

Article

# On the Correlation between Banach Contraction Principle and Caristi's Fixed Point Theorem in $b$ -Metric Spaces

Salvador Romaguera 

Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada-IUMPA, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 Valencia, Spain; sromague@mat.upv.es

**Abstract:** We solve a question posed by E. Karapinar, F. Khojasteh and Z.D. Mitrović in their paper “A Proposal for Revisiting Banach and Caristi Type Theorems in  $b$ -Metric Spaces”. We also characterize the completeness of  $b$ -metric spaces with the help of a variant of the contractivity condition introduced by the authors in the aforementioned article.

**Keywords:**  $b$ -metric space; complete; fixed point; the Banach contraction principle; Caristi's fixed point theorem



**Citation:** Romaguera, S. On the Correlation between Banach Contraction Principle and Caristi's Fixed Point Theorem in  $b$ -Metric Spaces. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10010136>

Academic Editor: Salvatore Sessa

Received: 18 November 2021

Accepted: 1 January 2022

Published: 3 January 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

In order to investigate correlations between the Banach contraction principle and results of Caristi type in the realm of  $b$ -metric spaces, Karapinar, Khojasteh and Mitrović proved in [1] (Theorem 1) the following interesting result by using a new type of contractions.

**Theorem 1** ([1]). *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a self mapping of a complete  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  such that there is a function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (the set of real numbers) satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (c1)  $F$  is bounded from below, i.e., there is an  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\inf F(\mathcal{X}) > a$ ;
- (c2) for every  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$\mathfrak{b}(u, \mathcal{T}u) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}v) \leq (F(u) - F(\mathcal{T}u))\mathfrak{b}(u, v).$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point.

They also gave an example of a complete metric space where we can apply Theorem 1 above but not the Banach contraction principle, and raised the following question [1] (Remark 1): “It is natural to ask if the Banach contraction principle is a consequence of Theorem 1 (over metric spaces)”.

In this note we solve that question in the negative. With the help of a variant of Theorem 1 we also obtain a characterization of complete  $b$ -metric spaces which should be compared with the classical result given by Hu in [2], that a necessary and sufficient condition for a metric space to be complete is that every Banach contraction on each of its closed subsets has a fixed point.

Let us recall that many authors have contributed to the development of a consistent theory of fixed point for  $b$ -metric spaces (the bibliographies of [1], and [3–5] contain a high account of references to this respect). In particular, the Banach contraction principle [6] admits, *mutatis mutandis*, a full extension to  $b$ -metric spaces [7] (Theorem 2.1) (see also [3,8,9]), and regarding the extension of Caristi's fixed point theorem [10] to  $b$ -metric spaces, significant contributions are given, among others, in [11] (Theorem 2.4), as well as in [3] (Corollary 12.1), [7] (Example 2.8) and [12] (Theorem 3.1).

## 2. Background

In this section we remind some definitions and properties which will be of help to the reader.

The set of non-negative real numbers and the set of natural numbers will be represented by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ , respectively.

The notion of a  $b$ -metric space has been considered by several authors under different names (see e.g., [13] and [3] (Chapter 12) for details). In our context we adapt that notion as given by Czerwik in [14].

A  $b$ -metric space is a triple  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set,  $s$  is a real number with  $s \geq 1$ , and  $\mathfrak{b} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a function satisfying, for every  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{X}$ , the following conditions:

- (b1)  $\mathfrak{b}(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ;
- (b2)  $\mathfrak{b}(u, v) = \mathfrak{b}(v, u)$ ;
- (b3)  $\mathfrak{b}(u, v) \leq s(\mathfrak{b}(u, w) + \mathfrak{b}(w, v))$ .

If  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  is a  $b$ -metric space the function  $\mathfrak{b}$  is said to be a  $b$ -metric on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Of course, every metric space is a  $b$ -metric space where  $s = 1$ .

It is well-known (see e.g., [13,15,16]) that, as in the metric case, each  $b$ -metric  $\mathfrak{b}$  on a set  $\mathcal{X}$  induces a metrizable topology  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}$  for which a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is declared open provided that for each  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  there is an  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(u, r) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(u, r) = \{v \in \mathcal{X} : \mathfrak{b}(u, v) < r\}$ .

An important consequence is that a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  is  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ -convergent to an  $w \in \mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $\lim_n \mathfrak{b}(w, u_n) = 0$ .

In the sequel all topological properties corresponding to a  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  will refer to the topology  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ .

It is appropriate to point out that, unlike the metric case, the set  $\mathcal{B}(u, r)$  is not necessarily  $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ -open (see [16] (Example on pages 4310–4311), [17] (Example 3.9)).

Moreover, it is well known that, contrarily to the classical metric case, there exist  $b$ -metrics that are not continuous functions (see e.g., [17] (Examples 3.9 and 3.10)).

Finally, we recall that the notions of Cauchy sequence and of complete  $b$ -metric space are defined exactly as the corresponding ones that for metric spaces.

### 3. Results and Examples

We begin this section giving an example that solves the question raised in [1] (Remark 1).

**Example 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$  be the metric space where  $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the metric on  $\mathcal{X}$  given by  $\mathfrak{b}(u, u) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}(u, v) = \max\{u, v\}$  whenever  $u \neq v$ .

It is clear that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$  is complete because the only non-eventually constant Cauchy sequences are those that converge to 0.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the self mapping of  $\mathcal{X}$  given by  $\mathcal{T}u = u/2$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}v) = \mathfrak{b}(u, v)/2$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ , all conditions of the Banach contraction principle are satisfied.

Next we show that, however, the condition (c2) of Theorem 1 is not fulfilled.

Indeed, let  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any bounded from below function.

Take  $u_0 \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0) = 2^{-n} u_0 > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Suppose that the condition (c2) holds. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-(n+1)} u_0 &= \mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+2} u_0) \\ &\leq (F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0) - F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0)) \mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0) \\ &= (F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0) - F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0)) 2^{-n} u_0, \end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0) \geq 2^{-1} + F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_0) &\geq \frac{1}{2} + F(\mathcal{T}u_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + F(\mathcal{T}^2 u_0) \geq \dots \geq \frac{n+1}{2} + F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0) \\ &\geq \frac{n+1}{2} + \inf F(\mathcal{X}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , a contradiction.

**Remark 1.** Since we are working in the more general context of  $b$ -metric spaces, it would be interesting to give an example of a Banach contraction on a non-metric complete  $b$ -metric space that does not satisfy condition (c2) of Theorem 1. For it, we proceed to modify Example 1 in the following fashion: Fix  $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $b_p : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $b_p(u, u) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $b_p(u, v) = (\max\{u, v\})^p$  whenever  $u \neq v$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, b_p, 2^{p-1})$  is a non-metric complete  $b$ -metric space (see e.g., [18] (Example 2.2) or [3] (Example 12.2)). Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the self mapping of  $\mathcal{X}$  given in Example 1. Then, it fulfills the conditions of the Banach contraction principle for  $b$ -metric spaces ([7] (Theorem 2.1)) with constant of contraction  $2^{-p}$ . Analogously to Example 1 we can check that it does not satisfy condition (c2) for any bounded from below function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  because, otherwise, for any  $u_0 \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}$  we should  $F(u_0) \geq 2^{-p}(n + 1) + \inf F(\mathcal{X})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , a contradiction.

In the sequel, a self mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  of a  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  such that there is a function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which conditions (c1) and (c2) are satisfied will said to be a correlation contraction (on  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$ ).

We wonder if Theorem 1 allows us to obtain a characterization of complete  $b$ -metric spaces in the style of Hu’s characterization of metric completeness mentioned in Section 1. In this direction, the next is an example of a non-complete metric space such that every correlation contraction on any of its (non necessarily closed) subsets has a fixed point.

**Example 2.** Let  $b$  be the metric on  $\mathbb{N}$  defined by  $b(n, n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $b(n, m) = \max\{1/n, 1/m\}$  whenever  $n \neq m$ .

Then  $(\mathbb{N}, b, 1)$  is not complete because  $(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non-convergent Cauchy sequence.

Now let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a correlation contraction on a (non-empty) subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $(\mathbb{N}, b, 1)$ . Then, there is a function  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which conditions (c1) and (c2) are satisfied.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}$  has no fixed points. Then  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 2$ , and  $b(n, \mathcal{T}n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Choose an  $m_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  has no fixed points we get  $\mathcal{T}^n m_0 \neq \mathcal{T}^{n+1} m_0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Hence, by condition (c2),

$$b(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} m_0, \mathcal{T} m_0) \leq (F(\mathcal{T}^n m_0) - F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} m_0))b(\mathcal{T}^n m_0, m_0)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Since  $1/\mathcal{T} m_0 \leq b(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} m_0, \mathcal{T} m_0)$ , and  $b(\mathcal{T}^n m_0, m_0) \leq 1$ , we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{T} m_0} + F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} m_0) \leq F(\mathcal{T}^n m_0),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(m_0) &\geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{T} m_0} + F(\mathcal{T} m_0) \geq \frac{2}{\mathcal{T} m_0} + F(\mathcal{T}^2 m_0) \\ &\geq \dots \geq \frac{n}{\mathcal{T} m_0} + F(\mathcal{T}^n m_0) \geq \frac{n}{\mathcal{T} m_0} + \inf F(\mathcal{A}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which yields a contradiction.

Motivated by the preceding example, in Definition 1 below we present a modification of the notion of a correlation contraction from which a characterization of  $b$ -metric completeness will be obtained via a fixed point result.

To this end, we first recall that a partial order on a set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive binary relation on  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\preceq$  is a partial order on  $\mathcal{X}$ , for each  $u \in \mathcal{X}$  we denote by  $u \uparrow$  the set  $\{v \in \mathcal{X} : u \preceq v\}$ .

On the other hand, given a  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  we shall denote by  $acc((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_b))$  the set of all accumulation points of the metrizable topological space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_b)$ . Hence  $acc((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_b))$  consists of all points  $w \in \mathcal{X}$  for which there is a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathcal{X}$  that  $\mathfrak{T}_b$ -converges to  $w$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. We say that a self mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $\preceq$ -correlation contraction (on  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$ ) if there is a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (c3)  $\mathcal{T}$  is non-decreasing, i.e.,  $u \preceq v \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}u \preceq \mathcal{T}v$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ ;
- (c4) there is  $u_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $u_0 \preceq \mathcal{T}u_0$ ;
- (c5) there is a bounded from below function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $u \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $v \in (u \uparrow) \cup acc((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_b))$ ,
 
$$b(u, \mathcal{T}u) > 0 \Rightarrow b(\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}v) \leq (F(u) - F(\mathcal{T}u))b(u, v).$$

**Remark 2.** The existence of  $\preceq$ -correlation contractions on a given  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  is always guaranteed. Indeed, let  $i$  be the identity mapping on  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\preceq_D$  the discrete partial order on  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e.,  $u \preceq_D v \Leftrightarrow u = v$ . It is obvious that conditions (c3)–(c5) are fulfilled for any bounded from below function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (in particular, (c5) directly follows from the fact that  $b(u, iu) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ ).

Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 1 that every correlation contraction  $\mathcal{T}$  on a complete  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  is a  $\preceq_D$ -correlation contraction on it: It suffices to observe that conditions (c3) and (c5) are trivially satisfied and, for (c4), notice that every fixed point  $w$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  obviously verifies  $w \preceq_D \mathcal{T}w$ .

We now establish the following variant of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space. Then, every  $\preceq$ -correlation contraction on it has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $\preceq$ -correlation contraction on  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$ . Then, there is a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  and a bounded from below function  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which conditions (c3)–(c5) are fulfilled.

Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  be such that  $u_0 \preceq \mathcal{T}u_0$ . Therefore, by (c3),  $\mathcal{T}^n u_0 \preceq \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

If  $\mathcal{T}^n u_0 = \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0$  for some  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{T}^n u_0$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

So, we assume that  $\mathcal{T}^n u_0 \neq \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Thus  $b(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and we can apply condition (c5), which implies that

$$b(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+2} u_0) \leq (F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0) - F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0))b(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, \mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Thus  $F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0) < F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , so  $(F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence it converges to the real number  $\inf_n \mathcal{T}^n(u_0)$  (recall that  $F$  is bounded from below), and consequently it is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now, by repeating the argument given by the authors in their proof of Theorem 1 ([1], lines 12–22 of page 2 and line 1 of page 3), we deduce that  $(\mathcal{T}^n u_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{X}, b, s)$ . Therefore, there exists  $w \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $(\mathcal{T}^n u_0)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$   $\mathfrak{T}_b$ -converges to  $w$ . Thus  $w \in acc((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_b))$ , and again we can apply (c5) to deduce that

$$b(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0, \mathcal{T}w) \leq (F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0) - F(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0))b(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, w),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Since  $(F(\mathcal{T}^n u_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_n b(\mathcal{T}^n u_0, w) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_n b(\mathcal{T}^{n+1} u_0, \mathcal{T}w) = 0$ , so  $w = \mathcal{T}w$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We turn our attention to the relationship between Theorems 1 and 2. In connection with this, and as we have point out above, in Example 1 of [1], the authors presented an instance of a complete metric space where we can apply Theorem 1 but not the Banach contraction principle. By the second part of Remark 2, we also can apply Theorem 2 to every correlation contraction in [1] (Example 1). The following is an example where we can apply Theorem 2 but not Theorem 1.

**Example 3.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$  be the complete metric space where  $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the metric on  $\mathcal{X}$  given by  $\mathfrak{b}(u, u) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}(0, n) = \mathfrak{b}(n, 0) = 1/n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}(n, m) = \max\{1/n, 1/m\}$  whenever  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \neq m$ .

Clearly  $\text{acc}((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}})) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the self mapping of  $\mathcal{X}$  defined by  $\mathcal{T}0 = 0$  and  $\mathcal{T}n = n(n + 1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\leq$  be the usual order on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}u \leq \mathcal{T}v$  whenever  $u \leq v$ , and  $u \leq \mathcal{T}u$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ , so conditions (c3) and (c4) hold.

Now take  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F(n) = 1/n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $\inf F(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ . Furthermore, for each  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ , with  $u \neq v$ , such that  $\mathfrak{b}(u, \mathcal{T}u) > 0$  and  $v \in (u \uparrow) \cup \text{acc}((\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}))$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}v) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}u} = \frac{1}{u(u + 1)} = \left( \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{u(u + 1)} \right) \frac{1}{u} \\ &= (F(u) - F(\mathcal{T}u))\mathfrak{b}(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\leq$ -correlation contraction on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$ .

However  $\mathcal{T}$  is not a correlation contraction on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$ : Otherwise, its restriction to  $\mathbb{N}$  would also be a correlation contraction on  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$  and, by Example 2 it would have, at least, a fixed point belonging to  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We finish the paper with our promised characterization of  $b$ -metric completeness and with two observations related to it.

The following lemma, that provides a full  $b$ -metric generalization of the corresponding result for metric spaces, will be useful in the proof of the ‘only if’ part of our characterization.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed subset of a complete  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$ , then  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{b}|_{\mathcal{C}}, s)$  is also a complete  $b$ -metric space.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{b}|_{\mathcal{C}}, s)$ . Then  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$ . Therefore there exists  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$   $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ -converges to  $x$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed we get that  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{b}|_{\mathcal{C}}, s)$  is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** A  $b$ -metric space is complete if and only if every  $\leq$ -correlation contraction on any of its closed subsets has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed subset of a complete  $b$ -metric space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $\leq$ -correlation contraction on  $\mathcal{C}$  endowed with the restriction of  $\mathfrak{b}$ . By Lemma 1,  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{b}|_{\mathcal{C}}, s)$  is complete. We deduce from Theorem 2 that  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point (in  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

For the converse, suppose that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  is a non-complete  $b$ -metric space for which every  $\leq$ -correlation contraction on any of its closed subsets has a fixed point. Then, there exists a non-convergent Cauchy sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$ , with  $u_n \neq u_m$  whenever  $n \neq m$ .

From standard arguments we can find a sequence  $(j(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the following properties are fulfilled:

(P1)  $j(1) > 1, j(n + 1) > \max\{n + 1, j(n)\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

and

(P2) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{b}(u_{j(n)}, u_k) < 2^{-(n+1)}\mathfrak{b}(u_n, u_m)$  whenever  $k \geq j(n)$  and  $m \neq n$ .

Put  $\mathcal{C} = \{u_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and define a self mapping  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{T}u_n = u_{j(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Of course  $\mathcal{T}$  has no fixed points because  $j(n) > n$  and thus  $u_n \neq u_{j(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Finally, we going to check that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\preceq$ -correlation contraction on the closed subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$ .

Let  $\preceq$  be the partial order on  $\mathcal{C}$  defined by

$$u_n \preceq u_m \Leftrightarrow n \leq m.$$

Condition (c3) is clearly verified: Indeed, if  $u_n \preceq u_m$  we deduce that  $n \leq m$ , so  $j(n) \leq j(m)$  and consequently  $\mathcal{T}u_n = u_{j(n)} \preceq u_{j(m)} = \mathcal{T}u_m$ .

Moreover  $u_n \preceq \mathcal{T}u_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  because, by (P1),  $n < j(n)$ . so condition (c4) is also fulfilled.

Let now  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F(u_n) = 2^{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\inf F(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ .

Pick  $u_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b}(u_n, \mathcal{T}u_n) > 0$ . For each  $u_m \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{u_n\}$  such that  $u_m \in u_n \uparrow$ , we have  $n < m$ , and hence,  $j(n) < j(m)$ , by (P1). Then, from (P2) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}(\mathcal{T}u_n, \mathcal{T}u_m) &= \mathfrak{b}(u_{j(n)}, u_{j(m)}) < 2^{-(n+1)} \mathfrak{b}(u_n, u_m) \\ &\leq (2^{-n} - 2^{-j(n)}) \mathfrak{b}(u_n, u_m) \\ &= (F(u_n) - F(\mathcal{T}u_n)) \mathfrak{b}(u_n, u_m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, condition (c5) is also satisfied (note that  $\text{acc}((\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{b} |_{\mathcal{C}}))$  is the empty set).

We conclude that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathfrak{b}, s)$  is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Although the function  $F$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 3 satisfies  $F(u_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we could have selected it to fulfill  $F(u_n) < 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For instance, by defining  $F(u_n) = 2^{-n} - 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 4.** The metric space  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{b}, 1)$  constructed in Example 2 is not complete. Hence, by Theorem 3, it has closed subsets endowed with  $\preceq$ -correlation contractions that are free of fixed points. In fact, the restriction to  $\mathbb{N}$  of the  $\preceq$ -correlation contraction  $\mathcal{T}$  constructed in Example 3 provides an instance of this situation.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The author thanks the reviewers for several comments, remarks and suggestions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Karapinar, E.; Khojasteh, F.; Mitrović, Z.D. A proposal for revisiting Banach and Caristi type theorems in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 308. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Hu, T.K. On a fixed point theorem for metric spaces. *Am. Math. Mon.* **1967**, *74*, 436–437. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Kirk, W.; Shahzad, N. *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2014.
4. Alolaiyan, H.; Ali, B.; Abbas, M. Characterization of a  $b$ -metric space completeness via the existence of a fixed point of Cirić-Suzuki type quasi-contractive multivalued operators and applications. *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta* **2019**, *27*, 5–33.
5. Afshari, K.; Aydi, H.; Karapinar, E. On generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Geraghty contractions on  $b$ -metric spaces. *Georgian Math. J.* **2020**, *27*, 9–21. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Dung, N.V.; Hang, V.T.L. On relaxations of contraction constants and Caristi's theorem in  $b$ -metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2016**, *18*, 267–284. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1993**, *1*, 5–11.
9. Kajántó, S.; Lukács, A. A note on the paper "Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces" by Czerwik. *Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math.* **2018**, *10*, 85–89. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

10. Caristi, J. Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1976**, *215*, 241–251. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Bota, M.; Molnár, A.; Varga, C. On Ekeland's variational principle in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **2011**, *12*, 21–28.
12. Miculescu, R.; Mihail, A. Caristi-Kirk Type and Boyd and Wong–Browder-Matkowski-Rus type fixed point results in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Filomat* **2017**, *31*, 4331–4340 [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Cobzaş, S.; Czerwik, S. The completion of generalized  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed points. *Fixed Point Theory* **2020**, *21*, 133–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
15. Macías, R.A.; Segovia, C. Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type. *Adv. Math.* **1979**, *33*, 257–270. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Paluszyński, M.; Stempak, K. On quasi-metric and metric spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2009**, *137*, 4307–4312. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. An, T.V.; Tuyen, L.Q.; Dung, N.V. Stone-type theorem on  $b$ -metric spaces and applications. *Topol. Appl.* **2015**, *185–186*, 50–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Xia, Q. The geodesic problem in quasimetric spaces. *J. Geom. Anal.* **2009**, *19*, 452–479. [[CrossRef](#)]