# Contents

Abstract I

Resum III

Resumen V

Contents VII

List of Figures XI

List of Tables XVII

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Research lines . . . . . 5
1.2 Thesis objectives . . . . . 6
1.3 Thesis organization . . . . . 6

2 Related Work 9

2.1 Basics of the Underwater Physical Layer . . . . . 9
2.1.1 Underwater Acoustic Channel . . . . . 10
2.1.2 Commercial and Research Modems . . . . . 11
2.1.3 Acoustic Triggered Wake-Up Systems . . . . . 11
2.2 Medium Access Control Layer. . . . . 12
2.2.1 Frequency-Division Multiple Access. . . . . 13

2.2.2 Code-Division Multiple Access . . . 15
2.2.3 Time-Based Schemes . . . 17
2.3 Conclusions . . . 23

3 Underwater Simulation Platform 25
3.1 Underwater Simulation Tools . . . 25
3.1.1 Underwater Model of the ns-3 Simulator . . . 26
3.1.2 The Bellhop Channel Model and the WOSS API . . . 27
3.2 Low-Power, Wake-Up Modems . . . 28
3.2.1 Wills Underwater Modem . . . 28
3.2.2 ITACA-S1000 Underwater Modem . . . 28
3.3 Low-power Underwater Wake-up Modem Model . . . 29
3.4 Wake-up Systems Evaluation . . . 31
3.5 Conclusions . . . 33

4 Energy-Harvesting Simulation Platform 35
4.1 Energy Model of the ns-3 Simulator . . . 36
4.2 Energy-Harvesting Model for the ns-3 Simulator . . . 37
4.2.1 Capacitor energy source . . . 37
4.2.2 Radio energy model . . . 40
4.2.3 Sensor energy model . . . 40
4.2.4 Solar panel energy model . . . 41
4.3 Model Evaluation . . . 41
4.3.1 Solar panel characterization . . . 42
4.3.2 Stressful testbench . . . 43
4.3.3 3-Day outdoor Test . . . 44
4.4 Conclusions . . . 45

5 Experimentation 47
5.1 Case Study . . . 47
5.2 Simulation Experiments with MAC Protocols and the Wake-Up Modem . . . 48
5.2.1 Simulation Parameters . . . 49
5.2.2 Throughput vs backoff study . . . 50
5.2.3 Study of delay vs backoff . . . 53
5.2.4 Study of node energy vs backoff . . . 54

5.2.5 Study of sink energy vs backoff . . . . . 55
5.2.6 Estimation of optimum backoff . . . . . 55
5.2.7 Influence of acknowledgements on protocol performance . . . . . 57
5.2.8 Influence of transmission speed on protocol performance . . . . . 61
5.2.9 Comparison of protocol performance . . . . . 65
5.2.10 Conclusions . . . . . 69
5.3 Simulation Experiments and Results Using the Energy-Harvesting Module. 70
5.3.1 Wireless Sensor Network Scaling . . . . . 71
5.3.2 Simple Energy Aware Policies . . . . . 74
5.3.3 Conclusions . . . . . 82
5.4 Simulation of a Real Underwater Application . . . . . 82

6 Conclusions 85

6.1 Future work . . . . . 86
6.2 Publications Related to this Thesis . . . . . 87
6.2.1 Journals . . . . . 87
6.2.2 International Conferences . . . . . 89

A Simulation Results of the MAC Study 93

A.1 Thoughput vs backoff study . . . . . 94
A.2 Delay vs backoff study . . . . . 99
A.3 Energy vs backoff study . . . . . 104
A.4 Sink energy vs backoff study . . . . . 109
A.5 Estimation of the optimum backoff . . . . . 114
A.6 Influence of acknowledgements on throughput . . . . . 119
A.7 Influence of acknowledgements on delay . . . . . 122
A.8 Influence of acknowledgements on node's energy . . . . . 125
A.9 Influence of acknowledgements on sink's energy . . . . . 128
A.10 Influence of transmission speed on protocol throughput . . . . . 131
A.11 Influence of transmission speed on node energy consumption . . . . . 133
A.12 Influence of transmission speed on sink energy consumption . . . . . 135

Bibliography 137