Document downloaded from:

http://hdl.handle.net/10251/183131

This paper must be cited as:

Carando, D.; Mazzitelli, M.; Sevilla Peris, P. (2021). A Note on the Symmetry of Sequence Spaces. Mathematical Notes. 110(1-2):26-40. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434621070038



The final publication is available at https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434621070038

Copyright Pleiades Publishing

Additional Information

A note on the symmetry of sequence spaces

Daniel Carando * Martín Mazzitelli [†] Pablo Sevilla-Peris[‡]

Abstract

We give a self-contained treatment of symmetric Banach sequence spaces and some of their natural properties. We are particularly interested in the symmetry of the norm and the existence of symmetric linear functionals. Many of the presented results are known or commonly accepted but are not found in the literature.

^{*}Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires and IMAS-UBA-CONICET, Int. Güiraldes s/n, 1428, Buenos Aires, Argentina (dcarando@dm.uba.ar). Supported by CONICET-PIP 11220130100329CO and ANPCyT PICT 2015-2299.

[†]Universidad Nacional del Comahue, CONICET, Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Economía y Administración, Neuquén, Argentina (martin.mazzitelli@crub.uncoma.edu.ar). Supported by CONICET-PIP 11220130100329CO and ANPCyT PICT 2015-2299.

[‡]Institut Universitari de Matemàtica Pura i Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, cmno Vera s/n, 46022, València, Spain (psevilla@mat.upv.es) Supported by MICINN and FEDER project MTM2017-83262-C2-1-P

1 Introduction

Reality likes symmetry and faint anachronisms.

The South
J. L. Borges

In this expository work, we study some natural properties of symmetric Banach sequence spaces. We aim to give a simple and self-contained presentation of different results which are probably known but hard to find in the literature. One of our goals is to prove that the two natural definitions for symmetry (for a Banach sequence space together with its norm) are actually equivalent (see Theorem 1.1 below). We are also naturally led to investigate the existence of continuous symmetric linear functionals on these spaces. The structure of the article prioritizes the exposition and the development of ideas over briefness. Thus, we take our time to discuss different reasonings that may not lead to the desired results, but either give a hint about the correct path or raise natural related questions which are interesting on their own.

Given $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} we consider $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the vector space of all sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_n \in \mathbb{K}$. A Banach sequence space is a subspace X of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with a complete norm such that, if $x = (x_n)_n \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $y = (y_n)_n \in X$ are sequences so that $|x_n| \leq |y_n|$ for every n, then $x \in X$ and $||x|| \leq ||y||$.

A Banach sequence space is symmetric if $x_{\sigma} = (x_{\sigma(n)})_n \in X$ and $||x_{\sigma}|| = ||x||$ for every $x \in X$ and all permutation σ of \mathbb{N} . Let us note that if X is symmetric then $||e_k|| = ||e_1||$ for all k and, multiplying the norm by a number if necessary, we may assume

$$||e_k|| = 1$$
, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (1)

From now on, unless stated the contrary, all Banach sequence spaces (symmetric or not) are assumed to satisfy (1). Then a straightforward computation (left to the reader) shows that every X Banach

sequence space satisfies

$$\ell_1 \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow \ell_{\infty} \tag{2}$$

with continuous inclusions of norm one. As a consequence, convergence in norm implies coordinate-wise convergence.

Given a bounded sequence $x = (x_n)_n$ its decreasing rearrangement $x^* = (x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by

$$x_n^* := \inf \{ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J} |x_k| \colon J \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ , cardJ} < n \}, \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Symmetric spaces are also usually defined as those satisfying that $x \in X$ if and only if $x^* \in X$ and in this case $||x|| = ||x^*||$. Both definitions are commonly accepted as equivalent, but we could not find any complete proof of this fact in the literature, and the partial results are scattered on different publications. Our aim here is to provide with a unified, complete and self-contained proof of this fact, complemented with some other independently interesting questions that came across. So our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let X be a Banach sequence space. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) X is symmetric.
- (ii) $x \in X$ if and only if $x^* \in X$ and, in this case, $||x|| = ||x^*||$.

Before we proceed to the proof (that we give in Section 2) let us make a couple of comments. First of all, if σ is a permutation of \mathbb{N} , one clearly has $x^* = (x_\sigma)^*$ for every x and, therefore, (ii) implies (i) in the previous theorem. The proof only needs to focus on the reverse implication.

Garling was the first one to consider symmetric sequence spaces, with a different point of view from the one we take here. Let us

briefly explain it. For him, a sequence space is a subspace X of ω ($\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the topology of coordinatewise convergence, which makes it a Fréchet space) satisfying that if $x \in \omega$ and $y \in X$ are so that $|x_n| \leq |y_n|$ for every n, then $x \in X$. It is symmetric whenever $x_{\sigma} \in X$ for every $x \in X$ and every permutation σ (note that, since he was working with locally convex spaces no reference to a norm is made). He showed in [5, Proposition 6] (see also Proposition 2.1) that if X is symmetric, then either $X \subseteq c_0$, $X = \ell_{\infty}$ or $X = \omega$ and in [5, Proposition 7] that, if $X \subseteq c_0$ then $x^* \in X$ if and only if $x \in X$. Since this is obviously satisfied by ℓ_{∞} and ω , this gives a sort of nonnormed version of Theorem 1.1. Let us see now how do the norms come into play.

If X is a symmetric Banach sequence space, a mapping $\gamma \colon X \to \mathbb{K}$ is said to be symmetric if $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x_{\sigma})$ for every permutation σ of \mathbb{N} . Then, a Banach sequence space is symmetric (in 'our' sense) if and only if it is symmetric (in the sense of Garling) and moreover the norm defining the topology is symmetric. The following example shows that being symmetric in the sense of Garling does not necessarily imply that the norm is symmetric.

Example 1.2. Take the sequence of weights $w = (w_n)_n$ given by $w_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $w_n = 1$ for all $n \ge 2$ and let $X = \ell_1(w) = \{x \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}: \sum_n x_n w_n < \infty\}$ with the natural norm given by

$$||x||_X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| w_n.$$

Then it is clear that $x \in X$ if and only if $x_{\sigma} \in X$ for any permutation σ of \mathbb{N} , but since $\frac{1}{2} = \|e_1\|_X \neq \|e_n\|_X = 1$ for $n \geq 2$, the norm is not symmetric.

Summarizing, one implication in Theorem 1.1 is obvious, and the part of the remaining implication not involving the norm is already covered by Garling's results. So, what is left to complete the proof is to see that if the norm of X is symmetric norm, then $||x||_X = ||x^*||_{X^*}$

for every $x \in X$.

A first attempt could be to try to disprove Theorem 1.1 by finding a symmetric Banach sequence space whose norm does not satisfy the condition. We already knew (see e.g. [7, Proposition 3.a.3]) that this is not possible whenever $X \hookrightarrow c_0$. So, taking into account [5, Proposition 6] (or Proposition 2.1) the only possibility is to consider ℓ_{∞} renormed in some convenient way. As Theorem 1.1 holds, we deduce from the previous remark that there is no symmetric linear functional γ on ℓ_{∞} satisfying $\gamma(1,1,1,\ldots) \neq 0$. But, as a matter of fact, we can prove in a straightforward way that on ℓ_{∞} there is no continuous symmetric linear functional at all (except, of course, the zero functional).

Proposition 1.3. There is no continuous symmetric linear functional $\gamma \not\equiv 0$ on ℓ_{∞} .

Proof. Since

$$(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,\ldots) = (1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,\ldots) + (0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,\ldots),$$

by linearity we have

$$\gamma(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,\dots) = \gamma(1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,\dots) + \gamma(0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,\dots)$$

and, by the symmetry of γ ,

$$\gamma(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,...) = \gamma(1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,...) = \gamma(0,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1,...) = 0.$$

Using again the symmetry of γ we deduce that $\gamma(x)=0$ for every sequence x having infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's. Now, every sequence of 0's and 1's can be written as a sum or difference of two sequences with infinitely many 0's and infinitely many 1's, so γ is zero in all such sequences. If x belongs to the subset $D \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$ of all the sequences taking only finitely many different values, then x is a finite linear combination of sequences taking only the values 0 and 1. Hence, by linearity of γ , we have $\gamma(x)=0$. This proves the statement, since γ is continuous and D is dense in ℓ_{∞} . To see that

D is dense fix $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, and let us see that there exists $z \in D$ such that $||x-z||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$. In the real case take a partition $\{\eta_0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m\}$ of the interval I = [-||x||, ||x||] such that $|\eta_{j+1} - \eta_j| < \varepsilon$ for every $j = 0, \dots, m-1$. In the complex case, choose a finite covering of the disk centered at 0 with radius ||x|| consisting of disks of radius ε and denote their centers by $\{\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\}$. Then define, for each n,

$$z_n = \eta_j$$
, for some j such that $|x_n - \eta_j| < \varepsilon$.

Since the partition is finite, z takes only a finite number of different values (i.e., $z \in D$) and clearly $||x - z||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$.

So we have that on ℓ_{∞} there is no (non-trivial) symmetric functional. On the other side of the scale, ℓ_1 , the functional $\gamma((x_n)_n) = \sum_n x_n$ is clearly symmetric. So, we now wonder what happens in between.

Question 1.4. Are there symmetric spaces $X \neq \ell_1$ where we can find continuous symmetric linear functionals?

We address this question in Section 3. We will see in Proposition 3.1 that for separable spaces there is no hope and in Proposition 3.5 we construct a Marcinkiewicz on which there are continuous symmetric non-trivial linear functionals.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and related results

We start the way towards the proof of Theorem 1.1 by showing that a symmetric Banach sequence space is either contained in c_0 (with continuous norm) or is ℓ_∞ (with some equivalent norm). This, as we already mentioned in the introduction, was essentially proved in [5, Proposition 6]. There the spaces are not supposed to be normed, hence no reference to the continuity of the norms is made, but this follows easily from (2) (also, a third possibility $X = \omega$ appears there).

Proposition 2.1. If X is symmetric, then either $X = \ell_{\infty}$ (with equivalent norms) or $X \hookrightarrow c_0$.

Proof. If $X \subseteq c_0$ as sets, then $X \hookrightarrow c_0$ follows immediately from (2). Suppose, then, that these is some $x = (x_n)_n \in X \setminus c_0$ and choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ infinite so that $\mathbb{N} \setminus J$ is infinite and $|x_n| > \varepsilon$ for $n \in J$. Define now $y = (y_n)_n$ and $z = (z_n)_n$ by

$$y_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \varepsilon & \text{if} & n \in J, \\ 0 & \text{if} & n \in \mathbb{I} \setminus J, \end{array} \right. \quad z_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if} & n \in J, \\ \varepsilon & \text{if} & n \in \mathbb{I} \setminus J. \end{array} \right.$$

Since $|y_n| \le |x_n|$ for every n, we deduce that $y \in X$. On the other hand, the fact that $z = y_\sigma$ for some permutation σ and that X is symmetric gives that $z \in X$. Hence

$$(1,1,1,\ldots) = \frac{y+z}{\varepsilon} \in X.$$

Now, given any $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ we have $|x_n| < ||x||_{\infty}$ for every n and, since $||x||_{\infty}(1,1,1,\ldots) \in X$, we obtain that $x \in X$ with $||x||_X \le ||(1,1,1,\ldots)||_X ||x||_{\infty}$. This shows that $\ell_{\infty} \hookrightarrow X$. From (2) we have $X \hookrightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ and the proof is completed.

Given a sequence x in $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we introduce some further notation. First of all, we denote $|x| = (|x_n|)_n$. Also, following [5, Definition 3], the *closing up x* is defined as the sequence $x' = (x'_n)_n$ given by

$$x'_n = \begin{cases} \text{the } n \text{th non-zero term of } x & \text{if such exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The semigroup of one-to-one mappings $\pi \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is denoted by Π and, for such a π , the meaning of the notation x_{π} is clear. Finally, for each subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we define x_I to be the sequence given by

$$(x_I)_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given two sequences $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we write $x \leq y$ whenever $x_n \leq y_n$ for every n.

The next proposition generalizes [5, Lemma 3 and Corollary].

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a symmetric Banach sequence space.*

- (i) If $\pi \in \Pi$ and $x \in X$, then $x_{\pi} \in X$ with $||x_{\pi}||_X \le ||x||_X$.
- (ii) $x \in X$ if and only if $x' \in X$ and, in this case, $||x||_X = ||x'||_X$.

Proof. Bearing Proposition 2.1 in mind we can split the proof in two cases. We prove first the statement in the case $X \hookrightarrow c_0$ and then we focus on the case $X = \ell_{\infty}$.

Case $X \hookrightarrow c_0$. We begin by proving (i). Let us note first of all that $x \in c_0$ implies that $x_{\pi} = (x_{\pi(n)})_n \in c_0$ and then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find an infinite set $I_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n \in I_1} |x_{\pi(n)}| < \varepsilon$. If we denote $I_2 = \mathbb{N} \setminus I_1$ we clearly have

$$x_{\pi} = (x_{\pi})_{I_1} + (x_{\pi})_{I_2}.$$

Our aim is to see that each one of the summands on the right-handside belong to X. On the one hand $(x_{\pi})_{I_1}$ belongs to ℓ_1 , thus to X. Moreover (2) yields $\|(x_{\pi})_{I_1}\|_X \leq \sum_{n \in I_1} |x_{\pi(n)}| < \varepsilon$. In order to see that $(x_{\pi})_{I_2}$ also belongs to X we do $I_2 = \{i_1, i_2, ...\}$ and take any permutation σ so that $\sigma(i_k) = \pi(i_k)$ for every k. Then $|(x_{\pi})_{I_2}| \leq |x_{\sigma}|$ and, since $x_{\sigma} \in X$ (because X is symmetric), this gives $(x_{\pi})_{I_2} \in X$ with $\|(x_{\pi})_{I_2}\|_X \leq \|x_{\sigma}\|_X = \|x\|_X$. This altogether shows that $x_{\pi} \in X$ and

$$||x_{\pi}||_{X} = ||(x_{\pi})_{I_{1}} + (x_{\pi})_{I_{2}}||_{X} \le ||x||_{X} + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this proves our claim.

Now we prove (ii). On the one hand, it is clear that given $x \in X$ there is some $\pi \in \Pi$ such that $x' = x_{\pi}$ and, taking the previous case into account, we deduce that $x' \in X$ with $||x'||_X \leq ||x||_X$. We take now $x \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x' \in X$ and we want to see that $x \in X$ with $||x||_X \leq ||x'||_X$. We proceed in a similar way as in (i), fixing $\varepsilon > 0$ and taking an infinite set $I_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ so that $\sum_{n \in I_1} |x'_n| < \varepsilon$. We write

$$I_2 = \mathbb{N} \setminus I_1 = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$$

and take

$$J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$$

such that $x'_{i_k} = x_{j_k}$ for all k. Then $x = x_J + x_{\mathbb{N} \setminus J}$ and, as before, the goal is to show that each one of these two belong to X. First $x_{\mathbb{N} \setminus J} \in$

X with $||x_{\mathbb{N}\setminus J}||_X \leq \sum_{n\in I_1} |x_n'| < \varepsilon$. Choose a permutation σ so that $\sigma(j_k) = i_k$ for every k and note that $|x_J| \leq |x_\sigma'|$, which gives $x_J \in X$ with $||x_J||_X \leq ||x_\sigma'||_X = ||x'||_X$. Hence, $||x||_X \leq ||x'||_X + \varepsilon$ for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and this finishes the proof.

Case $X = \ell_{\infty}$. It is clear that $x_{\pi} \in X$ whenever $x \in X$, so we only have to prove the inequality $||x_{\pi}||_X \leq ||x||_X$. We see first that it suffices to check that the inequality holds in some dense subset D. If this is the case, given $x \in X$ we may choose $(x^k)_k \subseteq D$ such that $x^k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{\|\cdot\|_X} x$. Since $||x_{\pi}^{k_1} - x_{\pi}^{k_2}||_X = ||(x^{k_1} - x^{k_2})_{\pi}||_X \leq ||x^{k_1} - x^{k_2}||_X$, the sequence $(x_{\pi}^k)_k$ is Cauchy and clearly converges coordinate-wise to x_{π} . This gives $x_{\pi}^k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{\|\cdot\|_X} x_{\pi}$ and the claim follows.

We take D to be the set of all sequences in $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ taking finitely many different values (which is dense in X, as we already showed in the proof of Proposition 1.3) and $x \in D$. The key idea now is to find a sequence $(x_{\pi}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq D$ satisfying

$$||x_{\pi}^{N}||_{X} \le ||x||_{X} \text{ for every } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and}$$
 (3)

$$\|x_{\pi}^{N}\|_{X} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} \|x_{\pi}\|_{X}. \tag{4}$$

This clearly gives the conclusion. Let us see how can we construct such a sequence. To begin, since $x \in D$, the sequence $(x_{\pi(n)})_n$ takes only finitely many values. Then there should be some values, say a_1,\ldots,a_m (that we may assume to be ordered increasingly by the modulus), that are repeated infintely many times. Let us assume that there is at least one value that is repeated only finitely many times (if this is not the case the argument follows in the same way) and choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{\pi(n_0)}$ is the last time that these values appear in the sequence x_{π} (that is, $x_{\pi(k)} \in \{a_1,\ldots,a_m\}$ for every $k \geq n_0$). We fix now N and define

$$x_{\pi}^{\sigma_{N}} = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n_{0})}, \underbrace{a_{1}, \dots, a_{1}}_{N \text{ times}}, \underbrace{a_{2}, \dots, a_{2}}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{a_{m}, \dots, a_{m}}_{N \text{ times}}, \underbrace{a_{1}, \dots, a_{1}}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots).$$
(5)

This is a permutation of x_{π} and, since X is symmetric, satisfies $\|x_{\pi}^{\sigma_N}\|_X = \|x_{\pi}\|_X$. We take now the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus \pi(\mathbb{N})$. If this set is empty, then π is a permutation and the result follows from the symmetry of X. Assume, then, that it is not empty, write $\mathbb{N} \setminus \pi(\mathbb{N}) = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots\}$ and define

$$x_{\pi}^{N,1} = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n_0)}, \underbrace{x_{n_1}, a_1, \dots, a_1}_{N \text{ times}}, \underbrace{x_{n_2}, a_2, \dots, a_2}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$x_{n_m}, a_m, \dots, a_m, \underbrace{x_{n_{m+1}}, a_1, \dots, a_1}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$N \text{ times} \qquad N \text{ times}$$

$$x_{\pi}^{N,2} = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n_0)}, \underbrace{a_1, x_{n_1}, \dots, a_1}_{N \text{ times}}, \underbrace{a_2, x_{n_2}, \dots, a_2}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

$$N \text{ times} \qquad N \text{ times}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{\pi}^{N,N} = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n_0)}, \underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1, x_{n_1}, a_2, \dots, a_2, x_{n_2}, \dots}_{N \text{ times}}, \dots)$$

$$N \text{ times} \qquad N \text{ times}$$

$$a_m, \dots, a_m, x_{n_m}, \underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_1, x_{n_{m+1}}, \dots}_{N \text{ times}} \dots).$$

$$N \text{ times} \qquad N \text{ times}$$

Here we are supposing that $\mathbb{N} \setminus \pi(\mathbb{N})$ is infinite. If it is finite, then we would proceed in this way, placing one n_j in each block until we get to the last one. When we run out of n_j 's we go on with blocks consisting only of the corresponding values of a_l , as in (5). Now each $x_{\pi}^{N,j}$ is a permutation of x and, since X is symmetric, we have $\|x_{\pi}^{N,j}\|_X = \|x\|_X$. Then, if we take

$$x_{\pi}^{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{\pi}^{N,j},$$

we have (3). On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the difference $(x_{\pi}^{\sigma_N})$ –

 $(x_{\pi}^{N})_{n}$ is either 0 or there are j and a k so that

$$(x_{\pi}^{\sigma_N}) - (x_{\pi}^N)_n = a_k - \frac{(N-1)a_k - x_{n_j}}{N} = \frac{a_k - x_{n_j}}{N}.$$

This gives $\|x_{\pi}^N - x_{\pi}^{\sigma}\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$ and, since the norm is equivalent, $\|x_{\pi}^N - x_{\pi}^{\sigma}\|_{X} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0$. This, together with the fact that $\|x_{\pi}^{\sigma_N}\|_{X} = \|x_{\pi}\|_{X}$ immediately gives (4) and completes the proof.

To prove (ii) let us first note that, by construction, $x \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded if and only if so is x'. So, we only have to check that the equality of norms holds. First of all, $x \in X$ there is some $\pi \in \Pi$ such that $x' = x_{\pi}$ and then (i) gives $||x'||_X \leq ||x||_X$. To prove the reverse inequality we begin by choosing some x that only takes a finite number of different values. First of all, if 0 is the only value that is repeated infinitely many times, then x' is a permutation of x and, consequently, $||x||_X = ||x'||_X$. Suppose, then, that there is some value, say $x_{n_0} \neq 0$ that is repeated infinitely many times in x (hence also in x'). Let $J = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x'_n = x_{n_0}\}$ and split this set into two disjoint subsets $J = J_1 \cup J_2$, where the cardinality of J_2 equals the number of times that 0 appears in the sequence x. Then we consider

$$y = \begin{cases} x_{n_0} & \text{if} & n \in J_1, \\ 0 & \text{if} & n \in J_2, \\ x'_n & \text{if} & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J \end{cases}$$

which clearly satisfies $||y||_X \le ||x'||_X$, since $|y_n| \le |x'_n|$ for all n. On the other hand, y is a permutation of x and, hence, $||x||_X = ||y||_X \le ||x'||_X$. Given an arbitrary $x \in X$ we can take a sequence $(x^k)_k$, where each x^k takes only finitely many different values, and such that $x^k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} x$ and $x_n^k = 0$ whenever $x_n = 0$. This gives $(x^k)' \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} x'$ and

$$||x||_X = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||x^k||_X \le \lim_{k \to \infty} ||(x^k)'||_X = ||x'||_X$$

which is the desired statement.

Condition (ii) in Proposition 2.2 looks certainly quite similar to Theorem 1.1–(ii), and one may wonder if it is also equivalent to the space being symmetric. The next example, taken from [6] (see also [1], where it was generalized) shows that this is not the case.

Example 2.3. Let \mathcal{O} denote the set of increasing functions form \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} and consider the Garling space

$$g = \left\{ x = (x_n)_n \colon ||x||_g = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{\phi(n)}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

It is an easy exercise to show that $x \in g$ if and only if $x' \in g$ (and both have the same norm). However, the space is not symmetric. This was shown in [6, Section 5] (see also [1, Theorem 5.10]); we sketch here the argument. For each fixed m consider

$$x^m = \left(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, 0, 0, \dots\right), \text{ and } y^m = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, 0, 0, \dots\right).$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\sup_{m} \|x^{m}\|_{g} \ge \sup_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} = \infty.$$
 (6)

For each $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$ we write $m_{\phi} = \max\{n : \phi(n) \leq m\}$. With this

$$||y^m||_g = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{O}} \sum_{n=1}^{m_{\phi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m+1-\phi(n))n}}.$$

Note that the only values that play a role in each of these sums are those of $\phi(1), \ldots, \phi(m)$, that are less than or equal to m. Then we can find some k and $n_1 < \cdots < n_k \le m$ so that

$$||y^m||_g = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m+1-n_i)i}}.$$

It can be seen that $n_i = m + 1 - k$ and, then, $||y^m||_g \le 1 + \pi$ (all the details can be found in [6, Section 5]). This, together with (6) show, since x^m and y^m are clearly a permutation of each other, that g is not symmetric.

In the next proposition we state some well-known properties of the decreasing rearrangement of a sequence.

Proposition 2.4. (i) If x, y are bounded sequences and $|x| \le |y|$, then $x^* \le y^*$.

- (ii) If x, y are bounded sequences satisfying and $x_n = y_{\pi(n)}$ for some injective mapping $\pi \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, then $x^* \leq y^*$.
- (iii) Let x and x^k , k = 1, 2, ... be bounded sequences. If $\sup_n |x_n^k x_n| \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} 0$, then $\sup_n |(x^k)_n^* x_n^*| \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} 0$

Proof. Item (i) is clear from the definition of the decreasing rearrangement. To prove (ii), just take $J = \pi(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and y_J . Note that $x^* = y_J^*$ and, by (i), $y_J^* \le y^*$. Finally, let us prove (iii). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n^k - x_n| < \varepsilon$ for all $k \ge k_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular $|x_n^k| < |x_n| + \varepsilon$ for every $k \ge k_0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which, by (ii), gives

$$(x^k)^* \le ((|x_n| + \varepsilon)_n)^* = (x_n^* + \varepsilon)_n.$$

Analogously, we can see that $x^* \leq ((x^k)_n^* + \varepsilon)_n$. This shows that $|(x^k)_n^* - x_n^*| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $k \geq k_0$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which completes de proof.

Note that if $x \in c_0$, then $x' \in c_0$ and either $x'_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $x'_n = 0$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Consequently, there is a permutation σ of \mathbb{N} such that $(|x'|)_{\sigma} = (x')^*$. Now, since $x^* = (x')^*$, we have that $x^* = (|x'|)_{\sigma}$. This is the last thing we need to proceed with the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As we mentioned after the statement of the theorem, we only need to prove that (i) implies (ii). By Proposition 2.1 we know that $X \hookrightarrow c_0$ or $X = \ell_\infty$ with some equivalent norm. Suppose first that $X \hookrightarrow c_0$. If $x \in X$ then by Proposition 2.2 we have $x' \in X$ and, since $x^* = (|x'|)_\sigma$ for some permutation σ and X is symmetric, we deduce that $x^* \in X$ with $||x^*||_X = ||x'||_X = ||x||_X$. Similarly, if $x^* \in X$ then $x \in X$ with $||x^*||_X = ||x||_X$.

We now prove the theorem in the case $X = \ell_{\infty}$ with some equivalent norm. It is clear, in this case, that $x \in X$ if and only if $x^* \in X$; hence, we only need to prove the equality $||x^*||_X = ||x||_X$. As in Proposition 2.2 we take the dense subset D of all the sequences taking only finitely many values. Take some $x \in D$ that takes values $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ (that without loss of generality we may assume to be non-negative and ordered decreasingly). For each j let us denote by n_j the number of times that a_j is repeated in x. If all $n_j < \infty$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, m$ then

$$x^* = (a_1, \frac{n_1}{1}, a_1, \dots, a_m, \frac{n_m}{1}, a_m, 0, 0, \dots).$$

This is a permutation of x and, being X symmetric, $||x^*|| = ||x||$. Suppose now that some value is repeated infinitely many times, and that a_{j_0} is the first one that is repeated infinitely many times (that is, $n_1, \ldots, n_{j_0-1} < \infty$ and $n_{j_0} = \infty$). In this case

$$x^* = (a_1, \stackrel{n_1}{\dots}, a_1, \dots, a_{j_0-1}, \stackrel{n_{j_0-1}}{\dots}, a_{j_0-1}, a_{j_0}, a_{j_0}, a_{j_0}, \dots),$$

and there is some $\pi \in \Pi$ so that $x^* = x_\pi$. Proposition 2.2 gives $\|x^*\| \leq \|x\|$. It is only left to see that the converse inequality also holds. In order to simplify the argument, let us assume that $j_0 = 2$. Take any permutation σ so that $\sigma(\{1, \dots n_1) = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : a_j = a_1\}$. Then $(x^*)_\sigma$ is a sequence that has the value a_1 exactly in the same positions as x. In the other positions $(x^*)_\sigma$ has a_2 , while x has some value in $\{a_2, \dots, a_m\}$. Hence $x \leq (x^*)_\sigma$ (coordinatewise) and $\|x\| \leq \|(x^*)_\sigma\| = \|x^*\|$. This finally shows that $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ for every $x \in D$. If we consider any $x \in X$, then there is a sequence $(x^N)_N \subseteq D$ such that $x^N \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} x$. Since $\|\cdot\|_X$ is equivalent to the sup norm, by Propo-

sition 2.4–(iii) we have $(x^N)^* \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\|\cdot\|_X} x^*$ and, hence,

$$||x^*||_X = \lim_{N \to \infty} ||(x_N)^*||_X = \lim_{N \to \infty} ||x_N||_X = ||x||_X.$$

3 Symmetric linear functionals

We return now to Question 1.4 and look at continuous symmetric linear functionals on symmetric Banach sequence spaces. As we pointed out in the Introduction, on ℓ_1 we can define a continuous symmetric linear functional in a rather easy way. In Proposition 1.3 we showed that on ℓ_{∞} there is no such functional, and we asked if there are spaces, other than ℓ_1 , where non-zero symmetric linear functionals do exist. Our aim now is to show that this is indeed the case. We begin by showing that spaces are spanned by the canonical vectors are of no help.

Proposition 3.1. If X is a symmetric Banach sequence space different from ℓ_1 and γ is a continuous symmetric linear functional on X, then $\gamma(e_n) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose $\gamma(e_n) \neq 0$ for some (then, by the symmetry of γ , for every) n and let us see that $X = \ell_1$ (with some equivalent norm). Take $x = (x_n)_n \in X$ and note that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n| &= \frac{1}{\gamma(e_1)} \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n| e_n \right) = \left| \frac{1}{\gamma(e_1)} \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n| e_n \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\gamma(e_1)|} ||\gamma|| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n| e_n \right\|_{Y} \leq \frac{||\gamma||}{|\gamma(e_1)|} ||x||_{X}. \end{split}$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \in \ell_1$ and $||x||_1 \le \frac{||\gamma||}{|\gamma(\ell_1)|} ||x||_X$. This shows that $X \hookrightarrow \ell_1$ and, taking (2) into account, completes the proof.

As a consequence of the previous proposition, to find a continuous symmetric linear functional we must take a Banach sequence space which is not spanned by the canonical vectors. It can be seen that such a Banach space cannot be separable (more precisely, a Banach sequence space is separable if and only if the canonical vectors form a Schauder basis for it).

The existence of symmetric functionals has been studied by several authors, and is connected with the study of singular traces in the Dixmier sense (see, for instance, [4]). The classical examples of Banach spaces admitting symmetric functionals are related to Marcinkiewicz spaces, although there are other examples of symmetric spaces satisfying this property (see [3]). Our aim is to follow the classical approach in the construction of symmetric functionals defined on Marcinkiewicz spaces using Banach limits, trying to present it in a simple way.

In Proposition 3.5 we construct a *real* Banach space and a continuous symmetric linear functional on it. Later, we show how transfer this to a *complex* space. So, for a while we work only with real Banach sequence spaces. Given any sequence $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we define two sequences $x^+, x^- \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$x_n^+ = \sup\{x_n, 0\} \text{ and } x_n^- = \sup\{-x_n, 0\},$$

so that $x = x^+ - x^-$. We define the positive cone of a real Banach sequence space X as

$$X_{+} = \{x = (x_n)_n \in X : x_n \ge 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The following result, although not being evident, is in some sense implicit when one tries to define a symmetric linear functional. It shows that considering the decreasing rearrangement comes in a natural way when trying to define symmetric functionals.

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a real symmetric Banach sequence space and $\gamma: X \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous linear functional.

- (i) If γ is symmetric then $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x')$ for every $x \in X$.
- (ii) γ is symmetric if and only if $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x^*)$ for every $x \in X_+$.

Proof. By Propositions 1.3 and 2.1 we may assume $X \hookrightarrow c_0$. We begin by proving (i), and choose some $x \in X$. Note first that if x has finitely many non-zero entries, then both x and x' can be written

as a finite linear combinations of e_n 's and then, by Proposition 3.1, $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x') = 0$. Suppose now that there are infinitely many x_n 's different from 0. Take some injective $\pi \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ so that $x' = x_{\pi}$ and denote $J = \mathbb{N} \setminus \pi(\mathbb{N}) = \{n \colon x_n = 0\}$ (observe that this may be finite or infinite). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose some infinite set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n\in I} |x_{\pi(n)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|\gamma\|}$$

(this is possible since $x \in c_0$). We now split $I = I_1 \cup I_2$, where I_1 is infinite and I_2 has the same cardinality of J and define a permutation $\sigma \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(n) = \pi(n)$$
 for $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I$, $\sigma(I_1) = J$ and $\sigma(I_2) = \pi(I)$.

With this, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$x'_n - x_{\sigma(n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I \\ x_{\pi(n)} & \text{if } n \in I_1 \\ x_{\pi(n)} - x_{\pi(i)} & \text{if } n \in I_2 \text{ (for some } i \in I). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$||x' - x_{\sigma}||_{X} \leq \sum_{n \in I_{1}} |x_{\pi(n)}| + \sum_{n \in I_{2}} |x_{\pi(n)}| + \sum_{n \in I} |x_{\pi(n)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{||\gamma||}.$$

By the symmetry of γ we deduce

$$|\gamma(x')-\gamma(x)|=|\gamma(x')-\gamma(x_\sigma)|=|\gamma(x'-x_\sigma)|\leq ||\gamma||||x'-x_\sigma||<\varepsilon$$

and, since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x')$.

Let us see now that (ii) holds. To do that suppose first that γ is symmetric and take $x \in X_+$. Since $x \in c_0$ we can find a permutation σ so that $x^* = (x')_{\sigma}$. The symmetry of γ and (i) yield

$$\gamma(x^*) = \gamma((x')_{\sigma}) = \gamma(x') = \gamma(x).$$

Reciprocally, if $x \in X$ and σ is any permutation of \mathbb{N} we have

$$\gamma(x_{\sigma}) = \gamma(x_{\sigma}^{+}) - \gamma(x_{\sigma}^{-})$$

$$= \gamma((x_{\sigma}^{+})^{*}) - \gamma((x_{\sigma}^{-})^{*}) \quad \text{(by the hypothesis)}$$

$$= \gamma((x^{+})^{*}) - \gamma((x^{-})^{*})$$

$$= \gamma(x^{+}) - \gamma(x^{-}) = \gamma(x) \quad \text{(by the hypothesis again)}.$$

This proves that γ is symmetric and completes the proof. \Box

After this result, it is reasonable to expect that the definition of a symmetric linear functional has to involve, in one way or another, the decreasing rearrangement. Just to get an idea of how this could work, a way to try to define a symmetric $\gamma \in \ell_{\infty}^*$ (which, at this point, we already know that has to be identically zero) would be the following. The decreasing rearrangement of every $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence, then we could define

$$\gamma(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n^*. \tag{7}$$

This is obviously symmetric, but is far from being linear, since $\gamma(x) = \gamma(-x)$ for every x. There is a way to overcome this problem by defining the functional just on the positive cone and then extending it in a convenient way.

Remark 3.3. Let *X* be a symmetric Banach space and $\varphi: X_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function satisfying

$$\varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \text{ for every } x, y \in X_+$$
 (8)

and

$$\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$$
 for every $x \in X_+$ and $\lambda \ge 0$. (9)

Then the mapping $\gamma: X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \varphi(x^+) - \varphi(x^-), \tag{10}$$

is linear and continuous. Moreover, if $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_{\sigma})$ for every permutation σ and every $x \in X_+$, then the extension γ is symmetric.

Unfortunately (or not), we cannot define φ on $(\ell_{\infty})_+$ as in (7) and then extend it to ℓ_{∞} . Although such a mapping clearly satisfies (9), taking x = (1,0,1,0,...) and y = (0,1,0,1,...) in $(\ell_{\infty})_+$ shows that (8) does not hold.

We can solve this problem by dealing with Marcinkiewicz sequence spaces. We recall the definition of these spaces. Let $\Psi \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\Psi(1) = 1$, $\Psi(n) \nearrow \infty$ and $\frac{\Psi(n)}{n} \to 0$. Then, the Marcinkiewicz sequence space associated to Ψ is defined by

$$m_{\Psi} = \left\{ x = (x_n)_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : ||x||_{m_{\Psi}} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\Psi(n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

It is well known that $(m_{\Psi}, \|\cdot\|_{m_{\Psi}})$ is a symmetric Banach sequence space. Indeed, Proposition 2.4 (i) and the definition of the norm show that for $x \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $y \in m_{\Psi}$ such that $|x_n| \leq |y_n|$ for every n, we have $x \in m_{\Psi}$ and $||x||_{m_{\Psi}} \leq ||y||_{m_{\Psi}}$. Symmetry is clear, and linearity and completeness are left as an exercise. Also, since $\frac{\Psi(n)}{n} \to 0$ we see that $(1,1,1,\ldots)$ does not belong to m_{Ψ} . By Proposition 2.1, m_{Ψ} is contained in c_0 . Now, trying to repeat the previous argument, given $x \in (m_{\Psi})_+$ we would like to consider

$$\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^*}{\Psi(n)}.$$

This is not possible in this case, since the sequence $\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n$ is not necessarily convergent (see Example A.3). The solution in this case is to take instead Banach limits, an extension of the classical idea of limit to bounded sequences (all needed details are given in the Appendix A). So, given a Banach limit L we may define $\varphi:(m_\Psi)_+\to\mathbb{R}$ as

$$\varphi(x) = L\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^*}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n\right). \tag{11}$$

This satisfies $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_{\sigma})$ for every x and every permutation σ . Then, if we are able to extend the mapping to m_{Ψ} as in (10) we will get the desired symmetric linear functional. This mapping φ

clearly satisfies (9), so the challenge now is to find conditions on Ψ so that (8) holds. Everything relies on the following lemma. For each bounded $x = (x_n)_n$ we write $s_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*$.

Lemma 3.4. *If* $x, y \in (m_{\Psi})_+$ *then*

$$s_n(x+y) \le s_n(x) + s_n(y) \le s_{2n}(x+y).$$

Proof. Note first that, since $x + y \in (c_0)_+$ we can find a permutation σ so that $(x + y)^* = (x + y)_{\sigma} = x_{\sigma} + y_{\sigma}$. Then

$$s_n(x+y) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{\sigma(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y_{\sigma(k)} \le s_n(x) + s_n(y).$$

To check the second inequality take two permutations μ, η o that $x^* = x_{\mu}$, $y^* = y_{\eta}$ and fix n. On one hand, if $\mu(\{1, ..., n\}) = \eta(\{1, ..., n\})$, choose any permutation σ so that $\sigma(\{1, ..., n\}) = \mu(\{1, ..., n\})$. Then

$$s_n(x) + s_n(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{\mu(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y_{\eta(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{\sigma(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y_{\sigma(k)} \le \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (x+y)_{\sigma(k)} \le s_{2n}(x+y).$$

On the other hand, if $\mu(\{1,\ldots,n\}) \neq \eta(\{1,\ldots,n\})$, we may choose $\{j_1,\ldots,j_m\} \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\{\eta(j_1),\ldots,\eta(j_m)\} \cap \{\mu(1),\ldots,\mu(n)\} = \emptyset$ and take any permutation σ so that

$$\sigma(1) = \mu(1), \ldots, \sigma(n) = \mu(n), \sigma(n+1) = \eta(j_1), \ldots, \sigma(n+m) = \eta(j_m).$$

Then

$$s_n(x) + s_n(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{\mu(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^n y_{\eta(k)} \le \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} x_{\sigma(k)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} y_{\sigma(k)} \le \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (x+y)_{\sigma(k)} \le s_{2n}(x+y).$$

As an immediate consequence we have

$$\frac{s_n(x+y)}{\Psi(n)} \le \frac{s_n(x) + s_n(y)}{\Psi(n)} \le \frac{s_{2n}(x+y)}{\Psi(2n)} \frac{\Psi(2n)}{\Psi(n)}$$
(12)

20

for every n. Hence, what we have to ask Ψ is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(2n)}{\Psi(n)} = 1,\tag{13}$$

(this is satisfied, for example, by $\Psi(n) = \log(n+1)$).

Proposition 3.5. If Ψ satisfies (13), then there exist continuous symmetric linear functionals on m_{Ψ} .

Proof. We choose a Banach limit *L* satisfying

$$L((x_n)_n) = L(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_3, \dots)$$
 (14)

(see Lemma A.1) and define $\varphi: (m_{\Psi})_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as in (11). As we already pointed out, it is only left to check that this satisfies (8). Since $L(x) \ge 0$ for every $x \ge 0$, (12) gives

$$L\left(\left(\frac{s_n(x+y)}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n\right) \le L\left(\left(\frac{s_n(x)+s_n(y)}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n\right) \le L\left(\left(\frac{s_{2n}(x+y)}{\Psi(2n)}\frac{\Psi(2n)}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n\right).$$

With this, the linearity of L, condition (13) and Lemma A.2, we get

$$\varphi(x+y) \le \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \le L\left(\left(\frac{s_{2n}(x+y)}{\Psi(2n)}\right)_{y}\right).$$

Thus the proof will be completed once we see that $L\left(\left(\frac{s_{2n}(x+y)}{\Psi(2n)}\right)_n\right) = L\left(\left(\frac{s_n(x+y)}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n\right)$. Denote $c_n = \frac{s_n(x+y)}{\Psi(n)}$ and observe that, by (14),

$$L((c_{2n})_n) = L(c_2, c_4, c_6, \dots) = L(c_2, c_2, c_4, c_4, c_6, c_6, \dots).$$

It is not difficult to see that $c_{2n} - c_{2n-1} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ and, hence,

$$(c_2, c_2, c_4, c_4, c_6, c_6, \dots) - (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots) \in c_0.$$

Since L(x) = 0 for every $x \in c_0$, we deduce

$$L(c_2, c_2, c_4, c_4, c_6, c_6, \dots) = L(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \dots)$$

and then $L((c_{2n})_n) = L((c_n)_n)$, which is the desired statement.

Remark 3.6. Proposition 3.5 delivers a real Banach sequence space and a symmetric real linear functional. This can be easily transferred to the complex case. Given Ψ satisfying (13) we consider the complex Marcinkiewicz sequence space

$$m_{\Psi} = \left\{ x = (x_n)_n \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : ||x||_{m_{\Psi}} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\Psi(n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then we have a symmetric real-linear functional $\gamma: m_{\Psi} \cap \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Letting

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x) = \gamma ((rex_n)_n) + i\gamma ((imx_n)_n)$$

defines a symmetric, complex linear functional $\tilde{\gamma} \colon m_{\Psi} \to \mathbb{C}$.

A Banach limits

I am sick of symmetry.

The Phantom of Liberty
Luis Buñuel

In the construction of a symmetric linear functional done in Proposition 3.5 we used a Banach limit. This is a generalization of the classical limit to bounded (not necessarily convergent) sequences. We recall now the definition of such a functional, and prove two basic properties that were used in Section 3.

We denote by c the subspace of ℓ_{∞} consisting of convergent sequences. Letting $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ defines a linear functional $L: c \to \mathbb{K}$ that satisfies ||L|| = 1, $L((x_n)_{n \ge 1}) = L((x_n)_{n \ge 2})$ (is shift invariant), and $L(x) \ge 0$ whenever $x \ge 0$. Then, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, this can be extended to a linear functional $L: \ell_{\infty} \to \mathbb{K}$, that moreover (see [2, Chapter III]) preserves all these properties:

•
$$||L: \ell_{\infty} \to \mathbb{K}|| = 1$$
;

- if $x \in c$ then $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$;
- if $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ is such that $x_n \ge 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L(x) \ge 0$;
- $L((x_n)_{n\geq 1}) = L((x_n)_{n\geq 2})$ for every $(x_n)_n \in \ell_{\infty}$.

Every linear functional $L \colon \ell_{\infty} \to \mathbb{K}$ satisfying these properties is called a *Banach limit*.

Lemma A.1. There exists a Banach limit L satisfying

$$L((x_n)_n) = L(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_3, x_3, \dots)$$

for every $x \in \ell_{\infty}$.

Proof. Take the dilation operator $D_2: \ell_{\infty} \to \ell_{\infty}$ defined by

$$D_2(x_1,x_2,x_3,\dots)=(x_1,x_1,x_2,x_2,x_3,x_3,\dots)$$

and consider a Banach limit ϕ . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\phi_n \in \ell_{\infty}^*$ by

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \phi \circ D_2^k,$$

which is again a Banach limit. Now, by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exists a subnet $(\phi_{n_i})_{i\in I}$ weakly* convergent to some $L\in (\ell_\infty)^*$. It is easy to check that L is again a Banach limit. Our goal, then, is to prove that $L\circ D_2=L$. For this purpose, just note that

$$|\phi_{n_i} \circ D_2(x) - \phi_{n_i}(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{n_i + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \phi \circ D_2^{k+1}(x) - \frac{1}{n_i + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} \phi \circ D_2^{k}(x) \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_i + 1} |\phi \circ D_2^{n_i + 1}(x) - \phi(x)| \le \frac{2||x||_{\infty}}{n_i + 1}.$$

Taking the limit on *i* we obtain the desired result.

Lemma A.2. Let L be a Banach limit. If $a_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} a$ and $(x_n)_n \in \ell_\infty$ then

$$L((a_n x_n)_n) = a \cdot L((x_n)_n).$$

Proof. Noting that $(a_n - a)x_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ we have $L(((a_n - a)x_n)_n) = 0$. Then, by linearity, $L((a_n x_n)_n) = a \cdot L((x_n)_n)$.

We finish this note by giving an example that shows that we can find sequences x in some Marcinkiewicz space for which $\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\Psi(n)}\right)_n$ does not converge. This is why we need Banach limits in order to construct symmetric linear functionals.

Example A.3. Choose $\Psi(n) = \log(n+1)$ and consider the corresponding space m_{Ψ} . Fix $N_1 = 1$ and take $0 < c_1 < 1$ so that

$$\frac{N_1c_1}{\log(N_1+1)}=1.$$

Let $N_2 = (N_1 + 1)^4 - (N_1 + 1) \in \mathbb{N}$ and observe that in this case,

$$\frac{N_1c_1}{\log(N_1+N_2+1)} = \frac{N_1c_1}{\log(N_1+1)} \times \frac{\log(N_1+1)}{\log(N_1+N_2+1)} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Taking now $c_2 \le \min \{c_1, \frac{\log(N_1 + N_2 + 1)}{4N_2}\}$ gives

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + N_2c_2}{\log(N_1 + N_2 + 1)} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + N_2c_2 + nc_2}{\log(N_1 + N_2 + n + 1)} = \frac{N_1 + N_2 + n}{\log(N_1 + N_2 + n + 1)} \times \frac{N_1c_1 + N_2c_2 + nc_2}{N_1 + N_2 + n} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \infty$$

and we can take N_3 , the first natural number such that

$$\frac{N_1c_1+N_2c_2+N_3c_2}{\Psi(N_1+N_2+N_3)}>1.$$

Since $g(t) = \frac{N_1c_1+N_2c_2+N_3t}{\Psi(N_1+N_2+N_3)}$ is continuous and $g(0) < 1/2 < 1 < g(c_2)$, we can choose $0 < c_3 < c_2$ such that $g(c_3) = 1$. Proceeding in this way we define two sequences $c_1 \ge c_2 \ge c_3 \ge \cdots > 0$ and $N_1, N_2, N_3, \ldots \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + \dots + N_kc_k}{\log(N_1 + \dots + N_k + 1)} = 1 \text{ if } k \text{ is odd}$$

and

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + \dots + N_kc_k}{\log(N_1 + \dots + N_k + 1)} < \frac{1}{2}$$
 if *k* is even.

We now consider the sequence

$$x = (\underbrace{c_1, \dots, c_1}_{N_1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{c_2, \dots, c_2}_{N_2 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{c_3, \dots, c_3}_{N_3 \text{ times}}, \dots),$$

for which $\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\log(n+1)}\right)_n$ does not converge. Let us see that $x \in m_{\Psi}$. If $n = N_1 + \dots + N_k$ for some k then $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^*}{\log(n+1)}$ is either 1 or 1/2. We suppose then that $n = N_1 + \dots + N_k + m$ with $1 \le m < N_{k+1}$ and distinguish two cases. If k is even, N_{k+1} is by construction the smallest natural number such that

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + \dots + N_kc_k + N_{k+1}c_k}{\log(N_1 + \dots + N_{k+1} + 1)} > 1.$$

This and the facts that $c_{k+1} \le c_k$ and $m < N_{k+1}$ give

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^*}{\log(n+1)} = \frac{N_1 c_1 + \dots + N_k c_k + m c_{k+1}}{\log(N_1 + \dots + N_k + m + 1)} \le 1.$$

Finally, if *k* is odd,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{*}}{\log(n+1)} &= \frac{N_{1}c_{1} + \dots + N_{k}c_{k}}{\log(N_{1} + \dots + N_{k} + m + 1)} + \frac{mc_{k+1}}{\log(N_{1} + \dots + N_{k} + m + 1)} \\ &= \frac{N_{1}c_{1} + \dots + N_{k}c_{k}}{\log(N_{1} + \dots + N_{k} + m + 1)} \bigg(1 + c_{k+1} \frac{m}{N_{1}c_{1} + \dots + N_{k}c_{k}}\bigg) \\ &\leq \frac{N_{1}c_{1} + \dots + N_{k}c_{k}}{\log(N_{1} + \dots + N_{k} + 1)} \bigg(1 + c_{k+1} \frac{m}{N_{1}c_{1} + \dots + N_{k}c_{k}}\bigg). \end{split}$$

Taking into account that, by construction

$$\frac{N_1c_1+\cdots+N_kc_k}{\log(N_1+\cdots+N_k+1)}=1,\,c_{k+1}\leq \frac{\log(N_1+\cdots+N_{k+1}+1)}{4N_{k+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{N_1c_1 + \dots + N_kc_k}{\log(N_1 + \dots + N_{k+1} + 1)} = \frac{1}{4},$$

we finally get $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^*}{\log(n+1)} \le 2$. This shows that indeed $x \in m_{\Psi}$.

References

- [1] F. Albiac, J. L. Ansorena, and B. Wallis. Garling sequence spaces. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 98(1):204–222, 2018.
- [2] J. B. Conway. A course in functional analysis, volume 96 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990.
- [3] P. G. Dodds, B. de Pagter, A. A. Sedaev, E. M. Semenov, and F. A. Sukochev. Singular symmetric functionals and Banach limits with additional invariance properties. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 67(6):111–136, 2003.
- [4] P. G. Dodds, B. de Pagter, E. M. Semenov, and F. A. Sukochev. Symmetric functionals and singular traces. *Positivity*, 2(1):47–75, 1998.
- [5] D. J. H. Garling. On symmetric sequence spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 16:85–106, 1966.
- [6] D. J. H. Garling. Symmetric bases of locally convex spaces. *Stud. Math.*, 30:163–181, 1968.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Sequence spaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 92.