

# Contents

<b>Index</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of figures</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Resumen</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Resum</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Normative open systems . . . . .	1
1.2 Multiagent systems . . . . .	5
1.3 Thesis motivation . . . . .	6
1.4 Thesis problem statement . . . . .	8
1.5 Thesis goals . . . . .	9
1.6 Outline . . . . .	9
<b>2 State of the art</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Requirements for designing normative open multiagent systems . . . . .	11
2.1.1 Design abstractions . . . . .	12
2.1.2 Support during the development process . . . . .	15
2.1.3 Evaluation of the final design . . . . .	17
2.2 General overview of the state of the art . . . . .	18
2.2.1 Regarding the design abstractions . . . . .	18
2.2.2 Regarding the support during the development process . . . . .	19
2.2.3 Regarding the evaluation of the final design . . . . .	20
2.3 Comparison of methodologies . . . . .	21
2.4 Open issues in the analysis and design of normative open MAS . . . . .	30
2.5 Conclusions . . . . .	31
<b>3 ROMAS methodology</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	33
3.1.1 ROMAS objectives . . . . .	34

## CONTENTS

---

3.1.2	ROMAS architecture and metamodel . . . . .	34
3.1.3	ROMAS process lifecycle . . . . .	36
3.1.4	ROMAS background . . . . .	39
3.1.5	FIPA Design Process Documentation Template . . . . .	39
3.1.6	Case study: Conference management system . . . . .	41
3.2	ROMAS metamodel . . . . .	41
3.2.1	ROMAS metamodel views . . . . .	43
3.2.2	ROMAS notation . . . . .	47
3.3	Phases of the ROMAS process . . . . .	47
3.3.1	PHASE 1: System specification . . . . .	48
3.3.2	PHASE 2: Organization specification . . . . .	62
3.3.3	PHASE 3: Normative context specification . . . . .	72
3.3.4	PHASE 4: Activity specification . . . . .	86
3.3.5	PHASE 5: Agents specification . . . . .	88
3.4	Work product dependencies . . . . .	93
3.5	Conclusions . . . . .	93
<b>4</b>	<b>ROMAS development framework</b>	<b>95</b>
4.1	Motivation and objectives . . . . .	95
4.2	Technology background: Model Driven Architecture and Eclipse technology . . . . .	96
4.3	ROMAS development framework architecture and use . . . . .	98
4.4	ROMAS modeling tool . . . . .	99
4.4.1	ROMAS tool technical details . . . . .	100
4.4.2	Use of the ROMAS modeling tool . . . . .	101
4.4.3	Contributions and limitations . . . . .	102
4.5	ROMAS module for formal verification . . . . .	104
4.5.1	Related work . . . . .	104
4.5.2	Verifying the coherence of the normative context . . . . .	105
4.5.3	ROMAS to PROMELA code transformation (RO2P) . . . . .	106
4.5.4	Contributions and limitations . . . . .	114
4.6	Conclusions . . . . .	115
<b>5</b>	<b>ROMAS approach evaluation</b>	<b>119</b>
5.1	ROMAS for developing normative open MAS . . . . .	119
5.1.1	Comparison with other agent methodologies . . . . .	123
5.2	Case studies . . . . .	126
5.2.1	CMS case study . . . . .	126
5.2.2	mWater virtual market . . . . .	127
5.2.3	ePCRN-IDEA system . . . . .	132
5.2.4	The ceramic tile factory system . . . . .	137
5.3	Conclusions . . . . .	141

<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>145</b>
6.1	Main contributions of this thesis . . . . .	145
6.2	Limitations and future work . . . . .	148
6.3	Software development . . . . .	149
6.4	Publications . . . . .	150
6.4.1	Journals indexed in the SCI . . . . .	150
6.4.2	Indexed Conferences . . . . .	151
6.4.3	Other International Conferences . . . . .	153
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>155</b>