha(1), \beta(1)), 0] \mathcal{L}^\dagger f(m) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f_3(m) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (98)$$

$$\widehat{f}_2(m) = [0, L(\alpha(2), \beta(2))] \mathcal{L}^\dagger f(m) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(m) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (99)$$

Note that

$$\frac{A + A^H}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma\left(\frac{A + A^H}{2}\right) = \left\{ \frac{1079}{396}, \frac{297}{1079}, 1 \right\};$$

$$\frac{B + B^H}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -8 & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{5}{2} & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma\left(\frac{B + B^H}{2}\right) = \left\{ -\frac{1211}{132}, -3, -\frac{1729}{947} \right\},$$

and thus the stability conditions (73), (74) are satisfied. Taking small enough values of  $r$  satisfying (92),  $M$  verifying (23), (38) and (92) by *theorem 3.2* the vector function

$$U(m, n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^2 U_{\ell}(m, n),$$

where  $\{U_{\ell}(m, n)\}$  are defined by (89), (90) and  $\{\widehat{f}_{\ell}(m)\}$  by (98)–(99) is a stable solution of the mixed problem (8)–(11) with the above data.

## References

- [1] **R.P. Agarwal**, *Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, (1992).
- [2] **M.H. Alexander and D.E. Manolopoulos**, *A stable linear reference potential algorithm for solution of the quantum close-coupled equations in molecular scattering theory*, J. Chem. Phys. 86, 2044-2050, (1987).
- [3] **O. Axelsson**, *Iterative Solution Methods*, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge, (1996).
- [4] **S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer, Jr.**, *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, London, (1979).
- [5] **N. Dunford and J. Schwartz**, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York, (1957).
- [6] **G. Golub and C.F. Van Loan**, *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, M.D., (1989).
- [7] **A.L. Hodgkin and A.F. Huxley**, *A quantitative description of membrane current and its applications to conduction in the giant axon of Loligo*, J. Physiol., Vol. 117, pp. 500-544, (1952).
- [8] **L. Jódar and J.A. Sánchez**, *Constructive stable numerical solutions of strongly coupled diffusion mixed partial differential systems*, Int. J. Computer Math. 73 No.2, 225-242, (1999).
- [9] **L. Jódar, E. Navarro and J.A. Martín**, *Exact and analytic-numerical solution of strongly coupled mixed diffusion problems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 43, 269-293, (2000).
- [10] **L. Jódar and M.C. Casabán**, *Convergent matrix pencils*, Applied Math. Lett. 14 (5), 549-551, (2001).
- [11] **L. Jódar and M.C. Casabán**, *Convergent discrete numerical solution of coupled mixed partial differential systems*, Math. Comput. Model. 34, 283-297, (2001).
- [12] **R.J. Kee and L.R. Petzold**, *A differential/algebraic equation formulation of the method of lines solution to systems of partial differential equations*, Tech. Rep. SAND86-8893, Sandia National Laboratories, (1986).
- [13] **M. Maccagni**, *A initial-boundary value problem of physiological significance for equations of nerve conduction*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. XLII, pp. 213-227, (1989).
- [14] **V.S. Melezhik, I.V. Puzhynin, T.P. Puzhynina and L.N. Somov**, *Numerical solution of a system of integrodifferential equations arising from the quantum-mechanical three-body problem with Coulomb interaction*, J. Comput. Phys. 54, 221-236, (1984).
- [15] **L. Mirsky**, *An Introduction to Linear Algebra*, Dover, New York, (1990).

- [16] **P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach**, *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, McGraw-Hill, Tokio, (1953).
- [17] **E. Navarro, E. Ponsoda and L. Jódar**, *A matrix approach to the analytic-numerical solution of mixed partial differential systems*, *Computers Math. Appl.* 30 (1), 99-109, (1995).
- [18] **D. Sheng and K. Axelsson**, *Uncoupling of coupled flow in soil. A finite element method*, *Int. J. Numer. and Analytical Meth. in Geomechanics*, Vol. 19, pp. 537-553, (1995).
- [19] **J.C. Strikwerda**, *Finite Difference Schemes and Partial Differential Equations*, Chapman and Hall, (1989).
- [20] **A.T. Winfree**, *Heart Muscle as a Reaction-Diffusion Medium: the Roles of Electrical Potential Diffusion, Activation Front Curvature, and Anisotropy*, *Int. J. Bif. Chaos* 7, 487-526, (March 1997).