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Abstract

The adsorption of As(III) from aqueous solutions using naturally occurring and modified Algerian montmorillonites has been investigated as a function of contact time, pH and temperature. Kinetic studies reveal that uptake of As(III) ions is rapid within the first 3 hours and it slows down thereafter. Equilibrium studies show that As(III) shows the highest affinity towards Acidic-montmorillonite even at very low concentration of arsenic. The kinetics of As(III) adsorption on all montmorillonites used is well described by a pseudo-second-order chemical reaction model, which indicates that the adsorption process of these species is likely to be chemisorption. Adsorption isotherms of As(III) fitted the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models well. The adsorption of As(III) is pH-dependent obtaining an optimal adsorption at pH 5. From the thermodynamic parameters, it is concluded that the process is exothermic, spontaneous and favorable. The results suggest that M₁, M₂ and Acidic-M₂ could be used as low cost and effective filtering materials for removal of arsenic from water.
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INTRODUCTION

Arsenic (As) is a notoriously toxic element which is ubiquitous in the environment (Zandsalimini et al., 2011; Akter et al. 2011). Arsenic has been classified as a carcinogen agent who poses a high risk to human health if it is released to the environment (Zandsalimini et al., 2011). The main source for people’s exposure to As is the contact with water, especially groundwater, which contains As. Arsenicosis is a serious disease mainly caused by drinking As-contaminated groundwater. Many studies have shown that As can also be accumulated in seafood (Lin et al., 2004). Chronic exposure of humans to high concentrations of arsenic is associated with skin lesions, peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, blackfoot disease, and high risk of cancers (Hughes et al., 2002).

A wide range of physical and chemical treatment technologies have been applied for the removal of As from contaminated water, such as coagulation, ultrafiltration, ion exchange, lime softening, adsorption on iron oxides or activated alumina, and reverse osmosis (Akter et al., 2011; Onnby et al., 2012; Daniel et al. 2012; Morallón et al. 2009). One of the disadvantage of these treatments is that the operational costs are high. Consequently there is growing interest in using low-cost materials to remove As from water (Kushwaha et al., 2013; Ali et al., 2013). Among many other kinds of identified low-cost natural sorbents, clay, kaolinite, bentonite, montmorillonite, goethite, spodic, and aquifer materials have high adsorption capacities for As (Elizalde-González et al., 2001; Malakootian et al., 2009). Clays and their modified forms have received attention for use as adsorbents because their easy availability and low cost. Moreover, clays are good adsorbents because of existence of different types of active sites on the surface, which include acid sites and ion exchange sites. Montmorillonite has a net negative charge which is responsible for giving superior activity in comparison with other clays like kaolinite (Bhattacharyya et al., 2008). The adsorption
capability of clays is usually determined by their chemical composition and pore structure, but also by the pH of the aqueous medium. (Tang et al., 2009).

The aim of this work is to investigate the adsorption capacity of two Algerian natural montmorillonites and an acid-modified montmorillonite for As(III) ions from aqueous media solutions. The optimum conditions, equilibrium data and adsorption kinetics for As(III) were obtained. The effects of different variables, like As(III) concentration, pH and temperature have been analyzed. Equilibrium experimental data were fitted to Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models and a kinetic study has also been performed.

This research has been performed in the University of Alicante (Spain) and Mascara University (Argelia) during the years 2011 and 2012.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Arsenic(III)-containing solutions with concentration ranging from 1 to 2500 (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared from analytical grade \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_3\) (Merck) dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH solution prepared with double-distilled water. The pH was adjusted to the required value by adding appropriate amounts of 0.1 M HCl solution.

Preparation of adsorbents

Two clays were obtained from Algeria: a non sodium montmorillonite from Mostaganem (M\(_1\)) and a sodium montmorillonite from Telemecem (M\(_2\)). By non sodium montmorillonite we refer to a natural montmorillonite which does not contain sodium cations but calcium and others in less concentration. Before its use the adsorbent, the raw-montmorillonites 20g was thoroughly washed with tap water to remove unwanted materials such as decomposed organic
matter, worm, sand, dust particles, etc. and iron containing fine particles, and dried in the Sun for 2-3 days. Then it was crushed to make the particle size of the adsorbent around 1.5 mm. These were then sieved, washed thoroughly (15-20 times) with large volume of tap water to remove the red color of iron, and finally washed with distilled water. Lastly, the material was dried overnight in hot air oven at 378K for 4h and stored in tightly stoppered glass bottles for later use (samples M₁ and M₂). The M₂ sample was activated in acid H₂SO₄ is described elsewhere (Zehhaf et al. 2011). Briefly. Acid activation was conducted by heating the mixtures in an oven at 97ºC for 6 h. acidic-M₂ sample was suspended in water, and centrifuged. Obtained precipitate was washed with distilled water until it was free from SO₄²⁻ against 5% BaCl₂ solution and the pH of the washing was 6.8. Finally the sample was dried at 378K in air until constant weight. This acid activation also removes sodium from its composition (Zehhaf et al., 2011).

**Characterization of the clay adsorbents**

The porous texture of all samples was determined by physical adsorption of gases (N₂ at 77K and CO₂ at 273K) using an automatic adsorption system (Autosorb-6, Quantrachrome Corporation) after sample out-gassing at 383K under vacuum for 4h. The experimental details are reported elsewhere (Zehhaf et al., 2011).

Nitrogen adsorption at 77K was used for determining the total volume of micropores (V_{DR(N₂)}) (pore size smaller than 2nm) by applying the Dubinin–Radushkevich (DR) equation and for determining the specific surface area by the BET equation (S_{BET}), whereas the adsorption of CO₂ at 273K was used to assess the narrowest micropores (V_{DR(CO₂)}) (pore size smaller than around 0.7 nm) were realized for all three adsorbent materials (Lozano et al., 2009). Porous texture characterization data are summarized in Table 1. These data indicate that the clays are basically mesoporous materials with some amount of micropores.
The acidic modification of M2-type montmorillonite gives rise to a significant increase in $S_{\text{BET}}$ and in the volume of both mesopores and total micropores, whereas the number of narrowest micropores remains unchanged. This result has been attributed to a change from the laminar structure of the pristine clay to a delaminated structure, as revealed by transmission electron microscopy images (Zehhaf et al., 2011). Also, the increase in the volume of meso- and micro-pores was associated with the dissolution of exchangeable cations like Na$^+$ and the partial dissolution of structural cations, like Fe$^{3+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, in accordance with X-Ray fluorescence measurements (Zehhaf et al., 2011).

**Adsorption experiments**

The clay samples were dried at 80°C under vacuum for 24h before adsorption. Then, 0.5g of adsorbent was put in contact with 50mL of an aqueous solution of As(III) with metal concentration ranging from 1 to 2500 (mg.L$^{-1}$) at 25°C for 24h. Each adsorption experiment was replicated two times and at three different temperatures (298, 308 and 318K). The pH of the As(III) initial solution ranged from 3 to 12. The concentration of As(III) in the solution was determined by inductively-coupled plasma atomic absorption spectroscopy (ICP-AAS, Perkin-Elmer 7300-DV). As(III) concentration was also determined at different contact times for adsorption kinetics studies.

The amount of adsorbed As(III) at any time, $q_t$ (mg.g$^{-1}$) was calculated according to the expression:

$$q_t = \frac{(c_0 - c_t)V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $q_t$ (mg.g$^{-1}$) is the amount of As(III) adsorbed at time t, $c_0$ and $c_t$ are the initial concentration and concentration at time $t$ (mg.L$^{-1}$), $V$ the volume of solution (L), and $m$ the
weight of the montmorillonite (g). When sufficient time has elapsed to reach equilibrium the measured concentration is the equilibrium concentration, $c_e$, and Equation (1) yields the amount of As(III) adsorbed at equilibrium, $q_e$.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

*Effect of contact time on the adsorption of As(III)*

Fig. 1. shows the adsorption kinetics of a 2500 (mg.L$^{-1}$) As(III) solution on M$_1$, M$_2$ and Acidic-M$_2$ at pH = 5. The adsorption rates (the slope of the plot) of As(III) were fairly high at the beginning of these experiments, and declined throughout the time investigated. After 120 min the amount of adsorbed As(III) remains nearly unchanged, thus indicating that adsorption approaches equilibrium.

In order to determine the adsorption kinetics of As(III) ions, first-order and second-order kinetics models were checked. The first-order rate expression (Kul et al., 2010) is expressed as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} q = k_1 (q_e - q_t)$$

Where $q_e$ and $q_t$ are the amounts of As(III) adsorbed onto the montmorillonite (mg.g$^{-1}$), at equilibrium and at time $t$, respectively, and $k_1$ is the first-order rate constant (min$^{-1}$).

After integration from $t = 0$ to $t$ and from $q_t = 0$ to $q_e$, it becomes the Lagergren’s rate equation:

$$1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e} = 1 - \frac{k_1}{2.3} t$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e}} = \frac{2.3}{k_1} t$$

$$1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e} = 1 - \frac{k_1}{2.3} t$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e}} = \frac{2.3}{k_1} t$$
A pseudo-second-order rate law expression was also used; the kinetic rate equation is expressed as (Shah et al., 2009; Urik et al., 2009):

\[
\frac{d q}{d t} = k_2(q_e - q_t)^2 \tag{4}
\]

Where \( k_2 \) is the second-order rate constant (g.mg\(^{-1}\).min\(^{-1}\)). At boundary conditions from \( t = 0 \) to \( t \) and from \( q_t = 0 \) to \( q_e \) (Na et al., 2010), the rate law becomes:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \tag{5}
\]

Table 2 includes the relevant kinetic parameters, together with the correlation factor \( R^2 \) from the adsorption kinetics for a 2500 (mg.L\(^{-1}\)) As(III) solution, obtained after applying the two models mentioned above.

It can be observed that the pseudo-second-order model provides a better correlation to the kinetic data, with correlation coefficients of 0.99. A pseudo-second-order model for arsenic adsorption process has also been reported previously (Pena et al., 2005; Maity et al., 2005; Na et al., 2010).

Effect of pH on the adsorption of As(III)

The pH of the aqueous solution is an important controlling parameter in the adsorption process (Shah et al., 2009). Thus, the effect of pH of the solution ranging from 3 to 12 was examined. The effect of pH on the adsorption of As(III) is summarized in Fig. 2. It seems that pH has little effect on the adsorption of As(III) under acidic conditions. This is probably because arsenic ions exist in the form of H\(_3\)AsO\(_3\) in the pH range 0–9 (Na et al., 2010), and therefore there is a lack of electrostatic interaction between As(III) and the adsorbent surface.
At pH > 9, the anionic form of As(III), dihydrogen arsenite, prevails, an this may explain the additional small drop in the adsorption observed at high pHs. Similar phenomena have been observed in previous studies of the removal of arsenic from water (Pena et al., 2005). Thus, it can be postulated that at least within the range 0<pH<9, As(III) is adsorbed through pH-independent complexation reactions on surface hydroxyl sites (Na et al., 2010). To obtain the optimal removal rates, a pH of 5 for As(III) was used in subsequent experiments.

**Adsorption isotherms**

Fig. 3. presents the adsorption isotherms of As(III) for the three montmorillonites at 25ºC. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were used to analyze the adsorption data. The Langmuir isotherm is based on monolayer adsorption at the active sites of the adsorbent, with no interaction between adsorbate molecules. The linear form of the isotherm is given by:

$$\frac{c_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_oK_l} + \frac{c_e}{q_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where $c_e$ is the equilibrium concentration of As(III) (mg.L$^{-1}$), $q_e$ is the amount of As(III) adsorbed on the adsorbent (mg.g$^{-1}$), and $q_o$ is the adsorption capacity (mg.g$^{-1}$) and $K_l$ is a parameter related to the energy of adsorption (L.mg$^{-1}$). Plots of $c_e/q_e$ versus $c_e$ values can be used to determine $q_o$ and $K_l$ (Shah et al., 2009).

The linear form of the Freundlich isotherm is given by:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln c_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
Where $K_f$ and $n$ are constants related to adsorption capacity and adsorption strength, respectively, and $c_e$ and $q_e$ have the same meaning as in the Langmuir model. A linear plot of $(\ln q_e)$ versus $(\ln c_e)$ yields $K_f$ and $n$.

The fitted constants for the Langmuir and Freundlich models are shown in Table 3. $R^2$ in the table is the regression coefficient. Notably, the experimental data fit both models well ($0.93 > R^2 > 0.98$ for Langmuir and $0.88 > R^2 > 0.93$ for Freundlich). Also, the Langmuir adsorption capacity increases in the order $M_2 < M_1 < \text{acidic-M}_2$, in complete agreement with the observed BET surface areas and porosity of the clays. The values of adsorption capacity are similar to that obtained with Ti-pillared montmorillonite (Na et al., 2010) and higher than the reported with other low-cost material like sawdust of spruce (Urik et al., 2009). The value of the $n$ parameter in the Freundlich isotherm lies between 1 and 10, as it corresponds for a favorable adsorption process (Zehhaf et al., 2011).

Effect of temperature

Adsorption experiments were carried out in the temperature range 298K to 328K at an initial arsenic concentration of 2500 (mg.L$^{-1}$) and a fixed clay dose of 0.5g. The adsorption time was long enough to ensure that the adsorption of As(III) reached equilibrium. It was observed that the removal efficiency for As(III) falls with the rise in temperature (Fig. 4) as it is expected for an exothermic adsorption process (see below).

Thermodynamic parameters such as standard free energy $\Delta G$, standard enthalpy $\Delta H$, and standard entropy changes $\Delta S$ can be determined using the equilibrium constant $K_c = \frac{q_e}{c_e}$, which depends on temperature (Shah et al., 2009; Sari et al., 2007):

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_c$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
\[ \ln K_c = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H}{R T} \]  

(9)

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J.mol\(^{-1}\).K\(^{-1}\)) and \( T \) is the temperature (K).

According to Equation (9), \( \Delta H \) and \( \Delta S \) can be obtained from the slope and intercept, respectively, of the Van’t Hoff plot of \( \ln K \) versus \( 1/T \).

In Table 4. The negative values of \( \Delta G \) indicate that the adsorption of As(III) onto M\(_1\), M\(_2\) and acidic-M\(_2\) is a spontaneous process. When the temperature decreases, the magnitude of free energy change shifts to high negative value for As(III), suggesting that the process is more spontaneous at lower temperature (Kundu et al., 2007). The negative value of the standard enthalpy change \( \Delta H \) for As(III) indicates that the adsorption is exothermic, thereby demonstrating that the process is stable energetically (Kundu et al., 2007). The negative standard entropy change \( \Delta S \) value for As(III) correspond to a decrease in the degree of freedom of the adsorbed species.

Analysis of montmorillonites after adsorption by XRD.

XRD patterns of Acidic-M\(_2\) with adsorbed arsenite and raw montmorillonite are shown in Fig. 5, the same patterns have been obtained with the other two clays. Table 5 shows the \( d_{(001)} \) spacing before and after adsorption for the three clays. For Acidic-M\(_2\) this value is 20.05 (Å), while for of Acidic-M\(_2\)-As after the adsorption of As(III) are increased to 23.25 (Å) (Table 5).

The \( d_{(001)} \) pattern has been increased after the adsorption of As(III), suggesting that arsenic species enters into the interlayer of montmorillonite during the adsorption process (Salavagione et al., 2008). Because of the introduction of polyhydroxy cations into the interlayer space, many active hydroxyl groups are formed. The increase of the interlayer space
of montmorillonite could be due to the complexation of arsenic with these active hydroxyl groups.

CONCLUSIONS

Results are presented showing that acidic-M$_2$ is an efficient low-cost material for the removal of As(III) from aqueous solutions. Experimental parameters such as contact time, solution pH and temperature have been investigated and optimized.

The kinetics of As(III) adsorption on all montmorillonites used is well described by a pseudo-second-order chemical reaction model, which indicates that the adsorption process of these species is likely to be chemisorption. The adsorption isotherms are indicative of monolayer adsorption because the experimental data fit better to Langmuir isotherm.

The removal of As(III) is pH-dependent. Optimal adsorption of arsenite is obtained at pH = 5, as the adsorption of As(III) is less favorable under acidic conditions.

Although none of the montmorillonites used is highly effective in the adsorption of As(III) over a wide range of pH, it still can be economically feasible to be used for the removal of arsenic from waste-waters due to their large specific surface area and low cost. Furthermore, the adsorption capacity is significantly increased by acidic treatment and compared with other low-cost adsorbents similar or higher for adsorption of As(III).

The negative values of $\Delta G$ and $\Delta H$ indicate that the adsorption of As(III) onto M$_1$, M$_2$ and acidic-M$_2$ is a spontaneous and exothermic process.
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Table 1. Textural characteristics of non-sodium montmorillonite (M₁), sodium montmorillonite (M₂) and acid activated montmorillonite (acidic-M₂).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (m$^2$.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{DR}(N_2)$ (cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{DR}(CO_2)$ (cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{meso}$ (cm$^3$.g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M₁</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₂</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic-M₂</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of the first- and second-order adsorption rate constants, for calculated (qₑ,cal) and experimental (qₑ,exp) values at 2500 mg.L⁻¹ As(III) concentration and pH = 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>qₑ,Exp (mg.g⁻¹)</th>
<th>First-order kinetic model</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Second-order kinetic model</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k₁ (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>qₑ,Cal (mg.g⁻¹)</td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>k₂,ads (g.mg⁻¹.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>qₑ,Cal (mg.g⁻¹)</td>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₁</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₂</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic-M₂</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Freundlich and Langmuir coefficients obtained from the adsorption isotherms of As(III) on the montmorillonite samples at 298 K and pH = 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbsents</th>
<th>Freundlich coefficients</th>
<th>Langmuir coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kᵢ (mg¹⁻¹/n.L¹/n.g⁻¹)</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₁</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M₂</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic-M₂</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorbent</td>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>$\Delta G$ (kJ.mol$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M$_1$</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>-8.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>-8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>-7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M$_2$</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>-7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>-6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>-6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic-M$_2$</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>-10.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>-9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>-9.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Peak maximum and d-spacing of M$_1$, M$_2$ and acidic-M$_2$ montmorillonites before and after loading with arsenic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Peak maximum, $2\theta_{max}$ (deg)</th>
<th>Basal spacing, $d_{(001)}$ (Å)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M$_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>17.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>15.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic-M$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>18.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. The adsorption of As(III) by M₁, M₂ and Acidic-M₂ as a function of contact time at 2500 mg.L⁻¹ As(III) concentration and pH = 5.
Fig. 2. Effect of pH value on As(III) adsorption on M₁, M₂ and Acidic-M₂ at 2500 mg.L⁻¹ As(III) concentration and pH = 5.
Fig. 3. As(III) adsorption isotherm on $M_1$, $M_2$ and Acidic-$M_2$ at pH = 5.

![Graph showing As(III) adsorption isotherm on M1, M2 and Acidic-M2 at pH = 5.](image-url)
Fig. 4. The effect of temperature on the removal rate of As(III) on M$_1$, M$_2$ and Acidic-M$_2$ at 2500 mg.L$^{-1}$ As(III) concentration and pH = 5.
Fig. 5. Powder XRD patterns of Acidic-M$_2$ after and before adsorbed As(III) concentration and pH = 5.