

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/46839>

This paper must be cited as:

Gutiérrez García, J.; Romaguera Bonilla, S. (2011). Examples of non-strong fuzzy metrics. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 162(1):91-93. doi:10.1016/j.fss.2010.09.017.



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2010.09.017>

Copyright Elsevier

# Examples of non strong fuzzy metrics<sup>☆</sup>

J. Gutiérrez García<sup>a,\*</sup>, S. Romaguera<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad del País Vasco-Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea,  
Apdo. 644, 48080, Bilbao, Spain*

<sup>b</sup>*Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46071, Valencia, Spain*

---

## Abstract

Answering a recent question posed by Gregori, Morillas and Sapena (“On a class of completable fuzzy metric spaces”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161 (2010), 2193–2205) we present two examples of non strong fuzzy metrics (in the sense of George and Veeramani).

*Keywords:* Fuzzy metric space, Strong fuzzy metric  
*2000 MSC:* 54A40, 54E35, 54E70

---

The theory of fuzzy metric completion (in the sense of George and Veeramani [1]) is very different from the classical theories of metric completion and probabilistic metric completion since there are fuzzy metric spaces which are not completable. The authors of [3] became interested in strong fuzzy metrics when looking for a class of completable fuzzy metrics. In [3] they provide an example of a non-strong fuzzy metric for the minimum  $t$ -norm and state the open question to find a non-strong fuzzy metric for another  $t$ -norm different from the minimum.

In what follows we answer this question and present two examples of non-strong fuzzy metrics for the product and the Łukasiewicz  $t$ -norm, respectively. Terms and undefined concepts may be found in [3].

**Definition 1.** ([1]) A *fuzzy metric* on a (nonempty) set  $X$  is a pair  $(M, *)$  such that  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X \times X \times (0, +\infty)$  and  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm satisfying the following conditions:

- (FM1)  $M(x, y, t) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ ;
- (FM2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (FM3)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $t > 0$ ;
- (FM4)  $M(x, z, t + s) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ;
- (FM5)  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is continuous for each  $x, y \in X$ .

---

<sup>☆</sup>This research was supported by the Ministry of Science and Innovation of Spain under grants MTM2009-12872-C02-01 and MTM2009-12872-C02-02. J. Gutiérrez García also acknowledges financial support from the University of the Basque Country under grant GIU07/27.

\*Corresponding author  
*Email addresses:* javier.gutierrezgarcia@ehu.es (J. Gutiérrez García), sromague@mat.upv.es (S. Romaguera)

*URL:* <http://www.ehu.es/javiergutierrezgarcia/> (J. Gutiérrez García)

Note that condition (FM4) implies that  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing for each  $x, y \in X$ .

A special type of fuzzy metrics has been recently considered in [3] and [2]:

**Definition 2.** ([3]) Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space.  $M$  is said to be *strong* if it satisfies the following additional axiom

(FM4')  $M(x, z, t) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, t)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

Clearly, if  $d$  is a metric on a set  $X$ , then the fuzzy metric  $(M_d, *)$  is strong for every continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  such that  $* \leq \cdot$ , where  $M_d$  is defined by  $M_d(x, y, t) = t/(t + d(x, y))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ .

In Section 3 of [3] (see also [2]) the authors observed that, however, the fuzzy metric  $(M_d, \wedge)$  is strong if and only if  $d$  is an ultrametric. Then, they posed the natural question of finding a non strong fuzzy metric  $(M, *)$  where  $*$  is not  $\wedge$ .

The following examples solve this question.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $* = \cdot$  and  $M : X \times X \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined for each  $t > 0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, x, t) &= M(y, y, t) = M(z, z, t) = 1, \\ M(x, z, t) &= M(z, x, t) = M(y, z, t) = M(z, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+1}, \\ M(x, y, t) &= M(y, x, t) = \frac{t^2}{(t+2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $M$  satisfies (FM1), (FM2), (FM3) and (FM5). With respect to (FM4), we have that

$$M(x, y, t+s) - M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s) = \frac{(t+s)^2}{(t+s+2)^2} - \frac{t}{t+1} \cdot \frac{s}{s+1} = \frac{(t-s)^2(s+t+1)}{(t+s+2)^2(t+1)(s+1)} \geq 0$$

Hence  $M(x, y, t+s) \geq M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, z, t+s) &= \frac{t+s}{t+s+1} \geq \frac{s}{s+1} = M(z, y, s) \geq M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s) \\ M(y, z, t+s) &= \frac{t+s}{t+s+1} \geq \frac{t}{t+1} = M(y, x, t) \geq M(y, x, t) * M(x, z, s). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(M, \cdot)$  is a fuzzy metric.

However, for each  $t > 0$  we have that

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t^2}{(t+2)^2} < \frac{t^2}{(t+1)^2} = M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, t).$$

It follows that  $(M, \cdot)$  is not strong.

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $* = T_L$  (the Łukasiewicz  $t$ -norm), and  $M : X \times X \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined for each  $t > 0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, x, t) &= M(y, y, t) = M(z, z, t) = 1, \\ M(x, z, t) &= M(z, x, t) = M(y, z, t) = M(z, y, t) = \frac{2t+1}{2t+2}, \\ M(x, y, t) &= M(y, x, t) = \frac{t}{t+2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $M$  satisfies (FM1), (FM2), (FM3) and (FM5). With respect to (FM4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y, t + s) - M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s) &= \frac{t + s}{t + s + 2} - \max \left\{ \frac{2t + 1}{2t + 2} + \frac{2s + 1}{2s + 2} - 1, 0 \right\} \\ &= \frac{2(t - s)^2}{(t + s + 2)(2t + 2)(2s + 2)} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M(x, y, t + s) \geq M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, z, t + s) &= \frac{2(t + s) + 1}{2(t + s) + 2} \geq \frac{2s + 1}{2s + 2} = M(z, y, s) \geq M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s) \\ M(y, z, t + s) &= \frac{2(t + s) + 1}{2(t + s) + 2} \geq \frac{2t + 1}{2t + 2} = M(y, x, t) \geq M(y, x, t) * M(x, z, s). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(M, T_L)$  is a fuzzy metric.

However, for each  $t > 0$  we have that

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + 2} < \frac{t}{t + 1} = \frac{2t + 1}{2t + 2} + \frac{2t + 1}{2t + 2} - 1 = M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, t).$$

It follows that  $(M, T_L)$  is not strong.

## Conclusion and perspectives

In this short note we answer a question posed in [3] and present two examples of non strong fuzzy metrics (in the sense of [1]). Note that in our first example we have used the product  $t$ -norm. In this direction it would be interesting to find further examples for continuous  $t$ -norms that are greater than the product but different from minimum.

## References

- [1] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64 (1994), 395–399.
- [2] A. Sapena, S. Morillas, On strong fuzzy metrics, *Proceedings of the Workshop in Applied Topology WiAT09: "Applied Topology: Recent progress for Computer Science, Fuzzy Mathematics and Economics"*, 135–141.
- [3] V. Gregori, S. Morillas, A. Sapena, On a class of completable fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 161 (2010), 2193–2205.