

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction and Objectives</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and Objectives</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	6
1.2	Objectives . . . . .	9
1.3	Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	10
1.4	List of Publications . . . . .	13
1.5	List of Research Projects . . . . .	14
<b>II</b>	<b>Preliminaries and Literature Review</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Computational Argumentation from a Human Reasoning Perspective</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	20
2.2	The Computational Argumentation Process . . . . .	22
2.3	Argument Mining . . . . .	26
2.4	Argument-based Knowledge Representation and Reasoning . . . . .	43
2.5	Argument-based Human Computer Interaction . . . . .	58
2.6	The Future of Computational Argumentation . . . . .	73
2.7	Conclusions . . . . .	82
<b>III</b>	<b>Automatic Analysis of Argumentative Discourse</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>VivesDebate: A New Annotated Multilingual Corpus of Argumentation in a Debate Tournament</b>	<b>87</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	88

## CONTENTS

---

3.2	Argumentation in Professional Debate Tournaments . . . . .	91
3.3	Annotation Methodology . . . . .	93
3.4	The VivesDebate Corpus . . . . .	108
3.5	Related Work: Other Computational Argumentation Corpora . . .	112
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	117
<b>4</b>	<b>A Cascade Model for Argument Mining</b>	<b>121</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	121
4.2	Related Work . . . . .	123
4.3	Budget Argument Mining . . . . .	124
4.4	Model Architecture . . . . .	126
4.5	Results . . . . .	128
4.6	Discussion . . . . .	132
<b>5</b>	<b>Transformer-Based Models for Automatic Identification of Argument Relations</b>	<b>135</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	136
5.2	Related Work . . . . .	138
5.3	Data . . . . .	139
5.4	Automatic Identification of Relational Properties . . . . .	141
5.5	Evaluation . . . . .	143
5.6	Conclusion . . . . .	149
<b>6</b>	<b>Automatic Evaluation of Argumentative Debates</b>	<b>151</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	151
6.2	Related Work . . . . .	153
6.3	Data . . . . .	154
6.4	Method . . . . .	155
6.5	Experiments . . . . .	161
6.6	Automatic Evaluation of Argumentative Debates . . . . .	164
6.7	Conclusions . . . . .	166
<b>7</b>	<b>VivesDebate-Speech: A Corpus of Spoken Argumentation</b>	<b>169</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	169
7.2	The VivesDebate-Speech Corpus . . . . .	171

## CONTENTS

---

7.3	Problem Description . . . . .	173
7.4	Proposed Method . . . . .	174
7.5	Experiments . . . . .	176
7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	180
 <b>IV Argument-based Computational Persuasion</b>		<b>183</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>A Qualitative Analysis of the Persuasive Properties of Argumentation Schemes</b>	<b>185</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	186
8.2	Related Work . . . . .	187
8.3	Background: Principles of Persuasion and Argumentation Schemes	190
8.4	Study Design . . . . .	193
8.5	Results . . . . .	198
8.6	Discussion . . . . .	205
8.7	Conclusion . . . . .	208
<b>9</b>	<b>Toward the Prevention of Privacy Threats: How Can We Persuade Our Social Network Platform Users?</b>	<b>209</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	210
9.2	Related Work . . . . .	212
9.3	Study Design . . . . .	215
9.4	Results . . . . .	226
9.5	Conclusion and Future Work . . . . .	234
<b>10</b>	<b>Persuasion-enhanced Computational Argumentative Reasoning</b>	<b>235</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	236
10.2	Related Work . . . . .	238
10.3	Formalisation . . . . .	241
10.4	Implementation of the Argument-based Persuasive Framework . .	246
10.5	Persuasive & Behaviour Change Evaluation . . . . .	258
10.6	Discussion . . . . .	262
10.7	Conclusion . . . . .	264

## CONTENTS

---

<b>V Discussion</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>11 Discussion</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>VI Conclusion and Future Work</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>12 Conclusion and Future Work</b>	<b>275</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>279</b>