## INDEX

Abstract	i
Resumen	iii
Resum	V
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Geographical distribution and invasion history	3
1.2 Distinctive morphological and biological features	4
1.3 Biology of Eutetranychus banksi	6
1.4 Feeding damage, sampling, and thresholds	8
1.5 Biological control	10
1.6 Objectives	13
Chapter 2: The newcomer takes it all: the invader Texas citru	is mite, <i>Eutetranychus</i>
banksi (Acari: Tetranychidae), displaces the resident relatives	
	15
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Materials and Methods	20
2.3 Results	27
2.4 Discussion	
2.5 Acknowledgments	46
2.6 Supplementary Materials	47
Chapter 3: Within-tree distribution and seasonal dynamics of	<i>Eutetranychus banks</i> i
(Acari: Tetranychidae) and Euseius stipulatus (Acari: Phy	ytoseiidae) on citrus:
Implications for the biological control of the pest	61
3.1 Introduction	64
3.2 Materials and Methods	65
3.3 Results	69
3.4 Discussion	

3.5 Acknowledgments	93
Chapter 4: Population structure, spatial distribution, and sampling plan	for the
Texas citrus mite, Eutetranychus banksi (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus	95
4.1 Introduction	98
4.2 Materials and Methods	99
4.3 Results	104
4.4 Discussion	116
4.5 Acknowledgments	124
Chapter 5: Life-history, prey-stage preference, functional and numerical r	esponse
of the predatory mite Neoseiulus madeirensis (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to Eutetra	nnychus
of the predatory mile <i>veosetulus mudeirensis</i> (Acari: rinytosendae) to <i>Eulerr</i>	inycnus
banksi (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus	•
	125
banksi (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus	<b>125</b>
<i>banksi</i> (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus 5.1 Introduction	125 128 129
<ul> <li><i>banksi</i> (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus.</li> <li>5.1 Introduction.</li> <li>5.2 Materials and Methods.</li> </ul>	125 128 129 138
<ul> <li><i>banksi</i> (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus.</li> <li>5.1 Introduction.</li> <li>5.2 Materials and Methods.</li> <li>5.3 Results.</li> </ul>	125 128 129 138 146
<ul> <li><i>banksi</i> (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus</li></ul>	125 128 129 138 146 154
<ul> <li><i>banksi</i> (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus.</li> <li>5.1 Introduction.</li> <li>5.2 Materials and Methods.</li> <li>5.3 Results.</li> <li>5.4 Discussion</li></ul>	125 128 129 138 146 154 155

### **Figure Index**

Figure 1.1 Eutetranychus banksi, a. Deutonymph, male and exuvia next to the midrib. b. Protonymph, larva, female and eggs close to midrib. In the images it is possible to Figure 1.2 Morphological features to separate Eutetranychus species in Mediterranean citrus crops. Dorsal (a) and ventral (b) surface of *Eutetranychus* showing the naming Figure 1.3 Morphological features to separate Eutetranychus species in Mediterranean citrus crops. *Eutetranychus banksi* show (a) a trapezoid pattern between the third (e1) and fourth (f1) dorsocentral hysterosomal setae, and (b) two ventral setae in the coxa II. Eutetranychus orientalis show (c) a square pattern between the same dorsocentral histerosomal setae, and (d) one ventral setae in coxa I.....7 Figure 1.4 Dense colonies of *E. banksi* on clementine citrus. a, b. fruit colony and c. leaf colony where all stages of development are feeding. In addition, stippling damage can be observed, as well as the characteristic residues of accumulated moult visible at Figure 1.5 Damaged fruit and leaves by E. banksi. a. Unripe sweet orange tree fruit, the left fruit shows its normal colouring while the right one is damaged. b. Ripe orange fruit, the upper fruit row shows damage on the sunny side, while the lower row shows normal colouring. c. Clementine citrus leaves discoloured and with the "silvery" appearance observed after severe E. banksi infestation......10

# Chapter 2: The newcomer takes it all: the invader Texas citrus mite, *Eutetranychus banksi* (Acari: Tetranychidae), displaces the resident relatives on citrus agrosystems

Figure 2.2 Patterns of species occurrence across the sampled orchards. Species occur more frequently singly than together with other species. a. Proportion of occupied

**Figure 2.5** Bar plots (a–c) showing the mean kernel density (KDE) with the standard errors (capped bars), grouped by presence or absence between species. Bars with different letters are significantly different according to Mann-Whitney *U-test*. Representation of the binomial (logit-link) generalized linear models (GLMs) (d-g) on the probability of mite presence depending on KDE of the potential competitor. In the graphs, the prediction line is indicated in blue, and the 95% confidence band in grey. GLMs with statistically non-significant relationships have not been represented ......33

**Figure 3.2** Seasonal trends of *E. banksi* and phytoseiid mites on leaves (solid line, first y-axis) and fruits (broken line, second y-axis) in four citrus orchards in 2018. Mean number of mites collected per sampling unit (all the stages were pooled together). Note that first and second y-axis scales are different. Mean (solid line), maximum and minimum daily temperatures in  $^{\circ}$ C (broken lines) and mean daily relative humidity (RH) were represented. Capped bars represent ± standard error (SE)......72

**Figure 3.4** Seasonal relative abundance of motile forms of phytoseiid species in four (2018) and six (2019) citrus orchards. Percentage of each species per sampling is represented. The summer decline of *E. stipulatus* is represented by arrows in the graph.

**Figure 3.5** Variation in the spatial distribution and body coloration of phytoseiids in relation to the abundance of *E. banksi* in four (2018) and six (2019) citrus orchards. Percentage of phytoseiids in each position in the tree canopy (outside/inside), leaf (adaxial/abaxial), fruits (occupied/unoccupied by phytoseiids), and different body coloration (white/red) (primary y-axis), compared with the mean number of *E. banksi* per fruit or leaf (secondary, y-axis). Capped bars represent  $\pm$  standard error (SE). ....77

**Figure 3.6** (a–d) Representation of the binomial (logit-link) generalized linear models (GLMs) showing the relationship between the proportion of leaves occupied by phytoseiids outside the canopy (canopy), on the adaxial side of the leaves (leaf), the occupation on leaves by red-coloured phytoseiids (colour), and the proportion of fruits

Figure 3.7 Proportion of leaves occupied (grey legend) or unoccupied (white legend) by phytoseiids outside the canopy (a), on adaxial side of leaves (b), red coloured phytoseiids on leaves (c), and phytoseiid on fruits (d), when *E. banksi* occurred or was absent. Significant differences are denoted with asterisks. Chi square contingency test: \*\*\*P < 0.001......80

#### 

Figure 4.1 a. Seasonal relative abundance of developmental stages and population trends of *E. banksi* on citrus leaves and fruits. Percentage of each developmental stage per sample unit (fruit or leaf) and date are plotted in colored areas, and the number of mites per sample unit by a dotted line. b. Seasonal sex ratio and population trends of *E. banksi* on leaves and fruits. Sex ratio per sampling unit (fruit or leaf) and date are represented by solid lines, while the number of mites per sample unit by a dotted line. The peaks of eggs (a) and females (b) have been highlighted with ordinal numbers.

**Figure 4.2** Representation of the linear models (LMs) showing the relationship between the mean motile developmental stages (a) or mean females (b) (x-axis) and the mean total mites (y-axis) of *E. banksi* per leaf in the periphery of the canopy. In the

graphs, the prediction line is represented in black and the 95% confidence bands in grey. The goodness of fit of the model was assessed by computing the  $r^2$  value.....111

**Figure 4.3** Representation of the linear models (LM) showing the relationship between mean density (x-axis) and variance (y-axis) of motile stages of *E. banksi* pooled together (gray) and adult females (black) on citrus leaves in the periphery of the tree canopy. The goodness of fit of the models was assessed by computing the  $r^2$  value.

**Figure 4.5** Relationship between the proportion of leaves on the periphery of the canopy infested with *E. banksi* motile forms (a) and females (b) (y-axis) and the mean number of motile mites or females per sample unit (x-axis). Lines represent predicted values from the NBD Wilson & Room approach, while points represent the observed values in the field.

**Figure 5.6** Functional and numerical responses of adult female *N. madeirensis* to different developmental stages of *E. banksi*. In functional response graphs, points represent the observed mean prey consumed at each initial prey density, and solid lines were predicted by the Holling's disc equation. In numerical response graphs, points represent the observed mean eggs laid at each initial prey density, and solid lines were predicted by the hyperbolic model. Dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals

### **Table Index**

Chapter 1:	Introduction	1
Table 1.1	Intervention thresholds and sample sizes for <i>E. banks</i> i infestations in Flor	rida
citrus		11

# Chapter 2: The newcomer takes it all: the invader Texas citrus mite, *Eutetranychus banksi* (Acari: Tetranychidae), displaces the resident relatives on citrus agrosystems

Table 2.1 Meaning of the seventeen variables related to temperature, relative humidity,
wind speed, precipitation, and crop density
Table 2.2 Results for the null model co-occurrence analysis. The analysis was based
on the C-score and V-ratio index for sim 2 and sim 10 algorithms29
<b>Table 2.3</b> Results for the probabilistic model of co-occurrence analysis
Table 2.4 Results of the binomial (logit-link) generalized linear models (GLMs) on the
probability of presence depending on the density kernel (KDE) for the three mite
species

**Table 3.2** Abundance, relative abundance, and frequency of phytoseiid mites. Abundance represents the number of individuals of each species collected; Relative abundance is the percentage of individuals of each species with respect to the total number of phytoseiids; Frequency is the percentage of samples in which a certain phytoseiid species occurred with respect to the total number of samples collected....74

**Table 3.3** Results of the binomial (logit-link) generalized linear models (GLMs) on the occupancy by phytoseiids outside the canopy, on the adaxial side of the leaves, as well as the occupation on leaves by red-colour phytoseiids, and the occupancy by phytoseiids on fruits, depending on the mean number of *E. banks*i per sample unit. .79

#### 

**Table 4.1** Total individuals collected of different developmental stages in eachsampling unit (fruit, upper and lower leaf side) and leaf type. In brackets, the proportionof each stage of development in each sample unit and leaf type. The last rowsummarises the sex-ratio (females/ [males + females]). Significant differences aredenoted with asterisks. Chi-square test: \*\*\*P < 0.001.</td>105

**Table 4.2** Taylor's power law parameters for the different developmental stages of *E*.

 *banksi* on different plant strata. Parameter values *a* and *b* used for the sampling plans are highlighted in bold.

anksi (Acari: Tetranychidae) on citrus	12
Table 5.1 Development time in hours (mean $\pm$ SE), and survival	
<i>N. madeirensis</i> when fed on <i>E. banksi</i>	1
Table 5.2 Mean ± SE duration of female adult phases, long	evity, fecundity, a
demography parameters of N. madeirensis feeding on E. banksi.	1
Table 5.3 Mean ± SE number of prey consumed and relative	e consumption of
madeirensis when offered 30 prey items of different development	ental stages for 24
	1
Table 5.4 Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (logitation)	
	it-link) GLMs of t
Table 5.4 Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (logi	it-link) GLMs of t prey density by ad
Table 5.4 Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (log         proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial <i>E. banksi</i>	it-link) GLMs of t prey density by ad 1
<b>Table 5.4</b> Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (log         proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial <i>E. banksi</i> female <i>N. madeirensis</i> .	it-link) GLMs of t prey density by ad 1 eneralized non-line
<b>Table 5.4</b> Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (logproportion of prey consumed as a function of initial <i>E. banksi</i> female <i>N. madeirensis</i> <b>Table 5.5</b> Estimates of functional response parameters (a) by g	it-link) GLMs of t prey density by ad 1 eneralized non-line d numerical respon
<b>Table 5.4</b> Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (logproportion of prey consumed as a function of initial <i>E. banksi</i> female <i>N. madeirensis</i> . <b>Table 5.5</b> Estimates of functional response parameters (a) by gleast squares regression (GNLS) of Holling 's Type II model, and	it-link) GLMs of prey density by ac meneralized non-lin d numerical respon he Hyperbolic mod
<ul> <li>Table 5.4 Maximum likelihood estimates from binomial (log proportion of prey consumed as a function of initial <i>E. banksi</i> female <i>N. madeirensis</i>.</li> <li>Table 5.5 Estimates of functional response parameters (a) by g least squares regression (GNLS) of Holling 's Type II model, an parameters (b) by non-linear least squares regression (NLS) of the squares regression (NL</li></ul>	it-link) GLMs of prey density by ad eneralized non-lin d numerical respon he Hyperbolic mod