

Contents

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Acknowledgments | vii |
| Abstract | xiii |
| Resumen (Spanish abstract) | xv |
| List of Figures | xxi |
| List of Tables | xxiii |
| List of Symbols | xxv |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 2 |
| 1.2 Problem statement, gaps and topic justification | 4 |
| 1.3 Research aim | 6 |
| 1.4 Research questions | 7 |
| 1.5 Research objectives | 7 |
| 1.6 Scope and limitations | 8 |
| 1.7 Probable outcome | 8 |
| 1.8 Thesis structure | 9 |
| 2 Literature review | 11 |
| 2.1 Overview of outdoor thermal comfort studies worldwide | 12 |
| 2.2 Aim of the review | 20 |
| 2.3 Review methodology | 20 |
| 2.4 Discussion of the results obtained | 22 |
| 2.4.1 The focus of the reviewed studies | 22 |
| 2.4.2 Study of built environment | 23 |
| 2.4.3 Micro meteorological measurements | 24 |
| 2.4.4 Use of software and its validation | 25 |
| 2.4.5 Thermal comfort indices | 25 |
| 2.4.6 On-site questionnaire survey | 28 |
| 2.4.7 Thermal comfort evaluation parameters and measurement scales | 30 |
| 2.4.8 Acclimatization and adaptation | 33 |
| 2.4.9 Thermal neutrality | 33 |
| 2.4.10 Effect of physical and climatic parameters on thermal comfort | 35 |
| 2.5 Gaps, limitations and future scope | 38 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 2.6 | Summary | 41 |
| 3 | Materials and Methods | 45 |
| 3.1 | Flowchart of the study | 46 |
| 3.2 | Study areas selection | 47 |
| 3.2.1 | Site at composite climate zone | 47 |
| 3.2.2 | Site at hot and dry climate zone | 49 |
| 3.3 | Morphological character | 51 |
| 4 | Field study | 57 |
| 4.1 | User survey | 58 |
| 4.2 | Climate of the site | 59 |
| 4.3 | Micro-meteorological measurements | 60 |
| 4.4 | Thermal comfort indices | 62 |
| 5 | Numerical simulations and validation | 65 |
| 5.1 | Numerical simulations | 66 |
| 5.1.1 | Selection of software | 66 |
| 5.1.2 | Model development | 66 |
| 5.1.3 | Climatic input | 68 |
| 5.1.4 | Input parameters | 68 |
| 5.2 | Validation | 70 |
| 6 | Results and discussion | 73 |
| 6.1 | Results of User survey | 74 |
| 6.1.1 | User survey results of Delhi study | 74 |
| 6.1.2 | User survey results of Jaisalmer study | 76 |
| 6.2 | Simulation Results | 78 |
| 6.2.1 | Spatial and temporal variation of T_a , T_{mrt} , and PET at Delhi | 78 |
| 6.2.2 | Spatial and temporal variation of T_a , T_{mrt} , and PET at Jaisalmer | 82 |
| 6.3 | Effect of physical and climatic parameters on thermal comfort | 90 |
| 6.3.1 | Effect of solar access on thermal comfort | 90 |
| 6.3.2 | Effect of geometrical parameters on thermal comfort | 93 |
| 6.3.3 | Effect of wind on thermal comfort | 95 |
| 7 | Conclusion | 99 |
| 7.1 | Objectives addressed in this study | 100 |
| 7.1.1 | Objective 1 | 100 |
| 7.1.2 | Objective 2 | 101 |
| 7.1.3 | Objective 3 | 101 |
| 7.1.4 | Objective 4 | 102 |
| 7.1.5 | Objective 5 | 102 |
| 7.1.6 | Objective 6 | 103 |
| 7.1.7 | Objective 7 | 103 |
| 7.2 | Limitations and future directions | 105 |
| 7.3 | General research implications for future work | 108 |
| | Appendices | 111 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| A On site survey | 113 |
| A.1 Questionnaire used for Delhi study | 114 |
| A.2 Questionnaire used for Jaisalmer study | 115 |
| B Simulated output | 117 |
| References | 123 |
| List of Publications | 139 |