

INDEX

ABSTRACT	IX
RESUMEN	XI
RESUM	XIII
NOMENCLATURE	XV
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. CHARACTERIZATION OF PRUNING CONDITIONS AND TECHNIQUES IN URBAN FORESTS	3
2.1.1. <i>Technical conditions</i>	3
2.1.2. <i>Aesthetic and landscape conditions</i>	4
2.1.3. <i>Urban conditions</i>	5
2.1.4. <i>Sanitary conditions</i>	5
2.1.5. <i>Safety conditions</i>	5
2.1.6. <i>Pruning palm trees</i>	5
2.2. URBAN FOREST BIOMASS ESTIMATES	6
2.3. METHODS TO DETERMINE THE ENERGY POTENTIAL OF BIOMASS	12
2.3.1. <i>Elemental analysis</i>	12
2.3.2. <i>Proximal analysis</i>	13
2.3.3. <i>Structural analysis</i>	13
2.4. LIDAR TECHNOLOGY	14
3. OBJECTIVES	17
3.1. MAIN OBJECTIVE	17
3.2. SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES	17
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS	19
4.1. DENDROMETRIC ANALYSIS AND ALLOMETRIC EQUATIONS	19
4.1.1. <i>Dendrometric analysis of branches</i>	21
4.1.2. <i>Crown volume estimation, biomass prediction models</i>	23
4.1.3. <i>Statistical analysis</i>	24
4.1.4. <i>Studied vegetal material</i>	25
4.2. LIDAR APPLICATION	32
4.2.1. <i>Field data collection and processing</i>	33
4.2.2. <i>Extraction of tree parameters from TLS point clouds</i>	35
4.2.3. <i>Methods for determination of crown volume</i>	39
4.3. WOOD CHARACTERIZATION	43
4.3.1. <i>Fuel specification</i>	43
4.3.2. <i>Determination of moisture content</i>	44
4.3.3. <i>Sample preparation</i>	45
4.3.4. <i>Determination of calorific value</i>	45
4.3.5. <i>Determination of total content of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen</i>	46
4.3.6. <i>Determination of total content of sulphur</i>	47
4.3.7. <i>Determination of wood density</i>	47
4.3.8. <i>Determination of percentage of bark</i>	48
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	49
5.1. ALLOMETRIC PREDICTION OF THE AMOUNT OF RESIDUAL BIOMASS FROM PRUNING	49
5.1.1. <i>Morus alba</i>	49
5.1.2. <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	58
5.1.3. <i>Sophora japonica</i>	63
5.1.4. <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	68
5.1.5. <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	71
5.2. APPLICATION OF TLS FOR CALCULATION OF DENDROMETRIC PARAMETERS	76
5.2.1. <i>Comparison of TLS data with direct measurements</i>	76

5.2.2.	<i>Comparison of TLS data with crown volume</i>	79
5.2.3.	<i>Prediction of residual biomass from TLS data</i>	85
5.3.	ENERGY CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RESIDUES	87
5.3.1.	<i>Elemental composition</i>	87
5.3.2.	<i>Determination of moisture content</i>	90
5.3.3.	<i>Determination of wood density</i>	92
6.	CONCLUSIONS	93
6.1.	ALLOMETRIC MODELS TO QUANTIFY RESIDUAL BIOMASS	93
6.2.	DENDROMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF BRANCHES	94
6.3.	TERRESTRIAL LASER SCANNER (TLS) TECHNIQUES	94
6.4.	WOOD CHARACTERIZATION	96
7.	REFERENCES	97
8.	PUBLICATIONS AND CONGRESSES	107
9.	ANNEX	109

TABLE INDEX

Table 1. Sources for allometric equations used in urban biomass studies (McHale et al., 2009)	11
Table 2. Equations for volume of each branch section	22
Table 3. Equations to compute volume of solids of revolution	22
Table 4. Branch volume functions	23
Table 5. Growth models of tree crowns	23
Table 6. Main characteristics of the equipment Leica ScanStation 2 laser scanner (www. leica-geosystems.com)	32
Table 7. Specification of properties of wood logs	44
Table 8. Mean values and standard deviation of dry biomass	49
Table 9. Variable analysis of <i>Morus alba</i> trees, street location	49
Table 10. Variable analysis of <i>Morus alba</i> trees, park location	49
Table 11. Mean and standard deviation of dendrometric variables of <i>Morus alba</i>	50
Table 12. Mean and standard deviation of form factor of sample branches of <i>Morus alba</i>	51
Table 13. Regression model to describe the relationship between f and 2 independent variables d_0 , h in branches of <i>Morus alba</i>	51
Table 14. Significance of explicative variables for form factor for <i>Morus alba</i>	51
Table 15. Branch volume functions for <i>Morus alba</i>	52
Table 16. Significance of explicative variables for Spurr volume function for <i>Morus alba</i>	52
Table 17. Significance of explicative variables for Naslund volume function for <i>Morus alba</i>	52
Table 18. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	53
Table 19. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	53
Table 20. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	53
Table 21. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	54
Table 22. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	54
Table 23. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	55
Table 24. Regression model to describe the relationship between residual biomass and dendrometric variables for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	55
Table 25. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	55
Table 26. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and dendrometric variables for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	55
Table 27. Significance of regression model for biomass prediction for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	56
Table 28. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	56
Table 29. Significance of regression model for biomass prediction from crown volume for <i>Morus alba</i> , street location	56
Table 30. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	57
Table 31. Significance of regression model for biomass prediction from crown volume for <i>Morus alba</i> , park location	57
Table 32. Variable analysis of <i>Platanus hispanica</i> trees	58
Table 33. Mean and standard deviation of form factor of sample branches of <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	58
Table 34. Regression model to describe the relationship between f and 2 independent variables d_0 , h in branches of <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	59
Table 35. Significance of explicative variables for form factor for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	59
Table 36. Branch volume functions for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	59
Table 37. Significance of explicative variables for Spurr volume function for <i>Platanus hispanica</i> branches	59
Table 38. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	60
Table 39. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	60
Table 40. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction of <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	61
Table 41. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and dendrometric variables for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	61
Table 42. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	61
Table 43. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	62
Table 44. Significance of explicative variables for residual biomass prediction for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	62
Table 45. Variable analysis for <i>Sophora japonica</i> trees	63
Table 46. Mean and standard deviation of form factor of sample branches of <i>Sophora japonica</i>	63

Table 47. Regression model to describe the relationship between f and 2 independent variables do , h in branches of <i>Sophora japonica</i>	64
Table 48. Significance of explicative variables for form factor for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	64
Table 49. Branch volume functions for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	64
Table 50. Significance of explicative variables for Spurr volume function for <i>Sophora japonica</i> branches.....	64
Table 51. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	65
Table 52. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	65
Table 53. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction of <i>Sophora japonica</i>	66
Table 54. Regression model to describe the relationship between B and dendrometric variables for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	66
Table 55. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	66
Table 56. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	67
Table 57. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	67
Table 58. Variable analysis of <i>Phoenix canariensis</i> trees	68
Table 59. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	69
Table 60. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	69
Table 61. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction of <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	69
Table 62. Regression model to describe the relationship between residual biomass and dendrometric variables for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	70
Table 63. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	70
Table 64. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	70
Table 65. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	71
Table 66. Variable analysis of <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i> trees.....	71
Table 67. Regression models to describe the relationship between the pruned biomass (B) and only one independent variable for <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	72
Table 68. Multiple regression analysis for <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	72
Table 69. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction of <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	72
Table 70. Regression model to describe the relationship between residual biomass and dendrometric variables for <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	73
Table 71. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction for <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	73
Table 72. Regression model to describe the relationship between biomass and independent variable crown volume for <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	73
Table 73. Significance of explicative variables for biomass prediction of <i>Phoenix dactilifera</i>	74
Table 74. Tree parameters extracted from TLS point clouds and classical observations	76
Table 75. Volumes (m^3) obtained for each of the 7 methods of calculation and their basic statistics.....	80
Table 76. Equations and R^2 coefficient adjustment of the different calculation methods	81
Table 77. Statistical values of proportionality factor between the paraboloid crown model and hemisphere crown model	82
Table 78. Analysis of elemental composition of examined biomass	87
Table 79. Multidimensional analysis of studied elemental composition.....	89
Table 80. Prediction models for indirect calculation of gross calorific value.....	90
Table 81. Density of analyzed species	92
Table 82. Field data sheet 1.....	109
Table 83. Field data sheet 2.....	110
Table 84. Validation data	111

FIGURE INDEX

Figure 1. From left to right: bundles of pruned branches; weighting process with a dynamometer	21
Figure 2. Measurements of diameters in each section	22
Figure 3. Topping applied to <i>Morus alba</i> trees, Bedker et al., 1995 adapted (sheme)	26
Figure 4. Annual maintenance applied to <i>Morus alba</i> trees, Bedker et al., 1995 adapted (sheme)	26
Figure 5. From left to right: Topping of <i>Morus alba</i> : before; after	26
Figure 6. From left to right: Annual maintenance of <i>Morus alba</i> : before; after.....	27
Figure 7. Crown raising applied to <i>Platanus hispanica</i> trees, Bedker et al., 1995 adapted (scheme)	28
Figure 8. From left to right: Crown raising of <i>Platanus hispanica</i> ; residual transport.....	28
Figure 9. Topping applied to <i>Sophora japonica</i> trees, Bedker et al., 1995 adapted (scheme)	29
Figure 10. From left to right: Topping of <i>Sophora japonica</i> : before; after.....	29
Figure 11. Annual pruning of palm trees, FAO, 2002 adapted (scheme)	31
Figure 12. From left to right and up to down: Annual pruning of <i>Phoenix canariensis</i> before; after; <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> pruning; residuals.....	31
Figure 13. From left to right: Leica ScanStation 2 equipment with necessary accessories; tripod-mounted HDS target; scanned target.....	33
Figure 14. Tree distribution	34
Figure 15. From left to right: picture of scanned trees in the urban area; obtained scan data, where elements different to the tree must be filtered	34
Figure 16. From left to right: whole tree (A [No.] xyz); tree trunk (A [No.] T.xyz); tree crown (A [No.] C.xyz)	35
Figure 17. A4T.xyz file loaded in Cyclone for trunk centre calculation.....	37
Figure 18. [No.] C.xyz point cloud projected onto the XY plane	37
Figure 19. Phases of diameter calculation	38
Figure 20. Representation of the four algorithms used to derive crown volume from TLS data. From left to right and top to down: Picture of the scanned crown; global CH; CH layers; triangulation by sections; voxels	39
Figure 21. a,b. Initial steps for convex hull formation	40
Figure 22. LSD intervals for “Location” factor in <i>Morus alba</i> trees at 95% confidence level: dbh: diameter at breast height (cm); dc: crown diameter (m); h: total tree height (m).....	50
Figure 23. LSD intervals for the comparison of the residual biomass for <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> and <i>Phoenix canariensis</i> : dry biomass per tree, number, mean weight and length of pruned leaves	74
Figure 24. Intervals of statistical comparison of the dendrometric measurements carried out manually and by means of TLS for 30 sample trees: ch: crown height (m); h: total tree height (m); dc: crown diameter (m); hc: distance from soil to the crown (m);	77
Figure 25. Inter-comparison of parameters obtained from TLS point clouds and ground observations for 30 sample trees: canopy diameter (m); total tree height (m); distance from soil to the crown (m); canopy height (m)	78
Figure 26. Position of the voxels in four horizontal sections at 3, 5, 7 and 9m height of tree	79
Figure 27. LSD intervals for calculation methods: 1-global CH; 2-CH layers; 3-sections; 4- voxel; 5- paraboloid; 6-hemisphere; 7-cone.....	81
Figure 28. Paraboloid versus hemisphere volume of the crown (m ³) (30 sample trees).....	82
Figure 29. From left to right and up to down: Relationships between volume of the paraboloid and the volumes obtained from TLS data for 30 sample trees: global CH; CH layers; sections; voxel	83
Figure 30. Processing time for each tree crown and the 4 methods applied to laser data	84
Figure 31. From left to right and up to down: Relationship between 4 methods of TLS volume calculation and yielded biomass from 28 sample trees: global CH; CH layers; sections, voxels.....	85
Figure 32. Relationship between 2 methods of volume calculation (cone, hemisphere) and yielded biomass from 28 sample trees	85
Figure 33. LSD intervals for GCV of species: 1- <i>Morus alba</i> ; 2- <i>Phoenix canariensis</i> ; 3- <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> ; 4- <i>Platanus hispanica</i> ; 5- <i>Sophora japonica</i>	88
Figure 34. Drying curve for <i>Morus alba</i>	90
Figure 35. Drying curve for <i>Sophora japonica</i>	91
Figure 36. Drying curve for <i>Platanus hispanica</i>	91
Figure 37. Drying curve for <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	91
Figure 38. Drying curve for <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i>	91
Figure 39. LSD intervals for wood density of species: 1- <i>Morus alba</i> ; 2- <i>Phoenix canariensis</i> ; 3- <i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> ; 4- <i>Platanus hispanica</i> ; 5- <i>Sophora japonica</i>	92