

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Problem: Humor and Irony . . . . .	3
1.2 The Core of the Problem: (Figurative) Language in Social Media	4
1.3 Objective . . . . .	6
1.4 Thesis Overview . . . . .	8
<b>2 Figurative Language</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Background . . . . .	11
2.2 Literal Language . . . . .	13
2.3 Figurative Language . . . . .	16
2.4 Figurative Devices . . . . .	21
2.4.1 Metaphor . . . . .	22
2.4.2 Metonym . . . . .	23
2.4.3 Simile . . . . .	24
2.4.4 Idioms . . . . .	25
2.5 Figurative Devices in this Thesis . . . . .	26
2.5.1 Humor: A Multidimensional Phenomenon . . . . .	26
2.5.2 Irony: A Veiled Phenomenon . . . . .	30
2.6 Summary . . . . .	33

## CONTENTS

---

<b>3</b>	<b>Figurative Language Processing</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Natural Language Processing . . . . .	35
3.2	Figurative Language Processing . . . . .	37
3.3	Advances on FLP . . . . .	39
3.3.1	Metaphor Processing . . . . .	40
3.3.2	Metonym Processing . . . . .	40
3.3.3	Similes Processing . . . . .	41
3.3.4	Idioms Processing . . . . .	42
3.4	Related Work on Humor Processing . . . . .	43
3.4.1	Humor Generation . . . . .	43
3.4.2	Humor Recognition . . . . .	45
3.5	Related Work on Irony Processing . . . . .	47
3.5.1	Irony Detection . . . . .	48
3.5.2	Sarcasm and Satire Detection . . . . .	49
3.6	Summary . . . . .	50
<b>4</b>	<b>Automatic Humor Recognition</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Initial Assumptions . . . . .	53
4.2	Humor Recognition Model . . . . .	56
4.2.1	Ambiguity . . . . .	56
4.2.2	Lexical Ambiguity . . . . .	57
4.2.3	Morphological Ambiguity . . . . .	58
4.2.4	Syntactic Ambiguity . . . . .	59
4.2.5	Semantic Ambiguity . . . . .	59
4.3	Evaluation of Ambiguity-based Patterns . . . . .	60
4.3.1	Data Sets H1 - H3 . . . . .	60
4.3.2	Evaluation . . . . .	61
4.3.2.1	Lexical Layer: Perplexity . . . . .	62
4.3.2.2	Morphological Layer: POS Tags . . . . .	63
4.3.2.3	Syntactic Layer: Sentence Complexity . . . . .	66
4.3.2.4	Semantic Layer: Sense Dispersion . . . . .	67
4.3.3	Discussion of Preliminary Findings . . . . .	69
4.4	Adding Surface Patterns . . . . .	70

4.4.1	Humor Domain . . . . .	71
4.4.2	Polarity . . . . .	72
4.4.3	Templates . . . . .	72
4.4.4	Affectiveness . . . . .	73
4.5	HRM Evaluation . . . . .	73
4.5.1	Data Set H4 . . . . .	74
4.5.2	Evaluation . . . . .	75
4.5.3	Results and Overall Discussion . . . . .	77
4.6	Summary . . . . .	80
<b>5</b>	<b>Irony Detection</b>	<b>83</b>
5.1	Irony: Beyond a Funny Effect . . . . .	84
5.2	Target . . . . .	85
5.3	Basic Irony Detection Model . . . . .	86
5.3.1	Data Set I1 . . . . .	87
5.3.2	HRM and Irony . . . . .	89
5.4	Basic IDM representation . . . . .	90
5.4.1	N-grams . . . . .	90
5.4.2	Descriptors . . . . .	91
5.4.3	POS n-grams . . . . .	92
5.4.4	Funniness . . . . .	93
5.4.5	Polarity . . . . .	94
5.4.6	Affectiveness . . . . .	94
5.4.7	Pleasantness . . . . .	94
5.5	Evaluation . . . . .	95
5.5.1	Discussion . . . . .	96
5.5.2	Pattern Analysis . . . . .	99
5.6	Complex Irony Detection Model . . . . .	100
5.6.1	Signatures . . . . .	101
5.6.2	Unexpectedness . . . . .	102
5.6.3	Style . . . . .	103
5.6.4	Emotional Contexts . . . . .	104
5.6.5	Data Set I2 . . . . .	105

## CONTENTS

---

5.7	Evaluation . . . . .	108
5.7.1	Representativeness of Patterns . . . . .	108
5.7.2	Classification Tasks: Results and Discussion . . . . .	112
5.8	Summary . . . . .	116
<b>6</b>	<b>Applicability of Figurative Language Models</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1	Aim . . . . .	117
6.2	Humor Retrieval . . . . .	118
6.2.1	Web Comments Data Set . . . . .	118
6.2.2	Experiments and Discussion . . . . .	119
6.2.3	Final Remarks . . . . .	120
6.3	Sentiment Analysis . . . . .	120
6.3.1	Sentiment Analysis Data Sets . . . . .	121
6.3.2	Automatic Evaluation . . . . .	122
6.3.3	Manual Evaluation . . . . .	124
6.3.4	Final Remarks . . . . .	128
6.4	Trend Discovery and Online Reputation . . . . .	128
6.4.1	Toyota Data Set . . . . .	128
6.4.2	Human Evaluation . . . . .	129
6.4.3	Final Remarks . . . . .	132
6.5	Further Tasks . . . . .	133
6.5.1	Towards a Humor Taxonomy . . . . .	133
6.5.2	Satire Detection . . . . .	135
6.6	Summary . . . . .	136
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>137</b>
7.1	Contributions . . . . .	138
7.2	Future Work . . . . .	142
7.3	Publications . . . . .	144
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>147</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>157</b>
	<b>A Literary Devices</b>	<b>157</b>

B	Examples of Blogs in H4	159
C	Set of Features in Signatures	163
D	Examples of Patterns Regarding the Complex Irony Detection Model	165
E	Probability Density Function for Patterns in Complex Irony Detection Model	169
F	Examples of the Most Ironic Sentences	175

## CONTENTS

---

# List of Figures

2.1	Example of visual humor <sup>±</sup> . . . . .	28
4.1	Frequency of Internet searches related to 5 different social subjects during four years (September 2007 - 2011) around the world. Statistics retrieved from Google Insights. . . . .	54
4.2	Probability of assigning POS tags concerning positive and negative examples in H1 - H3. . . . .	65
4.3	Chaos parser: Example of syntactic representation. . . . .	66
4.4	Humor average per subset. . . . .	76
5.1	Representativeness ratios of patterns <i>funny</i> (a), <i>polarity</i> (b) (positive in red and negative in blue, respectively), <i>affectiveness</i> (c), and <i>pleasantness</i> (d). Axis <i>x</i> represents the ironic reviews whereas axis <i>y</i> depicts its representativeness ratio. Dotted line symbolizes representativeness threshold. . . . .	96
5.2	Learning curve according to sets AMA (a), SLA (b), and TRI (c). . . . .	98
5.3	Distribution of positive, negative and out of vocabulary (neutral) terms in I2. . . . .	111
5.4	Classification accuracy regarding irony <i>vs.</i> education (a), humor (b), and politics (c), considering a balanced distribution. . . . .	113
5.5	Classification accuracy regarding irony <i>vs.</i> education (a), humor (b), and politics (c), considering an imbalanced distribution. . . . .	113
5.6	Relevance of every single dimension according to its information gain value. . . . .	115
6.1	$\gamma$ values per set: <i>movies2</i> (a); <i>movies1</i> (b); <i>books</i> (c); <i>articles</i> (d). . . . .	123

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

6.2	Preliminary humor taxonomy . . . . .	134
E.1	Probability density function for dimensions in signatures. . . . .	170
E.2	Probability density function for dimensions in unexpectedness. . . . .	171
E.3	Probability density function for dimensions in style. . . . .	172
E.4	Probability density function for dimensions in emotional contexts. . . . .	173



# List of Tables

4.1	Detailed information regarding data sets H1 to H3. . . . .	62
4.2	Perplexity ratios. . . . .	64
4.3	Average of POS tags per example. . . . .	64
4.4	Results concerning sentence complexity. . . . .	67
4.5	Semantic dispersion at sentence and word level. . . . .	68
4.6	H4 characteristics. Measures: corpus vocabulary size (CVS); document and vocabulary length (DL and VL, respectively); vocabulary and document length ratio (VDR); unsupervised vocabulary based measure (UVB); stylometric evaluation measure (SEM). . .	75
4.7	Results obtained with NB classifier. . . . .	78
4.8	Results obtained with SVM classifier. . . . .	78
4.9	Information gain results on HRM's patterns. . . . .	79
5.1	Detailed information regarding data set I1. . . . .	89
5.2	Results applying HRM over I1. . . . .	90
5.3	Statistics of the most frequent word n-grams. . . . .	91
5.4	SenseCluster parameters per experiment. . . . .	92
5.5	Descriptors obtained with keyness and clustering metrics. . . . .	92
5.6	Statistics of the most frequent POS-grams. . . . .	93
5.7	Classification results. . . . .	97
5.8	Most discriminating patterns per set. . . . .	98
5.9	Statistics in terms of tokens per set concerning data set I2. . . . .	107
5.10	Monge Elkan distance among sets. . . . .	108
5.11	Overall pattern representativeness per set. . . . .	110
5.12	Semantic relatedness per set. . . . .	111

## LIST OF TABLES

---

5.13	Precision, Recall and F-Measure regarding i) balanced distribution, and ii) imbalanced distribution. . . . .	114
6.1	Classification accuracy of funny vs. informative ( $c_1$ ), insightful ( $c_2$ ), and negative ( $c_3$ ), respectively. . . . .	119
6.2	Manual evaluation in terms of isolated sentences. . . . .	125
6.3	Manual evaluation in terms of whole documents. . . . .	125
6.4	Statistics regarding annotators judgments. . . . .	130
6.5	Irony retrieval results. . . . .	132
C.1	Features in pattern signatures. . . . .	164