



**From
Vernacular
to World
Heritage**

edited by
LETIZIA DIPASQUALE
SAVERIO MECCA
MARIANA CORREIA

Ricerche. Architettura, Pianificazione, Paesaggio, Design

Firenze University Press, in collaboration with the Department of Architecture of the University of Florence, promotes and supports the series *Ricerche. Architettura, Pianificazione, Paesaggio, Design*. This initiative aims to offer a contribution to national and international research on the project in all its dimensions, both theoretical and operational. The volumes of the series are evaluated according to renowned best practices at an international level and collect the research results of scholars from the University of Florence and from other national and international institutions.

Ricerche. Architettura, Pianificazione, Paesaggio, Design fully supports Open Access publishing as an ideal tool to share ideas and knowledge in every research field with an open, collaborative and non-profit approach. Open Access books and book chapters allow the research community to achieve a high research impact as well as rapid dissemination in any editorial form.



with the support
of the Culture
Programme of the
European Union

3D PAST
European
Research
Project

Website: <https://esg.pt/3dpast/>

Platform: <https://esg.pt/3dpast/platform/>

App: 3DPAST

available at App Store and Google Play

*download the app to browse the Augmented Reality
contents of the book*

3DPAST Augmented Reality tag



video



images gallery



3D interactive model

This publication is the result of the project '**3DPAST – Living & virtual visiting European World Heritage**' [Grant Agreement Ref No570729-CREA-1-2016-1-PT-CULT-COOP1], co-funded by the European Union (2016-2020), under the programme Creative Europe.

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

This collective work gathers three European university institutions and integrates contributions from the project leader and the project partners. In addition to the Editors, the main contributors are:



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE
DIDA
DIPARTIMENTO DI
ARCHITETTURA



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA

Project Leader

**ESG / Escola Superior Gallaecia,
Vila Nova de Cerveira, Portugal**

Project Leader and Director:
Mariana Correia

Scientific advisors: Gilberto Duarte
Carlos, José Vicente, Teresa Correia,
Goreti Sousa, Mónica Alcindor,
Rui Florentino, Damião Matos,
Henrique Silva, Emília Simão,
Ana Lima, Luís Paulo Pacheco

Researchers: Teresa Bermudez,
Marco Mourão, Sandra Rocha,
Jacob Merten

Project Partners

**Università degli Studi di Firenze, DIDA
Dipartimento di Architettura, Italy**

Director: Saverio Mecca

Scientific advisors: Letizia Dipasquale,
Alessandro Merlo, Massimo Carta,
Stefano Galassi, Giorgio Verdiani

Researchers: Lucia Montoni,
Francesco Frullini, Alessandra Manzi,
Gaia Lavoratti, Luciano Giannone,
Enrico La Macchia

**Universitat Politècnica de València, Escuela
Técnica Superior de Arquitectura, Spain**

Directors: Fernando Vegas, Camilla Mileto

Scientific advisors: Valentina Cristini,
Lidia García Soriano, María Diodato,
Juan María Songel, Guillermo Guimaraens,
José Luis Baró, Yolanda Hernández

Researchers: Matilde Caruso, Alicia Hueto,
María Lidón

*3DPAST Administrative coordinator
and Proof reader: Sandra Rocha e Sousa*

*3DPAST logo design: Teresa Correia,
CI-ESG, Escola Superior Gallaecia*

With the Support of



International Council on
Monuments and Sites



Chaire Unesco *Architecture de
terre, cultures constructives et
développement durable*



International Committee of
Vernacular Architecture
ICOMOS-CIAV



International Scientific Committee
on Earthen Architectural Heritage
ICOMOS-ISCEAH



International Committee of
Architectural Photogrammetry
ICOMOS-CIPA

edited by
LETIZIA DIPASQUALE
SAVERIO MECCA
MARIANA CORREIA

**From
Vernacular
to World
Heritage**

Firenze University Press
2020



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

DIDA
DIPARTIMENTO DI
ARCHITETTURA

From Vernacular to World Heritage / a cura di Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca,
Mariana Correia. — Firenze - Firenze University Press, 2020.
(Ricerche. Architettura, Pianificazione, Paesaggio, Design ; 5)

<https://www.fupress.com/isbn/9788855182935>

ISBN 978-88-5518-292-8 (print)

ISBN 978-88-5518-293-5 (PDF)

ISBN 978-88-5518-254-6 (XML)

DOI 10.36253/978-88-5518-293-5

FUP Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (DOI: 10.36253/fup_best_practice)

All publications are submitted to an external refereeing process under the responsibility of the FUP Editorial Board and the Scientific Boards of the series. The works published are evaluated and approved by the Editorial Board of the publishing house, and must be compliant with the Peer review policy, the Open Access, Copyright and Licensing policy and the Publication Ethics and Complaint policy.

Firenze University Press Editorial Board

M. Garzaniti (Editor-in-Chief), M.E. Alberti, F. Arrigoni, M. Boddi, R. Casalbuni, F. Ciampi, A. Dolfi, R. Ferrise, P. Guarnieri, A. Lambertini, R. Lanfredini, P. Lo Nostro, G. Mari, A. Mariani, P.M. Mariano, S. Marinai, R. Minuti, P. Nanni, A. Novelli, A. Orlandi, A. Perulli, G. Pratesi, O. Roselli.

 The online digital edition is published in Open Access on www.fupress.com.

Content license: the present work is released under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode>).

Metadata license: all the metadata are released under the Public Domain Dedication license (CC0 1.0 Universal: <https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode>).

Le immagini utilizzate rispondono alla pratica del *fair use* (Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C., 107) essendo finalizzate al commento storico critico e all'insegnamento.

© 2021 Author(s)
Published by Firenze University Press

Firenze University Press
Università degli Studi di Firenze
via Cittadella, 7, 50144 Firenze, Italy
www.fupress.com

*This book is printed on acid-free paper
Printed in Italy*

progetto grafico

didacommunicationlab

Dipartimento di Architettura
Università degli Studi di Firenze

Susanna Cerri
Federica Giulivo

Stampato su carta di pura cellulosa *Fedrigoni Arcoset*

ELEMENTAL
CHLORINE
FREE
GUARANTEED



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	7
Vernacular and earthen architecture at the core of local knowledge research: a quality partnership with successful outcomes	8
Mariana Correia, Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca, Camilla Mileto, Fernando Vegas	
The challenges of vernacular architecture	12
Toshiyuki Kono	
A heritage of reconciliation and of linkage between nature and culture	14
Hubert Guillaud	
Vernacular architecture in the World Heritage list	18
Luis Fernando Guerrero Baca	
Living and virtual visiting European World Heritage: an overview	24
Mariana Correia	
Vernacular World Heritage. A discovery through 3 dimensions	31
Crossing dimensions and components in vernacular architecture research	32
Mariana Correia, Gilberto Duarte Carlos, José Vicente, Teresa Correia, Sandra Rocha e Sousa	
Discovering vernacular heritage and its tangible dimensions	38
Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Mariana Correia, Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca	
Understanding the dimension of historical evolution	44
Fernando Vegas, Camilla Mileto, Maria Diodato, Juan María Songel González	
Valuing and codifying intangible knowledge	52
Fernando Vegas, Camilla Mileto, Alicia Hueto, María Lidón	
Enhancing vernacular World Heritage through digital technology and multimedia tools	60
Alessandro Merlo, Gaia Lavoratti, Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca	
The importance of creativity in vernacular heritage	68
Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Mariana Correia, Emília Simão	
Communication and dissemination of vernacular heritage	74
Mariana Correia, Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca, José Vicente, Teresa Correia	
From Vernacular Heritage to World Heritage. 8 case studies	81
The traditional cultural landscape of Pico island and its vernacular architecture, Portugal	82
Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Mariana Correia, Goreti Sousa, Mónica Alcindor, Rui Florentino, Teresa Bermudez, Manuel P. R. S. Costa	

Historic walled town of Cuenca, Spain	98
Lidia García Soriano, Valentina Cristini, Fernando Vegas, Camilla Mileto	
Historic centre of the city of Pienza, Italy	114
Alessandro Merlo, Gaia Lavoratti, Francesco Frullini, Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca	
Old Rauma, Finland	130
Matilde Caruso, Lidia García Soriano, Camilla Mileto, Fernando Vegas	
Villages with fortified churches in Transylvania, Romania	146
Valentina Cristini, Fernando Vegas, Camilla Mileto, Lidia García Soriano	
Historic centres of Berat and Gjirokastra, Albania	160
Letizia Dipasquale, Massimo Carta, Alessandro Merlo, Giorgio Verdiani	
Historic centre Chorá on the island of Pátmos, Greece	178
Letizia Dipasquale, Lucia Montoni, Alessandra Manzi, Saverio Mecca	
Vernacular architecture in Chazhashi settlement, Upper Svaneti, Georgia	194
Gilberto Duarte Carlos, Mariana Correia, Goreti Sousa, Mónica Alcindor, Teresa Bermudez	
Building the future of European Vernacular World Heritage	211
Conservation and maintenance practices	212
Camilla Mileto, Fernando Vegas, José Luis Baró Zarzo, Yolanda Hernández Navarro	
Assessing and mitigating impacts of changes on cultural heritage	222
Letizia Dipasquale, Saverio Mecca, Lucia Montoni	
Protecting and valuing cultural heritage	230
Mariana Correia, Gilberto Duarte Carlos	



UNDERSTANDING THE DIMENSION OF HISTORICAL EVOLUTION

Fernando Vegas
Camilla Mileto
Maria Diodato
Juan María Songel González
Universitat Politècnica de València

Historic settlements are like palimpsests that, in many ways, have become stratified over centuries in urban, architectural and constructive terms. The current appearance of a given historic centre or vernacular nucleus is the result of multiple layers and phases of its life, which have been superimposed. These include the direct interventions of construction, transformation or retrofitting, as well as the degradation of materials, structural lesions or incidents suffered. Unwritten and undocumented history is often reflected in the built substance of the buildings that make up these towns and cities (Mileto, Vegas, 2015). Understanding the dimension of the historical evolution of their urbanism, architecture and construction allows us to consciously respect their values, introducing the necessary changes and transformations to suitably adapt them to contemporary life, and to write this palimpsest without having to completely erase or cancel the fragments written in the past.

The urban dimension

The layout of urban or rural settlements is born of different factors: defensive, in search of higher up areas; topographical, such as curves in the ground; commercial, with the existence of communications between paths, rivers or canals; or pragmatic, such as individual access of each plot to the respective properties or crops. Some are vital, such as water supply, the existence of hunting or fertile countryside; climatic, searching for optimum ventilation, sunlight or shade, while social factors aim to distinguish between public and private spaces and their use. These locations are never haphazard, but rather the result of centuries of trial and error, which have established the best location and layout for surrounding conditioning factors.

Rural nuclei are often clustered on the southern slopes of a mountain, so as not to occupy arable land in the valley, while ensuring as much sunlight as possible, and avoiding possible floods. They thus find the most sheltered corners from the dominant winds, and thus spared from avalanches or landslides. In seismic or volcanic areas popular experience makes it possible to avoid settlements in the more conflictive cracks and faults, or arranges them in streets or avenues to minimise disruption (Vegas, 1999). There is wisdom in a non-haphazard placement of settlements, often forgotten until natural disasters act as a reminder of the initial reason for these locations.

In other cases, the location of these settlements has created its own physical or even orographic conditions for their survival and quality of life. Thus, for example, the old prehistoric nuclei of the plains

opposite page

Passage at Biertan, Romania
The current appearance of an ancient building is the result of multiple superimposed phases of its life, to understand and respect while adapting it to contemporaneous standards
(© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2017)



The city of Sibiu (Romania) is a good example of a built palimpsest that has managed to adapt to contemporary life without damaging its historical architecture
 (© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2017)

The addition of new built volumes or the introduction of modern materials, precast elements or pre-dosed mortars in well-preserved pristine settings, such as this complex of the village of Archita (Romania), would seriously affect its vernacular character
 (© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2018)

were built successively over the remains and rubble of earlier ruins or torched or looted constructions, successively elevating the ground to create *tells*, which become useful defensive vantage points. It is also common to find that the unhealthiest and most foul-smelling activities were located where the wind could blow the effluvia away from the population rather than towards it. Sometimes, screens were built to protect from the most insidious wind by strategically locating secondary buildings, such as grain stores or warehouses, which receive the initial impact of the wind, protecting the main nucleus of population.

Towns and cities have gradually grown or been transformed on themselves, even unconsciously respecting these tacit rules for a logical urbanism, on which their defence, support or survival depended. Breaking such rules, implicit in the urban layout, entails negative consequences from floods or catastrophes on a never-before-seen scale, to higher energy costs, or worse quality and conditions of life.

The architectural dimension

Architecture is also the result of the combination of available materials, climatic conditions, and local idiosyncrasy and culture. Like urbanism, it is not completely static but has traditionally been subject to modifications and transformations on itself, rather than to complete demolition and new constructions. Therefore, in a single facade or especially in an interior, it is easy to find structures, walls, elements belonging to past times, to past phases of the building. That is why it does not make sense to only protect facades as urban scenarios of buildings void of content, as the buildings are likely to incorporate elements that are older and possibly even more valuable than the facades themselves.

Over the centuries, buildings have undergone a gradual transformation deriving from the technological advances that improved the quality of life of their residents, who arrived in each individual location at a specific point in time linked to specific circumstances. Not all these evolutions have taken or will take place in the future, as they all depend on local conditions, although many reflect a common trend.

The evolution of roofs and floors often attests to the progressive scarcity of wood in some places and the search for solutions that are less reliant on it, incorporating specific flooring and progressive insulation

from the lower floors. There is a transition from exclusively wooden floors and roofs to those combined with other materials, a shift from floorboards laid directly on joists, to layers of anhydrite or lime and brick dust terrazzo flooring, or from gypsum paving to terracotta, glazed or cement tiles; all types of flooring, often found superimposed.

In the distribution, this evolution saw stables smelling of hay and manure transformed into garages smelling of fuel; attics became part of the dwellings; spaces which were traditionally for servants were used to expand the dwellings; workshops and work spaces on the ground floor became commercial premises. Smaller dwellings were grouped to increase their size or divide mansions and single-family buildings into apartments.

Balconies were added to facades with full length windows, while wooden railings were replaced with wrought or forged iron ones (Privitera, 2015). Small windows, scattered around the facade to provide daylight and ventilation, were transformed into academically ordered facades with large openings. Decorations were added to facades and systems to filter sun and shade evolved.

Glazing was added to window carpentry, replacing the oiled linen screens and other materials, which let light in, while the size of the windows increased, as the manufacturing processes produced larger glass panes. The transition from the original wooden shutters, as the only carpentry, to blinds took place; hinges evolved from hinge hooks and wrought iron hinges to butt hinges; and the invention of the *cre-mone* and *espagnolette* bolts made it possible to eliminate the central mullion of double windows.

In terms of installations, running water, electricity and gas were added to interiors; candles were replaced with candlesticks, Argand lamps, oil lamps and electric lighting. Traditional heating systems such as *gloria* heaters (Vegas, Mileto, 2014), braziers or ceramic stoves evolved to be replaced with hot water radiators. Plumbing made it possible to add lavatories in dwellings, while there was a transition from the kitchen hearth to the ceramic stove, metal stove, and gas or thermal induction stoves.

The building is also a built palimpsest of the history of the city, often showing how it has lived by transforming and adapting to the needs that have arisen over time. These modifications were often carried out by taking advantage of the existing building, thus leaving the traces of its previous life. In the past, this philosophy was a response to saving on resources. Now, we simply know it as sustainability, since experience has shown us that, with the odd exception, the greenest building is the one already built (Elefante, 2014). It saves on resources and use of existing structures, without generating rubble from a demolition. This sustainability, which is becoming increasingly clear, together with the preservation of the cultural identity reflected in the built palimpsest of the building, should be reason enough for us to intervene and conserve, restore, retrofit or adapt to contemporary habitability standards. It is necessary to proceed with the utmost caution and to respect the previous phases of the building, seeking a middle ground for their conservation.

➔ The excellent conservation of the village of Viscri (Romania) requires not introducing at all or inserting only those changes that are strictly necessary so as not to affect the harmony of the complex
(© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2017)



The constructive dimension

The material substance and constructive essence reflected in the architecture of a given settlement is the result of centuries of local tradition deposited in it. The availability of different materials, usually sourced nearby, generates logical combinations of these to make up local architecture. Taking into consideration the existing limitations, these are the best solutions in response to climatic conditions, sunlight and ventilation needs, seismic activity, etc., as they distil the wisdom accrued from similar circumstances in the past.

In some cases, the presence of rivers, along which wooden logs could be transported, or seas, where cargo ships sailed, increased availability to hundreds or thousands of kilometres, even in a seemingly non-globalised past. River or maritime transport of wood (Diodato, 2015), the sale of iron bars from enclaves other than those of local foundries (Privitera, 2015), a trade in brick, perhaps used as ballast on return voyages (Mitchell, 1997), and glass transported from production centres, are just some examples of the raw materials that used to be supplied for construction, and which were not always gathered from the immediate surroundings of the buildings.

The idea of local materials has long been much broader thanks to water communications, which were extremely cost-efficient in terms of energy as they mostly used wind or currents. From the 19th century on, improved communications by land further increased the potential to acquire materials from elsewhere, even defying logic, economy or traditional aesthetics.

In particular, the addition of industrially manufactured materials, especially predosed or prefabricated ones, burst into well-conserved artisanal surroundings, homogenizing constructive solutions and erasing the cultural wealth and diversity, which is characteristic of vernacular architecture. Although the



↶
Repair of a roof with a wooden board in old Rauma, Finland
(© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2017)

vernacular spirit called for the spontaneous use of all available materials, these did not necessarily integrate in the same way as materials extracted locally, or those traditionally imported.

The consequences are not merely aesthetic but also economic, as architecture that has been manufactured or restored by local craftsmen or artisans goes straight back into the local economy. This contrasts with the acquisition and use of industrial products and solutions, as investments benefit the factory and production centres, far from these settlements.

A lesson to be learnt

All these factors must be taken into account, in order to understand the dimension of historical evolution of the heritage of a historic centre or vernacular settlement. The main problem faced by our historic and vernacular nuclei is the staggering speed of current globalisation. It does bring materials, forms, elements and solutions that can be imported at greater speed than they can be absorbed, which did not occur in the past. There is a risk of complete loss of this heritage which has been passed down that also represents our identifying traits. All this suggests a series of recommendations derived from knowledge of the stratification philosophy described:

- Softening changes to be introduced in consolidated built surroundings, both in terms of materials, which are preferred if manufactured, and of new uses.
- Preventing building speculation to save full buildings with their interiors from demolition, avoiding both excessive elevations and combining plots for tertiary uses.
- Stopping demolitions as a result of ignorance or lack of knowledge of the constructive materials and their performance and potential to be consolidated and restored.



- Adding our intervention to the built palimpsest, respecting the previous constructive phases and showing sufficient awareness not to disturb the overall balance.
- Respecting the consolidated built scenario as it stands today, given the difficulties in operating or reproducing the traditional vernacular attitude.

All these recommendations, applied to each context as relevant, allow the built heritage of our historic centres and vernacular settlements to be conserved, so that future generations can enjoy and benefit from this reflection of their cultural identity.

References

Diodato M. 2015, *Estudio histórico de la madera arquitectónica en la ciudad de Valencia. Análisis previos para la conservación y puesta en valor: identificación de maderas, análisis constructivo, diagnóstico, clasificación y dendrocronología*, unpublished PhD dissertation, Universitat Politècnica de València.

Elefante C. 2014, *The greenest building is the one already built*, in *The craftsman blog*, <<https://thecraftsmanblog.com/greenest-building-is-the-one-already-built/>> (05/20).

Mileto C., Vegas López-Manzanares F. 2015, *Centro histórico de Valencia. Ocho siglos de arquitectura residencial*, TC Cuadernos, Valencia.

Mitchell M. 1997, *Brick: burnt/fired* in P. Oliver (ed.),

Encyclopaedia of Vernacular Architecture of the World, Cambridge University Press, pp. 210-211.

Privitera P. 2015, *El balcón y el mirador en la arquitectura pre-moderna: el caso de Valencia intramuros. Estudio histórico-compositivo*, unpublished PhD dissertation, Universitat Politècnica de València.

Vegas F. 1999, *Nysiros: paisaje, urbanismo, arquitectura y construcción*, «Asimetrías», n. 2, pp. 89-96.

Vegas López-Manzanares, F. Mileto C. 2014, *Radiant heating underfloor systems: glorias and trébedes* in Correia M., Dipasquale L., Mecca S. (eds), *Versus. Heritage for tomorrow. Vernacular knowledge for sustainable architecture*, Firenze University Press, Florence, pp. 265.

opposite page

Complete demolition of a building only keeping the facade, a terrible and misguided example of conservation of the historic palimpsest of a city, in Cuenca, Spain

(© F. Vegas, C. Mileto, 2016)