Pozzolanic reaction rate of fluid catalytic cracking catalyst residue (FC3R) in cement pastes
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Fluid catalytic cracking catalyst residue (FC3R) is a waste material generated in the petroleum industry. Previous research has shown that FC3R exhibits excellent pozzolanic properties in Portland cement mixtures. The pozzolanic activity of FC3R was studied by means of thermogravimetric analysis (measurement of lime fixation) and cold hydrochloric acid treatment (quantification of FC3R reacted). A water/binder ratio of 0.40 was used in the study in the preparation of a control paste (without spent catalyst) and a 15% substituted FC3R paste. The selected curing temperatures were 20 and 40°C and several curing times were tested. The amount (grammes) of fixed lime by gramme of reacted catalyst indicated that approximately one part lime is chemically combined with 2.3 parts of spent catalyst. The combination of both study techniques allows determination of the optimal dosage in order to maximise use of this excellent pozzolan.

Introduction
The increasing generation of waste materials means alternatives need to be found for their final disposal. Stabilisation by means of hydraulic binders such as Portland cement has shown excellent results, and many types of materials have been confined using this technique. Furthermore, if those waste materials exhibit pozzolanic properties, they can help to improve binder properties such as mechanical strength, durability and shrinkage, among others. For residues that are generated in large quantities, it is desirable to add the largest possible amount while maintaining the basic properties of the final product. However, if residue generation is not large and/or if its pozzolanic activity is very high, dosage of the addition should be optimised. That is, for materials with high pozzolanic activity, the consumption of lime (which is generated by the cement hydration process) increases considerably, up to the level of total consumption, meaning that excess mineral addition behaves as inert.

Fluid catalytic cracking catalyst residue (FC3R, also called spent catalyst) is generated as a waste product in the petroleum refinery, specifically in the fluidised bed catalytic cracking process. At the end of 2010, some 400 catalytic cracking units were in operation, having a total processing capacity of 12-4 million barrels of feedstock per day. Typical consumption of catalyst is around 0-45 kg/m³ of petroleum, so global production of spent catalyst would be slightly less than 1000 t/day (Meyers, 1996). Hsu et al. (2011) reported similar values for 2011, in the range of 900 000 to 1 400 000 t, while Antiohos et al. (2006) indicated that the amount produced in 2005 was 1 200 000 t. Comparing these amounts with estimates of global production of byproducts commonly used in the production of cements and concretes, such as fly ash and silica fume (370 Mt and 2 Mt per year respectively (Amahjour, 2000)), it is clear that use of FC3R should be as a material that improves the final properties of the binder rather than causing a problem of final disposal. On the other hand, previous studies (Payá et al., 2003) have demonstrated that, owing to its high pozzolanic activity, the optimal dosage of FC3R as a cement substitute is in the range of 15–20%. Addition above this level means that a certain excess amount of catalyst will exist that will not have available lime to react with; this excess will then be inert and cause a decrease in mechanical strength, since this substitution will have reduced the amount of cement.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is a useful tool to determine pozzolanic activity by means of lime fixation (Garcés et al., 2011; Pacewska et al., 2002; Payá et al., 2003, 2004), and studies of the mechanical strength of specimens of pozzolan–lime (De Weerdt et al., 2011), pozzolan–cement (Amin et al., 2011) and pozzolan–limestone–cement are useful for determining the optimum dosage (Payá et al., 2007). However, such analyses do not indicate the amount of pozzolan that remains unreacted. Cold hydrochloric acid (HCl) treatment allows this determination to be made, and comparison of the results of this treatment with those from TGA therefore allows the optimal dosage conditions of pozzolan to be determined.
This research studied the hydration behaviour of the FC3R–cement system. Lime fixation was determined by means of TGA and the progress of FC3R consumption due to pozzolanic reaction was measured by means of treatment using cold HCl attack of the cured pastes.

**Materials and experimental procedure**

The FC3R (supplied by BP Oil España S.A., Castellón, Spain) was ground for 20 min using a laboratory ball mill (Gabrielli Mill-2) in order to activate the pozzolanic behaviour of the catalyst (Payá et al., 1999). Ordinary Portland cement was used (Cemex, Valencia, Spain). The chemical compositions of FC3R and cement are summarised in Table 1. A water/binder ratio of 0.40 was selected for the pastes in this study, preparing a control paste (without spent catalyst) and a 15% substituted FC3R paste. Curing temperatures of 20 and 40°C were selected. The curing times tested for the 20°C curing temperature were 1, 2, 4, 12 and 24 h and 2, 3, 7, 14, 90 and 365 days. For the 40°C curing temperature, the curing times were 1/3, 1, 2, 3, 7, 14 and 28 days. After mixing, the pastes were kept in sealed plastic bottles and stored in a curing room at 100% relative humidity and 20°C or 40°C. At each time of testing, the samples were manually ground in an agate mortar in the presence of acetone to stop the hydration and then dried for 30 min at 60°C.

The TGA was performed in a Mettler-Toledo TGA850 module with a horizontal furnace; equipped with an ultramicro balance, it had a resolution of 0.1 µg. The 100 µl capacity crucibles used were made of aluminium, having a lid with a pin hole to obtain a self-generated atmosphere (Borrachero et al., 2008). The gas flow (nitrogen) for the surrounding atmosphere was 75 ml/min. The heating rate was 10°C/min in the range 35–600°C.

The procedure used for cold HCl attack (Gomá and Vicente, 1999) was as follows. 2.5 g of hydrated sample was ground to obtain a powder with particles less than 63 µm diameter (by sieving). This sample was mixed with 5 ml of distilled water. This suspension was then added drop by drop to 300 ml of HCl (10% by volume), keeping the temperature between 3 and 5°C and stirring for 30 min. The residue was then filtered and dried.

Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometry (FTIR) qualitative studies were performed in a Mattson Genesis II FTIR in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹. The samples were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM-6300, equipped with energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis.

### Results and discussion

**Thermogravimetric analysis**

Figure 1 shows the differential TGA curves (DTG) for the control (Figure 1(a)) and 15% FC3R replacement cement (Figure 1(b)) pastes cured at 20°C for some of the curing times. Four main peaks can be observed. Peak 1 overlapped with peak 2 (100–180°C), corresponding to the dehydration of calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H) and ettringite (AFt). Peak 3 (180–240°C) corresponds to the dehydration of calcium aluminate and aluminosilicate hydrates (C-A-H and C-A-S-H) of different composition. Peak 4 (520–580°C) is due to the dehydroxylation of calcium hydroxide (CH). The DTG curves are comparable within the same graph but are only qualitatively comparable between different graphs because the y-axis (not shown) is not the same. Peak 4 (CH) appears after 12 h of curing; there is a drastic reduction in its intensity for the catalyst paste sample, suggesting the pozzolanic activity of the mineral addition FC3R.

The four main steps in cement hydration can be identified as (Hewlett, 1998):

- the pre-induction period (first few minutes) with a rapid dissolution of ionic species
- initial production of hydrated phases
- C3A hydration yields AFt with contribution of the ferrite phase
- reaction retarded due to the deposition of a layer of hydrated products at the cement grain surface.

The second period is the induction one (first few hours), characterised by a slowing down of the overall hydration rate. The concentration of CH in the liquid phase reaches its maximum and starts to decline. Termination of this stage is mainly due to nucleation of the second-stage C-S-H phase. This gives rise to initiation of the third stage (acceleration, 3–12 hours), controlled by the nucleation and growing of the hydrated products. The concentration of sulfate ions begins to diminish. Finally, the post-acceleration period occurs, producing a gradual slowdown of the hydration rate, being controlled by diffusion.

According to this scheme, it can be concluded that, up until the fourth hour of curing time, the studied pastes are in the induction stage because only one peak appears at 1 h, which is due to the overlapping of the dehydration of C-S-H and AFt and the first dehydration of calcium sulfate dehydrate, which is present in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>LOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>63.69</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC3R</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chemical composition (%)
commercial cement as a setting regulator. The fourth hour of curing time seems to indicate the end of this period as a notable acceleration in hydration rate appears at 12 and 24 h, as evidenced by the strong growth of the peaks belonging to C-S-H (peak 1), Af (peak 2) and hydrated lime (peak 4). With regard to the dehydration of C-A-H and C-A-S-H (peak 3), this peak is more noticeable in the FC3R paste because the spent catalyst provides an extra source of aluminates.

Because one of the objectives of this work was to evaluate the pozzolanic activity of FC3R, the weight loss in stage 4 was used to calculate the percentage of fixed lime as

1.  

\[
\text{Fixed lime (\%)} = \frac{(\text{CH}_C \times C_{\%}) - \text{CH}_P}{(\text{CH}_C \times C_{\%})} \times 100
\]

where \(\text{CH}_C\) is the amount of CH in the control paste for a given curing time, \(\text{CH}_P\) is the amount of CH in the pozzolan-containing paste at the same age and \(C_{\%}\) is the cement fraction of the pozzolan-containing binder (in this case 0.85). Figure 2 shows the evolution of fixed lime with curing time (logarithmic scale; the raw data for this calculation are shown in Table 2).

Figure 2(a) shows the initial period (0–7 days) and Figure 2(b) shows the whole curing time range studied. This distinction was made because there are two main tendencies in lime fixation behaviour – one for the early ages (0–7 days) and another for longer curing times (7–365 days). Knowing that the initial cement hydration is strongly affected by the ettringite formation (which consumes lime) and that its rate is accelerated by the spent catalyst, it is possible to suppose that the behaviour could be different at early and later ages. In Figure 2, the correlation...
The two first curing ages have been omitted because there were no hydrated lime decomposition signals on the TG curves. It is very probable that there was lime as a consequence of cement hydration, but it was probably consumed by the ettringite formation. The global correlation is shown in Figure 2(b). This underestimates the lime fixation at later curing times because the early-age data influence the correlation more strongly, again indicating that lime is consumed at the rate dictated by the ettringite formation. In the 7–365 day period, apart from ettringite formation, the hydrated lime is also consumed in C-S-H, C-A-H and C-A-S-H production. Table 3 lists the correlation parameters of Equation 2. The correlation coefficients of the individual periods are very good, but that obtained for the whole curing period is lower, as expected, since it attempts to correlate different hydration stages. The slope of the second period is about four times the slope of the first one, while the ordinate to the origin of the second period approaches zero. This behaviour suggests that there is no lime fixation at zero time (as expected), but the value of the ordinate for the first period is very high, since it takes in account the high lime fixation at very early ages. Obviously, the correlation of the whole range shows the average behaviour over the individual periods.

### Advance of the pozzolanic reaction

Gomá and Vicente (1999) established a method to obtain the amount of oxides in a hydrated cement paste, as a modification of the ASTM C 1084-97 standard (ASTM, 1997). This method was used to determine the amount of reacted catalyst and therefore to evaluate the advance of the pozzolanic reaction. Under the method conditions, Gomá and Vicente confirmed that silica gel precipitation with the insoluble residue on cold HCl is avoided. The pure spent catalyst was also treated using this method. The result obtained after several tests was that there is a maximum dissolution of spent catalyst of 10%, therefore this fact was taken into account in the calculation of the residue for the FC3R–cement pastes. To ensure that the residue of the cement–FC3R pastes was only spent catalyst, the residue yielded after HCl treatment on a sample cured for 90 days was examined by SEM. Figure 3(a) shows the micrograph obtained after HCl treatment (carbon coated to obtain the optimal conditions for the microanalysis). The silicon oxide and aluminium oxide contents are very similar to those found for spent FC3R. Moreover, it was concluded from this microanalysis that the calcium oxide content was lower than 0.1%. This same residue was analysed by FTIR and the spectrum is shown in Figure 3(b) compared with the FC3R spectrum: both spectra are almost identical. Knowing that

---

### Table 2. Raw data to calculate fixed lime (Equation 1) and the advance reaction (Equation 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, ( T ): °C</th>
<th>Time, ( t ): days</th>
<th>( CH_2(T, t) ): g</th>
<th>( CH_3(T, t) ): g</th>
<th>( P_m(T, t) ): g</th>
<th>( P(T, t) ): g</th>
<th>( \chi(T, t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>ND(^a)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>365</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Not determined
the residue was only spent catalyst, the advance of the pozzolanic reaction can be evaluated as

\[
\text{Advance reaction (\%) } = \frac{\text{FC3R}_x (\text{g})}{\text{FC3R}_0 (\text{g})} \times 100
\]

\[
= \frac{\Psi[P_m(1-\chi)] - (P_t/\phi)}{\Psi[P_m(1-\chi)]} \times 100
\]

where FC3R\(_x\) and FC3R\(_0\) are the amount of spent catalyst reacted and the initial amount respectively, \(P_m\) and \(P_t\) are the amount of analysed sample and the amount of residue after HCl treatment respectively (in grammes), \(\chi\) is the total weight loss calculated from the thermogravimetric curve of the paste (in fraction), \(\phi\) is the amount (as a fraction) of spent catalyst not dissolved with cold HCl treatment, which takes values between 0.9 and 1, and \(\Psi\) is the fraction of cement substitution by pozzolan, which has a unique value of 0.15 in this study.

The advance reaction for the study at 20°C is shown in Table 4 (the raw data for this calculation are shown in Table 2). The

tables of grammes of fixed lime per grammes of initial spent catalyst and reacted catalyst are also listed as a function of curing time. Comparing the advance reaction with the fixed lime (Figure 2), it can be noticed that the former is always higher than the latter, indicating that FC3R is the limiting reactive in these conditions. As mentioned, the factor \(\phi\) in Equation 3 takes into account the spent catalyst that is dissolved in the cold HCl treatment. Knowing that a maximum of 10% is dissolved, but this FC3R fraction is not only the most soluble but is also the most reactive, so both advance reaction values are tabulated for \(\phi = 0.9\) and \(\phi = 1\). The values obtained for the grammes of fixed lime per gramme of reacted catalyst show that, at longer curing times, it is fixing about 1 g of lime for each 2.3 g of catalyst reacted.

### Curing temperature influence

Figure 4 shows the percentage of fixed lime for curing temperatures of 20 and 40°C as a function of curing time. The DTG curves of the 40°C study are not shown since their evolution was similar to the 20°C study (Figure 1) but, obviously, at 40°C the reaction rate was higher. In fact, the main difference was that the lime peak (peak 4 in Figure 1) was observed from 8 h, while at
20°C it needed 12 h to appear. Also, the induction period was not notable, probably due to the high pozzolanic reaction rate. The increase in lime fixation when increasing the curing temperature from 20 to 40°C is remarkable, reaching at 28 days and 40°C the equivalent value of fixed lime of the paste cured for 365 days at 20°C. The gain of lime fixed can be defined as

\[
\text{Gain} (\%) = \left( \frac{\text{Fixed lime}_{40^\circ C} - \text{Fixed lime}_{20^\circ C}}{\text{Fixed lime}_{20^\circ C}} \right) \times 100
\]

where fixed lime (at either 20 or 40°C) is calculated with data from the third and fourth columns of Table 2 as \((\text{CH}_2 \times 0.85) - \text{CH}_P\).

Figure 4 shows that over 1–14 days there is a gain in the range of 210–310%, with a reduction in gain at 28 days most likely due to exhaustion of the spent catalyst or the covering of these particles by hydration products. The percentage of fixed lime at 8 h was very high (25.3%, not shown in Figure 4), while after 7 days’ curing time, it was barely modified (increase of about 4% in 21 days). Using the same model as the 20°C study (Equation 2), the percentage of fixed lime was correlated with the logarithm of curing time for the complete time range of the study

\[
\text{Fixed lime}_{40^\circ C} (\%) = 38.8 + 4.5 \ln t
\]

which has a correlation factor \(R = 0.97\). When comparing this equation with the results obtained for the 20°C study (see Table 3), the order of the slope is the same as that obtained for the complete period (0–365 days), but the correlation factor is much better. This indicates that the paste cured at 40°C is in the acceleration and post-acceleration periods of hydration. On the other hand, the origin ordinate is much higher that the values obtained at 20°C, since this parameter is clearly influenced by the high reactivity in the first few hours of curing.

Table 5 summarises the advance of the pozzolanic reaction obtained with the cold acid treatment. These results are much higher than the values obtained from the 20°C study (Table 4), as expected. For example, at 28 days, the advance at 40°C is approximately double that obtained at 20°C at the same age. In fact, the value obtained after 28 days’ curing at 40°C is similar to that obtained after curing for 1 year at 20°C. The values obtained for the grammes of fixed lime per gramme of initial spent catalyst and reacted catalyst are also shown in Table 5, which follow the same trend as the advance reaction when being compared with the data from the 20°C study (i.e. the 28 day 40°C value (80.03%) is similar to the 1 year 20°C value (84.63%)). The ratio of grammes of fixed lime per gramme of reacted catalyst stays practically constant in the time period 3–28 days (0.43–0.44), being similar to the established range at longer times at 20°C (0.43) (i.e. 2.2–2.3 g of fixed lime per gramme of reacted FC3R).

Table 5. Advance of the pozzolanic reaction and grammes of fixed lime per gramme of added and reacted catalyst; curing temperature 40°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time: days</th>
<th>Advance reaction: %</th>
<th>Fixed lime/FC3R0: g/g ((\phi = 0.9))</th>
<th>Fixed lime/FC3R (x): g/g ((\phi = 1))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>36.51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57.12</td>
<td>61.41</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>55.21</td>
<td>59.69</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>66.82</td>
<td>70.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>66.35</td>
<td>69.71</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>77.81</td>
<td>80.03</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of pozzolan that should be used in Portland cement mixtures to yield the maximum reaction degree.

**Conclusions**

- By means of thermogravimetric techniques, it has been shown that the induction period takes place in the first 4 h of curing and a clear acceleration exists between 12 and 24 h, as evidenced by the growth in C-S-H-ettringite and lime peaks, as well as the appearance of C-A-H and C-A-S-H peaks.
- The percentage of fixed lime at 20°C was correlated with curing time over two periods (0–7 days and 7–365 days) because, at the start of cement hydration, there is significant ettringite production, which consumes lime, as well as an acceleration in cement hydration caused by the catalyst.
- Cold HCl treatment was used to determine the advance reaction of the catalyst, which turned out to be much larger that the percentage of fixed lime, indicating that the spent catalyst in these conditions controls the pozzolanic reaction rate.
- The grammes of fixed lime per gramme of reacted catalyst indicated that approximately one part lime is chemically combined with 2.3 parts of spent catalyst reacted.
- The gain in fixed lime when elevating the curing temperature from 20 to 40°C is in the range of 210–310% at early curing ages (1–14 days). For longer curing times, exhaustion of the spent catalyst or covering of the FC3R particles by hydration products diminishes the reaction rate. The advance of the pozzolanic reaction at 40°C is much higher than at 20°C. The final fixed lime/reacted FC3R ratio was 2.3 for the longest curing times at both temperatures studied.
- The combination of thermogravimetric techniques and cold HCl treatment allows determination of optimal dosage in order to maximise use of this excellent pozzolan.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain (Project MAT 2001–2694). Sergio Velázquez would like to
thank the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT-México).

REFERENCES