

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Fixed point theorems for cyclic self-maps involving weaker Meir-Keeler functions in complete metric spaces and applications

Hemant Kumar Nashine<sup>1</sup> and Salvador Romaguera<sup>2\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

sromague@mat.upv.es

<sup>2</sup>Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera s/n, Valencia, 46022, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

We obtain fixed point theorems for cyclic self-maps on complete metric spaces involving Meir-Keeler and weaker Meir-Keeler functions, respectively. In this way, we extend several well-known fixed point theorems and, in particular, improve some very recent results on weaker Meir-Keeler functions. Fixed point results for well-posed property and for limit shadowing property are also deduced. Finally, an application to the study of existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear integral equations is presented.

**MSC:** 47H10; 54H25; 54E50; 45G10

**Keywords:** fixed point; cyclic map; weaker Meir-Keeler function; complete metric space; integral equation

## 1 Introduction

In their paper [1], Kirk, Srinivasan and Veeramani started the fixed point theory for cyclic self-maps on (complete) metric spaces. In particular, they obtained, among others, cyclic versions of the Banach contraction principle [2], of the Boyd and Wong fixed point theorem [3] and of the Caristi fixed point theorem [4]. From then, several authors have contributed to the study of fixed point theorems and best proximity points for cyclic contractions (see, e.g., [5–13]). Very recently, Chen [14] (see also [15]) introduced the notion of a weaker Meir-Keeler function and obtained some fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions involving weaker Meir-Keeler functions.

In this paper we obtain a fixed point theorem for cyclic self-maps on complete metric spaces involving Meir-Keeler functions and deduce a variant of it for weaker Meir-Keeler functions. In this way, we extend in several directions and improve, among others, the main fixed point theorem of Chen's paper [14, Theorem 3]. Some consequences are given after the main results. Fixed point results for well-posedness property and for limit shadowing property in complete metric spaces are also given. Finally, an application to the study of existence and uniqueness of solution for a class of nonlinear integral equations is presented.

We recall that a self-map  $f$  of a (non-empty) set  $X$  is called a cyclic map if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and  $f(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ .

In this case, we say that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  is a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ .

## 2 Fixed point results

In the sequel, the letters  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  will denote the set of real numbers, the set of non-negative real numbers and the set of positive integer numbers, respectively.

Meir and Keeler proved in [16] that if  $f$  is a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the condition that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $x, y \in X$ , with  $\varepsilon \leq d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta$ , we have  $d(fx, fy) < \varepsilon$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$  and  $f^n x \rightarrow z$  for all  $x \in X$ .

This important result suggests the notion of a Meir-Keeler function:

A function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a Meir-Keeler function if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $t > 0$  with  $\varepsilon \leq t < \varepsilon + \delta$ , we have  $\phi(t) < \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 1** It is obvious that if  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler function, then  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

In [14], Chen introduced the following interesting generalization of the notion of a Meir-Keeler function.

**Definition 1** [14, Definition 3] A function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a weaker Meir-Keeler function if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $t > 0$  with  $\varepsilon \leq t < \varepsilon + \delta$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{n_0}(t) < \varepsilon$ .

Now let  $\phi, \varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . According to Chen [14, Section 2], consider the following conditions for  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$ , respectively.

( $\phi_1$ )  $\phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ ;

( $\phi_2$ ) for all  $t > 0$ , the sequence  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing;

( $\phi_3$ ) for  $t_n > 0$ ,

(a) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \gamma > 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) < \gamma$ , and

(b) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0$ ;

( $\varphi_1$ )  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and continuous with  $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ ;

( $\varphi_2$ )  $\varphi$  is subadditive, that is, for every  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\varphi(t_1 + t_2) \leq \varphi(t_1) + \varphi(t_2)$ ;

( $\varphi_3$ ) for  $t_n > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t_n) = 0$ .

**Definition 2** [14, Definition 4] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A self-map  $f$  of  $X$  is called a cyclic weaker  $(\phi \circ \varphi)$ -contraction if there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , for which  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  (each  $A_i$  a non-empty closed set), and two functions  $\phi, \varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying conditions ( $\phi_i$ ),  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and ( $\varphi_i$ ),  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively, with  $\phi$  a weaker Meir-Keeler function such that

(1)  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  is a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ ;

(2) for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\varphi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(\varphi(d(x, y))),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ .

By using the above concept, Chen established the following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1** [14, Theorem 3] *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Then every cyclic weaker  $(\phi \circ \varphi)$ -contraction  $f$  of  $X$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ , where  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  is the cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$  of Definition 2.*

We shall establish fixed point theorems which improve in several directions the preceding theorem. To this end, we start by obtaining a fixed point theorem for cyclic contractions involving Meir-Keeler functions.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a Meir-Keeler function such that for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y)),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in A_m$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , put  $x_n = f^n x_0$ . Note that  $x_{nm+i} \in A_i$  whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

If  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$  for some  $n_0$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . So, we assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . By Remark 1 and the contraction condition, it follows that  $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , so there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$ . If  $r > 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < r$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  by our assumption that  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler function. Hence,  $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < r$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ .

Next we prove that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Choose an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$  such that for  $t > 0$  with  $\varepsilon \leq t < \varepsilon + \delta$ , we have  $\phi(t) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $d(x_k, x_{k+1}) < \delta/2, d(x_k, x_{k+m-1}) < \varepsilon/2$  and  $d(x_k, x_{k+m+1}) < \delta/2$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

Take any  $k > k_0$ . Then  $k = nm + i$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . By induction we shall show that  $d(x_{nm+i}, x_{(n+j)m+i+1}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Indeed, for  $j = 1$ , we have

$$d(x_{nm+i}, x_{nm+i+m+1}) = d(x_k, x_{k+m+1}) < \frac{\delta}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

Now, assume that  $d(x_{nm+i}, x_{(n+j)m+i+1}) < \varepsilon$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i}) &\leq d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{nm+i}) + d(x_{nm+i}, x_{(n+j)m+i+1}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{(n+j)m+i+1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i}) \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + \varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varepsilon \leq d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i})$ , then  $\phi(d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i})) < \varepsilon$ , and, by the contraction condition,

$$d(x_{nm+i}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i+1}) < \varepsilon.$$

If  $d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i}) < \varepsilon$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{nm+i}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i+1}) &\leq \phi(d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i})) \\ &< d(x_{nm+i-1}, x_{(n+j+1)m+i}) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It immediately follows that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Hence, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . Since each  $A_i$  is closed, we deduce that  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Moreover,  $z = fz$ . Indeed, let  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  be such that  $fz \in A_{i_0+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fz) &\leq d(z, x_{nm+i_0}) + d(x_{nm+i_0}, fz) \leq d(z, x_{nm+i_0}) + \phi(d(x_{nm+i_0-1}, z)) \\ &< d(z, x_{nm+i_0}) + d(x_{nm+i_0-1}, z), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, x_{nm+i_0}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, x_{nm+i_0-1}) = 0$ , it follows that  $d(z, fz) = 0$ , i.e.,  $z = fz$ .

Finally, let  $u \in X$  with  $u = fu$  and  $u \neq z$ . Since  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ , we have  $d(fz, fu) \leq \phi(d(z, u))$ , so  $d(z, u) < d(z, u)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $u = z$ , and thus  $z$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ .  $\square$

Next we analyze some relations between Chen's conditions  $(\phi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Lemma 1** *If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies  $(\phi_3)$ (a), then  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler function that satisfies conditions  $(\phi_2)$  and  $(\phi_3)$ (b).*

*Proof* Suppose that  $\phi$  is not a Meir-Keeler function. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find a  $t_n > 0$  with  $\varepsilon \leq t_n < \varepsilon + 1/n$  and  $\phi(t_n) \geq \varepsilon$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \varepsilon > 0$ , but  $\phi(t_n) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n$ , so condition  $(\phi_3)$ (a) is not satisfied. We conclude that condition  $(\phi_3)$ (a) implies that  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler function. Hence, by Remark 1,  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ , so the sequence  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is (strictly) decreasing for all  $t > 0$ , and thus condition  $(\phi_2)$  is satisfied. Finally, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$ , with  $t_n > 0$ , we deduce that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_n) = 0$  because  $\phi(t_n) < t_n$  for all  $n$ , so condition  $(\phi_3)$ (b) also holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 1** *Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfying conditions  $(\varphi_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2)$ . If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, then the function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , given by*

$$p(x, y) = \varphi(d(x, y)),$$

*is a metric on  $X$ . If, in addition,  $(X, d)$  is complete and  $\varphi$  satisfies condition  $(\varphi_3)$ , then the metric space  $(X, p)$  is complete.*

*Proof* We first show that  $p$  is a metric on  $X$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- Suppose  $p(x, y) = 0$ . Then  $\varphi(d(x, y)) = 0$ , so  $d(x, y) = 0$  by  $(\varphi_1)$ . Hence  $x = y$ .
- Clearly,  $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ .
- Since  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ , and  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and subadditive, we deduce that  $\varphi(d(x, y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, z)) + \varphi(d(z, y))$ , i.e.,  $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y)$ .

Finally, suppose that  $(X, d)$  is complete with  $\varphi$  satisfying  $(\varphi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p)$ . If  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $k < n_k < m_k < n_{k+1}$  and  $d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $(\varphi_3)$ , the sequence  $\{p(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not converge to zero, which contradicts the fact that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p)$ . Consequently,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , so it converges in  $(X, d)$  to some  $x \in X$ . From  $(\varphi_3)$  we deduce that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$  in  $(X, p)$ . Therefore  $(X, p)$  is a complete metric space.  $\square$

**Remark 2** Note that the continuity of  $\varphi$  is not used in the preceding proposition.

Now we easily deduce the following improvement of Chen's theorem.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\phi, \varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy conditions  $(\phi_3)(a)$  and  $(\varphi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively, and for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , it follows*

$$\varphi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(\varphi(d(x, y))),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

*Proof* Define  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $p(x, y) = \varphi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . By Proposition 1,  $(X, p)$  is a complete metric space. Moreover, from the condition

$$\varphi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(\varphi(d(x, y))),$$

for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, m$ , it follows that

$$p(fx, fy) = \varphi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(\varphi(d(x, y))) = \phi(p(x, y))$$

for all  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Finally, since by Lemma 1  $\phi$  is a Meir-Keeler function, we can apply Theorem 2, so there exists  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ , which is the unique fixed point of  $f$ . □

Note that the continuity of  $\varphi$  can be omitted in Theorem 3. Moreover, the condition that  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler function turns out to be irrelevant by virtue of Lemma 1. This fact suggests the question of obtaining a fixed point theorem for cyclic contractions involving explicitly weaker Meir-Keeler functions. In particular, it is natural to wonder if Theorem 2 remains valid when we replace 'Meir-Keeler function' by 'weaker Meir-Keeler function'. In the sequel we answer this question. First we give an easy example which shows that it has a negative answer in general, but the answer is positive whenever the weaker Meir-Keeler function is non-decreasing as Theorem 5 below shows.

**Example 1** Let  $X = \{0, 1\}$  and let  $d$  be the discrete metric on  $X$ , i.e.,  $d(0, 0) = d(1, 1) = 0$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$  otherwise. Of course  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Define  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f0 = 1$  and  $f1 = 0$ , and consider the function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $\phi(t) = t/2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\phi(1) = 2$  and  $\phi(t) = 1/2$  for all  $t > 1$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler function (note, in particular, that  $\phi^2(1) = 1/2 < 1$ ), but it is not a Meir-Keeler function because  $\phi(1) > 1$ . Finally, since  $d(f0, f1) = 1$  and  $\phi(d(0, 1)) = 2$ , we deduce that  $d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . However,  $f$  has no fixed point.

The function  $\phi$  of the preceding example is not non-decreasing. This fact is not casual as Theorem 5 below shows.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function. Then the following hold:*

- (i)  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* (i) Suppose that there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $t_0 \leq \phi(t_0)$ . Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing, we deduce that  $\{\phi^n(t_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , so, in particular,  $t_0 \leq \phi^n(t_0)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, since  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler function, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\phi^{n_0}(t_0) < t_0$ , which yields a contradiction.

(ii) Fix  $t > 0$ . By (i) the sequence  $\{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is (strictly) decreasing, so there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that  $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t)$ . If  $r > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $s > 0$  with  $r \leq s < r + \delta$ , there exists  $n_s \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\phi^{n_s}(s) < r$ . Let  $n_r \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $r < \phi^{n_r}(t) < r + \delta$  for all  $n \geq n_r$ . Putting  $s = \phi^{n_r}(t)$ , we deduce that  $\phi^{n_s}(s) < r$ , i.e.,  $\phi^{n_s+n_r}(t) < r$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$ . □

**Remark 3** Observe that, as a partial converse of the above lemma, if  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $\phi$  is a weaker Meir-Keeler function. Indeed, otherwise, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_n \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \varepsilon$  but  $\phi^k(t_n) \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a contradiction.

We also will use the following cyclic extension of the celebrated Matkowski fixed point theorem [17, Theorem 1.2], where for a self-map  $f$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , we define

$$M_d(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, fy) + d(fx, y)] \right\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Theorem 4** (cf. [18, Corollary 2.14]) *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing function such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , and for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(M_d(x, y)),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

Then from Lemma 2 and Theorem 4 we immediately deduce the following theorem.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function such that for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(M_d(x, y)),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

**Corollary** *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .*

If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function such that for any  $x \in A_i$ ,  $y \in A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y)),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

*Proof* Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing, we deduce that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\phi(d(x, y)) \leq \phi(M_d(x, y))$ , so  $d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(M_d(x, y))$ . Hence, by Theorem 5,  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$  and  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .  $\square$

Theorem 5 can be generalized according to the style of Chen's theorem as follows.

**Theorem 6** Let  $f$  be a self-map of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ , and let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  be a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ , with  $A_i$  non-empty and closed,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function,  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a function satisfying conditions  $(\varphi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and for any  $x \in A_i$ ,  $y \in A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , it follows

$$\varphi(d(fx, fy)) \leq \phi(\varphi(M_d(x, y))),$$

where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z$ . Moreover,  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

*Proof* Construct the complete metric space  $(X, p)$  of Proposition 1, and observe that from the well-known fact that for  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , one has  $\phi(\max_i a_i) = \max_i \phi(a_i)$ , one has

$$M_p(x, y) = \varphi(M_d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in A_i$ ,  $y \in A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we deduce that

$$p(fx, fy) \leq \phi(M_p(x, y)).$$

Theorem 5 concludes the proof.  $\square$

We finish this section with two examples illustrating Theorem 5 and its corollary.

**Example 2** Let  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is even}\} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ is odd}\} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $X = A \cup B = \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $d$  be the complete metric on  $X$  defined by  $d(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = x + y$  otherwise. Since  $d$  induces the discrete topology on  $X$ , we deduce that  $A$  and  $B$  are closed subsets of  $(X, d)$ .

Let  $f$  be the self-map of  $X$  defined by  $f0 = 0$  and  $fx = x - 1$  otherwise. It is clear that  $X = A \cup B$  is a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ .

Now we define the function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\phi(0) = 0$ , and  $\phi(t) = n - 1$  if  $t \in (n - 1, n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is immediate to check that  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function which is not a Meir-Keeler function.

Furthermore, we have:

- For  $x = 0$  and  $y = 1$ ,  $d(fx, fy) = d(0, 0) = 0$ .

- For  $x = 0$  and  $y = n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) = d(0, n - 1) = n - 1 = \phi(n) = \phi(d(x, y)).$$

- For  $x = n \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and  $y = m \in B \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy) &= d(n - 1, m - 1) = n + m - 2 < n + m - 1 \\ &= \phi(n + m) = \phi(d(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the conditions of the corollary of Theorem 5 are verified; in fact,  $z = 0 \in A \cap B$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ .

**Example 3** Let  $A = [0, 1/2] \cup \{1\}$ ,  $B = [1, 2]$ ,  $X = A \cup B$  and let  $d$  be the restriction to  $X$  of the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Obviously,  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space (in fact, it is compact), with  $A$  and  $B$  closed subsets of  $(X, d)$ .

Let  $f$  be the self-map of  $X$  defined by  $fx = 2 - x$  if  $x \in A$ , and  $fx = 1$  if  $x \in B$ . It is clear that  $X = A \cup B$  is a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $f$ .

Now we define the function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\phi(t) = t/2$  if  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\phi(t) = 1$  if  $t > 1$ . (Notice that  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function which is not a Meir-Keeler function.)

Furthermore, we have:

- For  $x = 1 \in A$  and  $y \in B$ ,  $d(fx, fy) = d(1, 1) = 0$ .
- For  $x = 1/2 \in A$  and  $y \in B$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) = d(3/2, 1) = 1/2 = \phi(1) = \phi(d(x, fx)).$$

- For  $x \in A \setminus \{1, 1/2\}$  and  $y \in B$ ,

$$d(fx, fy) = d(2 - x, 1) = 1 - x \leq 1 = \phi(2 - 2x) = \phi(d(x, fx)).$$

Consequently, the conditions of Theorem 5 are verified; in fact,  $z = 1 \in A \cap B$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ .

Finally, observe that the corollary of Theorem 5 cannot be applied in this case because for  $x = 1/2 \in A$  and  $y = 1 \in B$ , we have

$$d(fx, fy) = 1/2 > \phi(1/2) = \phi(d(x, y)).$$

### 3 Applications to well-posedness and limit shadowing property of a fixed point problem

The notion of well-posedness of a fixed point problem has evoked much interest to several mathematicians, for example, De Blasi and Myjak [19], Lahiri and Das [20], Popa [21, 22] and others.

**Definition 3** [19] Let  $f$  be a self-map of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . The fixed point problem of  $f$  is said to be well posed if:



- (i)  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$ ;
- (ii) for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, x_n) = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$ .

**Definition 4** [22] Let  $f$  be a self-map of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . The fixed point problem of  $f$  is said to have limit shadowing property in  $X$  if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, x_n) = 0$ , it follows that there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n z, x_n) = 0$ .

Concerning the well-posedness and limit shadowing of the fixed point problem for a self-map of a complete metric space satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5, we have the following results.

**Theorem 7** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. If  $f$  is a self-map of  $X$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5, then the fixed point problem of  $f$  is well posed.

*Proof* Owing to Theorem 5, we know that  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, fx_n) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, z) &\leq d(x_n, fx_n) + d(fx_n, fz) \\ &\leq d(x_n, fx_n) \\ &\quad + \phi \left( \max \left\{ d(x_n, z), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, fz), \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$ . □

**Theorem 8** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. If  $f$  is a self-map of  $X$  and  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5, then  $f$  has the limit shadowing property.

*Proof* From Theorem 5, we know that  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, fx_n) = 0$ . Then, as in the proof of the previous theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, z) &\leq d(x_n, fx_n) \\ &\quad + \phi \left( \max \left\{ d(x_n, z), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, fz), \frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, f^n z) = d(x_n, z) = 0$ . □

#### 4 An application to integral equations

In this section we apply Theorem 5 to study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of nonlinear integral equations.

We consider the nonlinear integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,u(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \tag{1}$$

where  $T > 0$ ,  $K : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $G : [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous functions, and  $M := \max_{(s,x) \in [0,T]^2} K(s,x)$ .

We shall suppose that the following four conditions are satisfied:

- (I)  $\int_0^T G(t,s) ds \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- (II)  $K(s, \cdot)$  is a non-increasing function for any fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$ , that is,

$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad x \geq y \implies K(s,x) \leq K(s,y).$$

- (III) There exists a Meir-Keeler function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  that is non-decreasing on  $[0, 2M]$  and such that

$$|K(s,x) - K(s,y)| \leq \psi(|x - y|)$$

for all  $s, x \in [0, T]$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $|x - y| \leq 2M$ .

- (IV) There exists a continuous function  $\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, T]$  such that:

For all  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\alpha(t) \leq \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,T) ds$$

and

$$T \geq \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,\alpha(s)) ds.$$

Now denote by  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  the set of non-negative real continuous functions on  $[0, T]$ . We endow  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  with the supremum metric

$$d_\infty(u, v) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t) - v(t)|, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+).$$

It is well known that  $(C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+), d_\infty)$  is a complete metric space.

Consider the self-map  $f : C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  defined by

$$fu(t) = \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,u(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Clearly,  $u$  is a solution of (1) if and only if  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

In order to prove the existence of a (unique) fixed point of  $f$ , we construct the closed subsets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$  as follows:

$$A_1 = \{u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+) : u(s) \leq T \text{ for all } s \in [0, T]\},$$

and

$$A_2 = \{u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+) : u \geq \alpha\}.$$

We shall prove that

$$f(A_1) \subseteq A_2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(A_2) \subseteq A_1. \tag{2}$$

Let  $u \in A_1$ , that is,

$$u(s) \leq T \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, T].$$

Since  $G(t, s) \geq 0$  for all  $t, s \in [0, T]$ , we deduce from (II) and (IV) that

$$\int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, u(s)) \, ds \geq \int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, T) \, ds \geq \alpha(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then we have  $fu \in A_2$ .

Similarly, let  $u \in A_2$ , that is,

$$u(s) \geq \alpha(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, T].$$

Again, from (II) and (IV), we deduce that

$$\int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, u(s)) \, ds \leq \int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, \alpha(s)) \, ds \leq T$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then we have  $fu \in A_1$ . Thus, we have shown that (2) holds.

Hence, if  $X := A_1 \cup A_2$ , we have that  $X$  is closed in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ , so the metric space  $(X, d_\infty)$  is complete.

Moreover,  $X := A_1 \cup A_2$  is a cyclic representation of the restriction of  $f$  with respect to  $X$ , which will be also denoted by  $f$ .

Now construct the function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by

$$\phi(t) = \psi(t) \quad \text{if } t \in [0, 2M],$$

and

$$\phi(t) = 2M \quad \text{if } t > 2M.$$

Since  $\psi$  is a Meir-Keeler function that is non-decreasing on  $[0, 2M]$ , it immediately follows that  $\phi$  is a non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function. Note also that  $\phi$  is not continuous at  $t = 2M$  (in fact, it is not a Meir-Keeler function).

Finally we shall show that for each  $u \in A_1$  and  $v \in A_2$ , one has  $d_\infty(fu, fv) \leq \phi(d_\infty(u, v))$ .

To this end, let  $u \in A_1$  and  $v \in A_2$ . Since  $u(s) \in [0, T]$  for each  $s \in [0, T]$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} fu(t) &= \int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, u(s)) \, ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^T G(t, s) \, ds \leq M \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Similarly, since  $v \geq \alpha$  and  $\alpha(s) \in [0, T]$  for each  $s \in [0, T]$ , we deduce that

$$fv(t) \leq \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,\alpha(s)) ds \leq M$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore

$$|fu(t) - f(v(t))| \leq fu(t) + fv(t) \leq 2M$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . So,

$$d_\infty(fu, fv) \leq 2M.$$

If  $d_\infty(u, v) > 2M$ , we have  $\phi(d_\infty(u, v)) = 2M$ , so

$$d_\infty(fu, fv) \leq \phi(d_\infty(u, v)).$$

If  $d_\infty(u, v) \leq 2M$ , then  $|u(s) - v(s)| \leq 2M$  for all  $s \in [0, T]$ , so by (III), we deduce that for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |fu(t) - f(v(t))| &\leq \int_0^T G(t,s)|K(s,u(s)) - K(s,v(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^T G(t,s)\psi(|u(s) - v(s)|) ds \\ &\leq \psi(d_\infty(u, v)) \int_0^T G(t,s) ds \\ &\leq \psi(d_\infty(u, v)) \\ &= \phi(d_\infty(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the corollary of Theorem 5,  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u^* \in A_1 \cap A_2$ , that is,  $u^* \in \mathcal{C}$  is the unique solution to (1) in  $A_1 \cup A_2$ .

**Remark 4** The first author studied in [9, Section 3] a variant of the problem discussed above for the case that  $\psi$  is the non-decreasing Meir-Keeler function given by  $\psi(t) = (\ln(t^2 + 1))^{1/2}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

The next example illustrates the preceding development.

**Example 4** Consider the integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^T G(t,s)K(s,u(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where  $T = 1$ ,  $G(t,s) = t$  for all  $t, s \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$K(s,x) = \frac{\cos s}{1+x}$$

for all  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \geq 0$ .

Hence,  $M = \max_{(s,x) \in [0,1]^2} K(s,x) = K(0,0) = 1$ .

Furthermore, it is obvious that  $G$  satisfies condition (I), whereas  $K$  satisfies condition (II).

Now construct a Meir-Keeler function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as

$$\psi(t) = t/(1+t) \quad \text{if } t \in [0, 2],$$

and

$$\psi(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } t > 2.$$

Note that  $\psi$  is non-decreasing on  $[0, 2]$  and not continuous at  $t = 2$ .

Moreover, for each  $s, x \in [0, 1]$  and each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $|x - y| \leq 2$ , we have

$$|K(s,x) - K(s,y)| = \cos s \left| \frac{1}{1+x} - \frac{1}{1+y} \right| \leq \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = \psi(|x-y|),$$

so condition (III) is also satisfied.

Finally, define  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as  $\alpha(t) = t/3$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . It is not hard to check that  $\alpha$  verifies condition (IV), and consequently the integral equation has a unique solution  $u^*$  in  $A_1 \cup A_2$ , where  $A_1 = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+) : u(s) \leq 1 \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1]\}$  and  $A_2 = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+) : u(s) \geq s/3 \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1]\}$ . In fact  $u^* \in A_1 \cap A_2$ , i.e.,  $t/3 \leq u^*(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Note that, according to our constructions, for each pair  $u, v \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$  with  $u \leq 1$  and  $v \geq \alpha$ , we have  $d_\infty(fu, fv) \leq \phi(d_\infty(u, v))$ , where  $\phi$  is the non-decreasing weaker Meir-Keeler function defined as  $\phi(t) = t/(t+1)$  if  $t \in [0, 2]$  and  $\phi(t) = 2$  if  $t > 2$ .

In particular, we can deduce the following approximation to the value of  $u^*(t)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u^*(t) - \frac{\sin 1}{2} t \right| &= \left| u^*(t) - \int_0^1 t \frac{\cos s}{2} ds \right| = \left| fu^*(t) - \int_0^1 G(t,s)K(s,1) ds \right| \\ &\leq \phi(d_\infty(u^*, 1)) = \frac{\max_{t \in [0,1]} (1 - u^*(t))}{1 + \max_{t \in [0,1]} (1 - u^*(t))} \\ &= \frac{1 - \min_{t \in [0,1]} u^*(t)}{2 - \min_{t \in [0,1]} u^*(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that the contraction inequality  $d_\infty(fu, fv) \leq \phi(d_\infty(u, v))$  does not follow when the weaker Meir-Keeler function  $\phi$  is replaced by our initial Meir-Keeler function  $\psi$ : Take, for instance, the constant functions  $u = 0$  and  $v = 3$ ; then  $u \leq 1$ ,  $v \geq \alpha$ , and

$$\psi(d_\infty(u, v)) = \psi(3) = 0 < d_\infty(fu, fv).$$

**Remark 5** In Example 4 above, the inequality  $|K(s,x) - K(s,y)| \leq \psi(|x-y|)$  is not globally satisfied, i.e., there exist  $s, x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $|K(s,x) - K(s,y)| > \psi(|x-y|)$ . In fact, this happens for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $y > x + 2$ . However, it is clear that for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ , and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , one has  $|K(s,x) - K(s,y)| \leq \psi_1(|x-y|)$  for all  $s \in [0, 1]$ , and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\psi_1(t) = t/(t+1)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We conclude the paper with an example where conditions (I)-(IV) also hold (in particular, (III) for the function  $\psi_1$  defined above) but the inequality  $|K(s, x) - K(s, y)| \leq \psi_1(|x - y|)$  is not globally satisfied.

**Example 5** We modify Example 4 as follows. Consider the integral equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)K(s, u(s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where  $T = 2$ ,  $G(t, s) = t/2$  for all  $t, s \in [0, 2]$ , and

$$K(s, x) = e^{-s}/(1 + x) \quad \text{if } s \in [0, 2], x \in [0, 1];$$

$$K(s, x) = e^{-s}/(1 + x^{1/2}) \quad \text{if } s \in [0, 2], x \in (1, 4];$$

$$K(s, x) = e^{-s}/(4x - 13) \quad \text{if } s \in [0, 2], x > 4.$$

Clearly  $K$  is continuous on  $[0, 2] \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . Moreover,  $M = 1$ , and  $G$  and  $K$  satisfy conditions (I) and (II), respectively.

Now, construct a Meir-Keeler function  $\psi_1 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as  $\psi_1(t) = t/(1 + t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

By discussing the different cases, it is routine to show that for each  $s, x \in [0, 2]$  and each  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $|x - y| \leq 2$ , we have

$$|K(s, x) - K(s, y)| \leq \psi_1(|x - y|),$$

so condition (III) is also satisfied.

Finally, define  $\alpha : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  as  $\alpha(t) = 6t/35$  for all  $t \in [0, 2]$ . Then, for each  $t \in [0, 2]$ , we have

$$\int_0^2 G(t, s)K(s, 2) ds = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^2 \frac{e^{-s}}{1 + \sqrt{2}} ds = t \frac{(1 - e^{-2})}{2(1 + \sqrt{2})} > \frac{6t/7}{5} = \alpha(t).$$

Now observe that  $\alpha(s) < 1$  for all  $s \in [0, 2]$ , so  $K(s, \alpha(s)) = e^{-s}/(1 + \alpha(s))$ . Hence, for each  $t \in [0, 2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^2 G(t, s)K(s, \alpha(s)) ds &= \frac{t}{2} \int_0^2 \frac{e^{-s}}{1 + (6s/35)} ds = \frac{t}{2} \int_0^2 \frac{35e^{-s}}{35 + 6s} ds \\ &\leq \frac{t}{2} \int_0^2 ds = t \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\alpha$  verifies condition (IV), and consequently the integral equation has a unique solution  $u^*$  in  $A_1 \cup A_2$ , where  $A_1 = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+) : u(s) \leq 2 \text{ for all } s \in [0, 2]\}$  and  $A_2 = \{u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+) : u(s) \geq 6s/35 \text{ for all } s \in [0, 2]\}$ . In fact  $u^* \in A_1 \cap A_2$ , i.e.,  $6t/35 \leq u^*(t) \leq 2$  for all  $t \in [0, 2]$ .

It is interesting to observe that the Meir-Keeler function  $\psi_1$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  but condition (III) is not globally satisfied: Indeed, take  $x = 0$  and  $y > 14/3$ . Then, for each

$s \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$K(s, x) - K(s, y) = e^{-s} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4y - 13} \right) > e^{-s} \frac{y}{1 + y}.$$

Hence,  $K(0, 0) - K(0, y) > \psi_1(y)$ .

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The two authors contributed equally in writing this article. They read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Disha Institute of Management and Technology, Satya Vihar, Vidhansabha-Chandrakhuri Marg, Mandir Hasaud, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492101, India. <sup>2</sup>Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera s/n, Valencia, 46022, Spain.

#### Acknowledgements

The second author thanks for the support of the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of Spain under grant MTM2012-37894-C02-01, and the Universitat Politècnica de València, grant PAID-06-12-SP20120471.

Received: 7 May 2013 Accepted: 5 August 2013 Published: 22 August 2013

#### References

1. Kirk, WA, Srinivasan, PS, Veeramani, P: Fixed points for mapping satisfying cyclical contractive conditions. *Fixed Point Theory* **4**, 79-89 (2003)
2. Banach, S: Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundam. Math.* **3**, 133-181 (1922)
3. Boyd, DW, Wong, SW: On nonlinear contractions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **20**, 458-464 (1969)
4. Caristi, J: Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **215**, 241-251 (1976)
5. Di Bari, C, Suzuki, T, Vetro, C: Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 3790-3794 (2008)
6. Karapinar, E: Fixed point theory for cyclic weaker  $\phi$ -contraction. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 822-825 (2011)
7. Karapinar, E, Sadarangani, K: Corrigendum to "Fixed point theory for cyclic weaker  $\phi$ -contraction" [*Appl. Math. Lett.* Vol. 24(6), 822-825]. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25**, 1582-1584 (2012)
8. Karapinar, E, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theory for cyclic  $(\phi - \varphi)$ -contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, 69 (2011)
9. Nahsine, HK: Cyclic generalized  $\psi$ -weakly contractive mappings and fixed point results with applications to integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**, 6160-6169 (2012)
10. Păcurar, M: Fixed point theory for cyclic Berinde operators. *Fixed Point Theory* **12**, 419-428 (2011)
11. Păcurar, M, Rus, IA: Fixed point theory for cyclic  $\phi$ -contractions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2683-2693 (2010)
12. Piatek, B: On cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions in metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**, 35-40 (2011)
13. Rus, IA: Cyclic representations and fixed points. *Ann. "Tiberiu Popoviciu" Sem. Funct. Equ. Approx. Convexity* **3**, 171-178 (2005)
14. Chen, CM: Fixed point theory for the cyclic weaker Meir-Keeler function in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 17 (2012)
15. Chen, CM: Fixed point theorems for cyclic Meir-Keeler type mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 41 (2012)
16. Meir, A, Keeler, E: A theorem on contraction mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **28**, 326-329 (1969)
17. Matkowski, J: Integrable solutions of functional equations. *Diss. Math.* **127**, 1-68 (1975)
18. Karapinar, E, Romaguera, S, Tas, K: Fixed points for cyclic orbital generalized contractions on complete metric spaces. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **11**, 552-560 (2013)
19. De Blasi, FS, Myjak, J: Sur la porosité des contractions sans point fixe. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **308**, 51-54 (1989)
20. Lahiri, BK, Das, P: Well-posedness and porosity of certain classes of operators. *Demonstr. Math.* **38**, 170-176 (2005)
21. Popa, V: Well-posedness of fixed point problems in orbitally complete metric spaces. *Stud. Cercet. ştiinţ. - Univ. Bacău, Ser. Mat. Supplement. Proceedings of ICMI 45, Bacau, Sept. 18-20 (2006)* **16**, 209-214 (2006)
22. Popa, VV: Well-posedness of fixed point problems in compact metric spaces. *Bul. Univ. Petrol-Gaze, Ploiesti, Sec. Mat. Inform. Fiz.* **60**, 1-4 (2008)

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-224

**Cite this article as:** Nashine and Romaguera: Fixed point theorems for cyclic self-maps involving weaker Meir-Keeler functions in complete metric spaces and applications. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013 **2013**:224.