

# Butterfly points and hyperspace selections

VALENTIN GUTEV

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Street, Block 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria ([gutev@math.bas.bg](mailto:gutev@math.bas.bg))

Communicated by S. García-Ferreira

## ABSTRACT

---

If  $f$  is a continuous selection for the Vietoris hyperspace  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  of the nonempty closed subsets of a space  $X$ , then the point  $f(X) \in X$  is not as arbitrary as it might seem at first glance. In this paper, we will characterise these points by local properties at them. Briefly, we will show that  $p = f(X)$  is a strong butterfly point precisely when it has a countable clopen base in  $\bar{U}$  for some open set  $U \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  with  $\bar{U} = U \cup \{p\}$ . Moreover, the same is valid when  $X$  is totally disconnected at  $p = f(X)$  and  $p$  is only assumed to be a butterfly point. This gives the complete affirmative solution to a question raised previously by the author. Finally, when  $p = f(X)$  lacks the above local base-like property, we will show that  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  has a continuous selection  $h$  with the stronger property that  $h(S) = p$  for every closed  $S \subset X$  with  $p \in S$ .

---

2020 MSC: 54A20; 54B20; 54C65.

KEYWORDS: Vietoris topology; continuous selection; cut point; butterfly point.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces in this paper are infinite Hausdorff topological spaces. Let  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  be the set of all nonempty closed subsets of a space  $X$ . We endow  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  with the Vietoris topology  $\tau_V$ , and call it the Vietoris hyperspace of  $X$ . Let us recall

that  $\tau_V$  is generated by all collections of the form

$$\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle = \left\{ S \in \mathcal{F}(X) : S \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V} \text{ and } S \cap V \neq \emptyset, \text{ whenever } V \in \mathcal{V} \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  runs over the finite families of open subsets of  $X$ . Also, let us recall that a map  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  is a *selection* for  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  if  $f(S) \in S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , and  $f$  is called *continuous* if it is continuous with respect to the Vietoris topology on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . The set of all continuous selections for  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{V}_c[\mathcal{F}(X)]$ .

The following question was posed by the author in an unpublished note.

**Question 1.1.** *Let  $X = (\omega_1 + 1) \cup_{\omega_1=0} [0, 1]$  be the adjunction space obtained by identifying the first uncountable ordinal  $\omega_1$  and  $0 \in [0, 1]$  into a single point  $p \in X$ . Does there exist a continuous selection  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  with  $f(X) = p$ ?*

David Buhagiar has recently proposed, in a private communication to the author, a negative solution to this question. However, his arguments were heavily dependent on stationary sets in  $\omega_1$  and the pressing down lemma.

In this paper, we will give a purely topological description of the points  $p \in X$  with the property that  $p = f(X)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{V}_c[\mathcal{F}(X)]$ . To this end, let us recall that a point  $p \in X$  of a connected space  $X$  is *cut* if  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is not connected or, equivalently, if  $X \setminus \{p\} = U \cup V$  for some subsets  $U, V \subset X$  with  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \{p\}$ . Extending this interpretation to an arbitrary space  $X$ , a point  $p \in X$  was said to be *cut* [14], see also [6, 13], if  $X \setminus \{p\} = U \cup V$  and  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \{p\}$  for some subsets  $U, V \subset X$ . Cut points were also introduced in [3], where they were called *tie-points*. A somewhat related concept was introduced in [7], where  $p \in X$  was called *countably-approachable* if it is either isolated or has a countable clopen base in  $\bar{U}$  for some open set  $U \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  with  $\bar{U} = U \cup \{p\}$ . In these terms, we consider the following two subsets of  $X$ :

$$\begin{cases} X_\Theta = \{f(X) : f \in \mathcal{V}_c[\mathcal{F}(X)]\}, \\ X_\Omega = \{p \in X : p \text{ is countably-approachable}\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Intuitively,  $X_\Theta$  can be regarded as the  $X$ -‘Orbit’ with respect to the ‘action’ of  $\mathcal{V}_c[\mathcal{F}(X)]$  on the hyperspace  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . The non-isolated countably-approachable points were called  $\omega$ -*approachable* in [7], so  $X_\Omega$  is also intuitive.

Each non-isolated countably-approachable point  $p \in X$  is a cut point of  $X$ , see the proof of [14, Corollary 3.2]. Going back to the adjunction space  $X$  in Question 1.1, it is evident that the point  $p \in X$  is cut, but it is not countably-approachable (i.e.  $p \notin X_\Omega$ ). The reason for the negative answer to this question (i.e. for the fact that  $p \notin X_\Theta$ ) is now fully explained by our first main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $\mathcal{V}_c[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$ . Then*

$$X_\Omega = \{p \in X_\Theta : p \text{ is either isolated or cut}\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Regarding the proper place of Theorem 1.2, let us remark that the inclusion  $X_\Omega \subset X_\Theta$  was actually established in [7, Lemma 4.2], see also [12, Lemma

2.3]. It is included in (1.2) to emphasise on the equality. The other inclusion is naturally related to the so called butterfly points. A point  $p \in X$  is called *butterfly* (or, a *b-point*) [21] if  $\overline{F \setminus \{p\}} \cap \overline{G \setminus \{p\}} = \{p\}$  for some closed sets  $F, G \subset X$ . Evidently,  $p \in X$  is butterfly precisely when it is a cut point of some closed subset of  $X$ . In fact, in some sources, cut points (equivalently, tie-points) are often called *strong butterfly points*. Finally, let us agree that a space  $X$  is *totally disconnected at*  $p \in X$  if the singleton  $\{p\}$  is an intersection of clopen sets.

A crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2 will play the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** *A point  $p \in X_\Theta$  is butterfly if and only if it is the limit of a sequence of points of  $X \setminus \{p\}$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is totally disconnected at a butterfly point  $p \in X_\Theta$ , then  $p$  is also a cut point of  $X$ .*

Evidently, each  $p \in X$  which is the limit of a nontrivial sequence of points of  $X$  is also a butterfly point. Thus, the essential contribution in the first part of Theorem 1.3 is that each butterfly point  $p \in X_\Theta$  is the limit of a nontrivial sequence of points  $X$ . However, butterfly points  $p \in X_\Theta$  are not necessarily cut (i.e. strong butterfly). For instance, the endpoints  $0, 1 \in [0, 1]$  are noncut, they belong to  $[0, 1]_\Theta$  and are both butterfly. In contrast, according to Theorem 1.2, the second part of Theorem 1.3 implies the following consequence which settles [9, Problem 4.15] in the affirmative.

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $X$  is totally disconnected at a butterfly point  $p \in X_\Theta$ , then  $p \in X_\Omega$  or, equivalently, this point is countably-approachable.*

Let us remark that [9, Problem 4.15] was stated for a space  $X$  which has a clopen  $\pi$ -base. A family  $\mathcal{P}$  of open subsets of  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -base (called also a *pseudobase*, Oxtoby [20]) if every nonempty open subset of  $X$  contains some nonempty member of  $\mathcal{P}$ . In our case, we also have that  $\mathcal{V}_\omega[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$  and according to [7, Corollary 2.3], such a space  $X$  must be totally disconnected.

Our second main result deals with the elements of the set  $X_\Theta \setminus X_\Omega$ . To this end, let us recall that a point  $p \in X$  is called *selection maximal* [14], see also [5, 12], if there exists a continuous selection  $f$  for  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $f(S) = p$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  with  $p \in S$ . In this case, the selection  $f$  is called *p-maximal*. Evidently, each selection maximal point of  $X$  belongs to  $X_\Theta$ , and each point of  $X$  which has a countable clopen base belongs to  $X_\Omega$ . So, consider the sets:

$$\begin{cases} X_\Theta^* = \{p \in X_\Theta : p \text{ is selection maximal}\}, \\ X_\Omega^* = \{p \in X_\Omega : p \text{ has a countable clopen base}\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper, we will also prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $\mathcal{V}_\omega[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$ . Then*

$$X_\Theta \setminus X_\Omega \subset X_\Theta^* \quad \text{and} \quad X_\Theta^* \cap X_\Omega = X_\Omega^*. \tag{1.4}$$

Theorem 1.5 is also partially known, the equality  $X_\Theta^* \cap X_\Omega = X_\Omega^*$  was established in [14, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2]. It is included to emphasise on the

fact that  $X_\Theta \setminus X_\Omega$  is not necessarily equal to  $X_\Theta^*$ . According to Theorem 1.2, the set  $X_\Theta \setminus X_\Omega$  cannot contain a cut point of  $X$ . A point  $p \in X$  which is not cut will be called *noncut*, see Section 6. Thus, the inclusion  $X_\Theta \setminus X_\Omega \subset X_\Theta^*$  in (1.4) actually states that each noncut point  $p \in X_\Theta$  is selection maximal. The crucial property to achieve this result is that the connected component of each noncut point  $p \in X_\Theta$  has a clopen base (Theorem 6.1).

The paper is organised as follows. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 2. A condition for a point  $p \in X_\Theta$  to be countably-approachable is given in Lemma 3.2 of Section 3. Based on this condition, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is accomplished in Sections 4 and 5. The final Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 1.5.

## 2. BUTTERFLY POINTS AND CONVERGENT SEQUENCES

A nonempty subset  $S$  of a partially ordered set  $(P, \leq)$  is *up-directed* if for every finite subset  $T \subset S$  there exists  $s \in S$  with  $t \leq s$  for every  $t \in T$ . For a space  $X$ , the set  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is partially ordered with respect to the usual set-theoretic inclusion ‘ $\subset$ ’, and each up-directed family in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Each up-directed family  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite family of open subsets of  $X$  with  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}} \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . Then  $\bigcup \mathcal{T} \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  for some finite subfamily  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is up-directed, it follows that  $\bigcup \mathcal{T} \subset S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , and each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  with this property also belongs to  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ .  $\square$

Complementary to Proposition 2.1 is the following further observation about  $\tau_V$ -convergence of usual sequences in the hyperspace  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $U_n \subset X$ ,  $n < \omega$ , be a pairwise disjoint family of proper open sets. Then the sequence  $S_n = X \setminus U_n$ ,  $n < \omega$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Take a finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  with  $X \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . If  $S_k \cap V_0 = \emptyset$  for some  $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $k < \omega$ , then  $V_0 \subset U_k$ . Since  $\{U_n : n < \omega\}$  is pairwise disjoint, this implies that  $\emptyset \neq V_0 \subset U_k \subset S_n$  for every  $n \neq k$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite, there exists  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $S_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $n \geq n_0$ . In other words,  $S_n \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ .  $\square$

In what follows, for a set  $Z$ , let

$$\Sigma(Z) = \{S \subset Z : S \text{ is nonempty and finite}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

The following two general observations about local bases generating nontrivial convergent sequences furnish the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $p = f(X)$  be a non-isolated point for some selection  $f \in \mathcal{V}_s[\mathcal{F}(X)]$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a local base at  $p$  such that  $f((X \setminus B) \cup \{p\}) \in X \setminus B$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $X \setminus \{p\}$  contains a sequence convergent to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* For  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $q = f((X \setminus B_0) \cup \{p\}) \neq p$ , there are disjoint open sets  $O_p, O_q \subset X$  with  $q \in O_q$  and  $p \in O_p \subset B_0$ . Hence, by continuity of  $f$ , there is a finite family  $\mathcal{V}$  of open subsets of  $X$  with  $(X \setminus B_0) \cup \{p\} \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  and  $f(\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle) \subset O_q$ . Take  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \subset O_p$  and  $B_1 \subset \bigcap \{V \in \mathcal{V} : p \in V\}$ . If  $S \in \Sigma(B_1)$ , then  $f((X \setminus B_0) \cup S) \in X \setminus B_0$  because  $(X \setminus B_0) \cup S \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  and  $S \subset O_p \subset X \setminus O_q$ . Since  $\{(X \setminus B_0) \cup S : S \in \Sigma(B_1)\}$  is an up-directed family and  $\bigcup \Sigma(B_1) = B_1$ , by Proposition 2.1,  $f((X \setminus B_0) \cup \overline{B_1}) \in X \setminus B_0$ . Thus, by induction, there is a decreasing sequence  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $f((X \setminus B_n) \cup \overline{B_{n+1}}) \in X \setminus B_n$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Then  $B_n \setminus \overline{B_{n+1}}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , is a pairwise disjoint family of proper open subsets of  $X$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.2, the sequence  $T_n = (X \setminus B_n) \cup \overline{B_{n+1}}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $X$ . So,

$$p = f(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(T_n) \quad \text{and} \quad f(T_n) \notin B_n \ni p, \quad n < \omega. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $p = f(X)$  be a butterfly point for some  $f \in \mathcal{V}_s[\mathcal{F}(X)]$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a local base at  $p$  such that  $f((X \setminus B) \cup \{p\}) = p$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $X \setminus \{p\}$  contains a sequence convergent to  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\overline{F \setminus \{p\}} \cap \overline{G \setminus \{p\}} = \{p\}$  for some closed sets  $F, G \subset X$ . Set  $U = F \setminus \{p\}$  and  $V = G \setminus \{p\}$ , and take  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $f((X \setminus B_0) \cup \{p\}) = p$  and  $p \in B_0 \cap \overline{U}$ , there is  $x_0 \in B_0 \cap U$  such that  $f((X \setminus B_0) \cup \{x_0\}) = x_0$ . For the same reason, taking  $B_1 \subset B_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ , there is a point  $x_1 \in B_1 \cap V$  with  $f((X \setminus B_1) \cup \{x_1\}) = x_1$ . Hence, by induction, there exists a sequence  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n \setminus \{x_n\}$  and

$$f((X \setminus B_{2n}) \cup \{x_{2n}\}) = x_{2n} \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f((X \setminus B_{2n+1}) \cup \{x_{2n+1}\}) = x_{2n+1} \in V.$$

Since  $T_n = (X \setminus B_n) \cup \{x_n\}$ ,  $n < \omega$ , is an increasing sequence of closed sets, it is  $\tau_V$ -convergent. Evidently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(T_n) \in \overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \{p\}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_2(X) = \{S \subset X : 1 \leq |S| \leq 2\}$ . A selection  $\sigma$  for  $\mathcal{F}_2(X)$  is called a *weak selection* for  $X$ . It generates a relation  $\leq_\sigma$  on  $X$  defined for  $x, y \in X$  by  $x \leq_\sigma y$  if  $\sigma(\{x, y\}) = x$  [17, Definition 7.1]. This relation is both *total* and *antisymmetric*, but not necessarily *transitive*. We write  $x <_\sigma y$  whenever  $x \leq_\sigma y$  and  $x \neq y$ , and use the standard notation for the intervals generated by  $\leq_\sigma$ . For instance,  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq_\sigma}$  will stand for all  $x \in X$  with  $x <_\sigma p$ ;  $(\leftarrow, p]_{\leq_\sigma}$  for that of all  $x \in X$  with  $x \leq_\sigma p$ ; the intervals  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_\sigma}$ ,  $[p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_\sigma}$ , etc., are defined in a similar way. The intervals  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq_\sigma}$  and  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_\sigma}$ ,  $p \in X$ , form a subbase for a natural topology  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$  on  $X$ , called a *selection topology* [11].

A weak selection  $\sigma$  for  $X$  is *continuous* if it is continuous with respect to the Vietoris topology on  $\mathcal{F}_2(X)$ , equivalently if for every  $p, q \in X$  with  $p <_\sigma q$ , there are open sets  $U, V \subset X$  such that  $p \in U$ ,  $q \in V$  and  $x <_\sigma y$  for every  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ , see [11, Theorem 3.1]. Thus, if  $\sigma$  is continuous and  $p \in X$ , then the intervals  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq_\sigma}$  and  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_\sigma}$  are open in  $X$  and  $(\leftarrow, p]_{\leq_\sigma}$  and  $[p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_\sigma}$  are closed in  $X$ , see [17]. However, the converse is not necessarily true [11, Example 3.6], see also [15, Corollary 4.2 and Example 4.3]. The

following property is actually known, it will be found useful also in the rest of this paper.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space which has a continuous weak selection  $\sigma$  and is totally disconnected at a point  $p \in X$ . If  $\Delta_p$  is one of the intervals  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq \sigma}$  or  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq \sigma}$ , and  $p$  is the limit of a sequence of points of  $\Delta_p$ , then  $p$  is a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $\overline{\Delta_p}$ .*

*Proof.* According to [5, Theorem 4.1], see also [10, Remark 3.5],  $p$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point in  $\overline{\Delta_p}$  with respect to the selection topology  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ . Hence, since  $X$  is totally disconnected at this point, it follows from [15, Proposition 5.6] that  $p$  is also a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $\overline{\Delta_p}$ .  $\square$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1.3 now follows from the following observation.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a space which is totally disconnected at a point  $p \in X$ . If  $X$  has a continuous weak selection and  $p$  is the limit of a nontrivial convergent sequence, then  $p$  is a cut point of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a continuous weak selection for  $X$ . Then, by condition,  $p$  is the limit of a sequence of points of  $\Delta_p \subset X$ , where  $\Delta_p$  is one of the intervals  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq \sigma}$  or  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq \sigma}$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.5, there is a decreasing sequence  $\{H_n\}$  of clopen subsets of  $\overline{\Delta_p}$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \Delta_p$  convergent to  $p$  such that  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} H_n = \{p\}$  and  $x_n \in H_n$ ,  $n < \omega$ . Taking subsequences if necessary, we can further assume  $x_n \in S_n = H_n \setminus H_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{n < \omega} S_{2n} \subset \Delta_p \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  is an open set with  $\overline{U} = U \cup \{p\}$  because  $\{x_{2n}\} \subset U$ . Accordingly, for the set  $V = X \setminus \overline{U} \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  we also have that  $\overline{V} = V \cup \{p\}$  because  $\{x_{2n+1}\} \subset V$ . Thus,  $p$  is a cut point of  $X$ .  $\square$

### 3. COUNTABLY-APPROACHABLE POINTS

For a space  $X$ , the *components* (called also *connected components*) are the maximal connected subsets of  $X$ . They form a closed partition  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$ , and each element  $\mathcal{C}[x] \in \mathcal{C}$  containing a point  $x \in X$  is called the *component* of this point.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $T, Z \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be such that  $Z$  is connected. If  $f \in \mathcal{V}_\omega[\mathcal{F}(X)]$  and  $q = f(T \cup D)$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ , then  $f(T \cup S) \in \mathcal{C}[q]$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* Define a continuous map  $f_T : \mathcal{F}(Z) \rightarrow X$  by  $f_T(S) = f(T \cup S)$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Then  $Q = f_T(\mathcal{F}(Z))$  is a connected subset of  $X$  because  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$  is  $\tau_V$ -connected, see [17, Theorem 4.10]. Accordingly,  $Q \subset \mathcal{C}[q]$  because  $q \in Q$ .  $\square$

We now have the following relaxed condition for countably-approachable points.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a space,  $p = f(H)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{V}_s[\mathcal{F}(X)]$  and  $H \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , and  $U \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  be an open set with  $\bar{U} = U \cup \{p\}$ . Also, let  $\{H_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a sequence which is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $H$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,*

$$f(H_n) \in H_n \cap U \subset H_{n+1} \cap U \quad \text{and} \quad H_n \cap U \text{ is clopen.} \quad (3.1)$$

*Then  $p$  is countably-approachable.*

*Proof.* The sets  $L_n = H_n \cap U$  and  $F_n = L_n \cup (H_{n+1} \setminus U)$ ,  $n < \omega$ , will play a crucial role in this proof. According to (3.1),  $f(H_n) \in L_n \subset L_{n+1}$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(H_n) = f(H) = p \notin U$ , taking a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that

$$f(H_{n+1}) \in L_{n+1} \setminus L_n = H_{n+1} \setminus F_n \quad \text{for every } n < \omega. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, let us observe that

$$\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X) \text{ is } \tau_V\text{-convergent to } H. \quad (3.3)$$

Indeed, by (3.1),  $\{L_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $L = \overline{\bigcup_{n < \omega} L_n} \subset H$ . Take a finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $H$  with  $H \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ , and set  $\mathcal{V}_L = \{V \in \mathcal{V} : V \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then there is  $k < \omega$  such that  $L_n \in \langle \mathcal{V}_L \rangle$  and  $H_n \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  for every  $n \geq k$ . If  $n \geq k$  and  $L_n \cap W = \emptyset$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $W \notin \mathcal{V}_L$  and, therefore,  $(H_{n+1} \setminus U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Accordingly,  $F_n = L_n \cup (H_{n+1} \setminus U) \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ .

Now, as in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.4], for every  $n < \omega$  we will construct a closed set  $T_n \subset X$  and a nonempty clopen set  $S_n \subset L_{n+1} \setminus L_n$  such that

$$F_n \subset T_n \subset H_{n+1} \setminus S_n \quad \text{and} \quad f(T_n \cup \{x\}) = x, \text{ for every } x \in S_n. \quad (3.4)$$

Briefly,  $F_n \subset H_{n+1}$  and by (3.1),  $H_{n+1} \setminus F_n = L_{n+1} \setminus L_n$  is clopen. Moreover, by (3.2),  $f(H_{n+1}) \in H_{n+1} \setminus F_n$  and, therefore,  $q = f(F_n \cup E) \in E$  for some finite set  $E \subset H_{n+1} \setminus F_n$ . Accordingly, we also have that  $\mathcal{C}[q] \subset H_{n+1} \setminus F_n$ . Thus, setting  $D = E \cap \mathcal{C}[q]$ ,  $K = E \setminus \mathcal{C}[q]$  and  $T_n = F_n \cup K$ , it follows that  $D \subset \mathcal{C}[q] \subset H_{n+1} \setminus T_n$ . Hence, by Proposition 3.1,  $f(T_n \cup \{y\}) = y$  for every  $y \in \mathcal{C}[q]$  because  $f(T_n \cup D) = f(F_n \cup E) = q$ . Finally, since  $K$  is a finite set and  $H_{n+1} \setminus F_n$  is clopen,  $\mathcal{C}[q] \subset S$  for some clopen set  $S \subset H_{n+1} \setminus T_n$ . Thus, the sets  $T_n$  and  $S_n = \{x \in S : f(T_n \cup \{x\}) = x\}$  are as required in (3.4).

To finish the proof, it only remains to show that  $\{S_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\{p\}$ , see [7, Section 4]. So, take an open set  $W$  containing  $p$  and a finite family  $\mathcal{V}$  of open sets such that  $H \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  and  $f(\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle) \subset W$ . Then by condition and the property in (3.3), there is  $k < \omega$  with  $F_n, H_n \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  for every  $n \geq k$ . Accordingly, for  $n \geq k$  and  $x \in S_n$ , it follows from (3.4) that  $x = f(T_n \cup \{x\}) \in W$  because  $F_n \subset T_n \cup \{x\} \subset H_{n+1}$  implies that  $T_n \cup \{x\} \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. APPROACHING TRIVIAL COMPONENTS

The *quasi-component*  $\mathcal{Q}[p]$  of a point  $p \in X$  is the intersection of all clopen subsets of  $X$  containing this point. Evidently,  $\mathcal{C}[p] \subset \mathcal{Q}[p]$  for every  $p \in X$ , but the converse is not necessarily true. However, these components coincide for

spaces with continuous weak selections, see [12, Theorem 4.1]. Hence, in this case,  $X$  is totally disconnected at  $p \in X$  precisely when  $\mathcal{C}[p] = \{p\}$  is trivial.

Here, we will prove the special case of Theorem 1.2 when the component of  $X$  at  $p \in X_\Theta$  is trivial. So, throughout this section,  $f \in \mathcal{V}_\omega[\mathcal{F}(X)]$  is a fixed selection such that  $p = f(X)$  is a cut point of  $X$ , and  $X$  is totally disconnected at  $p$ . In this setting, the trivial case is when  $p$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $p$  is a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $X$ , then it is countably-approachable.*

*Proof.* By condition,  $U = X \setminus \{p\} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} H_n$  for some increasing sequence  $\{H_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  of clopen sets. Hence, the property follows from Lemma 3.2 by taking  $H = X$ .  $\square$

The rest of this section deals with the nontrivial case when  $p$  is not a countable intersection of clopen sets. To this end, we shall say that a pair  $(U, V)$  of subsets of  $X$  is a  $p$ -cut of  $X$  if  $X \setminus \{p\} = U \cup V$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \{p\}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $p$  is not a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $X$ , then  $X$  has a  $p$ -cut  $(U, V)$  such that*

- (i)  $p$  is a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $\overline{U}$ ,
- (ii)  $V$  doesn't contain a sequence convergent to  $p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \in X_\Theta$  is a cut point, by Theorem 1.3, it is the limit of a sequence of points of  $X \setminus \{p\}$ . Therefore,  $p$  is the limit of a sequence of points of  $U \subset X$ , where  $U$  is one of the intervals  $(\leftarrow, p)_{\leq_f}$  or  $(p, \rightarrow)_{\leq_f}$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.5,  $p$  is a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $\overline{U}$ . This implies that  $V = X \setminus \overline{U}$  is not clopen in  $X$  because  $p$  is not a countable intersection of clopen subsets of  $X$ . For the same reason,  $V$  doesn't contain a sequence convergent to  $p$ . Accordingly, this  $p$ -cut  $(U, V)$  of  $X$  is as required.  $\square$

The following two mutually exclusive cases finalise the proof of Theorem 1.2 when  $\mathcal{C}[p] = \{p\}$ . They are based on two alternatives for the selection  $f$  with respect to the  $p$ -cut  $(U, V)$ , constructed in Proposition 4.2, the set  $Y = \overline{V}$  and a fixed increasing sequence  $\{T_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X)$  of clopen sets with  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} T_n = U$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose that for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  with  $p \notin S$ ,*

$$f(T_n \cup \{p\} \cup S) \neq p \text{ for all but finitely many } n < \omega. \tag{4.1}$$

*Then  $p$  is countably-approachable.*

*Proof.* We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. Namely, take a local base  $\mathcal{B}$  at  $p$  in  $Y$  and  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $S_0 = Y \setminus B_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then by (4.1), there exists  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that  $f(T_{n_0} \cup \{p\} \cup S_0) \neq p$ . Next, using continuity of  $f$ , take  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \subset B_0$  and  $f(T_{n_0} \cup K \cup S_0) \in T_{n_0} \cup S_0$  for every  $K \in \Sigma(B_1)$ , see (2.1). Hence, by Proposition 2.1, we also have that  $f(T_{n_0} \cup \overline{B_1} \cup S_0) \in T_{n_0} \cup S_0$ . We can repeat the construction with  $S_1 = Y \setminus B_1$



and some  $n_1 > n_0$ . Thus, by induction, there exists a subsequence  $\{T_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{T_n\}$  and a decreasing sequence  $\{B_k\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that for  $S_k = Y \setminus B_k$ ,  $k < \omega$ ,

$$f(T_{n_k} \cup \overline{B_{k+1}} \cup S_k) \in T_{n_k} \cup S_k \quad \text{for every } k < \omega. \tag{4.2}$$

By Proposition 2.2, the sequence  $\overline{B_{k+1}} \cup S_k$ ,  $k < \omega$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $Y$  because  $\{B_k \setminus \overline{B_{k+1}} : k < \omega\}$  is a pairwise disjoint family of proper open subsets of  $Y$ . Moreover,  $\{T_{n_k}\}$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\overline{U}$  being a subsequence of  $\{T_n\}$ . Hence,  $H_k = T_{n_k} \cup \overline{B_{k+1}} \cup S_k$ ,  $k < \omega$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $X$ . Accordingly,  $p = f(X) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(H_k)$ . However, by (4.2),  $f(H_k) \neq p$  for every  $k < \omega$ . Therefore, by (ii) of Proposition 4.2,  $f(H_k) \in U$  for all but finitely many  $k < \omega$ . Thus, by Lemma 3.2, the point  $p$  is countably-approachable.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that there exists  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Y)$  with  $p \notin S$ , and a subsequence  $\{T_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{T_n\}$  such that*

$$f(T_{n_j} \cup \{p\} \cup S) = p \quad \text{for all } j < \omega. \tag{4.3}$$

*Then  $p$  is countably-approachable.*

*Proof.* Evidently, we can assume that (4.3) holds for all  $n < \omega$ . Next, using Theorem 1.3 and (ii) of Proposition 4.2, take a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset U$  which is convergent to  $p$  and  $x_n \in T_n$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and the sequence  $T_0 \cup \{x_n\} \cup S$ ,  $n < \omega$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $T_0 \cup \{p\} \cup S$ , it follows from (4.3) that  $f(T_0 \cup \{x_{n_0}\} \cup S) = x_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0 < \omega$ . We can repeat this with  $T_{n_0}$ . Namely, the sequence  $T_{n_0} \cup \{x_n\} \cup S$ ,  $n > n_0$ , is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $T_{n_0} \cup \{p\} \cup S$ . Hence, for the same reason,  $f(T_{n_0} \cup \{x_{n_1}\} \cup S) = x_{n_1}$  for some  $n_1 > n_0$ . Thus, by induction, there are subsequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{T_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{T_n\}$  such that  $f(T_{n_k} \cup \{x_{n_{k+1}}\} \cup S) = x_{n_{k+1}}$  for every  $k < \omega$ . Then  $H_k = T_{n_k} \cup S$ ,  $k < \omega$ , is a  $\tau_V$ -convergent sequence with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(H_k) = p$ , because  $H_k \subset H_k \cup \{x_{n_{k+1}}\} \subset H_{k+1}$  for every  $k < \omega$ . Furthermore, by (ii) of Proposition 4.2,  $f(H_k) \in U$  for all but finitely many  $k < \omega$ . Therefore, just like before, Lemma 3.2 implies that  $p$  is countably-approachable.  $\square$

### 5. APPROACHING NONTRIVIAL COMPONENTS

Here, we will finalise the proof of Theorem 1.2 with the remaining case when  $X$  is not totally disconnected at  $p$ . To this end, let us recall that a space  $X$  is *weakly orderable* if there exists a coarser orderable topology on  $X$  with respect to some linear order on it (called *compatible* for  $X$ ). The weakly orderable spaces were introduced by Eilenberg [4], and are often called “Eilenberg orderable”.

Each connected space  $Z$  with a continuous weak selection  $\sigma$  is weakly orderable with respect to  $\leq_\sigma$ , see [17, Lemmas 7.2]. The following simple observation was implicitly present in the proof of [12, Theorem 1.5]. In this observation, and what follows,  $\text{nct}(Z)$  are the noncut points of a connected space  $Z$ , and  $\text{ct}(Z)$  — the cut points of  $Z$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $p = f(X)$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{V}_s[\mathcal{F}(X)]$ . Then  $p \in \text{nct}(\mathcal{C}[p])$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $Z = \mathcal{C}[p]$  and assume that  $p \in H = \text{ct}(Z)$ . Since  $H$  is open in  $X$  (see [8, Corollary 2.7]) and  $f$  is continuous,  $f(\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle) \subset H \subset Z$  for some finite open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ . Take a finite set  $T \subset X \setminus Z$  with  $Y = T \cup Z \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ . Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $g(S) = f(T \cup S) \in S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Accordingly,  $g : \mathcal{F}(Z) \rightarrow Z$  is a continuous selection with  $g(Z) \in H = \text{ct}(Z)$ . However, this is impossible because  $Z$  is weakly orderable with respect to  $\leq_g$  and  $g(Z)$  is the first  $\leq_g$ -element of  $Z$ , see [17, Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3] and [8, Corollary 2.7].  $\square$

In the rest of this section,  $p \in X_\Theta$  is a cut point such that the component  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  is not a singleton. In this case, by Proposition 5.1,  $p$  is a noncut point of  $\mathcal{C}[p]$ . Thus, we can also fix a  $p$ -cut  $(U, V)$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{C}[p] \subset \bar{V}$ . Accordingly,  $Y = \bar{U}$  is totally disconnected at  $p$ . In this setting, the remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of showing that  $p$  is countably-approachable in  $Y$ . To this end, we will first show that  $\bar{V}$  can itself be assumed to be connected.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous selection with  $f(X) = p$ . Then there exists a nondegenerate connected subset  $Z \subset \mathcal{C}[p]$  such that  $p \in Z$  and  $X_* = Y \cup Z$  has a continuous selection  $f_* : \mathcal{F}(X_*) \rightarrow X_*$  with  $f_*(X_*) = p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H = \mathcal{C}[p]$  has a continuous weak selection and  $p \in \text{nct}(H)$ , the space  $H$  is weakly orderable with respect to a linear order  $\leq$  such that  $p \leq x$  for every  $x \in H$ , see [17, Lemma 7.2] and [8, Corollary 2.7]. Accordingly, each closed interval  $Z_x = [p, x]_\leq \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ ,  $x \in \text{ct}(H)$ , is a connected subset of  $H$ , see [16, Theorem 1.3]. Moreover, if  $T = \bar{V} \setminus \bar{H}$ , then  $f(Y \cup H \cup T) = f(X) = p \in H$ . Thus, by Propositions 3.1 and 5.1,

$$f(Y \cup Z_x \cup T) \in \text{nct}(Z_x) \cup T = \{p, x\} \cup T \quad \text{for every } x \in \text{ct}(H). \quad (5.1)$$

Evidently, the resulting family  $\mathcal{S} = \{Y \cup Z_x \cup T : x \in \text{ct}(H)\}$  is up-directed. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, it is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\bigcup \mathcal{S} = X$ . Hence, by (5.1),  $f(Y \cup Z_q \cup T) = p$  for some  $q \in \text{ct}(H)$  because  $\lim_{S \in \mathcal{S}} f(S) = f(X) = p$ . Finally, let  $Z = Z_q$ ,  $X_* = Y \cup Z$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(X_*) : f(S \cup T) \in T\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a  $\tau_V$ -clopen set in  $\mathcal{F}(X_*)$  because  $T$  is clopen in  $X_* \cup T$ . So, we may define a continuous selection  $f_* : \mathcal{F}(X_*) \rightarrow X_*$  by letting for  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X_*)$  that

$$f_*(S) = \begin{cases} f(S) & \text{if } S \in \mathcal{T}, \text{ and} \\ f(S \cup T) & \text{if } S \notin \mathcal{T}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f(X_* \cup T) = f(Y \cup Z \cup T) = f(Y \cup Z_q \cup T) = p \notin T$ , we get that  $X_* \notin \mathcal{T}$ . Accordingly, we also have that  $f_*(X_*) = f(X_* \cup T) = p$ .  $\square$

Since the space  $X_* = Y \cup Z$  in Proposition 5.2 has all properties of  $X$  relevant to our case, we can identify  $X$  with this space. In this refined setting, the fixed  $p$ -cut  $(U, V)$  of  $X$  has the extra property that  $Z = \bar{V}$  is connected, while  $Y = \bar{U}$  is the same as before.

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  is a continuous selection with  $f(X) = p$ , then  $f(Y) = p$ . Moreover,*

$$f(Y \cup \{q\}) = p \quad \text{for every } q \in Z. \tag{5.2}$$

*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is connected, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $f(Y \cup S) \in Z$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Accordingly,  $f(Y) = f(Y \cup \{p\}) = p$ . Regarding (5.2), we argue by contradiction. Namely, assume that

$$f(Y \cup \{q\}) = q \quad \text{for some } q \in Z \text{ with } q \neq p. \tag{5.3}$$

Next, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, using that  $Z$  is weakly orderable and  $p \in \text{nct}(Z)$ , take a compatible linear order  $\leq$  on  $Z$  such that  $p \leq z$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Then by (5.3),  $p < q$  and we now have that

$$f(Y \cup S) \in S, \quad \text{whenever } S \in \mathcal{F}([z, \rightarrow]_{\leq}) \text{ for some } z > p. \tag{5.4}$$

Briefly, for  $z > p$  and  $S \in \mathcal{F}([z, \rightarrow]_{\leq})$ , it follows that either  $S \subset [q, \rightarrow]_{\leq}$  or  $q \in [z, \rightarrow]_{\leq}$ . Since all  $\leq$ -intervals of  $Z$  are connected, (5.4) follows from (5.3) and Proposition 3.1.

This now implies that the continuous map  $g(T) = f(Y \cup T)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ , is a selection for  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Indeed, for  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$  with  $T \neq \{p\}$ , set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{T \cap [z, \rightarrow]_{\leq} : z \in T \setminus \{p\}\}.$$

Since the family  $\mathcal{S}$  is up-directed in  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ , by Proposition 2.1, it is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\bigcup \mathcal{S}$ . Moreover, by (5.4),  $g(S) = f(Y \cup S) \in S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore,  $g(T) \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{S}} \subset T$  because  $Y \cup \left(\bigcup \mathcal{S}\right) = Y \cup T$ . However, according to [17, Lemma 7.3],  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$  has at most two continuous selections — taking the minimal element, or taking the maximal element of each  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Therefore,  $g(T) = \min_{\leq} T$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$  because  $g(Z) = f(Y \cup Z) = f(X) = p = \min_{\leq} Z$ . But this is impossible because by (5.3),  $q = g(\{q\}) = f(Y \cup \{q\}) = \min_{\leq} \{p, q\} = p$ .  $\square$

The following final observation completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 5.4.** *If  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  is a continuous selection with  $f(X) = p$ , then  $Y \setminus \{p\}$  contains a sequence convergent to  $p$ . In particular,  $p$  is a cut point of  $Y$ , and is therefore also countably-approachable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a local base at  $p$  in  $Y$  with  $Y \notin \mathcal{B}$ . Then there exists  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$f((Y \setminus B) \cup \{p\}) \in Y \setminus B \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } B \subset B_0. \tag{5.5}$$

Indeed, assume that (5.5) fails, and let  $\mathcal{B}_*$  be the collection of all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $f((Y \setminus B) \cup \{p\}) = p$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_*$  is also a local base at  $p \in Y$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{S} = \{Y \setminus B : B \in \mathcal{B}_*\}$  is an up-directed cover of  $Y \setminus \{p\}$  and by Proposition 2.1, it is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $Y$ . Moreover, by assumption,  $f(S \cup \{p\}) = p$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.1, we also have that  $f(S \cup \{q\}) = q$  for every  $q \in Z$  and  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ . Accordingly,  $f(Y \cup \{q\}) = \lim_{S \in \mathcal{S}} f(S \cup \{q\}) = q$  for every  $q \in Z$ . However, by (5.2) of Proposition 5.3, this is impossible.

Having already established (5.5), it follows from Propositions 5.3 and 2.3 that  $p$  is the limit of a sequence of points of  $Y \setminus \{p\}$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.6,  $p$  is a cut point of  $Y$ . Therefore, by the already proven case of Theorem 1.2, the point  $p$  is also countably-approachable in  $Y$ . Since  $Y = \overline{U} = U \cup \{p\}$  and  $U \subset X \setminus \{p\}$  is open,  $p$  is countably-approachable in  $X$  as well.  $\square$

### 6. POINT-MAXIMAL SELECTIONS

Recall that a point  $p \in X$  in an arbitrary space  $X$  is *noncut* if it is not a cut point. The prototype of such points can be traced back to Michael’s nowhere cuts defined in [18]. In his terminology, a subset  $A \subset X$  *nowhere cuts*  $X$  [18] if  $A$  has an empty interior (i.e.  $A$  is *thin*) and whenever  $p \in A$  and  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $p$  in  $X$ , then  $U \setminus A$  does not split into two disjoint open sets both having  $p$  in their closure. Evidently, the singleton  $\{p\}$  nowhere cuts  $X$  for each noncut point  $p \in X$ . A slight variation of this concept was considered in [2] (under the name ‘does not cut’) and in [19] (under the name ‘nowhere disconnects’).

As commented in the Introduction, the equality  $X_{\Theta}^* \cap X_{\Omega} = X_{\Omega}^*$  in (1.4) of Theorem 1.5 is known, see (1.1) and (1.3). Here, we will prove the following refined version of this theorem showing that the members of  $X_{\Theta} \setminus X_{\Omega}$  possess a similar property with respect to the connected components. To this end, let us recall that a (closed) subset  $C \subset X$  has a *clopen base* if for each neighbourhood  $U$  of  $C$  there exists a clopen set  $H \subset X$  with  $C \subset H \subset U$ . In case  $C = \{p\}$  is a singleton, we simply say that  $X$  is *zero-dimensional* at  $p \in X$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $\mathcal{V}_{cs}[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$  and  $p \in X_{\Theta} \setminus X_{\Omega}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  has a clopen base and  $p \in X_{\Theta}^*$ .*

Evidently, the essential case in Theorem 6.1 is when  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  is not a clopen set, otherwise the property follow easily from known results and Proposition 3.1. Thus, in the rest of this section,  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  will be assumed to be not clopen.

The next lemma covers the case of  $\mathcal{C}[p] = \{p\}$  in Theorem 6.1.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $\mathcal{V}_{cs}[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$ . If  $X$  is totally disconnected at some point  $p \in X_{\Theta} \setminus X_{\Omega}$ , then  $X$  is zero-dimensional at  $p$  and  $p \in X_{\Theta}^*$ .*

The proof of this lemma is base on the following two simple observations.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous selection,  $p \in X$  with  $\mathcal{C}[p] = \{p\}$ , and  $K \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be such that  $p \notin K$  and  $f(K \cup S) = p$  for every closed set  $S \subset X$  with  $p \in S$ . Then  $X$  has a clopen base at  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the idea in the proof of [12, Theorem 1.4], see also [1]. Take an open set  $U \subset X$  with  $p \in U \subset X \setminus K$ , and set  $F = X \setminus U$ . Since  $f(F) \neq p$ , there exists a clopen set  $T \subset X$  with  $f(F) \in T$  and  $p \notin T$ . Then  $f^{-1}(T)$  is a  $\tau_V$ -clopen subset  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . Take a maximal chain  $\mathcal{M} \subset f^{-1}(T)$  with  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $M = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{M}}$  is the maximal element of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and therefore  $M$  is clopen in  $X$  because  $f^{-1}(T)$  is  $\tau_V$ -clopen. Moreover,  $K \subset F \subset M$  because  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Finally,  $M$  doesn't contain  $p$  because  $f(M) \neq p$ . Indeed,  $p \in M$  will imply that  $f(M) = f(K \cup M) = p$ , but this is impossible. Thus,  $H = X \setminus M$  is a clopen set with  $p \in H \subset U$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous selection,  $p \in X$  with  $\mathcal{C}[p] = \{p\}$ , and  $K \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be such that  $p \notin K$  and  $f(K \cup S) = p$  for every closed set  $S \subset X$  with  $p \in S$ . Then  $p \in X_{\Theta}^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f(K \cup \{p\}) = p \notin K$ , there is a finite family  $\mathcal{V}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $K \cup \{p\} \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  and  $f(\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle) \subset X \setminus K$ . Then by Proposition 6.3, there exists a clopen set  $H$  such that  $p \in H \subset X \setminus K$  and  $H \subset \bigcap \{V \in \mathcal{V} : p \in V\}$ . Accordingly,  $f(K \cup S) \in S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ . We can now define a continuous selection  $h : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  by letting for  $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  that

$$h(S) = \begin{cases} f(S) & \text{if } S \cap H = \emptyset, \text{ and} \\ f(K \cup S_H) & \text{if } S_H = S \cap H \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then  $h$  is  $p$ -maximal. Indeed,  $p \in S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  implies that  $p \in S_H = S \cap H$  and by the property of  $K$ , we have that  $h(S) = f(K \cup S_H) = p$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 6.2.* According to Propositions 6.3 and 6.4, it suffices to show that there exists  $K \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that

$$p \notin K \quad \text{and} \quad f(K \cup S) = p, \quad \text{for every } S \in \mathcal{F}(X) \text{ with } p \in S. \quad (6.1)$$

To this end, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the collection of all open subsets containing  $p$ , and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}$  be that one of those  $B \in \mathcal{O}$  for which  $f((X \setminus B) \cup \{p\}) \neq p$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a local base at  $p$ , then by Proposition 2.3,  $X \setminus \{p\}$  contains a sequence convergent to  $p$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.6,  $p$  must be a cut point of  $X$ . However, by Theorem 1.2, this is impossible because  $p \notin X_{\Omega}$ . Accordingly, there exists  $U \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $K = X \setminus U \neq \emptyset$  and the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{V \in \mathcal{O} : V \subset U\}$  doesn't contain any member of  $\mathcal{B}$ , namely  $f((X \setminus V) \cup \{p\}) = p$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . To see that this  $K$  is as in (6.1), take a closed set  $S \subset X$  with  $p \in S$ , and set  $\mathcal{L} = \{S \setminus V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . Then  $X \setminus (K \cup L) \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , and therefore  $f(K \cup L \cup \{p\}) = p$  for every  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.1,  $\mathcal{H} = \{K \cup L \cup \{p\} : L \in \mathcal{L}\}$  is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{H}} = \overline{K \cup S} = K \cup S$  being an up-directed cover of  $K \cup S$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, this implies that  $f(K \cup S) = p$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The other case of Theorem 6.1 is covered by the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $\mathcal{V}_s[\mathcal{F}(X)] \neq \emptyset$ , and  $p \in X_{\Theta} \setminus X_{\Omega}$  be such that  $\mathcal{C}[p] \neq \{p\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  has a clopen base and  $p \in X_{\Theta}^*$ .*

In this lemma, according to Theorem 1.2 (see also Proposition 5.1),  $p$  is both a noncut point of  $X$  and a noncut point of  $\mathcal{C}[p]$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  is not clopen in  $X$ , it has another noncut point  $q \in \mathcal{C}[p]$  defined by the property that  $q \in \overline{X \setminus \mathcal{C}[p]}$ . In particular,  $q$  is a cut point of  $X$ . Thus, in this case,  $U = X \setminus \mathcal{C}[p]$  and  $V = \mathcal{C}[p] \setminus \{q\}$  form a  $q$ -cut of  $X$  such that  $Y = \overline{U}$  is totally disconnected at  $q$  and  $Z = \overline{V} = \mathcal{C}[p]$ . In this setting,  $Y$  and  $Z$  have the following properties.

**Proposition 6.6.** *There exists a nonempty finite set  $K \subset U$  such that for every closed set  $S \subset Y$ , the map  $f_{(K,S)}(T) = f(K \cup S \cup T)$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ , is a continuous  $p$ -maximal selection for  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f(X) = p \in V$ , there exists a finite open cover  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $X$  with  $X \in \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle$  and  $f(\langle \mathcal{W} \rangle) \subset V$ . Take a finite set  $K \subset U$  such that  $K \cap W \neq \emptyset$  for every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $W \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $K$  has the property that

$$f(K \cup S \cup Z) = p \quad \text{for every closed set } S \subset Y. \tag{6.2}$$

Indeed, in this case,  $f(K \cup S \cup Z) \in V \subset \mathcal{C}[p]$  because  $K \cup S \cup Z \in \langle \mathcal{W} \rangle$ . Hence, by Proposition 5.1,  $f(K \cup S \cup Z) = p$  because  $q \notin V$ .

For a closed subset  $S \subset Y$ , the map  $f_{(K,S)}$  is continuous and by Proposition 3.1,  $f_{(K,S)}(T) = f(K \cup S \cup T) \in Z$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Hence, by (6.2) and [17, Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3], it only suffices to show that  $f_{(K,S)}$  is a selection for  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$  and  $q \notin S$ , then  $f_{(K,S)}(T) \in T$  because  $K \cup S \subset U \subset X \setminus Z$ . Otherwise, if  $q \in S$ , we set  $F = S \setminus \{q\}$  and distinguish the following two cases:

(i) If  $F$  is a closed set, as remarked above,  $f_{(K,F)}$  is a selection for  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ . Therefore, by (6.2),  $f_{(K,F)}$  is ‘ $q$ -minimal’ in the sense that  $f_{(K,F)}(T) = q$  precisely when  $T = \{q\}$  because  $q \in \text{nct}(\mathcal{C}[p])$ , see [17, Lemma 7.3] and [8, Corollary 2.7]. In other words,  $f_{(K,S)}(T) = f_{(K,F)}(T \cup \{q\}) \in T$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ .

(ii) If  $F$  is not closed, by (i),  $f_{(K,E)}(T) = f(K \cup E \cup T) \in T$  for every  $E \in \Sigma(F)$ , see (2.1). Moreover, by Proposition 2.1,  $\mathcal{H} = \{K \cup E : E \in \Sigma(F)\}$  is an up-directed family which is  $\tau_V$ -convergent to  $K \cup S$ . Accordingly,

$$f_{(K,S)}(T) = f(K \cup S \cup T) = \lim_{H \in \mathcal{H}} f(H \cup T) \in \bar{T} = T. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.5.* According to Proposition 6.6, there exists a nonempty finite set  $K \subset U = X \setminus \mathcal{C}[p]$  such that  $f(K \cup S \cup \{q\}) = q$  for every closed set  $S \subset Y = \bar{U}$ . Since  $Y$  is totally disconnected at  $q$ , it follows from Proposition 6.3 that  $q$  has a clopen base in  $Y$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{C}[p]$  has a clopen base in  $X$ . To show the remaining part of this lemma, as in the proof of Proposition 6.4, take a clopen set  $H \subset Y$  such that  $q \in H \subset Y \setminus K$  and  $f(K \cup S) \in S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ . Then  $L = H \cup Z$  is a clopen subset of  $X$  with the same property. Indeed, take any  $S \in \mathcal{F}(L)$ . If  $S \subset Y$ , then  $S \subset H$  and therefore  $f(K \cup S) \in S$ . If  $S \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$ , set  $D = S \cap Y$  and  $T = S \cap Z$ . Then by Proposition 6.6,  $f(K \cup S) = f(K \cup D \cup T) \in T \subset S$ . Hence, just like before, we can define a continuous  $p$ -maximal selection  $h : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow X$  by

$$h(S) = \begin{cases} f(S) & \text{if } S \cap L = \emptyset, \text{ and} \\ f(K \cup S_L) & \text{if } S_L = S \cap L \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if  $p \in S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , then  $p \in S_L = S \cap L$ . Moreover,  $D_L = S_L \cap Y$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $p \in T_L = S_L \cap Z \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$ . According to Proposition 6.6,  $h(S) = f(K \cup S_L) = f(K \cup D_L \cup T_L) = p$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bertacchi and C. Costantini, Existence of selections and disconnectedness properties for the hyperspace of an ultrametric space, *Topology Appl.* 88 (1998), 179–197.
- [2] H. Delfs and M. Knebusch, Locally semialgebraic spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1173, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [3] A. Dow and S. Shelah, Tie-points and fixed-points in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , *Topology Appl.* 155 (2008), no. 15, 1661–1671.
- [4] S. Eilenberg, Ordered topological spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 63 (1941), 39–45.
- [5] S. García-Ferreira, V. Gutev, T. Nogura, M. Sanchis, and A. Tomita, Extreme selections for hyperspaces of topological spaces, *Topology Appl.* 122 (2002), 157–181.
- [6] V. Gutev, Fell continuous selections and topologically well-orderable spaces II, *Proceedings of the Ninth Prague Topological Symposium (2001)*, *Topology Atlas*, Toronto, 2002, pp. 157–163 (electronic).
- [7] V. Gutev, Approaching points by continuous selections, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 58 (2006), no. 4, 1203–1210.
- [8] V. Gutev, Weak orderability of second countable spaces, *Fund. Math.* 196 (2007), no. 3, 275–287.
- [9] V. Gutev, Selections and hyperspaces, *Recent progress in general topology III* (K. P. Hart, J. van Mill, and P. Simon, eds.), Atlantis Press, Springer, 2014, pp. 535–579.
- [10] V. Gutev, Selections and approaching points in products, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* 57 (2016), no. 1, 89–95.
- [11] V. Gutev and T. Nogura, Selections and order-like relations, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 2 (2001), 205–218.
- [12] V. Gutev and T. Nogura, Vietoris continuous selections and disconnectedness-like properties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001), 2809–2815.
- [13] V. Gutev and T. Nogura, Fell continuous selections and topologically well-orderable spaces, *Mathematika* 51 (2004), 163–169.
- [14] V. Gutev and T. Nogura, Selection pointwise-maximal spaces, *Topology Appl.* 146–147 (2005), 397–408.
- [15] V. Gutev and T. Nogura, Weak orderability of topological spaces, *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010), 1249–1274.
- [16] H. Kok, *Connected orderable spaces*, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1973, *Mathematical Centre Tracts*, no. 49.
- [17] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 71 (1951), 152–182.
- [18] E. Michael, Cuts, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), 1–36.
- [19] E. Michael,  $J$ -spaces, *Topology Appl.* 102 (2000), no. 3, 315–339.
- [20] J. C. Oxtoby, Cartesian products of Baire spaces, *Fund. Math.* 49 (1960), 157–166.
- [21] B. E. Šapirovskiĭ, The imbedding of extremally disconnected spaces in bicompecta.  $b$ -points and weight of pointwise normal spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 223 (1975), no. 5, 1083–1086 (in Russian).