

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/43726>

This paper must be cited as:

Gil Ortiz, R.; Boscaiu Neagu, MT.; Lull Noguera, C.; Bautista Carrascosa, I.; Lidón Cerezuela, AL.; Vicente Meana, Ó. (2013). Are soluble carbohydrates ecologically relevant for salt tolerance in halophytes?. *Functional Plant Biology*. 40(9):805-818.
doi:10.1071/FP12359.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP12359>

Copyright CSIRO Publishing

1 **Review:**

2 **Title:** Are soluble carbohydrates ecologically relevant for salt tolerance in halophytes?

3

4 **Running title:** Carbohydrates and salt tolerance in halophytes

5

6 **Authors names and affiliations:**

7 Ricardo Gil^A, Monica Boscaiu^B, Cristina Lull^C, Inmaculada Bautista^C, Antonio Lidón^C, Oscar
8 Vicente^A

9 ^AInstituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (UPV-CSIC), Universitat Politècnica de
10 València, Spain. ovicente@ibmcp.upv.es; rigilor@upvnet.upv.es

11 ^BInstituto Agroforestal Mediterráneo (UPV), Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain.

12 mobosnea@eaf.upv.es

13 ^CReForest Departamento de Ingeniería Hidráulica y Medio Ambiente, Universitat Politècnica
14 de València, Spain. alidon@qim.upv.es; clull@upvnet.upv.es; ibautista@qim.upv.es

15 **Corresponding author:**

16 Oscar Vicente

17 Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas, CPI edificio 8E, Universidad Politécnica
18 de Valencia, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022, Valencia, Spain

19 E-mail: ovicente@ibmcp.upv.es

20 Telephone: +34 96 387 78 78

21 Fax: +34 96 387 78 59

1 **Abstract**

2 The induction of biosynthesis and accumulation of osmolytes, including soluble
3 carbohydrates, is a well-known, general response of plants to high soil salinity: they help
4 maintain cellular osmotic balance under salt stress conditions and act as 'osmoprotectants'
5 with chaperon and/or ROS scavenging activities. Yet the ecological relevance and relative
6 contribution of this response to the salt tolerance mechanisms of halophytes in their natural
7 habitats remain largely unknown. In this review, we describe and discuss published data
8 supporting the participation of compatible solutes in those mechanisms, with especial focus
9 on sugars and polyols. We aim to highlight the complexities to unequivocally attribute to
10 carbohydrates a biological role in salt tolerance mechanisms of a given tolerant species. These
11 problems derive from their additional cellular functions (components of primary metabolism,
12 major energy sources and signalling molecules), the difficulties to generalise the results of
13 particular experiments and to compare independently published results, and the scarcity of
14 field studies. As an extension and complement of more common experimental approaches –
15 mostly based on salt treatments of glycophytic models under controlled (but artificial)
16 conditions in laboratory set-ups – we propose to intensify research on halophytes in their
17 natural ecosystems, correlating seasonal changes in soluble carbohydrates contents with the
18 degree of environmental stress affecting the plants, as well as performing comparative
19 analyses in closely related species with different levels of salt resistance. We believe that this
20 strategy will provide novel information that will help to answer the question put forward in
21 the title.

22

23 **Additional keywords:** abiotic stress, environmental stress, metabolomics, saline habitats,
24 stress tolerance.

25

26 **Introduction: Plant responses to salt stress**

27 High soil salinity is, together with drought, one of the most important environmental
28 stress factors that reduces crop productivity in agriculture and limits plant distribution in
29 nature (Boyer 1982, Hasegawa *et al.* 2000, Bartels and Sunkar 2005, Watson and Byrne
30 2009). The deleterious effects of salinity for plants are well-known, and are the result of the
31 two components of salt stress: osmotic stress and ionic toxicity. High salt concentrations in
32 the soil solution, by lowering the water potential, cause hyperosmotic shock at the cellular

1 level, with reduced turgor and cell expansion; a sufficiently low water potential in the
2 apoplast can lead to cell dehydration. This effect is not specific for salt stress: other
3 environmental conditions, such as drought, cold, too high temperatures or presence of heavy
4 metals in soil, also cause osmotic stress in plant cells (Munns and Termaat 1986, Zhu 2002,
5 Wahid *et al.* 2007, Thapa *et al.* 2012, Theocharis *et al.* 2012). Salt stress affecting plants is
6 mostly caused by sodium chloride, by far the most abundant salt in the soil solution, and
7 absorbed Na^+ (and also Cl^-) ions are toxic at relatively low concentrations. They inhibit many
8 enzymatic activities and basic cellular processes, such as pre-mRNA processing or protein
9 synthesis, and can directly inactivate proteins and macromolecular structures by interfering
10 with the ionic interactions that maintain their functional conformations (Forment *et al.* 2002,
11 Munns and Tester 2008, Kronzucker and Britto 2011). In addition to these direct, osmotic and
12 toxic effects, excess salt in soil affects plant mineral nutrition by inhibiting the uptake of
13 essential nutrients, such as K^+ and Ca^{2+} (Ashraf 2004, Shabala and Cuin 2007). Finally, and
14 like other stress conditions, high salinity also causes as a secondary effect the generation of
15 ‘reactive oxygen species’ (ROS); that is, oxidative stress (Halliwell 2006).

16 Plants have evolved a series of mechanisms that activate in the presence of salt to
17 counteract the above-described harmful effects of NaCl exposure. Intensive research over the
18 last four decades, prompted by the adverse consequences of soil salinity for agriculture – but
19 also by the academic interest of this topic – has allowed to elucidate some of these basic,
20 conserved physiological and biochemical mechanisms of response to salt stress, which are
21 mainly based on: *i*) the control of ion transport and ion homeostasis, and the maintenance of
22 cellular osmotic balance, including the compartmentalisation of toxic ions in the vacuole and
23 the synthesis and accumulation of compatible solutes or osmolytes – proline, glycine betaine,
24 sugars or polyols – in the cytosol; *ii*) the synthesis of specific ‘protective’ proteins, such as
25 heat shock proteins, LEA proteins, osmotine, etc.; and *iii*) the activation of chemical – e.g.,
26 flavonoids and other phenolic compounds, vitamins C and E, carotenoids or GSH – and
27 enzymatic – e.g., superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione reductase or several peroxidases
28 – antioxidant systems. Although we specifically refer herein to salt stress, it should be noted
29 that most of these responses are also triggered by all other abiotic stress conditions causing
30 cellular dehydration such as drought, cold or high temperatures (Zhu 2001, Vinocur and
31 Altman 2005, Hussain *et al.* 2008, Flowers and Colmer 2008, Türkan and Demiral 2009,
32 Szabados *et al.* 2011).

1 There is considerable evidence that all plants, both salt-tolerant and sensitive, utilise
2 the mechanisms outlined above to respond to salt stress (Bartels and Sunkar 2005, Parida and
3 Das 2005, Hussain *et al.* 2008), and it is generally assumed that salt tolerance largely depends
4 on these responses (Glenn *et al.* 1999, Ashraf and Harris 2004, Munns and Tester 2008,
5 Flowers and Colmer 2008). However, there is some confusion in the literature as to the
6 concepts of *mechanisms of response to salt stress* and *mechanisms of salt tolerance*, which
7 are often considered equivalent. The truth is that most wild plants and all crops are
8 glycophytes; that is, salt-sensitive: they are unable to survive when soil salinity exceeds a
9 certain threshold value, which differs for distinct species, but is relatively low. This means
10 that activation of the salt stress response pathways described above does not generally lead to
11 salt tolerance. Somewhat surprisingly, the vast majority of the studies dealing with salt stress
12 responses / salt tolerance mechanisms have been carried out using glycophytes, mostly
13 *Arabidopsis thaliana* – this being the established model in plant molecular biology research –
14 or, to a lesser extent, crops like tobacco, tomato, maize or rice. Physiological, biochemical
15 and molecular responses to saline stress in glycophytes have been extensively investigated
16 and reviewed (e.g., Zhu 2001, Bartels and Sunkar 2005, Munns and Tester 2008, Horie *et al.*
17 2012). Comparatively much less effort has been invested to study the small percentage of
18 angiosperm species (~ 0.25%, Flowers *et al.* 2010) that are really salt-tolerant – the
19 halophytes – which *a priori* would seem more appropriate models for this kind of studies.
20 Halophytes have been defined as plants specific for natural saline environments, which are
21 able to complete their life cycles in habitats with a level of salinity of at least 200 mM NaCl
22 in soil (Flowers *et al.* 1986, Flowers and Colmer 2008). Nevertheless, many of them can
23 survive salt concentrations equivalent to that of sea water (ca. 500 mM NaCl), or even higher.
24 Of course, this soil salinity threshold is somewhat arbitrary since salt sensitivity continuously
25 varies in plants from typical glycophytic species to extreme halophytes, and there will be
26 always some ‘borderline’ taxa that are difficult to classify according to the above criterion.
27 However, this operational definition is convenient since it excludes most angiosperm species,
28 which will not survive under those conditions. It also seems appropriate to apply the ‘salt
29 tolerance’ concept, *sensu stricto*, only to halophytes, while it would be correct to consider
30 *relative* levels of salt resistance when comparing different glycophytes, or even different
31 cultivars of the same crop species (Grigore *et al.* 2011).

32 Many halophytes have developed a wide array of anatomical or ecophysiological
33 modifications, often constitutive, but sometimes also salt-induced, as a defence against high

1 soil salinity. They include the presence of salt glands or salt bladders, reduction of leaf area,
2 tissue lignification, increased succulence, or specific photosynthetic adaptations such as the
3 'kranz anatomy', a cellular structure characteristic of most C₄ plants. Apart from these
4 adaptations, which may contribute significantly to the salt tolerance of particular species, all
5 halophytes respond to salt stress by activating the same pathways used by glycophytes, as
6 mentioned before. For example, plants of the genus *Limonium* have characteristic salt glands
7 which help them get rid of absorbed sodium chloride (Hill and Hill 1973), while other
8 halophytes – e.g., *Atriplex lentiformis* – switch photosynthesis from the C₃ to the C₄ pathway
9 in response to increased external salinity (Zhu and Meinzer 1999). In addition, however,
10 general responses, such as accumulation of compatible osmolytes, have also been described in
11 species of both genera (Briens and Larher 1982, Tipirdamaz *et al.* 2006).

12 Obviously, there are differences in responses to salinity stress between halophytes and
13 glycophytes, as indicated by the very fact that the former are salt-tolerant, while the latter are
14 not. Yet such differences must be quantitative in nature rather than qualitative. In other words,
15 responses to salt stress are more efficient in halophytes than in glycophytes, although in both
16 cases they may share the same molecular basis (Borsani *et al.* 2003, Pang *et al.* 2010).

17 Independently of the type of plants investigated, sensitive or tolerant, practically all
18 studies on the mechanisms of defence against high salinity have been performed by applying
19 diverse salt stress treatments to the plant material (in general, NaCl at different concentrations
20 and/or for different times) under controlled conditions in either the laboratory or the
21 greenhouse. The 'response' of plants is then assessed by determining salt-induced changes in
22 different parameters (growth measurements, photosynthetic activity, levels of specific
23 metabolites or proteins, enzymatic activities, expression patterns of specific genes, etc.) as
24 compared to the non-treated controls. Although this experimental approach has provided
25 valuable information on plant responses to salt stress, it is certainly not clear whether an
26 ecological meaning can be ascribed to the laboratory results obtained under artificial
27 conditions, which differ so much from those of plants in their natural habitats (for a more
28 extensive discussion and examples of the limitations of laboratory experiments as compared
29 to fieldwork, see Grigore *et al.* 2011).

30 In short, we presently have sound knowledge of the different mechanisms used by
31 plants to respond to salt stress but, despite the intensive research on this topic carried out over
32 recent decades, the biological/ecological relevance of these response pathways and their
33 relative contribution to salt tolerance mechanisms in a given tolerant species remain largely

1 unknown. In our opinion, this is partly due to the experimental approaches commonly used,
2 which have led these studies to focus almost exclusively on salt-sensitive species instead of
3 on halophytes, and also on work in laboratory set-ups instead of fieldwork in the natural
4 habitats of plants. We believe that complementary strategies, which analyse the behaviour of
5 halophytes in nature, will help to elucidate the physiological and biochemical mechanisms
6 that are ecologically relevant for salt tolerance.

7 Many reviews have been published over the last few years, which have dealt with the
8 responses of plants to high soil salinity and/or to other environmental stress conditions and
9 salt tolerance mechanisms, either in general or with emphasis placed on particular aspects
10 (e.g., Flowers and Colmer 2008, Munns and Tester 2008, Türkan and Demiral 2009, Jamil *et al.*
11 *al.* 2011, Zhang *et al.* 2012). This review centres on one specific mechanism which, as
12 discussed below, appears to largely contribute to salt tolerance in halophytes: the synthesis
13 and accumulation of compatible solutes under salt stress conditions. As the presence and
14 possible functions of nitrogen-containing osmolytes (proline, glycine betaine) have been
15 generally studied in more detail, and have been the object of recent reviews (Ashraf and
16 Foolad 2007, Chen and Murata 2008, Szabados and Saviouré 2010, Grigore *et al.* 2011), we
17 focus specifically on the roles of soluble carbohydrates (sugars and polyols) as osmolytes in
18 salt-tolerant plants. Following the ideas outlined in the previous paragraphs, we aim to
19 comment on published data that support, or not, the possible participation of this type of
20 osmolytes in halophyte responses to salt, and to mainly highlight problems to unequivocally
21 attribute a biological role to soluble carbohydrates in the salt tolerance mechanisms of a
22 particular species, problems deriving from their additional functions as components of
23 primary metabolism and signalling molecules, difficulties to generalise the results of
24 particular experiments and to compare independently published results, and scarcity of field
25 studies.

26

27 **Functions of osmolytes in salt tolerance mechanisms**

28 *Osmolytes in osmotic adjustment: the ion compartmentalisation hypothesis*

29 Salt tolerance seems to be largely dependent on halophytes' capacity to transport the
30 Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions absorbed by roots to plant's aerial parts. Since these ions are toxic at
31 relatively low concentrations and cannot accumulate in the cytoplasm, it has been proposed
32 that they are sequestered in vacuoles, thus avoiding their deleterious cellular effects; osmotic

1 adjustment under salt stress conditions requires the synthesis and accumulation of osmolytes
2 in the cytoplasm (Flowers *et al.* 1977, Wyn Jones *et al.* 1977, Glenn *et al.* 1999). Osmolytes
3 are very soluble, low-molecular-weight organic compounds, which are considered
4 ‘compatible’ solutes since they do not interfere with normal metabolism, even at high
5 concentrations. Osmolytes are quite diverse from the chemical point of view, but the most
6 common can be classified into two groups of compounds; firstly, zwitterionic alkylamines,
7 such as amino acids (e.g., proline) and quaternary ammonium compounds (e.g., glycine
8 betaine) (Ashraf and Foolad 2007, Verbruggen and Hermans 2008, Chen and Murata 2011);
9 secondly, polyhydroxylic compounds: soluble carbohydrates such as sugars (sucrose, glucose,
10 fructose, trehalose, etc.), polyols or sugar alcohols (sorbitol, mannitol, pinitol, inositol, etc.)
11 and the raffinose family of oligosaccharides (RFO’s, e.g., stachyose and raffinose) (Parida *et*
12 *al.* 2002, Gavaghan *et al.* 2011). Other less common osmolytes include, for example, tertiary
13 sulphonium substances such as DMSP (dimethylsulphoniopropionate) or ectoine (1,4,5,6-
14 tetrahydro-2-methyl-4-carboxypyrimidine) (Ashraf and Harris 2004, Moghaieb *et al.* 2006,
15 Lyon *et al.* 2011).

16 Synthesis and accumulation of osmolytes is by no means a characteristic of
17 halophytes, but a general response of all organisms to any environmental condition leading to
18 cellular dehydration (Yancey *et al.* 1982, Burg *et al.* 1996, Yancey 2005). Therefore,
19 glycophytes also synthesise osmolytes when soil salinity increases, but it appears that they do
20 not possess highly efficient mechanisms to transport toxic Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions into the vacuole,
21 and that their – limited – resistance to salt stress is mostly dependent on the exclusion of salt
22 at the root level (Munns and Tester 2008, Zhang *et al.* 2010, Kronzucker and Britto, 2011).

23 It is generally accepted that osmolytes are major contributors to maintaining the
24 cellular osmotic balance under high salinity conditions; indeed, there are many reports on the
25 accumulation of these compounds at relatively high cellular concentrations in different salt-
26 tolerant plants (Parida and Das 2005, Flowers and Colmer 2008, and references therein).
27 However, this may not always be the case as the concentration of organic, compatible solutes
28 has been found to be much lower than that of inorganic ions – which would therefore be more
29 important for osmotic adjustment – upon NaCl treatments of several halophytes; for example,
30 in vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides*, Zhou and Yu 2009), quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*,
31 Hariadi *et al.* 2011) or *Limonium latifolium* (Gagneul *et al.* 2007). Moreover, some results
32 also suggest that osmolyte biosynthesis is only partially induced by salt because a large
33 fraction of these compounds can already be stored in the cell before NaCl treatment; in *L.*

1 *latifolium*, application of salt stress slightly increased the contents of some compatible solutes,
2 but also caused their redistribution between subcellular compartments (Gagneul *et al.* 2007).
3 These data do not invalidate the hypothesis of compartmentalisation of toxic ions (Na^+ and Cl^-
4) in the vacuole and preferential accumulation of organic osmolytes – together with K^+ , the
5 non-toxic, physiological cation – in the cytosol, but call for more in-depth studies on the
6 dynamics of the subcellular localisation of the different solutes contributing to osmotic
7 adjustment under stress conditions.

8

9 *Osmolytes as 'osmoprotectants'*

10 Accumulation of compatible solutes in plants, in parallel with increased external
11 salinity, has suggested, without demonstrating, a possible role of these compounds in salt
12 tolerance mechanisms. Functional analyses could be carried out after identifying and cloning
13 the genes responsible for the biosynthesis and catabolism of common osmolytes in different
14 plant (and bacterial) species, which allows to manipulate their metabolism in transgenic plants
15 to increase osmolytes' intracellular concentrations. Searching for an improvement of salt
16 tolerance, several plant species – mostly *Arabidopsis thaliana* and tobacco, but there are also
17 some examples with *Brassica napus* or rice – have been transformed with the appropriate
18 genes. Indeed, enhanced resistance to high salinity – and/or to other abiotic stress conditions –
19 has been generally observed, even though improvements were quite variable and often
20 relatively modest (see, for example: Chen and Murata 2002, Borsani *et al.* 2003, Szabados *et*
21 *al.* 2011, and references therein). These experiments, however, have challenged the 'classical'
22 and accepted view that the primary role of compatible solutes is their contribution to osmotic
23 adjustment: in many cases, either the concentration of the particular osmolyte in the
24 transgenic plant was too low to possibly have any osmotic effect or there was no direct
25 correlation between the increase in the levels of osmolytes and the stress tolerance
26 improvements observed.

27 At present, it is clear that organic osmolytes play additional functional roles as
28 'osmoprotectants' in salt tolerance mechanisms (Ashraf and Foolad 2007, Iturriaga *et al.*
29 2009, Khan *et al.* 2010). Cellular dehydration, high ion concentrations and other stress
30 conditions cause protein denaturation, and osmolytes may prevent it by helping to maintain
31 the proper folding of proteins, acting as 'low-molecular-weight chaperons'. They can also
32 interact directly with, and stabilise, multiprotein complexes, membranes and other cellular

1 structures that are inactivated by stress (Singer and Lindquist 1998, Ignatova and Gierasch
2 2007, Holthauzen *et al.* 2011). There is substantial evidence to suggest that organic osmolytes
3 also protect the cell against oxidative stress either as direct scavengers of ROS or by
4 stabilising the antioxidant enzymes responsible for ROS elimination (Smirnoff and Cumbes
5 1989, Ashraf and Harris 2004, Jithesh *et al.* 2006). These compounds also constitute
6 molecules for storage in the cell of C and/or N – and energy – to be used by the plant after
7 stress conditions cease. Moreover, roles like signalling molecules involved in the regulation
8 of gene expression and metabolic processes – which could be important for adaptation to or
9 recovery from stress – have also been proposed for some osmolytes, such as proline
10 (Szabados and Savoure 2010) or trehalose (Paul *et al.* 2008). Without doubt, this spells even
11 more complexity for the possible functions of these compounds.

12 All these data reinforce the importance of osmolytes for salt tolerance, but they also
13 complicate the analysis of the underlying mechanisms as their different functions cannot be
14 easily separated. In any case, given these additional protective roles, osmolytes can
15 significantly contribute to salt tolerance mechanisms even if, upon activation of their
16 synthesis by salt treatments, they do not reach sufficiently high intracellular concentrations to
17 have any substantial effect on osmotic adjustment.

18

19 *Soluble carbohydrates as osmolytes in salt tolerance mechanisms*

20 Many compatible solutes are secondary metabolites that are usually present in plant
21 tissues at very low concentrations until their synthesis is activated under stress conditions.
22 Accumulation of these compounds in response to high soil salinity or other abiotic stresses is,
23 in fact, one of the criteria to define them as ‘osmolytes’. Nonetheless, the possibility in some
24 cases that osmolytes are already present at significant levels in the absence of stress cannot be
25 ruled out (see above). The situation is completely different for soluble sugars, such as sucrose,
26 glucose or fructose. Direct products of photosynthesis and components of primary
27 metabolism, sugars play several key roles in the cell: major energy sources, precursors of
28 metabolic compounds and signalling molecules. Therefore, the intracellular concentrations of
29 sugars must be regulated by complex mechanisms that control metabolic fluxes and signalling
30 pathways, which makes it very difficult to assign them specific functions in the responses to
31 salt stress. For example, an increase in the levels of, say, sucrose, in parallel to increasing
32 external salinity might not be a primary response to salt stress, but the result of the

1 reactivation of photosynthesis brought about by the activation of other defence mechanisms.
2 In any case, if sugar contents reach significantly high levels, they will contribute to maintain
3 cellular osmotic balance, and therefore to salt tolerance, irrespectively of why and how they
4 accumulate in the cell. However, the presence of soluble carbohydrates at lower
5 concentrations does not exclude a functional role in salt tolerance, mediated by their possible
6 chaperon or ROS scavenging activities.

7 Bearing in mind this added complexity, there is still much evidence for the
8 contribution of soluble carbohydrates to salt tolerance mechanisms in halophytes, as described
9 for other types of compatible solutes. Sugars and polyols have been detected, at relatively
10 high concentrations, in many halophytic taxa from different types of saline habitats. Many
11 genes involved in the biosynthesis of soluble carbohydrates have been shown to be
12 transcriptionally activated by salt and/or other abiotic stresses – although most of these data
13 derive from experiments performed with salt-sensitive species. Some functional studies have
14 also been done in transgenic plants in which an increased content of specific sugars or
15 polyalcohols, by the overexpression of the appropriate genes, results in an enhancement of
16 stress resistance – once again, using genes isolated generally from glycophytic plants and
17 always transforming glycophytic model species, mostly *A. thaliana*, but also some crops.
18 Accumulation of soluble carbohydrates has been determined in many different halophytes
19 subjected to salt treatments under controlled laboratory or greenhouse conditions. There are
20 also some – very few – field studies in which spatial or seasonal changes in carbohydrate
21 contents have been measured and correlated with the degree of environmental stress of plants
22 in their natural habitats.

23 In the following sections, some results of these studies are described and discussed,
24 and those data supporting a functional role of soluble carbohydrates in salt tolerance are
25 highlighted. Finally, details of the limitations and drawbacks of the experimental approaches
26 commonly used to investigate these mechanisms are provided.

27

28 **Detection and quantification of soluble carbohydrates in halophytic taxa**

29 There are many published reports describing the chemical composition of different
30 halophytes, specifically regarding quantitative analyses of inorganic and organic solutes –
31 ions and compatible osmolytes – used by plants for osmotic adjustment in their natural saline
32 habitats. These studies also attempted to establish whether particular osmolytes are

1 exclusively or predominantly present in specific plant families and/or genera, and could be
2 used as taxonomic criteria; halophytic taxa are widely distributed among angiosperms, and a
3 correlation between the type of osmolyte used by different species and their taxonomic
4 classification has been suggested. In the following paragraphs, we describe and comment on
5 some early studies in which osmolyte contents were determined, in each case with a number
6 of halophytes growing in the same habitat, thus allowing a comparison of the patterns
7 obtained under the same environmental conditions in different species. A selection of these
8 and other experimental data is shown in Table S1 (Supplementary Material), which includes
9 only contents of sugars and polyols determined in different halophytes, but not the
10 quantification of other osmolytes reported in the same references.

11

12 *Monocotyledonous halophytes*

13 In general, salt tolerance in monocotyledonous halophytes, when compared to their
14 dicotyledonous counterparts, appears to be more dependent on the restriction of entry of
15 inorganic ions into cells, the maintenance of higher cellular K^+/Na^+ ratios, and the preferential
16 accumulation of soluble carbohydrates as osmotica (Albert and Popp 1978, Choo and Albert
17 1999, Gorham *et al.* 1980, Briens and Larher 1982). In most analysed species, sucrose was the
18 sugar detected at higher cellular concentrations, although with extremely variable absolute
19 values determined in different taxa, or even measured in the same taxon by different
20 laboratories. Sucrose often represents more than 50% of total soluble sugars – sometimes
21 even more than 80% – as described by Gorham *et al.* (1980) in *Carex extensa*, *C. arendaria*,
22 *C. punctata*, *Scirpus maritimus*, *Juncus gerardii* or *J. maritimus*, or by Briens and Larher
23 (1982) also in *Juncus maritimus* and *Scirpus maritimus*, as well as in *Phragmites communis*,
24 *Agropyron pungens*, *Puccinellia maritima* or *Triglochin maritima*. Sometimes, however,
25 other sugars such as glucose and/or fructose are detected at higher concentrations than
26 sucrose, as found in *Puccinellia maritima*, *Agropyron pungens* and *Triglochin maritima* by
27 Gorham *et al.* (1980), or in *Juncus gerardii* by Albert and Popp (1978). These results indicate
28 that not only quantitative differences in the contents of specific carbohydrates have been
29 observed for the same species, but also the relative patterns of accumulation of different
30 sugars can be quite different. For example, in the leaves of *Agropyron pungens* collected from
31 a salt marsh, Gorham *et al.* (1980) measured ca. 4-fold higher glucose contents than sucrose
32 contents, while in the same species and in a similar sampling environment, a different salt
33 marsh, Briens and Larher (1982) determined the presence of twice the levels of sucrose than

1 glucose. Similar discrepancies as to other species (*Puccinellia maritima*, *Triglochin maritima*)
 2 are shown in these two reports. Apart from sucrose, glucose and fructose, other
 3 carbohydrates, such as the polyols pinitol or inositol, have been detected in some species, but
 4 they generally represent a minor contribution to the pool of total soluble carbohydrates
 5 (Gorham *et al.* 1980). It is also important to note that osmolyte contents can vary vastly in
 6 different plant organs; in those cases in which they have been analysed independently – quite
 7 often only leaf material is used for these measurements – generally higher levels of soluble
 8 carbohydrates have been found in roots, rhizomes or stems than in leaves; e.g., in *Juncus*
 9 *maritimus*, *Phragmites communis*, *Spartina townsendii* or *Triglochin maritime* (Briens and
 10 Larher, 1982). Yet there are also many species which do not follow this general trend, but
 11 present higher sugar contents in leaves, as shown by the same authors.

12 Despite the general preference for using carbohydrates as osmolytes, some monocots
 13 also accumulate other compatible solutes, such as proline, at even higher levels than those of
 14 total soluble sugars, as reported in *Triglochin maritima* (Briens and Larher 1982). In a more
 15 recent study, which does not include data on carbohydrates, Tipirdamaz *et al.* (2006) found
 16 high proline contents in several of the studied monocotyledonous halophytes, belonging to the
 17 families Cyperaceae (e.g., *Bolboschoenus maritimus*, *Cladium mariscus*), Poaceae (e.g.,
 18 *Aeluropus littoralis*, *Polypogon monspeliensis*, *Puccinellia convoluta*, *P. distans*, and *P.*
 19 *koeieana*), and Liliaceae (e.g., *Allium atroviolaceum*).

20

21 *Dicotyledonous halophytes*

22 As opposed to monocots, dicotyledonous salt-tolerant plants usually show lower
 23 cellular K^+/Na^+ ratios, appear to be more efficient in storing toxic ions (Na^+ and Cl^-) at high
 24 concentrations in vacuoles, and maintain an osmotic balance by accumulating different types
 25 of osmolytes – amino acids, quaternary amines and/or soluble carbohydrates – in the
 26 cytoplasm (Albert and Popp 1978, Gorham *et al.* 1980, Briens and Larher 1982). Yet sugars
 27 seem less important for salt tolerance in dicots than in monocots. In line with this notion, it is
 28 frequent to find low levels of soluble carbohydrates in many species, especially in leaves, e.g.,
 29 in *Camphorosma annua*, *Chenopodium glaucum* or *Lepidium crassifolium* (Albert and Popp
 30 1978). However, as mentioned above for monocots, roots or stems can contain much higher
 31 levels of osmolytes than leaves; for example, sucrose contents below 100 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ dry matter
 32 have been determined in the leaves of *Beta maritima*, *Halimione portulacoides* and *Limonium*

1 *vulgare*, whereas in the roots of the same species, values of 1290, 655 and 966 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ dry
2 matter, respectively, have been obtained (Briens and Larher 1982).

3 Regardless of the presence or not of high concentrations of soluble sugars,
4 dicotyledonous halophytes usually accumulate amino acids and quaternary ammonium
5 compounds (e.g., proline and glycine betaine) under high salinity conditions, with some
6 general trends observed in different families; for example, relatively high levels of amino
7 acids, methylated onium and/or quaternary ammonium compounds have been detected in
8 Amaranthaceae species (Gorham *et al.* 1980, Briens and Larher 1982, Tipirdamaz *et al.*
9 2006). Based on measurements taken in a large number of halophytes, it is generally assumed
10 that species which behave as glycine betaine accumulators are poor proline accumulators, and
11 *vice versa*. However, there are many exceptions to this rule: even within the same genus, there
12 are proline accumulators and glycine betaine accumulators, but also species containing similar
13 levels of both osmolytes (e.g., Tipirdamaz *et al.* 2006).

14 Unlike monocots, many halophytic dicots, particularly species living in mangrove
15 habitats, contain relatively high levels of polyols. In most cases, mannitol, pinitol and inositol
16 have been the most frequently detected compounds, while nitrogen-containing osmolytes
17 usually accumulate at lower concentrations. Popp (1984) found pinitol to be the preferential
18 osmolyte in the leaves of several Rhizophoraceae species (*Bruguiera exaristata*, *B.*
19 *gymnorhiza*, *Ceriops tagal*, *Rhizophora apiculata*, *R. lamarckii*, *R. stylosa*) and mannitol in
20 *Aegiceras corniculatum*, *Lumnitzera racemosa*, *Sonneratia alba*, and *Scyphiphora*
21 *hydrophylacea*. Relatively high contents of several isomeric forms of inositol have been
22 detected in different organs, such as twigs, roots or leaves, in *Rhizophora stylosa*, *Aegialitis*
23 *annulata*, or *Melaleuca hyperacifolia* (Popp 1984, Popp and Polania 1989). Quebrachitol, an
24 unusual polyol, has also been detected in *Excoecaria agallocha* (Popp 1984). Yet some
25 exceptions have been reported in species belonging to the families Meliaceae (*Melia*
26 *azedarach* and *Xylocarpus granatum*) and Picrodendraceae (*Micrantheum hexandrum*), in
27 which significant concentrations of sucrose and reducing sugars (glucose and fructose) have
28 been measured (Popp 1984).

29 To summarise, some general trends have been observed regarding differences in the
30 types of solutes used by different halophytes for osmotic adjustment, mostly between mono-
31 and dicotyledonous species. Yet these generalisations should be considered with caution since
32 many exceptions have also been reported. What the above-mentioned results – and those
33 included in Table S1 – clearly indicate is that a pattern of specific osmolytes accumulating in

1 particular plant genera or families cannot be established, thus ruling out the possibility of their
2 use for taxonomic classification. The only exception seems to be the Plantaginaceae family
3 or, at least, the genus *Plantago*: in all the investigated species of the genus, the sugar-alcohol
4 sorbitol has been identified as the physiological osmolyte (e.g., Ahmad *et al.* 1979,
5 Königshofer 1983, Gil *et al.* 2011). These data are in line with the idea that salt tolerance has
6 appeared independently several times during angiosperm evolution (Flowers *et al.* 2010).

7 It is also evident the large qualitative and quantitative variability in osmolyte contents
8 reported for different species – including related taxa of the same genus – and even for the
9 same species, as reported by different laboratories. In our opinion, this variability is mostly
10 due to the fact that the published data have been obtained from single samplings of plant
11 material under specific environmental conditions, which differed in each particular study. In
12 their natural habitats, halophytes are subjected to variable degrees of abiotic stress; for
13 example, to short-term or seasonal changes in temperature, soil salinity or humidity, which
14 affect osmolyte contents. Nonetheless, very few studies have aimed to determine *changes* in
15 the levels of osmolytes in relation to plants' environmental conditions (see below). In any
16 case, it is practically impossible to compare the huge amount of data published independently,
17 which limits the informative value of all the experimental work described herein.

18

19 **Functional analysis of soluble carbohydrates roles in salt stress responses**

20 The enhancement of salt resistance of transgenic plants, when increasing the
21 concentrations of different osmolytes by the overexpression of the enzymes involved in their
22 biosynthesis, has provided valuable information on the protective roles of compatible solutes
23 against salt stress, as discussed before. This functional approach is especially important in the
24 case of soluble carbohydrates – sugars and polyols – because of their multiple metabolic and
25 regulatory functions, which make it difficult to establish cause-effect relationships between
26 salt treatments and changes in their intracellular levels. Nevertheless, alterations in the
27 cellular contents of major sugars (sucrose, glucose or fructose) are expected to affect primary
28 metabolism and have pleiotropic effects that could mask their possible roles as
29 osmoprotectants. In fact, not many attempts have been made to modify the levels of these
30 common sugars to improve stress resistance in transgenic plants. Despite this, we know that
31 the possibility exists, as shown by Fukushima *et al.* (2001), who expressed a yeast invertase in

1 the apoplast of transgenic tobacco; improved salt tolerance was observed in the GM plants,
2 apparently due to the maintenance of high photosynthetic activity in the presence of salt.

3 Most experiments done in order to modify intracellular sugar contents have focused on
4 the disaccharide trehalose. The presence of trehalose is not very common in plants (Ingram
5 and Bartels 1996). Initially, it was described only in plants tolerant to desiccation, although
6 more recently its accumulation under different abiotic stress conditions has been reported in
7 other species (Fernandez *et al.* 2010, Deyanira *et al.* 2012). Several transgenic plants,
8 transformed with trehalose biosynthetic genes, have been generated to investigate the
9 function(s) of this sugar in stress responses. For example, the yeast trehalose-6-phosphate
10 synthase gene (TPS1) has been expressed in tobacco (Holmström *et al.* 1996, Romero *et al.*
11 1997) and potato (Yeo *et al.* 2000), whereas the fused *E. coli* genes *otsA*, encoding the same
12 synthase activity, and *otsB*, coding for trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase, have been used to
13 transform tobacco (Pilon-Smits *et al.* 1998) and rice (Garg *et al.* 2002). Increased trehalose
14 contents in these transgenic lines correlated with improved resistance to drought, cold and/or
15 high salinity; however, in general, trehalose levels remained too low to have any significant
16 effect on osmoregulatory mechanisms. In addition, the constitutive expression of these genes
17 generally caused multiple phenotypic alterations, including reduced growth and several
18 developmental abnormalities, which were avoided in later experiments by the expression of
19 the trehalose biosynthetic genes under the control of stress-induced promoters (e.g., Karim *et al.*
20 2007). Taken together, these results support a functional role for trehalose in salt stress
21 resistance, which is probably not related to osmotic adjustment, but acts as a protective
22 compound under cellular dehydration conditions, with chaperon and/or ROS scavenging
23 activities. Not only do they suggested additional functions of trehalose as a signalling
24 molecule involved in metabolic regulation, but also showed the need to tightly control its
25 accumulation in transgenic plants to avoid the undesired side effects of altering carbohydrate
26 metabolism.

27 Those side effects and developmental abnormalities were not observed when
28 tampering with the intracellular levels of several sugar alcohols in transgenic plants. In fact,
29 one of the first experiments to support a functional role of soluble carbohydrates – and of
30 osmolytes, in general – in salinity tolerance mechanisms was the expression in transgenic
31 *Nicotiana tabacum* plants of the *E. coli mt1D* gene, encoding mannitol-1-phosphate
32 dehydrogenase, which led to increased levels of mannitol and improved salt tolerance, as
33 compared to the non-transformed controls (Tarczynski *et al.* 1992, 1993). As mentioned

1 before for trehalose, later work revealed that mannitol levels in transformed tobacco were too
2 low to explain the observed enhancement of salt resistance based exclusively on osmotic
3 adjustment, and an antioxidant function was proposed for this compound (Karakas *et al.*
4 1997). Similar results have been obtained through the expression of the same bacterial gene in
5 other species, such as wheat (Abebe *et al.* 2003), *Pinus radiata* (Tang *et al.* 2005) or *Populus*
6 *tomentosa* (Hu *et al.* 2005). In contrast, *A. thaliana* plants transformed with *mt1D* did not
7 tolerate prolonged salt treatments, although their seeds were able to germinate in the presence
8 of salt concentrations inhibitory for wild-type seeds (Thomas *et al.* 1995). As an alternative to
9 the bacterial gene, Zhifang and Loescher (2003) engineered mannitol production in
10 *Arabidopsis* by expression of the mannose-6-phosphate reductase gene isolated from celery
11 under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter; the transformed adult plants presented
12 substantially enhanced salt tolerance as they were able to complete their life cycle and to
13 produce seeds in the presence of salt concentrations as high as 300 mM NaCl.

14 Phosphorylated derivatives of *myo*-inositol are essential signalling molecules in plants
15 – in all eukaryotic organisms, actually – and are involved in multiple regulatory networks
16 controlling plant development, metabolism and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses (e.g.,
17 Gillaspay 2011). *Myo*-inositol itself and methylated forms such as D-pinitol and D-ononitol are
18 polyalcohols which, like mannitol, may play roles as osmolytes and osmoprotectants in
19 plants, as suggested by the functional analyses of transgenic plants. For example, tobacco was
20 transformed with the gene for *myo*-inositol-1-phosphate synthase (MIPS), the first enzyme in
21 the biosynthetic pathway of *myo*-inositol from D-glucose-1-phosphate, isolated from
22 *Porteresia coarctata*, a salt-tolerant species related to cultivated rice; transgenic plants
23 showed *myo*-inositol accumulation in parallel with enhanced salt tolerance (Majee *et al.*
24 2004). The same improved salt resistance phenotype was observed upon the expression of this
25 gene in rice (Das-Chatterjee *et al.* 2006). Similarly, overexpression in tobacco of the *imt1*
26 gene – encoding *myo*-inositol *O*-methyltransferase – from the ice plant, *Mesembryanthemum*
27 *crystallinum*, which led to accumulation of D-ononitol, also increased salt tolerance through
28 enhanced photosynthetic activity in the transgenics, as compared to wild-type tobacco
29 (Sheveleva *et al.* 1997). Ononitol content reached values of ca. 36 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ FW in the leaves of
30 the transgenic plants; assuming that the osmolyte is localised only in the cytoplasm, this
31 would represent a cytosolic concentration of over 600 mM. In this case, therefore, the salt
32 tolerance phenotype could be explained exclusively by the maintenance of the cellular
33 osmotic balance in the presence of high external NaCl concentrations, independently of

1 possible additional protective functions of D-ononitol. More recent experiments have
2 demonstrated that the simultaneous expression in tobacco of the two previous genes – MIPS
3 from *P. coarctata* and *imt1* from *M. crystallinum* – provided a greater degree of protection
4 against salt stress than the individual expression of either gene since plants accumulated more
5 total inositol and methylated inositol, grew better, displayed greater photosynthetic activity
6 and were less prone to oxidative stress in the presence of salt (Patra *et al.* 2010). These
7 experiments, by the way, represent some of the few examples of expression in transgenic
8 plants of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism isolated from halophytic species.

9 Another example of *in vivo* manipulation of sugar-alcohols levels is the generation of
10 transgenic persimmon (*Diospyros kaki*) plants overexpressing the apple sorbitol-6-phosphate
11 dehydrogenase gene; GM plants showed increased levels of sorbitol, which, once again,
12 correlated with enhanced resistance to salt stress (Gao *et al.* 2001).

13 From a biotechnological point of view, the results mentioned above support the
14 feasibility of improving salt tolerance in transgenic crops by engineering osmolyte
15 metabolism to increase the intracellular levels of specific compatible solutes; they also
16 indicate that the best approach is the regulated and coordinated expression of several
17 appropriate genes under the control of stress-induced promoters. It remains to be seen if these
18 genetic modifications will affect the yield and other agronomic characteristics of the GM
19 crops.

20 On the other hand, if an increase in the cellular content of a particular sugar or polyol
21 is sufficient to improve the response to NaCl stress of salt-sensitive species, such as tobacco
22 or *Arabidopsis*, be it to a greater or lesser extent, it would seem logical to assume that
23 accumulation of high levels of the same compound under natural stressful conditions may
24 also contribute to tolerance in salt-tolerant species. However, it is not known if the results
25 obtained with those genetically modified plants can be extrapolated to the stress responses of
26 halophytes in their natural habitats; all these studies provide only indirect support to the
27 possible functional role of soluble carbohydrates in tolerance mechanisms to high soil salinity
28 in halophytes.

29

30 **Salt stress-induced expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism**

31 Transcriptional activation of a specific plant gene under high salinity conditions is
32 generally considered evidence for its participation in plant responses to salt stress. Yet this is

1 not necessarily true since induction of gene expression could be a secondary effect that is not
2 directly related to the stress response. Many of the genes involved in the biosynthesis of
3 soluble carbohydrates in salt-sensitive plants have been shown to be activated by salt.
4 Unfortunately, there are very few studies available on the regulation of the same metabolic
5 pathways in halophytes; for example, those of Bohnert and co-workers in
6 *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*, showing that the genes encoding *myo*-inositol 1-phosphate
7 synthase (Ishitani *et al.* 1996) and *myo*-inositol *O*-methyl transferase (Vernon and Bohnert,
8 1992a,b), responsible for the first steps in the synthesis of *myo*-inositol and pinitol,
9 respectively, are both activated under salt stress conditions. It is interesting to note that this
10 pathway is not regulated by salt in *Arabidopsis*, and is an example of the differences between
11 salt-sensitive and salt-tolerant plants in terms of induction of osmolyte biosynthesis. The *myo*-
12 inositol *O*-methyl transferase gene, which is not present in the genome of cultivated rice, is
13 also up-regulated by salt in the halophytic wild rice *Porteresia coarctata*, leading to
14 accumulation of pinitol (Sengupta *et al.* 2008).

15 In recent years, genome-wide analyses of gene expression have also been performed in
16 some halophytes to detect the genes that are transcriptionally activated upon treatment of the
17 plants with NaCl by different techniques: construction of specific cDNA libraries and ESTs
18 identification, subtractive hybridisation or transcriptomic analysis. Among the genes
19 expressed at higher levels in the presence of salt, several involved in the synthesis of soluble
20 carbohydrates with presumed osmolyte functions have been identified. To name but a few,
21 there are those encoding *myo*-inositol 1-phosphate synthases from *Thellungiella salsuginea*
22 (formerly *T. halophila*) (Taji *et al.* 2004) and *Spartina alterniflora* (Baisakh *et al.* 2008),
23 mannose 6-phosphate reductase from *Tamarix hispida* (Li *et al.* 2009), or *myo*-inositol
24 oxygenase from *Puccinellia tenuiflora* (Wang *et al.* 2007), along with many genes encoding
25 enzymes of general carbohydrate metabolism, whose enhanced expression could affect the
26 levels of different soluble sugars. Proteomics, used to identify salt-induced proteins in some
27 halophytes, such as *Porteresia coarctata* (Sengupta and Majumder 2009) or *Puccinellia*
28 *tenuiflora* (Yu *et al.* 2011), have also allowed the detection of several proteins putatively
29 involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Nevertheless, there are still relatively few examples of
30 these technologies having been applied to the study of salt stress responses in halophytic
31 species since genomic and proteomic analyses of salt stress responses have focused mostly on
32 glycophytic models, as when using more traditional methods.

1 **Accumulation of soluble carbohydrates in halophytes upon controlled salt treatments**

2 As mentioned in the Introduction, most studies on plant responses to salinity have
3 been carried out in glycophytes, but there are still many reports describing the physiological
4 and biochemical changes observed in different halophytes subjected to specific salt stress
5 treatments in the laboratory or the greenhouse. The parameters determined vary considerably,
6 and may include growth measurements of shoots and/or roots, photosynthesis activity and
7 photosynthetic pigments contents, water relations in the plants, enzyme activities – e.g., of
8 antioxidant systems – or levels of different ions and compatible solutes. Among osmolytes,
9 nitrogen-containing compounds, such as proline and glycine betaine, are often quantified, but
10 data on sugars and/or polyols contents, for either specific compounds or merely as 'total
11 soluble carbohydrates', are also included in some papers. In the following paragraphs, some of
12 these published data are briefly commented on (additional examples are included in Table 1).

13 Salt-stress treatments of halophytes often correlate with an increase in total soluble
14 carbohydrate contents in the plants. For example, in *Kochia prostrata* [synonym of *Bassia*
15 *prostrata*] (Amaranthaceae) seedlings grown for 30 days in the presence of increasing NaCl
16 concentrations, up to 200 mM (Karimi *et al.* 2005); in this case, plant growth was only
17 slightly reduced to below 150 mM NaCl, but was significantly inhibited by the highest salt
18 concentration tested; in parallel, soluble sugar contents progressively rose to double at 150
19 mM NaCl, and an increase of more than 5-fold at 200 mM NaCl was recorded, if compared to
20 the level in the non-stressed control seedlings. The same qualitative pattern was observed for
21 accumulation of proline and glycine betaine, suggesting that nitrogen-containing osmolytes
22 can contribute, together with carbohydrates, to the salt tolerance mechanisms in this species
23 (Karimi *et al.* 2005). Similar results have been obtained in the roots and leaves of *Vetiveria*
24 *zizanioides* [synonym of *Chrysopogon zizanioides*] (Poaceae) seedlings treated with salt for 9
25 days, although the observed increases in total soluble sugar contents were relatively lower,
26 below 2-fold in both organs at the highest concentration used, 300 mM NaCl (Zhou and Yu
27 2009). In this last example, the levels of soluble sugars reached under the strongest stress
28 conditions were similar in the roots and leaves of vetiver grass seedlings, about 200 and 300
29 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ dry weight, respectively. However, there are also reports showing completely
30 different patterns of sugar accumulation in different organs in response to salt treatments of
31 the plants; thus, in *Aster tripolium* (Asteraceae) plants irrigated at different salinity levels,
32 significant increases of soluble carbohydrate contents were detected only in the main roots,
33 they decreased in lateral roots, and no changes were observed in either old or young leaves; in

1 contrast, proline levels substantially increased in both leaves and main roots (Geissler *et al.*
2 2009). Apart from seedlings or young plants, plant tissue culture material has also been used
3 to assess the responses of halophytes to salinity stress, as described, for example, by
4 Lokhande *et al.* (2011) for axillary shoots induced from the nodal explants of *Sesuvium*
5 *portulacastrum* (Aizoaceae), a mangrove-associated halophyte. Treatments of shoots for 30
6 days with up to 600 mM NaCl resulted in increased levels of soluble sugars; however,
7 maximum contents were determined at 200 mM sodium chloride, which represents the
8 optimal salt concentration for this material, as shown by measurements of several growth-
9 related parameters. Conversely, proline and glycine betaine levels were at their lowest under
10 these conditions, although they rose at higher salt concentrations: 400 and 600 mM NaCl.
11 Considering these data, it is likely in this case that increased sugar levels is not a response to
12 elevated salinity, rather a reflection of a more active carbohydrate metabolism related to the
13 optimal growth conditions of plant material.

14 In most studies, including all those cited above, NaCl was the salt used for stress
15 treatments but, in nature, saline soils are often also alkaline due to the presence of additional
16 ions. To investigate the interactive effects of these two stresses on *Spartina alterniflora*
17 (Poaceae), Li *et al.* (2010) treated 4-week-old seedlings for 2 weeks with several
18 combinations of sodium salts, neutral and alkaline to obtain different salinity levels combined
19 with distinct pH values. At a neutral pH, no accumulation of soluble carbohydrates was
20 detected below 200 mM salt, but their level increased with raised salinity up to ca. 2.5-fold at
21 600 mM, and also with increased pH for each fixed salinity level. A similar pattern of
22 variation was also observed for proline contents. Therefore, reciprocal enhancement appears
23 between salt and alkali stress, at least in this species.

24 Salt stress treatments of plant material are usually designed to determine
25 concentration-dependent changes in different parameters – such as sugars and polyols
26 contents – that is, the plants are maintained for a fixed time in the presence of different salt
27 concentrations. Kurkova *et al.* (2002) used an alternative approach to analyse the responses of
28 *Seidlitzia rosmarinus* [synonym of *Salsola schweinfurthii*] (Amaranthaceae) to salt stress: a
29 ‘shock treatment’ with 500 mM NaCl was applied to two-month-old plants and several
30 measurements were carried out at different times during the following 72 hours, including
31 those of sucrose contents in leaves and roots, which increased in both organs (2.4-fold and
32 1.5-fold, respectively) during the first 60 min of treatment, to decrease again later to values
33 close to, or even below, those determined at time zero. Ruffino *et al.* (2010) also studied time-

1 dependent responses at a single salt concentration, but within a more extended time frame;
2 *Chenopodium quinoa* (Amaranthaceae) seeds were germinated in the presence of 250 mM
3 NaCl, and sugar contents were determined in the seedlings cotyledons after 6, 12 and 21 days.
4 A time-dependent increase of total soluble sugars, sucrose, fructose and glucose was detected
5 in both salt-treated seedlings and untreated controls; while the increase in total sugars and
6 glucose was relatively higher in the presence of NaCl, no differences were observed for
7 sucrose or fructose.

8 The behaviour of different, non-related plant species regarding the use of soluble
9 carbohydrates as osmolytes, can prove completely different, as shown by the previous
10 examples. It is, therefore, especially interesting to compare the responses of related taxa, e.g.,
11 different species of the same genus, when subjected to the same stress treatments, as reported
12 by Orlova *et al.* (2009) for two *Artemisia* (Asteraceae) species: *A. lerchiana* and *A.*
13 *pauciflora*. The seedlings of both taxa responded in a similar way, qualitatively, to increasing
14 external NaCl concentrations, with a parallel increase in the accumulation of the trisaccharide
15 raffinose and a drop in the levels of other sugars (sucrose + trehalose, glucose, fructose and
16 sorbose) noted in both leaves and roots. Quantitatively, however, clear differences were
17 detected between the two species as the increases in raffinose contents were much higher in *A.*
18 *pauciflora* than in *A. lerchiana*: 5.5-fold vs. 1.4-fold and 9.2-fold vs. ca. 3-fold, in leaves and
19 roots, respectively.

20 A completely different pattern of variation of sugar and polyol contents was observed
21 when comparing several species of the genus *Limonium* (Plumbaginaceae) – *L. latifolium*
22 (Gagneul *et al.* 2007), *L. perezii* and *L. sinuatum* (Liu and Grieve 2009) – whose responses to
23 salt treatments were generally not even qualitatively similar. Thus, in *L. latifolium*, a rise in
24 sucrose, fructose and glucose contents was noted with increasing salinity; in *L. perezii*,
25 glucose and fructose increased while sucrose decreased; and in *L. sinuatum*, the levels of the
26 two monosaccharides remained more or less constant, while sucrose contents slightly
27 increased. Perhaps the only relevant common features observed in the three species were the
28 low levels of *myo*-inositol, which did not vary in response to salt stress, and the presence of a
29 not very common isomer, *chiro*-inositol, which accumulated to sufficiently high levels, albeit
30 quite distinct for different taxa, to significantly contribute to osmotic adjustment.
31 Nevertheless, these authors did not detect pinitol in the above-mentioned *Limonium* species;
32 this common stress-induced cyclitol was identified, however, as a prominent carbohydrate in
33 field-collected material of *L. gmelinii* ssp. *hungarica* (Murakeözy *et al.* 2002).

1 These examples, together with those shown in Table 1, along with many more data
2 from the literature, indicate that salt treatments indeed modify carbohydrate metabolism in all
3 the investigated halophytes, and sometimes lead to the concentration-dependent accumulation
4 of specific sugars or polyalcohols, which may reach sufficiently high levels to have a
5 significant effect on osmotic adjustment. In such cases, the particular carbohydrate is likely to
6 contribute to salt tolerance under the experimental conditions used, although the induction of
7 its synthesis may not be a direct response to the salt treatment, but a secondary one to other
8 defence reactions. However, these stress treatments are not standardised, and extremely
9 different experimental conditions have been used in several studies; in addition, salt stress has
10 been applied to a variety of plant materials, e.g., germinating seeds, seedlings or young plants,
11 but rarely to adult plants, and it is well-known that salt stress responses depend largely on the
12 developmental stage of plants (Johnson *et al.* 1992, Vicente *et al.* 2004, Grigore *et al.* 2012).
13 For these reasons, it is not possible to draw any general conclusions from all the data
14 published independently, except to confirm the wide variability in the responses to salt stress
15 observed in different species, with no clear, quantitative or qualitative, general patterns of
16 accumulation of specific sugars or polyalcohols.

17

18 **Environmentally induced changes of soluble carbohydrates contents in halophytes**

19 The responses of a particular halophytic species to salt stress under controlled artificial
20 conditions – in general, but also specifically regarding the accumulation of compatible solutes
21 – may differ considerably from its behaviour in nature, where plants must react not only to
22 soil salinity, but simultaneously to a combination of environmental stresses, which will
23 continuously change in unpredictable ways, probably affecting their osmolytes contents.
24 Moreover, it is difficult to establish the relative contribution of different stress responses to
25 stress tolerance in a given tolerant species.

26 Fieldwork is necessary to assess the biological relevance of the accumulation of
27 soluble carbohydrates for salt tolerance in halophytes – or, more precisely, abiotic stress
28 tolerance in general since, as mentioned above, in nature salt stress cannot be considered
29 independently of other stressful environmental conditions. Therefore, as a complementary
30 approach to the studies described before, changes in the levels of soluble carbohydrates can be
31 determined in plants growing in their natural habitats, and the degree of environmental stress
32 affecting plants can be estimated in parallel by measuring soil properties, such as electrical

1 conductivity, ion contents and humidity, and recording meteorological parameters: e.g.,
2 temperature, rainfall or UV irradiation. A positive correlation between an increased level of
3 abiotic stress and the accumulation of specific sugars or polyols would represent direct
4 evidence for their contribution to tolerance mechanisms, but under natural ecologically
5 relevant conditions; obviously, this strategy can be extended to other types of osmolytes and,
6 in general, to any biochemical marker of plant stress responses.

7 Very few published studies exist in which soluble carbohydrates contents have been
8 determined using this experimental approach in halophytes of the same species, but growing
9 in different locations. For example, Youssef (2009) compared responses to salinity in five
10 succulent halophytes (*Halocnemum strobilaceum*, *Arthrocnemum macrostachyum*, *Halopeplis*
11 *perfoliata*, *Suaeda vermiculata* and *Seidlitzia rosmarinus*) collected from two coastal sites
12 along the Arabian Gulf in Saudi Arabia (site 1), and at the Red Sea in Egypt (site 2). Among
13 many other measurements, total soluble sugars were determined and, for all five species,
14 higher values were obtained for those collected at site 1, where environmental conditions
15 appeared to be more stressful for plants: higher electrical conductivity, total soluble salts and
16 ions contents and lower water content in soil, as well as higher average temperatures. In a
17 similar, more recent study, Bankaji and Sleimi (2012) analysed *Salicornia arabica*, *Suaeda*
18 *fruticosa*, *Atriplex portulacoides* and *A. halimus* plants collected from three different localities
19 in north Tunisia; for each species, they found a positive correlation between the soluble sugar
20 contents in plants and the degree of environmental stress in the respective habitats, estimated
21 from meteorological data and soil electrical conductivity measurements. However in this kind
22 of experiments, the possibility that differences in sugar contents are due to genetic variability
23 between the populations of each species growing in different habitats and adapted to their
24 specific conditions, rather than to an induced response, cannot be ruled out.

25 To avoid this possibility, an alternative approach would be to determine seasonal
26 variations in carbohydrates contents in the same plant population present in a given location.
27 This was done, for example, by Doddema *et al.* (1986) who, when investigating the effects of
28 seasonal changes of soil salinity on the metabolism of *Arthrocnemum fruticosum* in a saline
29 area by the Dead Sea in Jordan, found a sudden and temporary increase in total soluble
30 carbohydrate contents in plant roots in the month of June. This increase was about 6-fold
31 more than in previous months, and was accompanied by an abrupt increase in soil salinity and
32 a rise in sodium contents in plants. In *Limonium gmelini* subsp. *hungarica* growing in an
33 inland saline grass area in Hungary, Murakeözy *et al.* (2002) determined soluble carbohydrate

1 levels in plant material collected at several time points throughout the year. Among other
2 data, a 5-fold increase in the level of leaf pinitol, identified as a mayor osmolyte in this taxon,
3 was observed in summer as compared to the lowest values recorded in mid-April, and
4 additional peaks were obtained in winter/early spring; the higher pinitol contents
5 corresponded to the periods of more intense stress due to reduced rainfall and lower soil water
6 content, and to lower temperatures, respectively. These studies were extended by the same
7 group to two other halophytes present in the same habitat, *Lepidium crassifolium* and
8 *Camphorosma annua*, and also included measurements of soil electrical conductivity
9 (Murakeözy *et al.* 2003); as a general pattern, and despite the clear quantitative differences
10 among the three taxa, the highest levels of reducing sugars, sucrose and pinitol were detected
11 in early spring and correlated with maximum soil salinity and the lowest atmospheric
12 temperature.

13 Sometimes, changes in osmolytes levels have been related to environmental factors
14 other than soil salinity. By way of example, in a study on subantarctic Kerguelen cabbage
15 (*Pringlea antiscorbutica*), Aubert *et al.* (1999) found correlations between levels of glucose,
16 the major sugar in leaves, and annual irradiance, and between starch content in stems and
17 roots and daily air temperature. Walker *et al.* (2008) determined seasonal changes in the cold-
18 tolerance of *Atriplex halimus* plants grown in the field at two sites with markedly different
19 average minimum temperatures, and established a positive correlation with the concentration
20 of soluble sugars in leaves, among other factors. More recently, Mouri *et al.* (2012) detected
21 significant seasonal variations in total soluble sugars and proline contents in *Ammophila*
22 *arenaria* from sand dunes in Algeria, both increasing in summer and autumn, as compared
23 with winter and spring. The authors accounted for these high values with the intense drought
24 and high temperatures affecting plants in the two seasons, but did not present experimental
25 measurements to confirm their statement.

26 Finally, we refer to a systematic study on the seasonal variation of soluble
27 carbohydrate contents carried out by our group in five perennial halophytes growing in a
28 littoral salt marsh near the city of Valencia (E Spain) (Gil *et al.* 2011). Plant material was
29 collected in five successive samplings, from summer 2009 to autumn 2010, from the same
30 individual plants of each analysed species, to determine the levels of major soluble
31 carbohydrates. Analyses of soil samples taken simultaneously to plant material, together with
32 recorded meteorological data, were used to assess the level of environmental stress affecting
33 the plants in the experimental plot. Summer 2009 was the most stressful period with the

1 highest values for soil electrical conductivity, atmospheric temperature, evapotranspiration
2 and water deficit due to absolute lack of rain during the month prior to sampling. In summer
3 2010, soil salinity was slightly lower and average temperatures were similar, but it was not as
4 dry as summer 2009. Spring 2010 was the mildest season, with the lowest level of soil salinity
5 and a lot of rain. Concerning osmolyte contents, sorbitol was the only carbohydrate detected
6 at significant levels in *Plantago crassifolia*; most importantly, a very good correlation was
7 found between sorbitol contents and the degree of environmental stress, with an almost 6-fold
8 difference between summer 2009 and spring 2010; very low levels were detected for the rest
9 of sugars and polyols, with no correlation with environmental conditions. These findings
10 support the idea that sorbitol is the physiologically relevant osmolyte in this species, as is
11 believed to be the case for all Plantaginaceae taxa (Flowers *et al.* 2010). The other two
12 dicotyledonous halophytes included in the study, *Inula crithmoides* and *Sarcocornia*
13 *fruticosa*, are typical glycine betaine accumulators (Boscaiu *et al.* 2011) and contain
14 excessively low levels of soluble carbohydrates to contribute significantly to osmotic
15 adjustment; in addition, no clear correlation of sugar or polyol levels was found with the
16 degree of abiotic stress, except for glycerol in *I. crithmoides*, which could contribute to salt
17 tolerance in this species due to its putative 'osmoprotectant' function. The study also included
18 two monocotyledonous halophytes, *Juncus maritimus* and *J. acutus*, two closely related
19 species; both accumulated relatively high levels of sucrose and, to a lesser extent, glucose and
20 fructose – all three sugars could substantially contribute to osmotic balance – but showed very
21 low polyol contents. In *J. acutus*, seasonal variations were statistically significant, with the
22 highest levels detected in summer 2009 for sucrose (ca. 150 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW), glucose and
23 fructose (ca. 65 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW each). Sugar accumulation patterns were quantitatively and
24 quantitatively similar in the more salt-tolerant *J. maritimus*, but seasonal variations were
25 slighter and not significant. Nevertheless, for both taxa a positive correlation between
26 seasonal changes in sugar contents and soil/climatic conditions associated with salt and water
27 stress were established by the principal component analysis (PCA) statistical method (Gil *et*
28 *al.* 2011).

29 We believe that the experimental approach followed in this and similar studies can
30 provide novel and interesting information on the biological function of osmolyte
31 accumulation and its relevance for stress tolerance of specific halophytes in their natural
32 habitats, thus extending and complementing the work carried out in the laboratory, under
33 controlled stress conditions, with either glycophytic models or salt tolerant species.

1

2 **Conclusions and perspectives**

3 The cellular accumulation of soluble carbohydrates – or other compatible solutes – is
4 well established as a general response of plants to salt stress. As discussed above, there are
5 also many indirect evidences for the actual contribution of sugar and polyols to salt tolerance
6 of specific halophytic taxa, mostly based on the concentration- or time-dependent increases in
7 osmolyte levels that have been observed upon treatment of the plants with NaCl. In the
8 specific case of sugars, their accumulation in parallel with increasing external salinity may not
9 be a direct response to salt stress, but a secondary effect of a general stimulation of
10 carbohydrate metabolism resulting from other primary responses. Nevertheless, if sugars
11 reach concentrations high enough to substantially contribute to osmotic adjustment, most
12 likely they will also contribute to salt tolerance; however, low carbohydrate levels do not rule
13 out a functional role of these compatible solutes in salt tolerance mechanisms, role which
14 would depend on their additional activities as low-molecular-weight chaperons and/or ROS
15 scavengers. Another general conclusion of the published work presented here, is the necessity
16 of assessing the responses to salt stress on a case-by-case basis, because of the huge
17 variability observed, quantitatively as well as qualitatively, in the patterns of soluble
18 carbohydrate accumulation in different halophytic species – although this variability could be
19 partly due to the disparate experimental conditions used in different studies. In any case, it is
20 not clear whether the results of experiments carried out in the laboratory can be extrapolated
21 to the behaviour of plants in nature.

22 Concerning methodological aspects, many data on osmolyte contents have been
23 obtained using ‘classical’ chemical or enzymatic assays based on espectrophotometric
24 measurements. In the last years, new metabolic profiling technologies – for example, gas
25 chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry, HPLC also coupled to MS or to different
26 types of detectors, Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy or NMR-based methods – have
27 been applied to the study of plant responses to stress, generally in salt-sensitive models, but
28 also in a few halophytes (Sanchez *et al.* 2008). These studies included determination of
29 osmolyte levels in field-collected material and also analysis of salt-induced changes in
30 osmolyte patterns upon salt treatments of the plants (e.g., Murakeözy *et al.* 2003, Tipirdamaz
31 *et al.* 2006, Gagneul *et al.* 2007, Alla *et al.* 2012).

1 For all the reasons discussed above, we think that more effort should be invested on
2 fieldwork, and propose to step up research on halophytes in their natural ecosystems,
3 correlating seasonal changes in soluble carbohydrates contents with the degree of
4 environmental stress affecting the plants. Metabolomics should be used in these comparative
5 analyses, which would obviously include, along with compatible solutes, other metabolites
6 possibly involved in abiotic stress tolerance mechanisms. We also propose to extend this kind
7 of comparative studies to the analysis of stress responses in closely related species of the
8 same genus, but showing different degrees of salt tolerance. *Plantago* and *Juncus* are
9 examples of dicot and monocot genera, respectively, appropriate for these studies as both
10 include taxa with a wide range of salt sensitivity, from typical glycophytes to highly salt-
11 tolerant species. The same line of work, based on the comparison of metabolic profiles, is
12 been successfully used with the salt-sensitive *Arabidopsis thaliana* and stress-tolerant species,
13 such as *Tellungiella salsa*, considered also as ‘close relatives’, although they belong to
14 different genera and have less than 80% overall genomic homology (e.g., Gong *et al.* 2005).
15 We believe that these strategies, complementary to more common approaches based on the
16 use of glycophytic models, will provide novel information that will contribute to improve and
17 broaden our knowledge about salt tolerance mechanisms... and that will help to answer the
18 question put forward in the title of this review.

19

20 **Acknowledgments**

21 Work in the authors' laboratories was funded by a grant to O.V. from the Spanish Ministry of
22 Science and Innovation (Project CGL2008-00438/BOS), with contribution from the European
23 Regional Development Fund.

24

25

26 **References**

- 27 Abebe T, Guenzi AC, Martin B, Cushman JC (2003) Tolerance of mannitol-accumulating
28 transgenic wheat to water stress and salinity. *Plant Physiology* **131**, 1748–1755.
- 29 Ahmad I, Larher F, Stewart GR (1979). Sorbitol, a compatible osmotic solute in *Plantago*
30 *maritima*. *New Phytologist* **82**, 671–678.

- 1 Albert R, Popp M (1978) Zur Rolle der löslichen Kohlenhydrate in Halophyten des
2 Neusiedlersee-Gebietes (Österreich). *Oecologia Plantarum* **13**, 27–42.
- 3 Alla MMN, Khedr AHA, Serag MM, Abu-Alnaga AZ, Nada RM (2012) Regulation of
4 metabolomics in *Atriplex halimus* growth under salt and drought stress. *Plant Growth*
5 *Regulation* **67**, 281–304.
- 6 Ashraf M (2004) Some important physiological selection criteria for salt tolerance in plants.
7 *Flora* **199**, 361–376.
- 8 Ashraf M, Foolad MR (2007) Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic
9 stress resistance. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **59**, 206–216.
- 10 Ashraf M, Harris PJC (2004) Potential biochemical indicators of salinity tolerance in plants.
11 *Plant Science* **166**, 3–16.
- 12 Aubert S, Assard N, Boutin JP, Frenot Y, Dorne AJ (1999) Carbon metabolism in the
13 subantarctic Kerguelen cabbage *Pringlea antiscorbutica* R. Br.: environmental controls
14 over carbohydrates and proline contents and relation to phenology. *Plant, Cell and*
15 *Environment* **22**, 243–254.
- 16 Baisakh N, Subudhi PK, Varadwaj P (2008) Primary responses to salt stress in a halophyte,
17 smooth cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora* Loisel.). *Functional and Integrative Genomics*
18 **8**, 287–300.
- 19 Bankaji I, Sleimi N (2012) Polymorphisme biochimique chez quelques halophytes
20 autochtones du nord Tunisien (Chemical polymorphism of some North Tunisian
21 autochthonous halophytes). *Revue d'Ecologie (La Terre et la Vie)* **67**, 29–39.
- 22 Bartels D, Sunkar R (2005) Drought and salt tolerance in plants. *Critical Reviews in Plant*
23 *Sciences* **24**, 23–58.
- 24 Borsani O, Valpuesta V, Botella MA (2003) Developing salt tolerant plants in a new century:
25 a molecular biology approach. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* **73**, 101–115.
- 26 Boscaiu M, Tifrea A, Donat P, Mayoral O, Llinares J, Bautista I, Lidón A, Lull C, Vicente O
27 (2011) Seasonal variation in glycine betaine in plants from a littoral salt-marsh in SE
28 Spain. *Bulletin USAMV* **68**, 543–544.
- 29 Boyer JS (1982) Plant productivity and environment. *Science* **218**, 443–448.

- 1 Briens M, Larher F (1982) Osmoregulation in halophytic higher plants: a comparative study
2 of soluble carbohydrates, polyols, betaines and free proline. *Plant, Cell and*
3 *Environment* **5**, 287–292.
- 4 Burg MB, Kwon ED, Kültz D (1996) Osmotic regulation of gene expression. *The FASEB*
5 *Journal* **10**, 1598–1606.
- 6 Chen THH, Murata N (2002) Enhancement of tolerance of abiotic stress by metabolic
7 engineering of betaines and other compatible solutes. *Current Opinion in Plant*
8 *Biology* **5**, 250–257.
- 9 Chen THH, Murata N (2008) Glycinebetaine: an effective protectant against abiotic stress in
10 plants. *Trends in Plant Science* **13**, 499–505.
- 11 Chen THH, Murata N (2011) Glycinebetaine protects plants against abiotic stress:
12 mechanisms and biotechnological applications. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **34**, 1–20.
- 13 Choo Y-S, Albert R (1999) Mineral ion, nitrogen and organic solute pattern in sedges (*Carex*
14 *ssp.*) - a contribution to the physiotype concept I. Field samples. *Flora* **194**, 59-74.
- 15 Das-Chatterjee A, Goswami L, Maitra S, Dastidar KG, Ray S, Majumder AL (2006)
16 Introgression of a novel salt-tolerant *L-myo*-inositol 1-phosphate synthase from
17 *Porteresia coarctata* (Roxb.) Tateoka (*PcINO1*) confers tolerance to evolutionary
18 diverse organisms. *FEBS Letters* **580**, 3980–3988.
- 19 Deyanira Q-M, Estrada-Luna AA, Altamirano-Hernández J, Peña-Cabriales JJ, de Oca-Luna
20 RM, Cabrera-Ponce JL (2012) Use of trehalose metabolism as a biochemical marker
21 in rice breeding. *Molecular Breeding* **30**, 469–477.
- 22 Doddema H, Eddin RS, Mahasneh A (1986) Effects of seasonal changes of soil salinity and
23 soil nitrogen on the N-metabolism of the halophyte *Arthrocnemum fruticosum* (L.)
24 Moq. *Plant and Soil* **92**, 279–293.
- 25 Eisa S, Hussin S, Geissler N, Koyro HW (2012) Effect of NaCl salinity on water relations,
26 photosynthesis and chemical composition of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) as
27 a potential cash crop halophyte. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* **6**, 357–368.
- 28 Fernandez O, Béthencourt L, Quero A, Sangwan RS, Clément C (2010) Trehalose and plant
29 stress responses: friend or foe? *Trends in Plant Science* **15**, 409–417.

- 1 Flowers TJ, Colmer TD (2008) Salinity tolerance in halophytes. *New Phytologist* **179**, 945–
2 963.
- 3 Flowers TJ, Galal HK, Bromham L (2010) Evolution of halophytes: multiple origins of salt
4 tolerance in land plants. *Functional Plant Biology* **37**, 604–612.
- 5 Flowers TJ, Hajibagheri MA, Clipson NJW (1986) Halophytes. *Quarterly Review of Biology*
6 **61**, 313–335.
- 7 Flowers TJ, Troke PF, Yeo AR (1977) The mechanism of salt tolerance in halophytes. *Annual*
8 *Review of Plant Physiology* **28**, 89–121.
- 9 Forment J, Naranjo MA, Roldán M, Serrano R, Vicente O (2002) Expression of Arabidopsis
10 SR-like splicing proteins confers salt tolerance to yeast and transgenic plants. *The*
11 *Plant Journal* **30**, 511–519.
- 12 Fukushima E, Arata Y, Endo T, Sonnewald U, Sato F (2001) Improved salt tolerance of
13 transgenic tobacco expressing apoplastic yeast-derived invertase. *Plant and Cell*
14 *Physiology* **42**, 245–249.
- 15 Gagneul D, Aïnouche A, Duhazé C, Lugan R, Larher FR, Bouchereau A (2007) A
16 reassessment of the function of the so-called compatible solutes in the halophytic
17 Plumbaginaceae *Limonium latifolium*. *Plant Physiology* **144**, 1598–1611.
- 18 Gao M, Tao R, Miura K, Dandekar AM, Sugiura A (2001) Transformation of japanese
19 persimmon (*Diospyros kaki* Thunb.) with apple cDNA encoding NADP-dependent
20 sorbitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. *Plant Science* **160**, 837–845.
- 21 Garg AK, Kim J-K, Owens TG, Ranwala AP, Do Choi Y, Kochian LV, Wu RJ (2002)
22 Trehalose accumulation in rice plants confers high tolerance levels to different abiotic
23 stresses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
24 *America* **99**, 15898–15903.
- 25 Gavaghan CL, Li JV, Hadfield ST, Hole S, Nicholson JK, Wilson ID, Howe PWA, Stanley
26 PD, Holmes E (2011) Application of NMR-based metabolomics to the investigation of
27 salt stress in maize (*Zea mays*). *Phytochemical Analysis* **22**, 214–224.
- 28 Geissler N, Hussin S, Koyro H-W (2009) Interactive effects of NaCl salinity and elevated
29 atmospheric CO₂ concentration on growth, photosynthesis, water relations and
30 chemical composition of the potential cash crop halophyte *Aster tripolium* L.
31 *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **65**, 220–231.

- 1 Gil R, Lull C, Boscaiu M, Bautista I, Lidón A, Vicente O (2011) Soluble carbohydrates as
2 osmolytes in several halophytes from a Mediterranean salt marsh. *Notulae Botanicae*
3 *Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* **39**, 9–17.
- 4 Gillaspay GE (2011) The cellular language of *myo*-inositol signaling. *New Phytologist* **192**,
5 823–839.
- 6 Glenn EP, Brown JJ, Blumwald E (1999) Salt tolerance and crop potential of halophytes.
7 *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **18**, 227–255.
- 8 Gong Q, Li P, Ma S, Rupassara SI, Bohnert HJ (2005) Salinity stress adaptation competence
9 in the extremophile *Tellungiella halophila* in comparison with its relative *Arabidopsis*
10 *thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **44**, 826–839.
- 11 Gorham J, Hughes L, Wyn Jones RG (1980) Chemical composition of salt-marsh plants from
12 Ynys Môn (Anglesey): the concept of physiotypes. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **3**,
13 309–318.
- 14 Gorham J, Hughes LY, Wyn Jones RG (1981) Low molecular weight carbohydrates in some
15 salt stressed plants. *Physiologia Plantarum* **53**, 27–33.
- 16 Grigore MN, Boscaiu M, Llinares J, Vicente O (2012) Mitigation of salt stress-induced
17 inhibition of *Plantago crassifolia* reproductive development by supplemental calcium
18 or magnesium. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* (in press).
- 19 Grigore MN, Boscaiu M, Vicente O (2011) Assessment of the relevance of osmolyte
20 biosynthesis for salt tolerance of halophytes under natural conditions. *The European*
21 *Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology* **5**, 12–19.
- 22 Halliwell B (2006) Reactive species and antioxidants. Redox biology is a fundamental theme
23 of aerobic life. *Plant Physiology* **141**, 312–322.
- 24 Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA, Zhu J-K, Bohnert HJ (2000) Plant cellular and molecular
25 responses to high salinity. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular*
26 *Biology* **51**, 463–499.
- 27 Hariadi Y, Marandon K, Tian Y, Jacobsen S-E, Shabala S (2011) Ionic and osmotic relations
28 in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) plants grown at various salinity levels.
29 *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**, 185–193.

- 1 Hartzendorf T, Rolletschek H (2001) Effects of NaCl-salinity on amino acid and carbohydrate
2 contents of *Phragmites australis*. *Aquatic Botany* **69**, 195–208.
- 3 Hill AE, Hill BS (1973) The *Limonium* salt gland: A biophysical and structural study.
4 *International Review of Cytology* **35**, 299–319.
- 5 Holmström K-O, Mäntylä E, Welin B, Mandal A, Palva ET, Tunnela OE, Londesborough J
6 (1996) Drought tolerance in tobacco. *Nature* **379**, 683–684.
- 7 Holthauzen LMF, Auton M, Sinev M, Rösgen J (2011) Protein stability in the presence of
8 cosolutes. *Methods in Enzymology* **492**, 61–125.
- 9 Horie T, Karahara I, Katsuhara M (2012) Salinity tolerance mechanisms in glycophytes: An
10 overview with the central focus on rice plants. *Rice* **5**, 11 (doi: 10.1186/1939-8433-5-
11 11)
- 12 Hu L, Lu H, Liu Q, Chen X, Jiang X (2005) Overexpression of *mtlD* gene in transgenic
13 *Populus tomentosa* improves salt tolerance through accumulation of mannitol. *Tree*
14 *Physiology* **25**, 1273–1281.
- 15 Hussain TM, Chandrasekhar T, Hazara M, Sultan Z, Saleh BK, Gopal GR (2008) Recent
16 advances in salt stress biology – a review. *Biotechnology and Molecular Biology*
17 *Review* **3**, 8–13.
- 18 Ignatova Z, Gierasch LM (2007) Effects of osmolytes on protein folding and aggregation in
19 cells. *Methods in Enzymology* **428**, 355–372.
- 20 Inan G, Zhang Q, Li P, Wang Z, Cao Z, Zhang H, Zhang C, Quist TM, Goodwin SM, Zhu J,
21 Shi H, Damsz B, Charbaji T, Gong Q, Ma S, Fredricksen M, Galbraith DW, Jenks
22 MA, Rodees D, Hasegawa PM, Bohnert HJ, Joly RJ, Bressan RA, Zhu JK (2004) Salt
23 stress. A halophyte and cryophyte *Arabidopsis* relative model system and its
24 applicability to molecular genetic analyses of growth and development of
25 extremophiles. *Plant Physiology* **135**, 1718–1737.
- 26 Ingram J, Bartels D (1996) The molecular basis of dehydration tolerance in plants. *Annual*
27 *Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* **47**, 377–403.
- 28 Ishitani M, Majumder AL, Bornhouser A, Michalowski CB, Jensen RG, Bohnert HJ (1996)
29 Coordinate transcriptional induction of myo-inositol metabolism during environmental
30 stress. *The Plant Journal* **9**, 537–548.

- 1 Iturriaga G, Suárez R, Nova-Franco B (2009) Trehalose metabolism: From osmoprotection to
2 signalling. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **10**, 3793–3810.
- 3 Jamil A, Riaz S, Ashraf M, Foolad MR (2011) Gene expression profiling of plants under salt
4 stress. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **30**, 435–458.
- 5 Jefferies RL, Rudmik T, Dillon EM (1979) Responses of halophytes to high salinities and low
6 water potentials. *Plant Physiology* **64**, 989–994.
- 7 Jia J, Cui X, Wu J, Wang J, Wang G (2011) Physiological and biochemical responses of
8 halophytes *Kalidium foliatum* to salt stress. *African Journal of Plant Biotechnology*
9 **10**, 11468-11476.
- 10 Jithesh MN, Prashanth SR, Sivaprakash KR, Parida AK (2006) Antioxidative response
11 mechanisms in halophytes: their role in stress defence. *Journal of Genetics* **85**, 237–
12 254.
- 13 Johnson DW, Smith SE, Dobrenz AK (1992) Genetic and phenotypic relationships in
14 response to NaCl at different developmental stages in alfalfa. *Theoretic and Applied*
15 *Genetics* **83**, 833-838.
- 16 Karakas B, Ozias-Akins P, Stushnoff C, Suefferheld M, Rieger M (1997) Salinity and drought
17 tolerance of mannitol-accumulating transgenic tobacco. *Plant, Cell and Environment*
18 **20**, 609–616.
- 19 Karim S, Aronsson H, Ericson H, Pirhonen M, Leyman B, Welin B, Mäntylä E, Palva ET,
20 Van Dijck P, Holmström, K-O (2007) Improved drought tolerance without undesired
21 side effects in transgenic plants producing trehalose. *Plant Molecular Biology* **64**,
22 371–386.
- 23 Karimi G, Ghorbanli M, Heidari H, Khavari Nejad RA, Assareh MH (2005) The effects of
24 NaCl on growth, water relations, osmolytes and ion content in *Kochia prostrata*.
25 *Biologia Plantarum* **49**, 301–304.
- 26 Khan SH, Ahmad N, Ahmad F, Kumar R (2010) Naturally occurring organic osmolytes:
27 From cell physiology to disease prevention. *IUBMB Life* **62**, 891–895.
- 28 Konigshofer H (1983) Changes in ion composition and hexitol content of different *Plantago*
29 species under the influence of salt stress. *Plant and Soil* **72**, 289-296.

- 1 Koyro HW (2006) Effect on salinity on growth, photosynthesis, water relations and solute
2 composition of the potential cash crop halophyte *Plantago coronopus* (L.).
3 *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **56**, 136–146.
- 4 Kronzucker HJ, Britto DT (2011) Sodium transport in plants: a critical review. *New*
5 *Phytologist* **189**, 54–81.
- 6 Kurkova EB, Kalinkina LG, Baburina OK, Myasoedov NA, Naumova TG (2002) Responses
7 of *Seidlitzia rosmarinus* to salt stress. *Biology Bulletin* **29**, 221–229.
- 8 Lee G, Carrow RN, Duncan RR, Eiteman MA, Rieger MW (2008) Synthesis of organic
9 osmolytes and salt tolerance mechanisms in *Paspalum vaginatum*. *Environmental and*
10 *Experimental Botany* **63**, 19–27.
- 11 Li H, Wang Y, Jiang J, Liu G, Gao C, Yang C (2009) Identification of genes responsive to
12 salt stress on *Tamarix hispida* roots. *Gene* **433**, 65–71.
- 13 Li R, Shi F, Fukuda K (2010) Interactive effects of various salt and alkali stresses on growth,
14 organic solutes, and cation accumulation in a halophyte *Spartina alterniflora*
15 (Poaceae). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **68**, 66–74.
- 16 Liu X, Grieve C (2009) Accumulation of *chiro*-inositol and other non-structural
17 carbohydrates in *Limonium* species in response to saline irrigation waters. *Journal of*
18 *the American Society for Horticultural Science* **134**, 329–336.
- 19 Lokhande VH, Nikam TD, Patade VY, Ahire ML, Suprasanna P (2011) Effects of optimal
20 and supra-optimal salinity stress on antioxidative defence, osmolytes and in vitro
21 growth responses in *Sesuvium portulacastrum* L. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture*
22 **104**, 41–49.
- 23 Lyon BR, Lee PA, Bennett JM, DiTullio GR, Janech MG (2011) Proteomic analysis of a sea-
24 ice diatom: salinity acclimation provides new insight into the
25 dimethylsulfoniopropionate production pathway. *Plant Physiology* **157**, 1926–1941.
- 26 Majee M, Maitra S, Dastidar KG, Pattnaik S, Chatterjee A, Hait NC, Das KP, Majumder AL
27 (2004) A novel salt-tolerant L-*myo*-inositol-1-phosphate synthase from *Porteresia*
28 *coarctata* (Roxb.) Tateoka, a halophytic wild rice - Molecular cloning, bacterial
29 overexpression, characterization, and functional introgression into tobacco-conferring
30 salt tolerance phenotype. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**, 28539–28552.

- 1 Matsumura T, Kanechi M, Inagaki N, Maekawa S (1998) The effects of salt stress on ion
2 uptake, accumulation of compatible solutes, and leaf osmotic potential in safflower,
3 *Chrysanthemum paludosum* and sea aster. *Journal of the Japanese Society for*
4 *Horticultural Science* **67**, 426–431.
- 5 McNulty IB (1985) Rapid osmotic adjustment by a succulent halophyte to saline shock. *Plant*
6 *Physiology* **78**, 100–103.
- 7 Moghaieb REA, Tanaka N, Saneoka H, Murooka Y, Ono H, Morikawa H, Nakamura A,
8 Nguyen NT, Suwa R, Fujita K (2006) Characterization of salt tolerance in ectoine-
9 transformed tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabaccum*): photosynthesis, osmotic
10 adjustment, and nitrogen partitioning. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **29**, 173–182.
- 11 Mouri C, Benhassaini H, Bendimered FZ, Belkhodja M (2012) Variation saisonnière de la
12 teneur en proline et en sucres solubles chez l'oyat (*Ammophila arenaria* (L.) Link)
13 provenant du milieu naturel de la côte ouest de l'Algérie [Seasonal variation of the
14 content in proline and soluble sugars in oyat (*Ammophila arenaria* (L.) Link) growing
15 in natural conditions of the Algerian western coast]. *Acta Botanica Gallica* **159**, 127–
16 135.
- 17 Munns R, Termaat A (1986) Whole-plant responses to salinity. *Australian Journal of Plant*
18 *Physiology* **13**, 143–160.
- 19 Munns R, Tester M (2008) Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*
20 **59**, 651–681.
- 21 Murakeözy ÉP, Nagy Z, Duhazé C, Bouchereau A, Tuba Z (2003) Seasonal changes in the
22 levels of compatible osmolytes in three halophytic species of inland saline vegetation
23 in Hungary. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **160**, 395–401.
- 24 Murakeözy ÉP, Smirnoff N, Nagy Z, Tuba Z (2002) Seasonal accumulation pattern of pinitol
25 and other carbohydrates in *Limonium gmelini* subsp. *hungarica*. *Journal of Plant*
26 *Physiology* **159**, 485–490.
- 27 Orlova YV, Myasoedov NA, Kirichenko EB, Balnokin Y (2009) Contributions of inorganic
28 ions, soluble carbohydrates, and multiatomic alcohols to water homeostasis in
29 *Artemisia lerchiana* and *A. pauciflora*. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* **56**, 200–
30 210.

- 1 Pang Q, Chen S, Dai S, Chen Y, Wang Y, Yan X (2010) Comparative proteomics of salt
2 tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Thellungiella halophila*. *Journal of Proteome*
3 *Research* **9**, 2584–2599.
- 4 Parida A, Das AB, Das P (2002) NaCl stress causes changes in photosynthetic pigments,
5 proteins and other metabolic components in the leaves of a true mangrove, *Bruguiera*
6 *parviflora*, in hydroponic cultures. *Journal of Plant Biology* **45**, 28–36.
- 7 Parida AK, Das AB (2005) Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review.
8 *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **60**, 324–349.
- 9 Patra B, Ray S, Richter A, Majumder AL (2010) Enhanced salt tolerance of transgenic
10 tobacco plants by co-expression of PcINO1 and McIMT1 is accompanied by increased
11 level of *myo*-inositol and methylated inositol. *Protoplasma* **245**, 143–152.
- 12 Paul MJ, Primavesi LF, Jhurrea D, Zhang Y (2008) Trehalose metabolism and signalling.
13 *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **59**, 417–441.
- 14 Pilon-Smits EAH, Terry N, Sears T, Kim H, Zayed A, Hwang S, Van Dun K, Voogd E,
15 Verwoerd TC, Krutwagen RWHH, Goddijn OJM (1998) Trehalose-producing
16 transgenic tobacco plants show improved growth performance under drought stress.
17 *Journal of Plant Physiology* **152**, 525–532.
- 18 Popp M (1984) Chemical composition of Australian mangroves II. Low molecular weight
19 carbohydrates. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenphysiologie* **113**, 411–421.
- 20 Popp M, Polania J (1989) Compatible solutes in different organs of mangrove trees. *Annals of*
21 *Forest Science* **46**, 842–844.
- 22 Romero C, Bellés JM, Vayá JL, Serrano R, Culiáñez-Macià FA (1997) Expression of the
23 yeast *trehalose-6-phosphate synthase* gene in transgenic tobacco plants: pleiotropic
24 phenotypes include drought tolerance. *Planta* **201**, 293–297.
- 25 Ruffino AMC, Rosa M, Hilal M, González JA, Prado FE (2010) The role of cotyledon
26 metabolism in the establishment of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) seedlings growing
27 under salinity. *Plant and Soil* **326**, 213–224.
- 28 Sanchez DH, Siahpoosh MR, Roessner U, Udvardi M, Kopka J (2008) Plant metabolomics
29 reveals conserved and divergent metabolic responses to salinity. *Physiologia*
30 *Plantarum* **132**, 209–219.

- 1 Sengupta S, Majumder AL (2009) Insight into the salt tolerance factors of a wild halophytic
2 rice, *Porteresia coarctata*: a physiological and proteomic approach. *Planta* **229**, 911-
3 929.
- 4 Sengupta S, Patra B, Ray S, Majumder AL (2008). Inositol methyl transferase from a
5 halophytic wild rice, *Porteresia coarctata* Roxb. (Tateoka): regulation of pinitol
6 synthesis under abiotic stress. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **31**, 1442–1459.
- 7 Shabala S, Cuin TA (2007) Potassium transport and plant salt tolerance. *Physiologia*
8 *Plantarum* **133**, 651–669.
- 9 Sheveleva E, Chmara W, Bohnert HJ, Jensen RG (1997) Increased salt and drought tolerance
10 by D-Ononitol production in transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Plant Physiology* **115**,
11 1211–1219.
- 12 Singer MA, Lindquist S (1998) Multiple effects of trehalose on protein folding *in vitro* and *in*
13 *vivo*. *Molecular Cell* **1**, 639–648.
- 14 Smirnoff N, Cumbes QJ (1989) Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of compatible solutes.
15 *Phytochemistry* **28**, 1057–1060.
- 16 Szabados L, Kovács H, Zilberstein A, Bouchereau A (2011) Plants in extreme environments:
17 Importance of protective compounds in stress tolerance. *Advances in Botanical*
18 *Research* **57**, 105–150.
- 19 Szabados L, Savouré A (2010) Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. *Trends in Plant Science*
20 **15**, 89–97.
- 21 Taji T, Seki M, Satou M, Sakurai T, Kobayashi M, Ishiyama K, Narusaka Y, Narusaka M,
22 Zhu JK, Shinozaki K (2004) Comparative genomics in salt tolerance between
23 *Arabidopsis* and *Arabidopsis*-related halophyte salt cress using *Arabidopsis*
24 microarray. *Plant Physiology* **135**, 1697-1709.
- 25 Tang W, Peng X, Newton RJ (2005) Enhanced tolerance to salt stress in transgenic loblolly
26 pine simultaneously expressing two genes encoding mannitol-1-phosphate
27 dehydrogenase and glucitol-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. *Plant Physiology and*
28 *Biochemistry* **43**, 139–146.
- 29 Tarczynski MC, Jensen RG, Bohnert HJ (1992) Expression of a bacterial *mtlD* gene in
30 transgenic tobacco leads to production and accumulation of mannitol. *Proceedings of*
31 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **89**, 2600–2604.

- 1 Tarczynski MC, Jensen RG, Bohnert HJ (1993) Stress protection of transgenic tobacco by
2 production of the osmolyte mannitol. *Science* **259**, 508–510.
- 3 Thapa G, Sadhukhan A, Panda SK, Sahoo L (2012) Molecular mechanistic model of plant
4 heavy metal tolerance. *Biometals* **25**, 489–505.
- 5 Theocharis A, Clément C, Barka EA (2012) Physiological and molecular changes in plants
6 grown at low temperatures. *Planta* **235**, 1091–1105.
- 7 Tipirdamaz R, Gagneul D, Duhazé C, Aïnouche A, Monnier C, Özkum D, Larher F (2006)
8 Clustering of halophytes from an inland salt marsh in Turkey according to their ability
9 to accumulate sodium and nitrogenous osmolytes. *Environmental and Experimental*
10 *Botany* **57**, 139–153.
- 11 Thomas JC, Sepahi M, Arendall B, Bohnert HJ (1995) Enhancement of seed germination in
12 high salinity by engineering mannitol expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant, Cell*
13 *and Environment* **18**, 801–806.
- 14 Türkan I, Demiral T (2009) Recent developments in understanding salinity tolerance.
15 *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **67**, 2-9.
- 16 Verbruggen N, Hermans C (2008) Proline accumulation in plants: a review. *Amino Acids* **35**,
17 753–759.
- 18 Vernon DM, Bohnert HJ (1992a) Increased expression of a myoinositol methyl transferase in
19 *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* is part of a stress response distinct from
20 Crassulacean acid metabolism induction. *Plant Physiology* **99**, 1695–1698.
- 21 Vernon DM, Bohnert HJ (1992b) A novel methyl transferase induced by osmotic stress in the
22 facultative halophyte *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*. *The EMBO Journal* **11**,
23 2077–2085.
- 24 Vicente O, Boscaiu M, Naranjo MA, Estrelles E, Bellés JM, Soriano P (2004) Responses to
25 salt stress in the halophyte *Plantago crassifolia* (Plantaginaceae). *Journal of Arid*
26 *Environments* **58**, 463–481.
- 27 Vinocur B, Altman A (2005) Recent advances in engineering plant tolerance to abiotic stress:
28 achievements and limitations. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **16**, 123–132.
- 29 Wahid A, Gelani S, Ashraf M, Foolad MR (2007) Heat tolerance in plants: An overview.
30 *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **61**, 199–223.

- 1 Walker DJ, Romero P, de Hoyos A, Correal E (2008) Seasonal changes in cold tolerance,
2 water relations and accumulation of cations and compatible solutes in *Atriplex halimus*
3 L. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **64**, 217–224.
- 4 Wang Y, Chu Y, Liu G, Wang M-H, Jiang J, Hou Y, Qu G, Yang C (2007) Identification of
5 expressed sequence tags in an alkali grass (*Puccinellia tenuiflora*) cDNA library.
6 *Journal of Plant Physiology* **164**, 78-89.
- 7 Watson EB, Byrne R (2009) Abundance and diversity of tidal marsh plants along the salinity
8 gradient of the San Francisco Estuary: implications for global change ecology. *Plant*
9 *Ecology* **205**, 113–128.
- 10 Wyn Jones R, Storey R, Leigh RA, Ahmad N, Pollard A (1977) A hypothesis on cytoplasmic
11 osmoregulation. In: Marre E, Ciferri O, eds. *Regulation of Cell Membrane Activities in*
12 *Plants*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 121–136.
- 13 Yancey PH (2005) Organic osmolytes as compatible, metabolic and counteracting
14 cytoprotectants in high osmolarity and other stresses. *The Journal of Experimental*
15 *Biology* **208**, 2819–2830.
- 16 Yancey PH, Clark ME, Hand SC, Bowlus RD, Somero GN (1982) Living with water stress:
17 evolution of osmolyte systems. *Science* **217**, 1214–1222.
- 18 Yeo ET, Kwon HB, Han SE, Lee JT, Ryu JC, Byun MO (2000) Genetic engineering of
19 drought resistant potato plants by introduction of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase
20 (TPS1) gene from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecules and Cells* **10**, 263–268.
- 21 Youssef AM (2009) Salt tolerance mechanisms in some halophytes from Saudi Arabia and
22 Egypt. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences* **5**, 191-206.
- 23 Yu J, Chen S, Zhao Q, Wang T, Yang C, Diaz C, Sun G, Dai S (2011) Physiological and
24 proteomic analysis of salinity tolerance in *Puccinellia tenuiflora*. *Journal of Proteome*
25 *Research* **10**, 3852-3870.
- 26 Zhang H, Han B, Wang T, Chen S, Li H, Zhang Y, Dai S (2012) Mechanisms of plant salt
27 response: Insights from proteomics. *Journal of Proteome Research* **11**, 49–67.
- 28 Zhang J-L, Flowers TJ, Wang S-M (2010) Mechanisms of sodium uptake by roots of higher
29 plants. *Plant and Soil* **326**, 45–60.

- 1 Zhifang G, Loescher WH (2003) Expression of a celery mannose 6-phosphate reductase in
2 *Arabidopsis thaliana* enhances salt tolerance and induces biosynthesis of both
3 mannitol and a glucosyl-mannitol dimer. *Plant Cell and Environment* **26**, 275–283.
- 4 Zhou Q, Yu BJ (2009) Accumulation of inorganic and organic osmolytes and their role in
5 osmotic adjustment in NaCl-stressed vetiver grass seedlings. *Russian Journal of Plant*
6 *Physiology* **56**, 678–685.
- 7 Zhu J-K (2001) Plant salt tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science* **6**, 66–71.
- 8 Zhu J-K (2002) Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. *Annual Review of Plant*
9 *Biology* **53**, 247–273.
- 10 Zhu J-K, Meinzer FC (1999) Efficiency of C₄ photosynthesis in *Atriplex lentiformis* under
11 salinity stress. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **26**, 79–86.
- 12 Zhu Z, Pei ZM, Zheng HL (2011) Effects of salinity and osmotic adjustment characteristics of
13 *Kandelia candel*. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology* **58**, 226–232.

1 **Table S1. Relevant concentrations of soluble carbohydrates in halophytes under natural saline conditions.**

2 Concentration data of carbohydrates were obtained from tables or graphs and expressed in dry weight – $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{DW}$ – or fresh weight –
 3 mol m^{-3} plant water – according to authors. Carbohydrate abbreviations; Suc, sucrose; Glu, glucose; Fru, fructose; Ino, inositol – Chiro-i,
 4 *chiro*-inositol; Muco-i, *muco*-inositol; Myo-i, *myo*-inositol; Scy-i, *scyllo*-inositol –; Man, mannitol; Pin, pinitol; Que, Quebrachitol.

5

Species	Habitat	Organ	CHO	Conc.	Units	Reference
Monocotyledoneae						
Cyperaceae: <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> (L.) Palla [= <i>Scirpus maritimus</i> L.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	185	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{DW}$	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	21		
			Fru	25		
		Rhizomes	Suc	342		
			Glu	21		
			Fru	20		
		Roots	Suc	89		
			Glu	28		
			Fru	26		
	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	58.2	$\text{mol m}^{-3}\text{PW}$	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	3.5		
			Fru	6.3		
			Ino	6.2		
			Suc	~140	Albert and Popp 1978	
			Glu	~40		
Fru			~40			
Ino (Myo-i)			8			
<i>Carex distans</i> L.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~150	$\text{mol m}^{-3}\text{PW}$	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~50		
			Fru	~25		
<i>Carex duriuscula</i> C.A.Mey.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	29.7	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{DW}$	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Carex extensa</i> Gooden.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	121.8	$\text{mol m}^{-3}\text{PW}$	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	11		

			Fru	5.6		
<i>Carex punctata</i> Gaudin	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	114.1	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	7.9		
			Fru	4.9		
			Ino	6.8		
			Pin	8.9		
<hr/>						
Juncaceae: <i>Juncus articulatus</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	17	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	6.8		
			Fru	8.9		
<i>Juncus gerardii</i> Loisel.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	90.4	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	7.2		
			Fru	40.5		
	Saline lake		Suc	~10		Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~75		
			Fru	~75		
<i>Juncus maritimus</i> Lam.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	171	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	21		
			Fru	20		
		Rhizomes	Suc	515		
			Glu	100		
			Fru	105		
		Roots	Suc	216		
			Glu	27		
			Fru	31		
		Leaves	Suc	79.9	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	18.1		
			Fru	24.9		
<hr/>						
Juncaginaceae: <i>Triglochin maritima</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	151	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	63		
			Fru	82		
<hr/>						

		Roots	Suc	326		
			Glu	17		
			Fru	22		
		Leaves	Suc	8.2	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	42.8		
			Fru	34.8		
			Ino	2		
	Saline lake		Suc	~2		Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~75		
			Fru	~75		
<hr/>						
Iridaceae: <i>Iris pseudacorus</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	16.3	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	12.8		
			Fru	11.7		
			Ino	4.8		
<hr/>						
Poaceae: <i>Agrostis stolonifera</i> L.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~40	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~40		
			Fru	~50		
			Ino (Myo-i)	4		
<i>Calamagrostis epigejos</i> (L.) Roth [= <i>Calamagrostis macrolepis</i> Litv.]	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	40.8	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Chloris virgata</i> Sw.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	35.1	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Crypsis aculeata</i> (L.) Aiton	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~90	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~30		
			Fru	~50		
			Ino (Myo-i)	4		
<i>Elymus pungens</i> (Pers.) Melderis [= <i>Agropyron pungens</i> (Pers.) Roem. & Schult.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	80	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	38		
			Fru	25		

		Roots	Suc	46		
			Glu	14		
			Fru	16		
		Leaves	Suc	10	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	43		
			Fru	17.5		
<i>Festuca rubra</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	126	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher, 1982
			Glu	88		
			Fru	78		
		Roots	Suc	65		
			Glu	22		
			Fru	34		
<i>Leymus chinensis</i> (Trin.) Tzvelev	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	27.8	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	17.6	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	10.6		
			Fru	9.4		
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. [= <i>P. communis</i> Trin.] [= <i>P. hirsuta</i> Kitag.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	236	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	67		
			Fru	80		
		Stems	Suc	404		
			Glu	32		
			Fru	43		
		Roots	Suc	121		
			Glu	30		
			Fru	33		
	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~70	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~55		
			Fru	~50		
	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized	Shoots	Man	27.4	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012

	grassland						
<i>Puccinellia distans</i> (Jacq.) Parl.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~110	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978	
			Glu	~65			
			Fru	~80			
<i>Puccinellia maritima</i> (Huds.) Parl.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	217	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982	
			Glu	20			
			Fru	49			
		Roots	Suc	60			
			Glu	20			
			Fru	22			
<i>Puccinellia tenuiflora</i> (Griseb.) Scribn. & Merr.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	38.1	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012	
<i>Spartina anglica</i> C.E.Hubb.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	17.2	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980	
			Glu	4.8			
			Fru	13.2			
			Ino	0.6			
<i>Spartina x townsendii</i> H.Groves & J. Groves	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	167	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982	
			Glu	20			
			Fru	92			
		Roots	Suc	620			
			Glu	103			
			Fru	231			
Dicotyledoneae							
Acanthaceae: <i>Acanthus ilicifolius</i> L.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	~15	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984	
<i>Avicennia marina</i> (Forssk.) Vierh.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	~30	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984	
Amaranthaceae: <i>Atriplex portulacoides</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	50	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982	

[= <i>Halimione portulacoides</i> (L.) Aellen]			Glu	23			
			Fru	41			
		Stems	Suc	238			
			Glu	30			
			Fru	20			
		Roots	Suc	655			
			Glu	55			
			Fru	54			
	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Boucher ex DC. subsp. <i>calotheca</i> (Rafn) M.A.Gust. [= <i>Atriplex hastata</i> auct., non L.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	75	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
Glu				15			
Fru				10			
Stems			Suc	60			
			Glu	651			
			Fru	150			
Roots		Suc	147				
		Glu	107				
		Fru	54				
		Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~5	mol m^{-3} PW	Albert and Popp 1978
				Glu	~3		
				Fru	~2		
Pin	7.6						
<i>Bassia scoparia</i> (L.) A.J.Scott [= <i>Kochia sieversiana</i> (Pall.) C.A. Mey.]	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	18.5	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012	
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L. [= <i>Beta maritima</i> L.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	97	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Briens and Larher 1982	
			Glu	157			
			Fru	90			
		Stems	Suc	295			
			Glu	194			
			Fru	9			
		Roots	Suc	1290			
			Glu	96			

			Fru	75		
<i>Camphorosma annua</i> Pall.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~2	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~30		
			Fru	~15		
			Pin	3.8		
<i>Chenopodium chenopodioides</i> (L.) Aellen [= <i>Chenopodium botryoides</i> Sm.]	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~5	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~20		
			Fru	~35		
<i>Chenopodium glaucum</i> L.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~10	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~15		
			Fru	~15		
<i>Salicornia europaea</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	27	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	16		
			Fru	15		
		Stems	Suc	86		
			Glu	5		
			Fru	4		
		Roots	Suc	109		
			Glu	15		
			Fru	12		
		Leaves	Suc	12.8	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	4.6		
			Fru	9.4		
<i>Salicornia prostrata</i> Pall.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~5	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~10		
			Fru	~20		
<i>Suaeda glauca</i> (Bunge) Bunge	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	26.4	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Suaeda macrocarpa</i> Moq.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	68	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982

			Glu	7		
			Fru	5		
		Stems	Suc	35		
			Glu	7		
			Fru	6		
		Roots	Suc	97		
			Glu	8		
			Fru	10		
<i>Suaeda maritima</i> (L.) Dumort.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	6	mol m ⁻³ PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	11.4		
			Fru	13.4		
	Saline lake		Suc	~10		Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~10		
			Fru	~10		
<i>Suaeda maritima</i> subsp. <i>pannonica</i> (Beck) Soó ex P.W.Ball [= <i>Suaeda pannonica</i> Beck]	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~5	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~15		
			Fru	~10		
<i>Suaeda maritima</i> subsp. <i>salsa</i> (L.) Soó [= <i>Suaeda salsa</i> (L.) Pall.]	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	31.1	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<hr/>						
Apocynaceae: <i>Cynanchum chinense</i> R.Br.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	35.8	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<hr/>						
Asteraceae: <i>Artemisia anethifolia</i> Weber ex Stechm.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	27.3	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Artemisia santonicum</i> L. [= <i>Artemisia monogyna</i> Waldst. & Kit.]	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~15	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~15		
			Fru	~30		
			Ino (Myo-i)	5		
<i>Artemisia scoparia</i> Waldst. & Kit.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	47.6	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012

<i>Inula japonica</i> Thunb.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	37.3	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012		
<i>Kalimeris integrifolia</i> Turcz. ex DC.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	33.9	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012		
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i> L.	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~20	mol m^{-3} PW	Albert and Popp 1978		
			Glu	~15				
			Fru	~15				
			Ino (Myo-i)	6.4				
	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	64.8	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012		
<i>Tripolium pannonicum</i> (Jacq.) Dobroc. [= <i>Aster tripolium</i> L.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	40	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Briens and Larher 1982		
			Glu	11				
			Fru	16				
		Roots	Suc	115				
			Glu	12				
			Fru	24				
		Leaves	Suc	2.4	mol m^{-3} PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980		
			Glu	1.4				
			Fru	4.6				
		Florets	Ino	0.6				
			Suc	4.9				
			Glu	17.1				
			Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~35		Albert and Popp 1978
					Glu	~10		
					Fru	~25		
Ino (Myo-i)	5.3							

Boraginaceae: <i>Tournefortia sibirica</i> L. var. <i>sibirica</i> [= <i>Messerschmidia sibirica</i> (L.) L.]	Semi-arid salt- alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	41.3	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
Brassicaceae: <i>Lepidium cartilagineum</i> (J. Mayer) Thell. [= <i>Lepidium crassifolium</i> Waldst. & Kit.]	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~5	mol m^{-3} PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~15		
			Fru	~15		
			Ino (Myo-i)	8.5		
Caryophyllaceae: <i>Spergularia media</i> (L.) C.Presl.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	6.9	mol m^{-3} PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980
			Glu	20.6		
			Fru	18		
			Pin	32.3		
	Saline lake		Suc	~15		Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~8		
			Fru	~10		
			Ino (Myo-i)	2		
Combretaceae: <i>Lumnitzera littorea</i> (Jack) Voigt	Mangrove	Leaves	Man	112	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp <i>et al.</i> 1985
<i>Lumnitzera racemosa</i> Willd.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	5.9	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	7.5		
			Fru	7.2		
			Ino (Myo-i)	1		
			Man	100		
Euphorbiaceae: <i>Excoecaria agallocha</i> L.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	15.7	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	29		
			Fru	34.2		
			Ino (Myo+Chiro-i)	7.7		
			Que	88.5		
Leguminosae: <i>Astragalus complanatus</i> Bunge	Semi-arid salt- alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	56.9	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012

<i>Lespedeza juncea</i> (L.f.) Pers. [= <i>Lespedeza hedysaroides</i> (Pall.) Kitag.]	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	51.2	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i> (L.) Pall.	Semi-arid salt-alkalinized grassland	Shoots	Man	66	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Yang <i>et al.</i> 2012
<hr/>						
Lythraceae: <i>Sonneratia alba</i> Sm.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	10.1	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	21.7		
			Fru	25.4		
			Ino (Myo-i)	1.7		
			Man	200		
			Pin	1.8		
<hr/>						
Malvaceae: <i>Commersonia fraseri</i> J.Gay	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	22.2	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	12.2		
			Fru	21.9		
			Ino (Myo+Scy-i)	19.7		
<i>Heritiera littoralis</i> Aiton	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	33	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	23.4		
			Fru	25.9		
			Ino (Myo-i)	0.6		
			Pin	1.9		
<i>Hibiscus tiliaceus</i> L.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	~20	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
<hr/>						
Meliaceae: <i>Melia azedarach</i> L.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	119.8	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	75.2		
			Fru	84.8		
			Ino (Myo-i)	32.7		
<i>Xylocarpus granatum</i> J. Koenig	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	~100	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	~100		
			Fru	~90		
			Ino (Myo+Chiro-i)	~41.9		
<i>Xylocarpus mekongensis</i> Pierre	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	32.8	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984

			Glu	8.4		
			Fru	7.7		
			Ino (Myo+Chiro-i)	7.6		
<hr/>						
Myrtaceae: <i>Melaleuca hypericifolia</i> Sm.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	17.6	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	13		
			Fru	17.7		
			Ino (Myo-i)	15.8		
			Que	4.4		
<i>Osbornia octodonta</i> F.Muell.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	51.3	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	40.4		
			Fru	81.2		
			Ino (Myo+Scy-i)	3.1		
			Pin	5.5		
<hr/>						
Picrodendraceae: <i>Micrantheum hexandrum</i> Hook.f.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	62.6	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	18.4		
			Fru	19.1		
			Ino (Myo-i)	26.2		
<hr/>						
Plantaginaceae: <i>Plantago maritima</i> L.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	82	μmol g ⁻¹ DW	Briens and Larher 1982
			Glu	93		
			Fru	21		
		Roots	Suc	133		
			Glu	57		
			Fru	21		
	Saline lake	Leaves	Suc	~4	mol m ⁻³ PW	Albert and Popp 1978
			Glu	~5		
			Fru	~2		
<hr/>						
Plumbaginaceae: <i>Aegialitis annulata</i> R.Br.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	~60	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Ino (Chiro-i)	~80		
			Pin	~55		
				53		Popp and Polania 1989

			Twigs		30		
<i>Limonium vulgare</i> Mill.	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	76	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ DW	Briens and Larher 1982	
			Glu	14			
			Fru	14			
		Roots	Suc	966			
			Glu	117			
			Fru	155			
<hr/>							
Primulaceae: <i>Aegiceras corniculatum</i> (L.) Blanco	Mangrove	Leaves	Man	~250	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
				248		Popp and Polania 1989	
		Twigs		175			
		Leaves		287		Popp <i>et al.</i> 1985	
<i>Lysimachia maritima</i> (L.) Galasso, Banfi & Soldano [= <i>Glaux maritima</i> L.]	Salt marsh	Leaves	Suc	12	mol m^{-3} PW	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1980	
			Glu	1.6			
			Fru	1.9			
			Ino	9.6			
<hr/>							
Rhizophoraceae: <i>Bruguiera exaristata</i> Ding Hou	Mangrove	Leaves	Pin	~150	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
<i>Bruguiera gymnorhiza</i> (L.) Lam.	Mangrove	Leaves	Pin	~100	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
<i>Ceriops tagal</i> (Perr.) C.B.Rob.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	22.2	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
			Glu	8.8			
			Fru	10			
			Ino (Myo-i)	2.3			
			Pin	182			
<i>Rhizophora apiculata</i> Blume	Mangrove	Leaves	Pin	~220	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
<i>Rhizophora x lamarckii</i> Montr.	Mangrove	Leaves	Pin	~195	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
<i>Rhizophora stylosa</i> Griff.	Mangrove	Leaves	Pin	~175	mol m^{-3} PW	Popp 1984	
		Twigs	Ino (Muco-i)	186		Popp and Polania 1989	
		Roots		283			
<hr/>							

Rubiaceae: <i>Opercularia volubilis</i> R.Br. ex Benth.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	5.6	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	25.3		
			Fru	11.8		
			Ino (Myo-i)	3.2		
<i>Scyphiphora hydrophylacea</i> C.F.Gaertn.	Mangrove	Leaves	Suc	5.4	mol m ⁻³ PW	Popp 1984
			Glu	91.3		
			Fru	6.8		
			Ino (Myo-i)	1.2		
			Man	~240		

1

2

3 **References**

4

5 Albert R, Popp M (1978) Zur rolle der löslichen kohlenhydrate in halophyten des Neusiedlersee-gebietes (Österreich). *Oecologia Plantarum*
6 **13**, 27–42.

7 Briens M, Larher F (1982) Osmoregulation in halophytic higher plants: a comparative study of soluble carbohydrates, polyols, betaines and
8 free proline. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **5**, 287–292.

9 Gorham J, Hughes L, Wyn Jones RG (1980) Chemical composition of salt-marsh plants from Ynys Môn (Anglesey): the concept of
10 physiotypes. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **3**, 309–318.

11 Popp M (1984) Chemical composition of Australian mangroves II. Low molecular weight carbohydrates. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenphysiologie*
12 **113**, 411–421.

13 Popp M, Larher F, Weigel P (1985) Osmotic adaption in Australian mangroves. *Vegetatio* **61**, 247–253.

14 Popp M, Polania J (1989) Compatible solutes in different organs of mangrove trees. *Annals of Forest Science* **46**, 842–844.

15 Yang C, Zheng S, Huang H, Liu Z, Zheng W, Liu B, Shi D (2012) Comparison of osmotic adjustment and ion balance strategies in nineteen
16 alkali-tolerant halophyte species during adaptation to salt-alkalinized habitats in northeast China. *Australian Journal of Crop*
17 *Science* **6**, 141–148.

1 **Table 1. Examples of relative increases of soluble carbohydrates in halophytes growing under controlled saline treatments.**

2 Concentration of carbohydrates were expressed as increases (-fold) calculated from data obtained in tables or graphs.

3 Abbreviations; Suc, sucrose; Glu, glucose; Fru, fructose; Ino, inositol – Chiro-i, *chiro*-inositol; Myo-i, *myo*-inositol –; Man, mannitol;

4 Pin, pinitol; Sor, sorbitol; Red, reducing carbohydrates; Sol, total soluble carbohydrates.

5

Species	Plant material	Experimental conditions	CHO increases (-fold)	References
Monocotyledoneae				
Juncaceae: <i>Juncus maritimus</i> Lam.	2 to 5-month-old plants (leaves)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 0-300 mM 3 weeks	Suc (1.2), Glu (3.7), Fru (3.4)	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1981
Juncaginaceae: <i>Triglochin maritima</i> L.	Adult plants (shoots, roots)	Treatments 0-100% seawater 2 weeks	Red (1.4, 4)	Jefferies <i>et al.</i> 1979
Poaceae: <i>Paspalum vaginatum</i> Sw.	Adult plants (shoots)	NaCl treatment 0-49.7 dS m ⁻¹	Fru (2.7), Myo-i (2)	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2008
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.	Juvenile plants cultured for 21 days (rhizomes)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 1.5-10‰ NaCl 16 days	Sol (3.5)	Hartzendorf and Rolletschek 2001
Dicotyledoneae				
Aizoaceae: <i>Mesembryanthemum crystallinum</i> L.	8.5-week-old plants (leaves)	500 mM NaCl treatments by daily irrigation for 5 days	Pin (4.7)	Vernon and Bohnert 1992
<i>Sesuvium portulacastrum</i> (L.) L.	Explants cultured > 1 year (axillary shoots)	NaCl treatment 0-200 mM 30 days	Sol (1.9)	Lokhande <i>et al.</i> 2011
Amaranthaceae: <i>Atriplex halimus</i> L.	40-day-old plants (leaves)	NaCl treatment 0-550 mM 30 days	Suc (5)	Alla <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Atriplex portulacoides</i> L. [= <i>Halimione portulacoides</i> (L.) Aellen]	Adult plants (roots)	Treatments 0-100% seawater 2 weeks	Red (58)	Jefferies <i>et al.</i> 1979
<i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> Willd.	3-week-old seedlings (roots, adult-young leaves and stems)	NaCl treatment 0-500 mM 4 weeks	Sol (~4.1, ~1.7-3.3, ~3.1-2.4)	Eisa <i>et al.</i> 2012
<i>Salicornia rubra</i> A. Nelson [= <i>Salicornia europaea</i> L. subsp. <i>rubra</i> (A.Nelson) Breitung]	60-day-old plants (succulent stems)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 10-100 mM 6 hours	Sol (4.9)	McNulty 1985
<i>Suaeda glauca</i> (Bunge) Bunge	4-month-old plants (leaves)	NaCl treatment 0-900 mM 7 days	Sol (~2)	Jia <i>et al.</i> 2011
Brassicaceae: <i>Thellungiella salsuginea</i> (Pallas) O.E.Schulz [= <i>Thellungiella</i>	4-week-old seedlings (leaves)	NaCl treatments 0-500 mM 3 weeks	Sol (4.7)	Inan <i>et al.</i> 2004

halophila (C.A.Mey.) O.E.Schulz]

Caryophyllaceae: <i>Honckenya peploides</i> (L.) Ehrh.	3-month-old plants (leaves)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 0-250 mM 3 weeks	Suc (10), Pin (2.2)	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1981
Asteraceae: <i>Tripolium pannonicum</i> Jacq. Dobroc. subsp. <i>tripolium</i> (L.) Greuter [= <i>Aster tripolium</i> L.]	2-month-old plants (roots)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 0-500 mM 4 weeks	Sol (1.7)	Geissler <i>et al.</i> 2009
	6-month-old plants (leaves)	Hydroponic. 0-300 mM 3 weeks	Suc (3.1), Fru (3.9), Ino (2.9), Pin (1.4)	Gorham <i>et al.</i> 1981
	Adult plants (shoots)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 0-150 mM 16 days	Suc (1.8)	Matsumura <i>et al.</i> 1998
Plantaginaceae: <i>Plantago coronopus</i> L.	4-week-old seedlings (leaves, roots)	NaCl treatments 0-500 mM 2 weeks	Sor (65, 6.1)	Koyro 2006
<i>Plantago crassifolia</i> Forssk.	Adult plants (leaves)	NaCl treatments 0-500 mM 3 months	Sor (~1.6)	Vicente <i>et al.</i> 2004
<i>Plantago maritima</i> L.	5-week-old seedlings (shoots, roots)	Daily additions of 50 mM from 0-400 mM NaCl	Sor (~10, ~230)	Ahmad <i>et al.</i> 1979
Plumbaginaceae: <i>Limonium perezii</i> (Stapf) F.T. Hubb.	3-week-old seedlings (leaves)	NaCl treatment 2.5-30 dS m ⁻¹ 67 days	Glu (~2.3), Fru (~2.3), Chiro-i (8.3), Sol (~3.5)	Liu and Grieve 2009
<i>Limonium vulgare</i> Mill.	Adult plants (shoots, roots)	Treatments 0-100% seawater 2 weeks	Red (1.6, 4.7)	Jefferies <i>et al.</i> 1979
Rhizophoraceae: <i>Bruguiera parviflora</i> (Roxb.) Wight & Arn. ex Griff.	2-month-old plants (leaves)	Hydroponic. NaCl treatment 0-400 mM 45 days	Sol (2.5)	Parida <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Kandelia candel</i> (L.) Druce	3-month-old plants (leaves)	NaCl treatment 0-500 mM 45 days	Man (2.3), Pin (1.7), Sol (1.7)	Zhu <i>et al.</i> 2011

1

2