

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Resumen</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Resum</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Contents</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>List of figures</i>	<i>xv</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>xxi</i>
Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Context	2
1.1.1. Energy sector in Europe	2
1.1.2. Heat pump technology	4
1.2.3. Refrigerant regulations	5
1.1.3. Part-load	6
1.1.4. Inverter technology	9
1.1.5. Compressor modeling	10
1.1.6. Oil Management.....	14
1.1.7. Heat pump design and sizing.....	14
1.1.8. Thesis Framework.....	15
	ix

1.2. Identified gaps	17
1.3. Aims of the thesis	18
1.4. Structure	19
1.4.1. Compressor Modeling.....	19
1.4.2. Heat Exchanger design for variable-speed heat pumps.....	19
1.4.3. Effect of oil in heat pump.....	20
1.5. Chronology	21
Chapter 2: FIRST PUBLICATION	23
<i>Testing of Variable-Speed Scroll Compressors and their inverters for the evaluation of compact energy consumption models</i>	24
2.1. Abstract	24
2.2. Introduction	25
2.3. Methodology	29
2.3.1. Test bench description.....	29
2.3.2. Experimental data	30
2.4. Compressor performance	33
2.4.1. Analysis of the experimental results	33
2.4.2. Characterization models.....	37
2.4.3. Design of Experiments.....	40
2.5. Inverter Performance	44
2.5.1. Inverter Model.....	44
2.5.2. Effect of the carrier frequency.....	47
2.6. Conclusion	49
2.7. Nomenclature	51
Chapter 3: SECOND PUBLICATION	53
<i>Development of compact empirical models for variable-speed compressors for the prediction of energy consumption, mass flow and discharge temperature</i>	54
3.1. Abstract	54

3.2. Introduction:	55
3.3. Methodology	58
3.4. Mass flow Results	62
3.4.1. Experimental results	62
3.4.2. Model.....	64
3.5. Energy Consumption results	71
3.5.1. Experimental results	71
3.5.2. Model.....	76
3.6. Discharge temperatures	83
3.6.1. Experimental results	83
3.6.2. Model.....	88
3.7. Effect of suction conditions	92
3.8. Robustness Analysis	95
3.9. Conclusions	100
3.10. Appendix 1: Electro-mechanical losses	102
3.11. Nomenclature	104
Chapter 4: THIRD PUBLICATION	105
<i>Heat Exchanger sizing for Variable-Speed Heat Pumps based on part load conditions and economic assessment</i>	106
4.1. Abstract	106
4.2. Introduction	107
4.3. Methodology	110
4.3.1. Bäckstöm	110
4.3.2. Evaporator model.....	113
4.3.3. Condenser Model.....	115
4.3.4. Compressor model	118
4.3.5. Optimum temperature difference.....	120

4.4. Results	123
4.4.1. Optimization of HX size	123
4.4.2. Influence of the economic parameters on the optimization.....	127
4.5. Discussion and limitations of results	130
4.6. Conclusion	132
4.7. Nomenclature	133
Chapter 5: FORTH PUBLICATION	135
<i>Study of Oil Circulation Rate in Variable-Speed Scroll Compressors Working with Propane</i>	<i>136</i>
5.1. Abstract	136
5.2. Introduction	137
5.3. Methodology	141
5.3.1. Test bench.....	141
5.3.2. Description of the methodology to estimate the oil mass flow rate (Discrepancy Method).....	143
5.3.3. Experimental campaign.....	144
5.4. Experimental results.....	146
5.4.1. Validation of the methodology.....	146
5.4.2. Oil circulation results.....	146
5.4.3. Oil mass flow correlation.....	150
5.5. Discussion.....	152
5.6. Conclusions	156
5.7. Nomenclature	157
Chapter 6: FIFTH PUBLICATION.....	159
<i>A Novel and Compact Correlation for the Prediction of Oil-Refrigerant Miscibility.....</i>	<i>160</i>
6.1. Introduction	160
6.2. Experimental data.....	163
6.3. Results	164

6.4. Conclusions	167
Chapter 7: SIXTH PUBLICATION	169
<i>Impact of Lubricant in the Performance of Variable Speed Heat Pumps.....</i>	<i>170</i>
7.1. Abstract	170
7.2. Introduction	171
7.3. Methodology.....	173
7.3.1. Impact of lubricant on HTC	173
7.3.2. Impact of lubricant solubility on the evaporator	177
7.3.3. Impact of lubricant in compressor.....	179
7.4. Results.....	180
7.4.1. Impact of lubricant on HTC	180
7.4.2. Impact of solubility on performance	184
7.4.3. Impact of oil in compressor consumption.....	187
7.5. Conclusions	190
7.6. Nomenclature	191
Chapter 8: CONCLUSIONS.....	193
8.1. Conclusions	194
8.1.1. Variable-speed compressor modeling.....	194
8.1.2. Heat Exchanger design for variable-speed heat pumps.....	195
8.1.3. Oil impact	195
8.2. Future Work	197
8.3. Science Production	198
8.3.1. Journal Contribution	198
8.3.2. International Congress Contributions.....	199
Chapter 9: References.....	201

Appendixes:

Appendix 1 : Compressor Data “scroll-R290”

Appendix 2 : Compressor Data “scroll-R410A”

Appendix 3 : Compressor Data “scroll-R454C”

Appendix 4 : Inverter Data “Inverter A”

Appendix 5 : Inverter Data “Inverter B”

Appendix 6 : Inverter Data “Inverter C”

Appendix 7 : OCR measurements

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Pathway to achieve a net-zero emissions in EU for 2050	3
Figure 1.2: Climate profiles according to the standard (European Commission, 2018a)6	
Figure 1.3: Capacity control techniques (Inspired from Bitzer, 2016)	7
Figure 1.4: Comparison of various capacity control techniques at half load (Qureshi & Tassou, 1996)	8
Figure 1.5: Internal schematic of a Variable Frequency Drive (VFD)	9
Figure 1.6: Compressor + Inverter scheme	13
Figure 1.7: Areas of the research institute.....	16
Figure 2.1: Variable-Speed Compressor Test Bench	29
Figure 2.2: Test Matrix of Compressor A	31
Figure 2.3: Experimental Mass Flow evolution with compression condition and speed [Compressor A]	33
Figure 2.4: Consumption results of Compressor A and its evolution with the compression condition and speed	34
Figure 2.5: Compressor A efficiency and its evolution with the working variables	35
Figure 2.6: Performance of the different models fitted to various compressor datasets	39

Figure 2.7: Robustness of the different models when adjusted with 21 tests selected randomly (Left) or with a clustering methodology (right)	40
Figure 2.8: Train set selection using Optimal Design for AHRI-20 model	42
Figure 2.9: Train set selection using Optimal Design for Shao	43
Figure 2.10: Experimental results and predicted performance of inverter A	45
Figure 2.11: Performance of the inverter model applied to Inverter A	46
Figure 2.12: Evolution of Drive Efficiency and Inverter Power Flows with Switching Frequency	48
Figure 3.1: Test matrix and compressor envelope	58
Figure 3.2: Evolution of mass flow with compression conditions at nominal speed (Up). Evolution of mass flow as a function of evaporating temperatures (Down-left) and pressures (Down-right)	62
Figure 3.3: Evolution of volumetric efficiency with pressure ratio and speed	63
Figure 3.4: <i>km</i> and <i>kv</i> evolution with speed for two different compressors	65
Figure 3.5: Correlation plot of mass flow model applied to reference compressor	67
Figure 3.6: Goodness of fit of mass flow models applied to different data sets	68
Figure 3.7: Evolution of energy consumption with compression conditions at nominal speed (Up). Evolution of energy consumption as a function of evaporating temperatures and speed (Down)	71
Figure 3.8: Evolution of compressor efficiency	73
Figure 3.9: Specific consumption evolution with operating pressures (UP). Evolution of specific consumption with pressure ratio and speed (DOWN)	75
Figure 3.10: <i>kp</i> and <i>kc</i> evolution with speed for two different compressors	78
Figure 3.11: Correlation plot of energy consumption model applied to reference compressor	79

Figure 3.12: Goodness of fit of energy consumption models applied to different data sets	80
Figure 3.13: Discharge temperature as a function of working pressures for nominal speed (UP). Discharge temperatures as a function of pressure ratio, compressor speed and condensing temperature (DOWN)	83
Figure 3.14: Isentropic efficiency as a function of RP, compressor speed and condensing temperatures.....	85
Figure 3.15: Evolution of Electro-Mechanical efficiency with pressure ratio, condensing temperature and speed. Lines represent proposed model predictions.	87
Figure 3.16: Correlation plot of discharge temperature model applied to reference compressor	89
Figure 3.17: Goodness of fit of discharge temperature models applied to different data sets	90
Figure 3.18: Discharge temperature correlation graphs. Without correction (LEFT). Using the correction term with $F = 0.75$	93
Figure 3.19: Train-Test methodology to evaluate the robustness of the studied mass flow models.....	96
Figure 3.20: Train-Test methodology to evaluate the robustness of the studied consumption models.....	98
Figure 3.21: Evolution of specific losses as a function of pressure ratio and speed...	103
Figure 3.22: Evolution of $k1$ as a function of pressure ratio and speed	103
Figure 4.1: Climate profiles according to the standard (European Commission, 2018a)	107

Figure 4.2: Operating hours and heat capacity required as a function of external temperature for a HP with a rated capacity of 6.5kW working in an intermediate climate	112
Figure 4.3: Dependence of ΔT in the evaporator as a function of heating capacity and coil width and prediction of the used model	114
Figure 4.4: Dependence of ΔT as a function of heating capacity and number of plates and prediction of the used models	116
Figure 4.5: Heat pump operational zones	118
Figure 4.6: Compressor model performance	119
Figure 4.7: Calculation flow for sizing HXs	121
Figure 4.8: Compressor zones in the optimum condition and working hours for each bin	124
Figure 4.9: Optimization of temperature differences in heat exchangers using (4.6)	125
Figure 4.10: Optimization of temperature differences in heat exchangers with Bäckstöm method	126
Figure 4.11: Study of the influence of the different economic parameters in the optimization of HXs	128
Figure 4.12: Operating hours and cooling capacity required as a function of external temperature for a HP with a rated capacity of 10 kW	131
Figure 5.1: Calorimetric Test Bench	141
Figure 5.2: Envelope of the compressor and tested conditions	145
Figure 5.3: Comparison between OCR against speed for both methodologies ASRHARE and Discrepancies	146
Figure 5.4: Evolution of \dot{m}_{oil} and OCR against total massflow with and without oil separator a) Results of \dot{m}_{oil} with oil separator b) Results of \dot{m}_{oil} without oil	

separator c) Results of OCR with oil separator d) Results of OCR without oil separator	147
Figure 5.5: Effect of the Evaporating Temperature and Speed in OCR.....	149
Figure 5.6: Evaluation of the model estimation of oil mass flow as a function of speed compared with the experimentally estimated values of oil mass flow.	151
Figure 5.7: Compressor Efficiency calculated for different Evaporating Temperatures at 110Hz with different approaches	153
Figure 5.8: Compressor Efficiency calculated with different approaches for different Evaporating Temperatures at 110 Hz.	155
Figure 6.1: Miscibility test bench	163
Figure 6.2: Test results and model predictions.....	164
Figure 6.3: New model predictions of low miscibility mixtures (k=0.11) [Left] and high miscibility mixtures (k=0.25)[Right]	167
Figure 7.1: Diagram of the iterative procedure to obtain the Heat Transfer Coefficient and <i>T_{evap}</i>	175
Figure 7.2: Liquid-Vapor-Equilibrium diagram of R290 and POE68.....	177
Figure 7.3: Evolution of heat transfer as a function of vapor quality	180
Figure 7.4: HTC ratio evolution with: OCR and <i>T_{air, in}</i> (up), HTC ratio evolution with OCR, assuming <i>T_{air, in}</i> = 0°C (down)	181
Figure 7.5: Evolution of the drop in <i>T_{evap}</i> with OCR and <i>T_{air, in}</i> (up). Dependence of the drop in <i>T_{evap}</i> and the decrease of COP with of OCR <i>T_{air, in}</i> = 0°C (down).....	182
Figure 7.6: Evolution of COP with OCR and <i>T_{air, in}</i> (up). Dependence of COP reduction with OCR assuming <i>T_{air, in}</i> = 0°C (down).....	183
Figure 7.7: P-h-X diagram of R290 and POE68 (OCR=5%).....	184

Figure 7.8: Relation between vapor quality, oil concentration in the liquid and
apparent SH.....185

Figure 7.9: Evolution of Rh (up) and NEQ (down) with apparent SH for different OCR
values.....186

Figure 7.10: COP reduction due to refrigerant solved in oil as a function of OCR and
the methodology used.187

Figure 7.11: Contribution to the total compressor consumption of the different terms
of Eq.(7.20)188

Figure 7.12: Evolution of the COP reduction due to the heating of oil during
compression for different OCR values.189

List of tables

Table 2.1 Sensors used and their uncertainty.....	30
Table 2.2: Experimental Data set used for the evaluation of the consumption models	32
Table 2.3: Experimental Inverter data	32
Table 2.4: List of models fitted with the experimental data.....	38
Table 2.5: Model summary for the tested inverters.....	47
Table 3.1: Summary of variable-speed compressor datasets	59
Table 3.2: Summary of multiple SH dataset.....	61
Table 3.3: Model coefficients and goodness of fit of the mass flow model applied to the different compressors.....	70
Table 3.4: Model coefficients and goodness of fit of the energy consumption model applied to the different compressor data sets	82
Table 3.5: Model coefficients and goodness of fit of the proposed discharge temperature model applied to the different compressor data sets.....	91
Table 3.6: Improvement of prediction errors when Dabiri correction is implemented	94
Table 4.1: Model coefficients of the HXs and Compressor	123
Table 5.1: Uncertainty of Sensors	142
Table 6.1 Equations for the characterization of Oil-Refrigerant Miscibility.....	162

Table 6.2 Fitting coefficients of the different models.....	166
Table 7.1: Fitting parameters and R^2 of the compressor models.....	176