Thesis Abstract		8
Resumen de tesis		9
Chapter	1: Introduction	10
1.1.	Innovation Literature and Policy	13
1.2.	Dark Sides of Innovation	17
1.3.	Innovation Policy in Europe; the case of Smart Specialization	19
1.4.	Research Questions	21
Chapter 2: What is the relationship between Knowledge Complexity and Income Inequality? A European analysis.		
2.1.	Abstract	25
2.2.	Introduction	26
2.3.	Literature Review	28
2.4.	Research Question	35
2.5.	Methodology	37
2.6.	Findings	45
2.7.	Conclusion	52
Chapter 3: Gender Diversity in Industry 4.0 Innovation; Presence and Effect		55
3.1.	Abstract	55
3.2.	Introduction	56
3.3.	Literature Review	58
3.4.	Research Question	66
3.5.	Methodology	67
3.6.	Findings	75
3.7.	Discussion and Conclusion	89
Chapter	4: Moving to Smart Specialization for sustainability: the implications on the design of	
monitor	ing indicators	95
4.1.	Abstract	95
4.2.	Introduction	96
4.3.	Literature Review	98
4.4.	Research Question	103
4.5.	Theoretical Framework	103
4.6.	Methodology	107
4.7.	Discussion	118
4.8.	Conclusion	122

Chapter 5: Conclusion		
5.1. Theoretical Co	Theoretical Contributions	
5.2. Methodologica	al Contributions	126
5.3. Policy Contrib	outions	127
5.4. Future Resear	•ch	129
References		131
Appendix		154

## List of Figures

- Figure 1.1: Innovation Definitions World Cloud
- Figure 2.1: Average GINI
- Figure 2.2: Summary of GINI Coefficient in Least & Most Unequal Countries

**Figure 2.3:** Knowledge Fitness **a)** Average between 2000 – 2018 **b)** Average: 2010 – 2018 **c)** Average: 2015 – 2018

Figure 2.4: Knowledge Fitness Percentage Change

Figure 3.1: Comparison of WIP with Women's Share of Total Employment; 2010 – 2019

Figure 3.2: Industry 4.0 Sectors & Technology Fields

Figure 3.3: Inverse Relationship Between Novelty Score and Backward Similarity

**Figure 3.4: a)** Number of Industry 4.0 Applications per Year **b)** Number of Patents per Year – Industry 4.0 vs. All 1980 – 2017

**Figure 3.5: a)** Number of Patents with at least one Female Inventor **b)** Average Percentage of Female Inventors per Application

Figure 3.6: Average Team Size per Year

Figure 3.7: Average Percentage of Female Inventors per application in each Sector

Figure 3.8: a) Average Percentage of Female Inventors per application in each Technological

Field b) Average Number of Female Inventors per application in each Technological Field

Figure 4.1: Regions Included in Analysis

Figure 4.2: Organizing the Indicators into Themes & amp; Categories - Examples

Figure 4.3: Indicator Category Distribution

Figure 4.4: Indicator Prevalence

## List of Tables

- Table 2.1: Simple Panel Regressions with Country & Time Fixed Effects
- Table 2.2: Full Model Panel Regressions: GINI
- Table 2.3: Full Model Panel Regressions: Income Quantiles
- Table 2.4: SEM Regression: GINI
- Table 2.5: SEM Regression: Income Quantiles
- Table 3.1: Validation Tests for Similarity Score
- Table 3.2: Validation Tests; OLS Regression for Text Similarity and Citation Similarity Scores
- Table 3.3: Preliminary Regressions Novelty x Gender Diversity
- Table 3.4: Full Regressions Novelty x Gender Diversity
- Table 3.5: Full Regressions Novelty x Gender Diversity; At Least One F
- Table 3.6: Full Regressions Impact x Gender Diversity
- Table 3.7: Full Regressions Impact x Gender Diversity; At Least One F
- Table 3.8: Regressions with Interaction Terms
- Table 3.9: Full Regressions Quality x Gender Diversity
- Table 4.1: Required Change in Theoretical Framework between RIS and CoRIS, and the
- implications on Indicator Design
- Table 4.2a) & b): Reoccurring Themes & Categories