

This paper has been published in *Journal of Group Theory*, 10(2):205–210 (2007).

Copyright 2007 by Walter de Gruyter.

The final publication is available at [www.degruyter.com](http://www.degruyter.com).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/JGT.2007.016>

<http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/jgth.2007.10.issue-2/jgt.2007.016/jgt.2007.016.xml>

# A NOTE ON FINITE $\mathcal{PST}$ -GROUPS

A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO, AND M. RAGLAND

ABSTRACT. A finite group  $G$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if, for subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  of  $G$  with  $H$  Sylow-permutable in  $K$  and  $K$  Sylow-permutable in  $G$ , it is always the case that  $H$  is Sylow-permutable in  $G$ . A group  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group if, for subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  of  $G$  with  $H$  normal in  $K$  and  $K$  normal in  $G$ , it is always the case that  $H$  is Sylow-permutable in  $G$ . In this paper, we show that finite  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups and finite  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -groups are one and the same. A new characterisation of soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups is also presented.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Throughout this paper, all groups considered are finite. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called *Sylow-permutable* in  $G$ , or  *$S$ -permutable*, if  $HS = SH$  for every Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . Kegel [9] has shown that  $S$ -permutable subgroups are subnormal. However there exist subnormal subgroups which are not  $S$ -permutable. Robinson [10] called  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups the groups in which every subnormal subgroup is  $S$ -permutable. From Kegel's result, a group  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if  $S$ -permutability is a transitive relation in  $G$ .

Many papers have studied  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups in detail. Agrawal initiated the study in [1] where he characterised the soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *A group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if the nilpotent residual  $D$  of  $G$  is an abelian Hall subgroup of odd order such that  $G$  induces power automorphisms in  $D$ .*

Robinson, in [10], gave the following characterisation of  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups:

**Theorem 2** ([10]). *A group  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if it has a perfect normal subgroup  $D$  such that:*

- (1)  $G/D$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group;
- (2)  $D/Z(D) = U_1/Z(D) \times \cdots \times U_k/Z(D)$  where  $U_i/Z(D)$  is simple and  $U_i \trianglelefteq G$ ;

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D10, 20D20, 20D35.

Supported by Grant MTM2004-08219-C02-02 from *Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia* (Spain) and FEDER (European Union).

- (3) if  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , where  $1 \leq r < k$ , then the factor group  $G/U'_{i_1}U'_{i_2} \cdots U'_{i_r}$  satisfies  $N_p$  for all  $p \in \pi(Z(D))$ .

Here, a group  $G$  satisfies  $N_p$  if, for all soluble normal subgroups  $N$ , the  $p'$ -elements of  $G$  induce power automorphisms in  $O_p(G/N)$ .

On the other hand, Asaad and Csörgő defined in [4]  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -groups as the groups  $G$  such that if  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $K$  and  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . In other words, a group  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group whenever every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  of defect at most 2 is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . The proofs of most results of this paper seem to use the requirement that all subnormal subgroups of a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group are  $S$ -permutable, as in  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups, without explicitly stating the equivalence between the concepts of  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group and  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. Therefore, in order to check the validity of the proofs of [4], it is necessary to show whether  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups can be characterised as the groups in which every subnormal subgroup of defect at most 2 is  $S$ -permutable. Our first main result shows that this question has an affirmative answer.

Robinson established in [10] that  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{SC}$ -groups, that is, groups whose chief factors are all simple. With little alteration of Robinson's proof of that result, one can arrive at the same conclusion for  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -groups.

**Lemma 3.** *A  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group is an  $\mathcal{SC}$ -group.*

$\mathcal{SC}$ -groups are also characterised by Robinson in [10].

**Theorem 4.** *A group  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{SC}$ -group if and only if there is a perfect normal subgroup  $D$  such that  $G/D$  is supersoluble,  $D/Z(D)$  is a direct product of  $G$ -invariant simple groups, and  $Z(D)$  is supersolubly embedded in  $G$  (i.e., there is a  $G$ -admissible series of  $Z(D)$  with cyclic factors).*

A  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ -group is defined in [2] to be a  $p$ -supersoluble group  $G$  in which all  $p$ -chief factors are  $G$ -isomorphic when regarded as modules over  $G$ . In [2, Corollary 3], the following characterisation of soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups is given.

**Theorem 5.**  *$G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$  for all primes  $p$ .*

Our first main result shows that  $\mathcal{PST} = \mathcal{T}^*$ :

**Theorem A.**  *$G$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group if and only if  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group.*

In [3, Theorem 3.1], Asaad proved that a group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{T}$ -group if and only if for all primes  $p$  dividing the order of  $F^*(G)$ , the

generalised Fitting subgroup of  $G$ , every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is pronormal in  $G$ . As a consequence, he proved that a group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{T}$ -group if and only if for all primes  $p$  dividing the order of  $F^*(G)$ ,  $G$  satisfies property  $\mathcal{C}_p$ , that is, every subgroup of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is normal in  $N_G(P)$  ([3, Corollary 3.2]). He extended this result to permutability by showing that a group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PT}$ -group if and only if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{X}_p$  for all primes  $p$  dividing the order of  $F^*(G)$ . Here a group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{X}_p$  when every subgroup of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is permutable in  $N_G(P)$ . This property was introduced and studied in [7].

The  $\mathcal{PST}$ -version of the properties  $\mathcal{C}_p$  and  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is the property  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  introduced in [5]. Recall that a group  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  if whenever  $H$  and  $K$  are  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  such that  $H \leq K$ , then  $H$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $N_G(K)$ . In [5, Theorem 4], it is proved that a group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Asaad's results admit the following generalisation to  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups:

**Theorem B.** *A group  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group if and only if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$  dividing the order of  $F^*(G)$ .*

Unlike previous characterisations of soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups, this one does not follow quickly from the classification of minimal non- $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups given by Robinson in [11].

## 2. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem A.* Only the necessity of the condition is in doubt. We assume that it does not hold and derive a contradiction. Let  $G$  be a group of minimal order such that  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group but  $G$  is not a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. An argument similar to the one used in [1] to show that quotients of  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups are  $\mathcal{PST}$ -groups shows that all quotient groups of  $G$  are  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -groups. Therefore, by minimality of  $G$ , we have that every proper quotient group of  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. Applying Lemma 3,  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{SC}$ -group. Thus, from Theorem 4, we have that  $G$  has a normal perfect subgroup  $D$  such that  $D/Z(D) = U_1/Z(D) \times \cdots \times U_k/Z(D)$ , with all  $U_i/Z(D)$  simple, and  $Z(D)$  is supersolubly embedded in  $G$ .

Assume that  $D \neq 1$ , i.e.,  $G$  is not soluble. Then  $G/D$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. Since  $U_i/Z(D)$  is simple for all  $i$ , we have that  $U'_i \neq 1$  for all  $i$ . Therefore if  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , with  $r < k$ , we have that  $G/U'_{i_j}$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group and so  $G/U'_{i_1}U'_{i_2} \dots U'_{i_r}$  satisfies  $N_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Theorem 2 implies that  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group, contrary to assumption. Therefore  $D = 1$  and  $G$  is soluble. Since all chief factors of  $G$  are simple, we have that  $G$  is supersoluble. Let  $p$  be the largest

prime dividing the order of  $G$ . Then  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup,  $P$  say. Moreover,  $G/P$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group by the choice of  $G$ . Hence  $G/P$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_q^*$  for all primes  $q \neq p$  by Theorem 5. This implies that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_q^*$  for all primes  $q \neq p$ . Since  $G$  is not a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group, it follows that  $G$  does not satisfy  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ .

Suppose that  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ . Then  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. Therefore  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$  by Theorem 5, and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . This is a contradiction. Consequently  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . Assume that  $G$  has two different minimal normal subgroups  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . Both of them have order  $p$ , and  $G/N_1$  and  $G/N_2$  satisfy  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . If  $N_1N_2$  is a proper subgroup of  $P$ , then by considering all chief factors of  $G$  between  $N_1$  and  $N_1N_2$ , between  $N_2$  and  $N_1N_2$ , and between  $N_1N_2$  and  $P$ , we obtain that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . This contradiction shows that  $P = N_1N_2$ . Note that  $P = N_1 \times N_2$  is abelian. If  $D$  is a subgroup of  $P$ , then  $D$  is normal in  $P$  and  $D$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ ; hence  $D$  is normalised by all  $p'$ -elements of  $G$  and  $D$  is normal in  $G$ . Thus elements of  $G$  induce power automorphisms in  $P$ , from which it follows that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ , contrary to the choice of  $G$ .

Hence  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$ , which is contained in  $P$ , and  $G/N$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group. Moreover,  $P = O_p(G) = F(G)$ . If  $N$  is not contained in the Frattini subgroup  $\Phi(G)$  of  $G$ , then  $G$  is a primitive group and so  $N = F(G)$  has order  $p$ . In particular,  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . This contradiction yields  $N \leq \Phi(G)$ . If  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . This is not possible. Consequently,  $G/N$  is not nilpotent. Since  $G/N$  is a  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group, the nilpotent residual  $R/N$  of  $G/N$  is an abelian Hall subgroup of  $G/N$  and all elements of  $G$  induce power automorphisms on  $R/N$ . Moreover,  $N$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . In particular,  $R/N$  is a  $p$ -group and so  $P/N = R/N$ . In particular,  $p'$ -elements of  $G/N$  induce power automorphisms on  $P/N$ . Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $P$ . Then  $SN$  is normal in  $G$  because  $P/N$  is abelian and  $O^p(G/N)$  normalises  $SN/N$ . In addition, since either  $SN = S$  or  $S$  is a maximal subgroup of the  $p$ -group  $SN$ , we have that  $S$  is a normal subgroup of  $SN$ . Since  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -group,  $S$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Then all  $p'$ -elements of  $G$  normalise  $S$  and so induce power automorphisms in  $P$ . Hence  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_p^*$ . This is the final contradiction.  $\square$

The following lemma is needed in the proof of Theorem B.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $M$  be a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  if and only if  $G/M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $M$  be a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of a group  $G$ . By [5, Lemma 2], we have that if  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ , then  $G/M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ . Conversely, assume that  $G/M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ . By [5, Theorem 5], we have that either  $G/M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, or  $G/M$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups and  $G/M$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . In the first case, we have that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  by [5, Theorem 5]. Assume that  $G/M$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups and satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Consider a subgroup  $H$  of  $P$ , and  $g \in N_G(P)$ . We have that  $H^g M = HM$  because  $HM/M$  is normalised by  $gM \in G/M$ . Therefore  $H^g = H^g M \cap P = HM \cap P = H$ . This implies that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_p$  and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  by [5, Theorem 5].  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.* If  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group, we can apply [5, Theorem 4] to conclude that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Let  $G$  be a group satisfying  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$  dividing the order of  $F^*(G)$ . We shall prove that  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group by induction on  $|G|$ . By [5, Theorem 4], we can suppose that  $F^*(G)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . Note that the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  is subgroup-closed for all primes  $p$ . Hence  $F^*(G)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Applying [5, Theorem 4], we have that  $F^*(G)$  is soluble. Therefore  $1 \neq F^*(G) = F(G)$  by [8, X, 13].

Suppose that there exists a prime  $p$  dividing  $|F^*(G)|$  such that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is not abelian. In this case,  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by [5, Theorem 5]. Moreover, since  $F^*(O_{p'}(G))$  is contained in  $F^*(G)$ , we have that  $O_{p'}(G)$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group by induction. This implies that  $G$  is soluble. Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $O_p(G)$ . Since  $1 \neq N \cap Z(P)$  is contained in the centre of  $G$ , we have that  $N \cap Z(P) = N$ . Thus  $F(G/N) = F(G)/N$ . Consequently  $G/N$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$  dividing  $|F^*(G/N)|$ . Hence  $G/N$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group by induction and so  $G/N$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  for all primes  $q$  dividing  $|G/N|$  by [5, Theorem 4]. By Lemma 6,  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  for all primes  $q \neq p$ . Since  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  by hypothesis, it follows that  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$  and so  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group by [5, Theorem 4].

Therefore we can assume, by [5, Theorem 5], that for every prime  $p$  dividing  $|F^*(G)|$ ,  $G$  has an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  and  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . In this case, every cyclic subgroup of  $p$ -power order of  $F(G)$  is normal in  $G$ , because  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_p$ , and so centralised by  $G'$ . Hence  $G'$  is contained in  $C_G(F(G))$ , which is contained in  $F(G)$  by [8, X, 13]. Thus  $G'$  is abelian and so  $G$  is soluble.

Let  $q$  be a prime. If  $q$  divides  $|G'|$ , then  $q$  divides  $|F(G)|$  and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  by hypothesis. Suppose that  $q$  does not divide  $|G'|$ . Consider a  $q$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . We have that  $HG'$  is a normal subgroup

of  $G$  and so every Sylow subgroup of  $HG'$  is pronormal in  $G$ . Hence  $H$  is pronormal in  $G$ . According to [6, Lemma 2],  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{C}_q$  and so  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{Y}_q$  by [5, Theorem 3]. Consequently,  $G$  is a soluble  $\mathcal{PST}$ -group.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. R. K. Agrawal, *Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), no. 1, 77–83.
2. M. J. Alejandro, A. Ballester-Bolinches, and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, *Finite soluble groups with permutable subnormal subgroups*, J. Algebra **240** (2001), no. 2, 705–721.
3. M. Asaad, *Finite groups in which normality or quasinormality is transitive*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **83** (2004), 289–296.
4. M. Asaad and P. Csörgő, *On  $T^*$ -groups*, Acta Math. Hungar. **74** (1997), no. 3, 235–243.
5. A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero, *Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups*, J. Algebra **251** (2002), no. 2, 727–738.
6. ———, *On finite  $\mathcal{T}$ -groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **75** (2003), no. 2, 1–11.
7. J. C. Beidleman, B. Brewster, and D. J. S. Robinson, *Criteria for permutability to be transitive in finite groups*, J. Algebra **222** (1999), no. 2, 400–412.
8. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups III*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 243, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
9. O. H. Kegel, *Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z. **78** (1962), 205–221.
10. D. J. S. Robinson, *The structure of finite groups in which permutability is a transitive relation*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **70** (2001), 143–159.
11. ———, *Minimality and Sylow-permutability in locally finite groups*, Ukr. Math. J. **54** (2002), no. 6, 1038–1049.

DEPARTAMENT D'ÀLGEBRA, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, DR. MOLINER, 50,  
E-46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTICA APLICADA-IMPA, UNIVERSITAT POLITÈC-  
NICA DE VALÈNCIA, CAMÍ DE VERA, S/N, E-46022 VALÈNCIA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* resteban@mat.upv.es

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, 213 GOODWYN HALL,  
AUBURN UNIVERSITY MONTGOMERY, P.O. BOX 244023, MONTGOMERY, AL  
36124-4023, USA  
*E-mail address:* mragland@mail.aum.edu