

CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Resumen	iv
Resum	vii
Acknowledgements	xi
Contents	xiii
list of tables	xvi
List of figure	xviii
1 Introduction	- 1 -
1.1. Objectives.....	- 3 -
1.2. Structure of Thesis	- 4 -
2 Literature Review	- 7 -
2.1. Literature Review on Cement Based Materials	- 7 -
2.1.1. Composition and Hydration of Cement	- 8 -
<u>2.1.1.1.</u> Hydration of Tricalcium Silicate and Dicalcium Silicate.....	- 11 -
<u>2.1.1.2.</u> Hydration of Tricalcium Aluminate and Tetracalcium Aluminoferrite ...	- 11 -
2.1.2. Microstructure of Hardened Cement Paste	- 12 -
<u>2.1.2.1</u> Pores in cement paste, mortar and concrete.....	- 13 -
Gel pores	- 14 -
Capillary pores.....	- 16 -
2.1.3. Durability of concrete structure in marine environment.....	- 16 -
<u>2.1.3.1.</u> Causes of concrete degradation	- 19 -
Sulfate Attack.....	- 20 -
Sea water Attack	- 22 -
Chloride Attack.....	- 24 -
Freeze-thaw Degradation.....	- 25 -
2.2. Literature Review of Ultrasonic Techniques	- 27 -
2.2.1. Non-destructive Testing	- 27 -
2.2.2. Non-destructive analysis by Ultrasonic Techniques	- 29 -
2.2.3. Characterization of Cement-based Materials using Ultrasonic Testing	- 31 -
<u>2.2.3.1.</u> Sulfate attack degradation characterized by Ultrasonic Techniques...	- 35 -
<u>2.2.3.2.</u> Freeze-thaw Characterization by Ultrasonic Techniques.....	- 36 -
<u>2.2.3.3.</u> Compressive and flexural strength characterization by Ultrasonic techniques	- 38 -
.....	- 38 -
<u>2.2.3.4.</u> Porosity characterization by Ultrasonic techniques.....	- 39 -
3 Materials, Samples Preparation and Methods	- 46 -
3.1. Materials.....	- 46 -
3.1.1. Cement	- 46 -
3.1.2. Aggregate and sand used for pre-cast concrete.....	- 47 -

3.1.3. Water	- 48 -
3.1.4. Admixtures	- 48 -
3.2. Experimental development	- 49 -
3.2.1. Mixture proportions and manufacture of concrete frames	- 49 -
3.2.2. Coring procedures	- 50 -
3.2.3. Mixture proportions and manufacture of mortar	- 51 -
3.2.4. Chemical dissolutions	- 53 -
3.2.5. Compressive strength test	- 54 -
3.2.6. Flexural strength test	- 55 -
3.2.7. Porosity measurement	- 56 -
3.2.8. Ultrasonic testing	- 57 -
<u>3.2.8.1.</u> Equipment Calibration	- 58 -
<u>3.2.8.2.</u> Pulse-echo method	- 60 -
<u>3.2.8.3.</u> Through-Transmission	- 67 -
Velocity Estimation	- 69 -
<u>3.2.8.4.</u> Ultrasonic Image	- 70 -
3.2.9. Scanning Electron Microscopy	- 72 -
3.2.10. Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry	- 74 -
4 Results and Discussion the Degradation process by Sodium Sulfate	- 79 -
4.1. Analysis and Discussion the Results of Mortar	- 79 -
4.1.1. Visual Examination	- 79 -
4.1.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	- 81 -
4.1.3. Compressive and Flexural Strength	- 86 -
4.1.4. Open Porosity	- 88 -
4.1.5. Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry (MIP)	- 90 -
4.1.6. Ultrasound Longitudinal and Transversal Wave Velocity	- 96 -
4.1.7. Ultrasonic Attenuation Profile Area (APA)	- 99 -
4.1.8. Ultrasonic Tomography Imaging	- 112 -
4.2. Correlation the Measured Parameters for Mortar	- 121 -
4.2.1. Correlation Ultrasonic P and S-Wave Velocity versus Porosity	- 121 -
4.2.2. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Porosity	- 124 -
4.2.3. Correlation P and S-Wave Velocity versus Compressive and Flexural Strength	- 127 -
4.2.4. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Compressive and Flexural Strength	- 131 -
4.2.5. Correlation P and S- Wave Velocity versus APA	- 134 -
4.3. Analysis and Discussion the Results of Concrete	- 137 -
4.3.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	- 137 -
4.3.2. Compressive Strength	- 143 -
4.3.3. Open Porosity	- 144 -
4.3.4. Ultrasound Longitudinal and Transversal Wave Velocity	- 145 -
4.3.5. Ultrasonic Attenuation Profile Area (APA)	- 147 -
4.3.6. Ultrasonic Tomography Imaging	- 154 -
4.4. Correlation the Measured Parameters for Concrete	- 164 -
4.4.1. Correlation Ultrasonic P and S-Wave Velocity versus Porosity	- 164 -
4.4.2. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Porosity	- 166 -
4.4.3. Correlation P and S-wave Velocity versus Compressive Strength	- 167 -

4.4.4. Correlation Attenuation profile Area versus Compressive Strength	- 168 -
4.4.5. Correlation P- Wave and S-wave versus APA	- 169 -
5 Results and Discussion the Degradation Process Ammonium Nitrate	- 173 -
5.1. Analysis and Discussion the Results of Mortar	- 173 -
5.1.1. Compressive and Flexural Strength	- 173 -
5.1.2. Open Porosity.....	- 176 -
5.1.3. Ultrasonic Longitudinal and Transversal Wave Velocity.....	- 177 -
5.1.4. Ultrasonic Attenuation Profile Area (APA) 1 and 3.5 MHz.....	- 179 -
5.2. Correlation the Measured Parameters for Mortar	- 188 -
5.2.1. Correlation Ultrasonic P and S-wave Velocity versus Porosity	- 188 -
5.2.2. Correlation Ultrasonic Attenuation Profile Area versus Porosity.....	- 189 -
5.2.3. Correlation P and S-wave Velocity versus Compressive and Flexural Strength	190 -
5.2.4. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Compressive and Flexural Strength	- 193 -
5.2.5. Correlation P and S-wave versus APA	- 194 -
5.3. Analysis and Discussion the Results of Concrete	- 196 -
5.3.1. Compressive Strength	- 196 -
5.3.2. Open Porosity.....	- 198 -
5.3.3. Ultrasound Longitudinal and Transversal Wave Velocity.....	- 200 -
5.3.4. Ultrasonic Attenuation Profile Area (APA).....	- 202 -
5.4. Correlation the Measured Parameters for Concrete	- 209 -
5.4.1. Correlation Ultrasonic P and S-Wave Velocity versus Porosity	- 209 -
5.4.2. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Porosity	- 210 -
5.4.3. Correlation P and S-Wave Velocity versus Compressive Strength	- 211 -
5.4.4. Correlation Attenuation Profile Area versus Compressive Strength	- 213 -
5.4.5. Correlation P and S- waves versus APA.....	- 214 -
6 Conclusion	- 217 -
7 Future Work	- 219 -
8 References	- 220 -