

Contents

Agradecimientos	iii
Abstract	v
Resumen	vii
Resum	ix
Contents	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation and background	1
1.2 Noise generation and propagation	4
1.3 Proposed approach	6
1.3.1 Prediction based on CFD	8
1.3.2 Mitigation based on acoustic metamaterials	9
1.4 Goals and organisation of the Thesis	10
2 Aerovibroacoustic problem	13
2.1 Aeroacoustic prediction	14
2.1.1 Noise sources during launch	15
2.1.2 Aeroacoustic prediction methodologies	21
2.2 Vibroacoustic prediction	24
2.2.1 Vibro-acoustic model	25
2.2.2 Numerical methods available	26
2.3 Acoustic mitigation	31

2.3.1	Noise reduction methods for the launch pad	32
2.3.2	Noise reduction methods for the fairing	37
2.3.2.1	Materials for acoustic absorption	38
2.3.2.2	Acoustic modifications to composite sandwich materials	41
2.3.2.3	Acoustic absorbers employed in fairings	43
2.3.3	Acoustic metamaterials based on Helmholtz resonators	44
2.4	Summary	46
3	Prediction methodology	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Aero-acoustic prediction	50
3.2.1	Computational Fluid Dynamics	50
3.2.2	Physical model	51
3.2.3	Turbulence modelling	52
3.2.4	Numerical models approach	54
3.2.5	Unsteady Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes numerical model	55
3.2.5.1	Acoustic pressure in URANS	57
3.2.6	Large Eddy Simulation Model	58
3.2.7	Validation model	59
3.2.7.1	URANS model set-up in OpenFOAM	62
3.2.7.2	LES model set-up in DrNum	62
3.2.8	VEGA launch case	62
3.2.8.1	VEGA launch geometry	63
3.2.8.2	Mesh generation	64
3.2.8.3	Boundary conditions and discretisation schemes	65
3.2.8.4	Experimental data	68
3.3	Summary	69
4	Mitigation methodology	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.1.1	Connection with turbulent noise generation.	72
4.2	Acoustic mitigation approach	73
4.3	Reflection, transmission and absorption coefficients	74
4.4	Launch pad metamaterial: Rainbow Trapping Absorber	75
4.4.1	RTA experimental test campaign	77
4.5	Fairing metamaterial: Single Resonator Absorber	79
4.5.1	SRA experimental test campaign	81
4.6	Fairing metamaterial: Composite Honeycomb Acoustic Resonator	83
4.6.1	Structural model	84
4.6.1.1	Core properties	85
4.6.1.2	Instability failure modes	87
4.6.2	Definition of methodology	89
4.6.3	Acoustic model	91

4.6.4	Optimisation	94
4.6.4.1	Acoustic FEM	94
4.6.4.2	Squared cell	95
4.6.4.3	Hexagonal cell	97
4.6.5	Manufacturing	99
4.6.6	Experimental test plan	102
4.6.6.1	Acoustic test	102
4.6.6.2	Structural test	103
4.7	Metamaterials vibroacoustic model	104
4.7.1	Structural characterisation of acoustic metamaterials	105
4.7.1.1	Numerical Test Campaign	106
4.7.1.2	DIGIMAT Software	109
4.7.1.3	Equivalent structural constants	110
4.7.2	Equivalent acoustic modelling	116
4.7.2.1	RTA impedance model	119
4.7.2.2	SRA impedance model	121
4.8	Test campaign predictive models	122
4.8.1	SRA vibroacoustic model	122
4.8.2	RTA vibroacoustic model	123
4.8.3	CHAR structural model	125
4.9	Summary	126
5	Noise generation and propagation during space launcher lift-off	129
5.1	Numerical models validation	130
5.1.1	VEGA launch case: URANS	130
5.1.2	Scaled model configuration: URANS vs LES	131
5.2	Noise sources generation during launch	136
5.3	Noise propagation	141
5.3.1	Acoustic waves propagation	141
5.3.2	Sound pressure levels	146
5.4	Launch pad structures optimisation	149
5.4.1	Directivity analysis of the acoustic pressure on the fairing surface	156
5.5	Summary of results	158
6	Noise mitigation with acoustic metamaterials	161
6.1	Launch pad RTA mitigation systems	161
6.1.1	Absorption coefficient	162
6.1.2	Distributed source method in the anechoic chamber	163
6.1.2.1	Free-field measurement of the DSM	164
6.1.2.2	Rigid panel DSM measurement	165
6.1.2.3	Rainbow trapping absorber DSM measurement	167
6.1.2.4	Insertion loss	170
6.1.3	Acoustic performance in launch pad conditions	172

6.2	Fairing SRA mitigation systems	176
6.2.1	Impedance tube measurement results	176
6.2.2	Correlation with mechanical properties of the reference and the assembled panel.	177
6.2.3	Transmission chamber results	180
6.2.4	Reference structure and assembly with SRA comparison	182
6.2.5	SRA impact on a fairing geometry	183
6.3	Fairing CHAR mitigation systems	185
6.3.1	Acoustic performance	185
6.3.1.1	Squared cell measurements	185
6.3.1.2	Hexagonal cell measurements	186
6.3.2	Structural performance	188
6.3.2.1	Experimental results	189
6.3.2.2	Numerical analysis	192
6.4	Summary of results	199
7	Conclusions and further work	201
7.1	Introduction	201
7.2	Conclusions	202
7.3	Further work	206
7.4	Highlights	207
	Bibliography	209
A	Vibro-acoustic response of the fairing	223
A.1	Vibro-acoustic prediction model	224
A.1.1	Fairing numerical model FEM-BEM-SEA	224
A.1.2	Structural model definition	226
A.1.3	Fluid domain	227
A.1.4	Acoustic excitation	227
A.1.5	Metamaterial acoustic performance assessment	228
A.2	Results	228
A.3	Dynamic behaviour	228
A.4	Flight measurement validation	229
A.4.1	Adaptation term	230
A.4.2	Vibro-acoustic results	231
A.5	Assessment of metamaterial impact	232
A.5.1	BEM-FEM-BEM model	234
A.5.2	BEM-FEM-FEM model	235
B	Transfer Matrix Method for acoustic metamaterials	237
B.1	The Transfer Matrix Method	238
B.2	Transfer matrix of a Helmholtz resonator	240

C	Publications, awards and projects	243
C.1	International journals	243
C.2	International meetings	244
C.3	Invited conferences	244
C.4	Awards	245
C.5	Company projects	245
C.6	International research stay	245