

Contents

List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xxv
Acknowledgments	xxix
List of Abbreviations	xxxii
Abstract	xxxv
Resumen	xxxviii
Resum	xli
1 Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1 Additive Manufacturing Industry	2
1.2 Additive Manufacturing pros and cons	6
1.3 Industrial applications of metal Additive Manufacturing	9
1.4 Gas atomization	10
1.5 Laser-Powder Bed Fusion	15

1.6	Directed Energy Deposition	20
1.7	Microstructures and defects in Laser-Powder Bed Technologies	25
1.8	Commercial Steels in Additive Manufacturing	29
1.8.1	Austenitic Stainless Steels	31
1.8.2	Duplex Stainless Steels	32
1.8.3	Martensitic Stainless Steels	34
1.8.4	Precipitation Hardening Martensitic Stainless Steels	35
1.8.5	Maraging Steels	38
1.8.6	Carbon-bearing tool steels	40
1.9	Fe-Mn steel system and High Mn steels	44
1.9.1	TRIP steels	46
1.9.2	TWIP steels	47
1.9.3	High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels	49
1.9.3.1	Microstructure of High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels	53
1.9.3.2	Strengthening mechanism of austenitic High Mn Fe- Mn-Al-C low density steels	55
1.9.3.3	End-use properties of austenitic High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels	56
1.9.4	Industrialization challenges of High Mn steels	60
1.10	High Mn steels in Additive Manufacturing	61

2.2.3	Density measurements in printed material	87
2.2.4	Microscopy	87
2.2.4.1	Metallographic observations in powders	87
2.2.4.2	Metallographic observations in printed material	88
2.2.5	Diffraction analyses	90
2.2.5.1	Electron Backscatter Diffraction	90
2.2.5.2	X-Ray Diffraction	90
2.2.6	Mechanical testing	91
2.2.6.1	Hardness	91
2.2.6.2	Tensile	91
2.2.6.3	Compression	92
2.2.6.4	Impact Toughness	94
2.3	Methodology	95
3	Alloy design to prevent hot cracking	99
3.1	CALPHAD-based alloy design	102
3.1.1	Selection of the base steel system	105
3.2	Calculation of hot cracking susceptibility	106
3.3	Powder production	111
3.4	HCS during L-PBF printing process	113
3.5	Microstructure development during solidification	118
3.6	Microstructure during L-PBF process	130

3.7	Summary and conclusions	135
4	Alloy design for grain refinement	137
4.1	CALPHAD-based inoculant calculations	141
4.2	Powder production	146
4.3	Microstructure development during solidification	147
4.4	Production of L-PBF samples	150
4.5	Microstructure development during L-PBF process	153
4.6	Summary and conclusions	164
5	Towards industrialization	167
5.1	General mechanical properties	168
5.1.1	Mechanical hardness	168
5.1.2	Tensile properties	173
5.1.2.1	Tensile properties of FeMnAlC steel printed by L- PBF	174
5.1.2.2	Tensile properties of FeMnAlC-2Si steel printed by L- PBF	176
5.1.2.3	Tensile properties of FeMnAlC-05Ti steel printed by L-PBF	178
5.1.2.4	Tensile properties of FeMnAlC-2Si-05Ti steel printed by L-PBF	180

5.1.2.5	Strain hardening mechanisms in High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels	182
5.2	In-use properties	184
5.2.1	Thermal Stability of FeMnAlC steel printed by L-PBF	185
5.2.2	Impact toughness of FeMnAlC steel printed by L-PBF	190
5.2.3	Compression with lattice structures of FeMnAlC steel printed by L-PBF	193
5.2.4	Compatibility of FeMnAlC steels with other 3D printing tech- nologies: Powder-DED	201
5.2.4.1	Microstructure	202
5.2.4.2	Mechanical properties of FeMnAlC steel printed by Powder-DED	208
5.2.4.3	Influence of heat treatments on mechanical proper- ties	212
5.3	Summary and conclusions	215
6	Conclusions and future work	219
	References	223
A	Laser-Powder Bed Fusion printing parameters	275

List of Figures

1.1	Sketch of gas atomization process.	11
1.2	Schematic representation of the free-fall, and closed-coupled or confined atomization.	12
1.3	Sketch of L-PBF process.	16
1.4	Sketch of the main printing parameters of L-PBF.	17
1.5	Representation of the four most widespread printing strategies in L-PBF.	20
1.6	Overview of tensile properties of available commercial steel powders discussed in Section 1.8, after being printed by L-PBF or DED. The UTS and TE represented include as built and heat treated conditions.	44
1.7	Sketch of the TWIP effect and dynamic Hall-Petch effect, where the dislocation main free patch is shown in (a) an unstressed grain, and (b) stressed grain.	48
1.8	Effect of G and R on the morphology and size of solidification structure.	68

1.9	Control volumes for solute redistribution applied to analysis of microsegregation: (a) cellular solidification; (b) dendritic solidification; (c) control volumes enlarged.	69
2.1	AUG 3000 atomization unit used in this research.	81
2.2	TruPrint 1000 L-PBF machine used in this research.	82
2.3	Powder gas jets of the three beam nozzle in powder-DED.	83
2.4	DED equipment used in this research.	84
2.5	Dimensions of rectangular subsize tensile specimens as defined by the ASTM E8/E8M standard.	92
2.6	Compression test set-up for lattice structures.	93
2.7	Video-correlation set-up during compression test.	94
2.8	DoE CAD in TruPrint 1000.	97
3.1	Example of the determination process for the relaxation and vulnerability times from the Scheil-Gulliver calculation for the three different thermal conditions.	107
3.2	Calculated heat maps of HCS criteria for the High Mn low density reference steel composition Fe-28Mn-7Al-0.8C-0.2N varying the content of P from 0 to 0.2 and Si from 0 to 4 wt.% using the Scheil-Gulliver solidification model. Calculations were done according to the (a) CSC criterion, (b) HCC criterion and (c) BTR criterion under thermal mode 1 (left), mode 2 (center) and mode 3 (right).	108

3.3	Calculated heat maps of HCS criteria for the High Mn low density reference steel composition 28Mn-7Al-0.8C-0.2N varying the content of P from 0 to 0.2 and Si from 0 to 4 wt.% using the Scheil-Gulliver with back diffusion solidification model. Calculations were done according to the (a) CSC criterion, (b) HCC criterion and (c) BTR criterion under thermal mode 1 (left), mode 2 (center) and mode 3 (right).. .	111
3.4	As-built density cubes printed using the (a) FeMnAlC, (b) FeMnAlC-HP, (c) FeMnAlC-HP-2Si, (d) FeMnAlC-1Si, (e) FeMnAlC-2Si, and (f) FeMnAlC-4Si.	114
3.5	Cross section at two magnifications of the L-PBF printed dense cubes for (a) FeMnAlC, (b) FeMnAlC-HP, (c) FeMnAlC-HP-2Si, (d) FeMnAlC-1Si, (e) FeMnAlC-2Si, (f) FeMnAlC-4Si.	116
3.6	XRD data of FeMnAlC-xP-ySi (a) F1 powders, (b) F2 powders and (c) L-PBF cubes with laser parameters 11: 175 W and 700 mm/s. .	122
3.7	Calculation of Solidification interval with Scheil-Gulliver model. (a) Representation of the evolution of mass fraction of solid with temperature for FeMnAlC and FeMnAlC-HP compositions. (b) Evolution of the complete solidification interval for different contents of Si and P.	123

3.8	SEM data from F2 powders of (a-d) FeMnAlC-2Si, and (e-h) FeMnAlC-4Si. (a) & (e) SE images of surface morphology, (b) & (f) cross-sectional SE images of powder microstructure, (c) & (g) EBSD IPF maps, and (d) & (h) EBSD phase distribution maps.	125
3.9	SEM data from F2 powders of (a-d) FeMnAlC, and (e-h) FeMnAlC-HP. (a) & (e) SE images of surface morphology, (b) & (f) cross-sectional SE images of powder microstructure, (c) & (g) EBSD IPF maps, and (d) & (h) EBSD phase distribution maps.	126
3.10	STEM data from FIB-cut sections of FeMnAlC-LP F2 powder particles. Each panel comprises a bright field (BF) image and a corresponding set of normalized X-ray intensity maps from an EDXS spectrum imaging experiment on the same area. Scale bars correspond to: (a) 500 nm, (b) 200 nm, and (c) 100 nm.	128
3.11	STEM data from FIB-cut sections of FeMnAlC-HP F2 powder particles. Each panel comprises a bright field (BF) image and a corresponding set of normalized X-ray intensity maps from an EDXS spectrum imaging experiment on the same area. All scale bars correspond to 100 nm.	129
3.12	SEM images of FeMnAlC L-PBF sample at different magnifications (a) x3000 and (b) x10000.	131

3.13 SEM images of FeMnAlC-2Si L-PBF sample at different magnifications (a-b) x1500 and (c-d) x3000 and different locations capturing the different solidification cells.	132
3.14 EBSD maps for FeMnAlC steel (a-b) and FeMnAlC-2Si steel (c-d) superposing the IPF at the direction parallel to the building direction (a & c) and parallel to the transversal direction (b & d), onto the band contrast.	133
3.15 Pole Figures of the crystallographic planes (100), (110) and (111) in the materials (a) FeMnAlC and (b) FeMnAlC-2Si. Note that BD refers to the building direction.	134
4.1 Calculated pseudo-phase diagram of the system Fe-28Mn-7Al-0.8C-0.02N-xTi up to 2.5 wt.% of Ti. Dashed lines indicate the studied compositions with the different levels of Ti (0, 0.2, 0.5 and 2.0) in wt.%.	144
4.2 Scheil-Gulliver solidification calculations for the four different Fe-Mn-Al-C steel compositions: (a) the evolution of mass fraction of solid in wt.% with the decrease in temperature during solidification and (b) a zoom of the first stages of solidification before austenite and ferrite start to form.	145

4.3	SEM data from electropolished cross sections of powders of (a-d) FeMnAlC, (e-h) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, (i-l) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti, and (m-p) FeMnAlC-2Ti. (a) & (e) & (i) & (m) cross-sectional SE images powder microstructure, (b) & (f) & (j) & (n) SE SEM images at higher magnifications of powder microstructure, (c) & (g) & (k) & (o) EBSD IPF maps, and (d) & (h) & (l) & (p) EBSD phase distribution maps, red-austenite, green-ferrite.	148
4.4	STEM data from twin-jet electropolished sections of (a) FeMnAlC, (b) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, (c) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti, and (d) FeMnAlC-2Ti powder particles. Each panel comprises a bright field (BF) image and a corresponding set of normalized X-ray intensity maps from an EDXS spectrum imaging experiment on the same area. Scale bars correspond to 400 nm in all images.	150
4.5	Density cubes printed using the steel powders (a) FeMnAlC, (b) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, (c) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti, (d) FeMnAlC-2Ti.	151
4.6	Cross section of the L-PBF printed dense cubes printed using (a) FeMnAlC, (b) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, (c) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti and (d) FeMnAlC-2Ti powders.	152
4.7	XRD data of FeMnAlC-xTi (a) F1 powders, (b) F2 powders and (c) L-PBF cubes.	154

4.8	EBSD maps at x500 magnification in the center of the L-PBF samples for FeMnAlC-02Ti (a-b), FeMnAlC-05Ti (c-d), and FeMnAlC-2Ti (e-f) superposing the IPF at the direction parallel to building direction (a, c & e) and parallel the transversal direction (b, d & f), onto the band contrast.	156
4.9	SEM data from printed parts of (a-c) FeMnAlC, (d-f) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, (g-i) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti, and (j-l) FeMnAlC-2Ti. (a) & (d) & (g) & (j) BSE images of the cross-section microstructure, (b) & (e) & (h) & (k) EBSD IPF maps, and (c) & (f) & (i) & (l) EBSD phase distribution maps.	159
4.10	Pole Figures of the crystallographic planes (100), (110) and (111) in the L-PBF samples of composition (a) FeMnAlC-02Ti, (b) FeMnAlC-05Ti, and (c) FeMnAlC-2Ti. Note that BD refers to the building direction.	160
4.11	STEM data from twin-jet electropolished samples of (a) FeMnAlC and (b) FeMnAlC-0.2Ti of printed parts. Each panel comprises a HAADF image and a corresponding set of normalized X-ray intensity maps from an EDXS spectrum imaging experiment on the selected area.	162

4.12	STEM data from twin-jet electropolished samples of (a) FeMnAlC-0.5Ti and (b) FeMnAlC-2Ti of printed parts. Each panel comprises a HAADF image and a corresponding set of normalized X-ray intensity maps from an EDXS spectrum imaging experiment on the selected area.	163
5.1	Microhardness evolution in FeMnAlC L-PBF dense cube cross section.	168
5.2	Vickers hardness HV10 of L-PBF dense cubes printed under different conditions for compositions FeMnAlC, FeMnAlC-1Si, and FeMnAlC-2Si.	170
5.3	Vickers hardness HV10 of L-PBF dense cubes printed under different conditions for compositions FeMnAlC, FeMnAlC-0.2Ti, FeMnAlC-0.2Ti and FeMnAlC-2Ti.	171
5.4	Vickers hardness 10 of L-PBF dense cubes printed under different conditions for compositions FeMnAlC and FeMnAlC-2Si-0.5Ti.	172
5.5	Rectangular subsize ASTM E8/E8M tensile specimens (a) designed by CAD and (b) printed in L-PBF.	174
5.6	Tensile curves of as built FeMnAlC steel in L-PBF printed with a VED value of 139 J/mm ³ in blue and 130 J/mm ³ in orange.	175
5.7	Fracture surface of L-PBF tensile specimens of FeMnAlC steel printed under (a-b) 130 J/mm ³ and (c-d) 139 J/mm ³ VEDs. Images were taken at different magnifications (a, c) x500 and (b,d) x1000.	176

5.8	Tensile curves of as built FeMnAlC-2Si steel in L-PBF printed using a VED value of 130 J/mm ³ in blue and 139 J/mm ³ in orange.	177
5.9	Fracture surface of L-PBF tensile specimens of FeMnAlC-2Si steel printed using VED of (a-b) 130 J/mm ³ and (c-d) 139 J/mm ³ . Images were taken at different magnifications (a, c) x25 and (b,d) x500.	178
5.10	Tensile curves of as built FeMnAlC-05Ti steel in L-PBF printed with a VED value of 167 J/mm ³ in blue and 278 J/mm ³ in orange.	179
5.11	Fracture surface of L-PBF tensile specimens of FeMnAlC-05Ti steel at different magnifications (a) x25 and (b) x500.	180
5.12	Tensile curves of as built FeMnAlC-2Si-05Ti steel in L-PBF printed using a VED value of 139 J/mm ³ in blue and 278 J/mm ³ in orange.	181
5.13	Fracture surface of L-PBF tensile specimens of FeMnAlC-2Si-05Ti steel printed at different magnifications (a) x25 and (b) x500.	181
5.14	Hardness HV10 measurements on FeMnAlC L-PBF samples submitted at different time-temperature heat treatments and cooled in (a) air and (b) water quenching.	185
5.15	(a) SEM micrograph of the size of extraction of material by FIB lift out for APT examination, (b) SEM micrograph of an APT tip with a radius of curvature below 50 nm obtained after FIB annular milling.	188

5.16	Chemical concentration profile along one axis perpendicular to the solidification cell structures of FeMnAlC steel L-PBF samples (a) as built and (b) heat treated at 500 °C for 4 hours.	189
5.17	Chemical concentration profile along one C-rich region FeMnAlC steel L-PBF sample heat treated at 500 °C for 4 hours.	190
5.18	Charpy ASTM E23 specimens (a) designed by CAD and (b) printed in L-PBF with FeMnAlC steel.	190
5.19	CVN toughness of FeMnAlC steel in L-PBF at different temperatures from room temperature to cryogenic.	191
5.20	Fracture surfaces of Charpy FeMnAlC steel specimens printed in L-PBF tested at (a) room temperature, (b) 0 °C, (c) -10 °C, (d) -30 °C, (e) -50 °C, (f) -70 °C and (g) -196 °C.	192
5.21	Lattice structures (a) CAD representation of the different lattices and their location in the L-PBF machine and (b) printed in L-PBF . . .	194
5.22	Representation of extraction of lattice results from compression test curves.	196
5.23	Comparison between all FeMnAlC lattices compression tests.	197
5.24	Representative video-correlation image of FeMnAlC deformation in L-PBF lattice a) double diamond, b) double gyroid and c) BCC structures.	199

5.25	Compression test comparison of double diamond 30% lattice structures manufactured with FeMnAlC (orange) and 316L (blue) steels by L-PBF.	200
5.26	Photograph of the small walls printed using the DoE to develop the printing parameters of FeMnAlC steel in powder-DED.	202
5.27	Cross-section of FeMnAlC steel powder produced by DED at different magnifications taken with (a) LOM and (b) SEM.	203
5.28	XRD diffraction patter of FeMnAlC processed by DED.	204
5.29	EBSD map of FeMnAlC steel sample printed by DED.	205
5.30	PF of FeMnAlC sample printed in DED. Note BD refers to the building direction.	206
5.31	SEM-backscattered images taken at the cross section of FeMnAlC steel produced by DED at (a) the upper layer and (b) a representative middle-height section	207
5.32	Extraction of horizontal tensile specimens in DED.	208
5.33	Tensile curves of as built FeMnAlC steel printed by DED.	209
5.34	SEM images of fraction surface of tensile FeMnAlC specimens printed by DED at different magnifications (a) x25, (b) x500, and (c) x2000.	210

5.35 SEM images of fracture surfaces of Charpy FeMnAlC specimens printed by DED. Image (a) shows a representative fracture surface at low magnifications and fracture surfaces at higher magnifications (x700) are shown at the samples tested at (b) room temperature, (c) -20 °C, and (d) -60 °C.	211
5.36 Averaged hardness values and standard deviations measured in FeMnAlC DED samples as built (orange) and subjected to 500 °C heat treatment for different times (blue).	213
5.37 Tensile curves of FeMnAlC steel printed in DED and heat treated at 500 °C for 4 hours (in blue) and for 16 hours (in orange).	214

List of Tables

1.1	Distribution of alloys weight of total feedstock consumption in 2022 and forecast for 2027.	5
1.2	Pros and Cons associated with each scanning strategy.	20
1.3	Tensile properties (UTS, and TE) of duplex steel. AB: as built, HT: heat-treated.	34
1.4	Tensile properties (YS, UTS, and TE) of 420 SS. AB: as built, HT: heat-treated.	35
1.5	Tensile properties (YS, UTS, and TE) of 17-4PH steel. AB: as built, S: solution treatment, A: aging treatment.	37
1.6	Tensile properties (YS, UTS, and TE) of 18Ni-300 maraging steel. AB: as built, S: solution treatment, A: aging treatment.	40
1.7	Tensile properties (YS, UTS, TE and Rockwell-C hardness) of H13 and M2 carbon-bearing steels. AB: as built, HT: heat treated, BP: build platform preheating.	43
1.8	Common ranges of chemical compositions and tensile properties of the four different categories of Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels.	51

2.1	Range of studied printing parameters in TruPrint 1000.	82
2.2	Range of studied printing parameters in DED system.	85
3.1	Target and measured chemical compositions of powders with size between 20-60 μm (fraction F2) of High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steel with different contents of Si and P, in wt.%.	112
3.2	D10, D50 and D90 percentiles of the FeMnAlC steel powder size distributions of different powder size fractions.	113
3.3	Calculated solidification interval (ΔT), HCC in the 3 thermal condition modes, and length of hot cracks measured in the alloys investigated. Calculations were done considering the Scheil-Gulliver solidification model in both the target and measured compositions.	118
3.4	Weight percent of phases present in the F1 and F2 fractions of the powders and L-PBF cubes produced of FeMnAlC-xP-ySi compositions, as determined by Rietveld refinement of XRD data, where the error of the technique is estimated in ± 2 wt.%. γ denotes austenite, α ferrite and κ kappa-carbide.	121
4.1	Mass fraction of the different solid phases in wt.% calculated at the end of the solidification with the Scheil-Gulliver model for the four High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steel compositions.	145

4.2	Target and measured chemical compositions of powders with size between 20-60 μm (fraction F2) of High Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels with different contents of Ti, in wt.%.	146
4.3	Quantification of phases (in wt.%) present in the F1 and F2 fractions of the powders and L-PBF cubes produced of FeMnAlC-xTi compositions, as determined by Rietveld refinement of XRD data, where the maximum error of the technique is estimated in ± 2 wt.%. γ denotes austenite, and α ferrite.	155
4.4	Grain size quantification from EBSD maps, where the average grain size is approximated by the equivalent diameter, and the standard deviation is the error.	157
5.1	Hardness and density values of the different Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels studied in L-PBF.	173
5.2	Tensile properties of the different Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels studied in L-PBF.	183
5.3	Tensile properties of Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels studied in L-PBF in the as built condition and after heat treatment at 400 and 500 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 h.	187
5.4	Specification of the different lattice structures and their codification.	195
5.5	Compression results of FeMnAlC lattice structures printed in L-PBF.	198

5.6	Tensile properties of FeMnAlC steel printed by DED in the as built condition and after HT at 500 °C.	214
A.1	Printing parameters used in L-PBF to define the process window in high Mn Fe-Mn-Al-C low density steels, where v stands for laser speed, and h for hatch spacing between adjacent laser tracks	276