

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	5
1.2	Goals . . . . .	6
1.3	Thesis structure . . . . .	8
1.4	Publication List . . . . .	9
1.5	Research Projects . . . . .	10
<b>II</b>	<b>State of the Art</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Autonomous Driving and Its Context</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Automated Vehicles . . . . .	14
2.1.1	ADAS and surroundings perception . . . . .	17
2.1.2	Computing systems . . . . .	18
2.1.3	Communications . . . . .	20
2.2	Simulation for traffic management . . . . .	21
2.2.1	Introduction to Traffic Simulation . . . . .	22
2.2.2	Types of Traffic Simulators . . . . .	22
2.2.3	Applications of Traffic Simulation . . . . .	22
2.2.4	Traffic-Light Simulator . . . . .	23
2.2.5	Simulation of Urban MObility-SUMO . . . . .	24
2.3	Traffic Intersections: Strategies and Challenges . . . . .	25
2.3.1	Centralized Control of Intersections . . . . .	27
2.3.2	Distributed Control of Intersections . . . . .	31

# CONTENTS

---

2.3.3	Traffic Control Failures . . . . .	34
2.3.4	Emergency Handling at Intersections . . . . .	36
2.3.5	Intersections with Multiple Lanes . . . . .	40
2.4	Conclusions . . . . .	43
<b>III Development</b>		<b>45</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Distributed Intersection Management Approach</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Distributed Intersection Management (DIM) . . . . .	48
3.1.1	Traffic flow . . . . .	49
3.1.2	Autonomous vehicles . . . . .	49
3.1.3	Communications . . . . .	50
3.1.4	Behavioral roles . . . . .	51
3.1.5	Negotiation between autonomous vehicles . . . . .	53
3.2	Experiments . . . . .	57
3.3	Conclusions . . . . .	66
<b>4</b>	<b>Extension of the DIM algorithm for implementing control failures</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Model DIM Implementation in the Presence of Communication Failures . . . . .	70
4.1.1	Communication failures . . . . .	71
4.2	Experiments . . . . .	72
4.2.1	Experiments on communication failures . . . . .	73
4.3	Conclusions . . . . .	75
<b>5</b>	<b>Managing emergencies in DIM algorithm model</b>	<b>77</b>
5.1	Emergency Vehicles Model . . . . .	78
5.1.1	Emergency Vehicles . . . . .	79
5.2	Experiments . . . . .	81
5.2.1	Results with low complexity . . . . .	82
5.2.2	Results with high complexity . . . . .	86
5.3	Conclusions . . . . .	98

<b>6</b>	<b>Implementation of DIM algorithm in high-complexity environments: Multi-lane cities.</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Multi-lanes . . . . .	102
6.2	DIM algorithm in multi-lanes . . . . .	103
6.2.1	New behavioral role . . . . .	104
6.2.2	Negotiation between autonomous vehicles (multi-lanes)	105
6.3	Experiments . . . . .	111
6.3.1	Vehicle occurrence density distribution per lane. . . . .	111
6.3.2	Experiment applying the “Uniform” density distribution.	114
6.3.3	Experiment applying the “Biased” density distribution.	119
6.3.4	Experiment applying the “Random” density distribution.	124
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	129
<b>IV</b>	<b>Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>133</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	134
7.2	Future work . . . . .	138
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>141</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Automated driving levels. Created by the author . . . . .	16
2.2	Example of the “Traffic-Light” Simulator Developed by Zapotecatl (Adapted from [65]) . . . . .	24
3.1	Example of the perception radius and the communication radius.	50
3.2	Examples of the roles played by a vehicle . . . . .	52
3.3	vehicle $a_i$ playing role $N_v$ sharing information with vehicle $a_j$ play- ing role $N_v$ in a conflict way intersecting their communication ra- dius ( $C_r^{a_i}$ and $C_r^{a_j}$ ). . . . .	53
3.4	Reach priority to cross - low density. . . . .	54
3.5	$a_i$ will avoid to cross on intersection $k$ if $a_i + 1$ is in $e$ . . . . .	55
3.6	The queue of vehicles in lane 1 has priority to cross over vehicles in lane 2 according to the rule <i>Reach priority to cross in high densities</i> .	56
3.7	Experimental Results: City Simulation with 4 Intersections. . . . .	59
3.8	WaitingTime vs Density. City Manhattan style of 4 intersections.	60
3.9	Experimental Results: City Simulation with 25 Intersections. . . . .	61
3.10	WaitingTime vs Density. City Manhattan style of 25 intersections	62
3.11	Experimental Results: City Simulation with 100 Intersections. . . . .	63
3.12	WaitingTime vs Density. City Manhattan style of 100 intersections	64
3.13	Experimental Results: City Simulation with 225 Intersections. . . . .	65
3.14	WaitingTime vs Density. City Manhattan style of 225 intersections.	66
4.1	Semi-centralized model. . . . .	74
4.2	DIM model. . . . .	75
5.1	SUMO simulator showing an intersection with regular vehicles (yellow) and emergency vehicles (red). . . . .	83

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

5.2	Models comparison without emergency vehicles . . . . .	84
5.3	Models comparison with emergency vehicles . . . . .	85
5.4	Flow vs Density on city with 4 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	88
5.5	Velocity vs Density on city with 4 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	88
5.6	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 4 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	89
5.7	Flow vs Density on city with 25 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	90
5.8	Velocity vs Density on city with 25 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	90
5.9	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 4 intersections. Models without emergency vehicles. . . . .	91
5.10	Models comparison in flow, with emergency vehicles at 1% and 9% on two different cities. . . . .	92
5.11	Models comparison in velocity, with emergency vehicles at 1% and 9% on two different cities. . . . .	93
5.12	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 4 intersections and 1% Emergencies . . . . .	94
5.13	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 25 intersections and 1% Emergencies. . . . .	95
5.14	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 4 intersections and 9% Emergencies. . . . .	95
5.15	WaitingTime vs Density on city with 25 intersections and 9% Emergencies. . . . .	96
6.1	A multi-lane street in the SUMO simulator. . . . .	103
6.2	$a_i$ is a vehicle in role $SN_v$ . . . . .	104
6.3	“Uniform” density distribution at 30% per street . . . . .	111
6.4	“Biased” density distribution at 30% per street . . . . .	112
6.5	“Random” density distribution at 30% per street. . . . .	113
6.6	Flow results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	114

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

6.7	Flow results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	115
6.8	Velocity results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	116
6.9	Velocity results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	117
6.10	Waiting-Time results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	118
6.11	Waiting-Time results with “Uniform” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	118
6.12	Flow results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	120
6.13	Flow results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	120
6.14	Velocity results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	121
6.15	Velocity results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	122
6.16	Waiting-Time results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	123
6.17	Waiting-Time results with “Biased” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	124
6.18	Flow results with “Random” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	125
6.19	Flow results with “Random” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	125
6.20	Velocity results with “Random” density distribution: city with 4 intersections . . . . .	126
6.21	Velocity results with “Random” density distribution: city with 16 intersections . . . . .	127
6.22	Results with “Random” density distribution. City with 4 intersections . . . . .	128
6.23	Results with “Random” density distribution. Cities with 16 intersections . . . . .	128

---

# List of Tables

5.1	Queue lengths and halted vehicles in cities with 200 meters between intersections. . . . .	97
5.2	Queue lengths and halted vehicles in cities with 500 meters between intersections. . . . .	97
5.3	Queue lengths and halted vehicles in cities with 700 meters between intersections. . . . .	97