## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 11	
Introduction	1
Objectives	5
Chapter 27	
Finite beam element used	7
Chapter 3	
Methodology to analyze steel frames subjected to non-uniform temperatures by	fire using
beam-type elements	8
3.1 Justification and antecedents	8
3.2 Cardington fire test	10
3.3 Numerical modeling	11
3.4 Methodology description	11
3.5 Heat transfer analysis of the framework sections	14
3.6. Structural analysis of the framework	18
3.7. Thermal results of sections	23
3.8 Framework's mechanical results	
Chapter 4	
New proposals for modeling lateral-torsional buckling in class-4 steel members a	t elevated
temperatures using beam-type elements	41
4.1 Justification and antecedents	41
4.2 Description of the FIDESC4 tests used for validation	45
4.3 Proposed modeling strategies	48
4.4 LTB-GMNIA of the tested beams	49
4.4.1Finite element model definition	50
4.4.2 Eigenvalue analysis	50
4.4.3 Implementation of initial imperfections	51
4.4.4 Implementation of residual stresses	53
4.4.5 Application of the thermal conditioning	54
4.4.6 Application of post-conditioning	55
4.4.7 Results	56
4.5 Implementation of LTB-GMNIA in the proposed modeling strategies	56
4.5.1 Fiber Beam Model (FBM)	57
4.5.2 Cruciform Frame Model (CFM)	62
4.5.3 Shell Models for numerical validation	67
4.6 Validation of the proposed strategies	70
4.6.1 Test-1 validation	70
4.6.2 Test-3 validation	77
Chapter 5	
Discussion of results	82
Chapter 6	
Conclusions and future work	
6.1 Conclusions	
6.2 Future work	86
References	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1 BEAM189 finite element	7
Fig. 2 Cardington framework	10
Fig. 3 Representation of the temperature profile components	12
Fig. 4 Methodology summary	14
Fig. 5 Mixed cross-sections dimensions	15
Fig. 6 Natural fire curve	15
Fig. 7 Cross-sections discretization	16
Fig. 8 Transient heat-transfer analysis flowchart of a mixed-section	17
Fig. 9 Methodology for non-uniform temperature approach in a steel-section	18
Fig. 10 Idealized Cardington framework.	19
Fig. 11 Sections pseudo-meshing and BEAM189-framework discretization	20
Fig. 12 Longitudinal temperature variation in half beam	21
Fig. 13 Mechanical analysis flowchart	23
Fig. 14 Temperature at three points on the beam steel-section	24
Fig. 15 Temperature at three points on the column steel-section	24
Fig. 16 Temperature isocontours and ZZ-thermal gradient in the beam section at t=30 m	in26
Fig. 17 Temperature isocontours and ZZ-thermal gradient in the column section at t=30	min
	26
Fig. 18 Isocontours of YY-horizontal gradient and ZZ-vertical gradient in the I-profile sec	ction
of the steel beam, in °C/m	27
Fig. 19 Isocontours of YY-horizontal gradient and ZZ-vertical gradient in the I-profile sec	ction
of the steel column, in °C/m	28
Fig. 20 Average transversal gradient time-histories in the steel sections of the beam and colu	umn
	29
Fig. 21 Time-histories of average temperatures calculated and applied at three points in	1 the
sections	30
Fig. 22 Calculated temperature surfaces and applied approximate temperature planes	31
Fig. 23 Deflection comparison of the framework members (centroidal results)	32
Fig. 24 Lateral displacement - column mid-height, (1/4w)	33
Fig. 25 Lateral displacement on outer face $(w_f/4)$ - column mid-height (t=20 min), in extru	uded
view	33
Fig. 26 Column end extension in two points ( <i>t</i> =20.47 min)	35
Fig. 27 UY-displacements in the column end (t=20.47 min), in extruded view	35
Fig. 28 Normal column displacement (t=20.47 min)	36
Fig. 29 Non-uniform temperature applied (t=20.47) min), in extruded view	36
Fig. 30 Flexural-torsional buckling (t=20.47 min), in extruded view	37
Fig. 31 3D-displacement field isocontours (t=20.47 min), in extruded view	38
Fig. 32 Von Mises plastic deformations in the framework (t=20.47 min), in extruded view	739
Fig. 33 Flexural-torsional effects in the framework (t=20.47 min)	40
Fig. 34 Moment-deflection relationship for a simply supported steel beam according to cr	ross-
section class.	42
Fig. 35 Dimensions and materials of tested beams. (a) Test-1. (b) Test-3	45
Fig. 36 Dimensions of welded I-sections, in mm. (a) Test-1. (b) Test-3	45
Fig. 37 Scheme of the experiment	46
Fig. 38 Tested beam subjected to pure bending	46

Fig. 39 (a) Test setup. (b,c) Pinned supports. (d) Application of load through a distribution
Fig. 40 (a) Average steel temperatures in the heated zone of the beam. (b) Test boundary
conditions and loads
Fig. 41 Idealization of the tested beam in each proposed modeling strategy. (a) FBM. (b) CFM
Fig. 42 Residual stress pattern for welded I-section at room temperature
Fig. 43 Semi-rigid boundaries produced by stiffness change
Fig. 44 Full LTB-GMNIA for the FIDESC4 tested beams56
Fig. 45 I-cross-section discretization and cells for Test-1
Fig. 46 FBM meshing and boundary conditions for Test-1. (a) Non-extruded view. (b) Extruded view
Fig. 47 FBM global mode shape for Test-1. (a) Non-extruded view. (b) Extruded view58
Fig. 48 FBM local mode shape for Test-1. (a) Non-extruded view. (b) Extruded view
Fig. 49 Imperfect initial geometry of FBM for Test-1 (mm)59
Fig. 50 FBM tensile and compressive residual stresses in the welded I-section for Test-1 [MPa]
Fig. 51 Approximation of non-uniform temperature in the BEAM189 cross-section (Test-1)
Fig. 52 Temperature components related to BEAM189 element axes (Test-1)
Fig. 54 CEM meshing and boundary conditions for Test 1 (a) Non extraded view (b)
Extruded view
Fig. 55 Details of the CFM web fibers and dimensions of the fiber elements for Test-1, in mm.
(a) Vertical fiber. (b) Horizontal fiber
Fig. 56 CFM cross-section discretization and element cells for Test-1
Fig. 57 CFM global mode shape for Test-1. (a) Non-extruded view. (b) Extruded view65
Fig. 58 CFM local mode shape for Test-1. (a) Non-extruded view. (b) Extruded view
Fig. 59 Imperfect initial geometry of CFM for Test-1 (mm)
Fig. 60 CFM tensile and compressive residual stresses in the 1-section for Test-1 [MPa]66
Fig. 61 CFM stiffener detail for 1 est-1
Fig. 62 Full L1B-GMNIA flowchart. (a) FBM. (b) CFM
Fig. 63 Ansys-SM for Test-1. (a) Geometrical model: division into areas. (b) Meshing and
$\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} E$
Fig. 64 Ansys-SM for Test-1. (a) Global mode shape. (b) Local mode shape
Fig. 65 (a) Imperfections added to <i>y</i> -coordinates of the web for Test-1 (mm). (b) Imperfections
added to z-coordinates of the top flange for 1 est-1(mm)
mid-span for Test-1
Fig. 67 Deformed shapes of Test-1 models. (a) FBM Uz deflection. (b) CFM Uz deflection. (c) Ansys-SM Uz deflection (d) FIDESC4 Test-1. (e) FIDESC4 Safir-SM. (f) FIDESC4 Abaqus-SM
Fig. 68 Top flange and web local buckling detail. (a) CFM. (b) Ansys-SM74
Fig. 69 Axial stresses at the end of the analysis [MPa]. (a,d) FBM. (b,e) CFM. (c,f) Ansys-SM
Fig. 70 Warping on the deformed shape
Fig. 71 Bicurvature
Fig. 72 Bimoment
Fig. 73 Bimoment-bicurvature relationship

Fig. 74 Total applied load versus vertical deflection at the bottom flange mid-poi	nt in the beam
mid-span for Test-3	77
Fig. 75 Deformed shape of Test-3 models. (a) FBM Uz deflection. (b) CFM Uz	deflection. (c)
Ansys-SM Uz deflection (d) FIDESC4 Test-3. (e) FIDESC4 Safir-SM.	. (f) FIDESC4
Abaqus-SM	
Fig. 76 Top flange and web local buckling detail. (a) CFM. (b) Ansys-SM	
Fig. 77 Comparison of the CPU times of the proposed modeling strategies. (a) T	est-1. (b) Test-
3	

## LIST OF TABLES