

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROCESS FOR TREATING URBAN WASTEWATER.....	3
1.1.1	<i>Anaerobic vs. aerobic wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>3</i>
1.1.2	<i>Traditional urban wastewater treatment plant.....</i>	<i>7</i>
1.1.3	<i>Technological advances in urban wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>7</i>
1.1.3.1	<i>Aerobic membrane bioreactors in urban wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>8</i>
1.1.3.2	<i>Anaerobic membrane bioreactors in urban wastewater treatment</i>	<i>11</i>
1.1.3.2.1	<i>Advantages of AnMBR</i>	<i>11</i>
1.1.3.2.2	<i>Barriers of applying AnMBR.....</i>	<i>12</i>
1.1.3.2.3	<i>Biological and membrane performance in AnMBR.....</i>	<i>13</i>
1.2	PLANT-WIDE MODELLING IN URBAN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	19
1.2.1	<i>Energy modelling in urban wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>19</i>
1.2.2	<i>Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis in urban wastewater treatment modelling.....</i>	<i>21</i>
1.3	ENERGY BALANCE IN URBAN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	21
1.3.1	<i>Typical energy consumption and associated cost of the main technologies involved in urban wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>24</i>
1.3.2	<i>Bernoulli principle and hydraulic equations.....</i>	<i>26</i>
1.3.3	<i>CHP system for energy production.....</i>	<i>29</i>
1.3.4	<i>Technologies for capturing dissolved methane.....</i>	<i>31</i>
1.4	LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT (LCA).....	34
1.4.1	<i>Background.....</i>	<i>34</i>
1.4.2	<i>Phases in an LCA study.....</i>	<i>35</i>
1.4.3	<i>Software tools for general LCA studies</i>	<i>40</i>
1.4.4	<i>Environmental performance in urban wastewater treatment.....</i>	<i>41</i>
1.5	SCOPE AND OUTLINE OF THIS THESIS	45
1.6	REFERENCES	46
2	A PLANT-WIDE ENERGY MODEL FOR WWTPS: APPLICATION TO ANMBR TECHNOLOGY	55
2.1	INTRODUCTION	57
2.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	59

2.2.1	<i>Energy model description</i>	59
2.2.1.1	<i>Power energy (W)</i>	59
2.2.1.2	<i>Heat energy (Q)</i>	61
2.2.1.3	<i>Energy recovery from methane capture</i>	67
2.2.2	<i>Implementation of the energy model in the simulation software DESASS</i>	68
2.3	CASE STUDY	72
2.3.1	<i>Modelling energy demand in a CAS and AnMBR urban WWTP at steady-state conditions</i>	72
2.3.1.1	<i>Design and operating parameters</i>	72
2.3.1.2	<i>Simulation results</i>	75
2.3.2	<i>Modelling temperature and heat energy requirements in an AnMBR system at unsteady-state conditions</i>	75
2.3.2.1	<i>Design and operating parameters</i>	75
2.3.2.2	<i>Simulation results</i>	77
2.4	THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF THE PROPOSED TOOL IN THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE CARBON NEUTRAL WWTP	81
2.5	CONCLUSIONS	81
2.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	81
2.7	REFERENCES	82
3	THE OPERATING COST OF AN ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR (ANMBR) TREATING SULPHATE-RICH URBAN WASTEWATER	86
3.1	INTRODUCTION	87
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	88
3.2.1	<i>AnMBR plant description</i>	88
3.2.2	<i>AnMBR operating conditions</i>	89
3.2.3	<i>Analytical monitoring</i>	92
3.2.4	<i>Energy balance description</i>	93
3.2.5	<i>Operating cost assessment</i>	95
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	96
3.3.1	<i>Overall process performance</i>	96
3.3.2	<i>Energy consumption and operating cost of the AnMBR system</i>	97
3.3.3	<i>Effect of influent sulphate content on AnMBR operating cost</i>	104
3.3.4	<i>Comparison with other existing technologies</i>	106

3.4	CONCLUSIONS	106
3.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	107
3.6	REFERENCES	107
4.	DESIGN METHODOLOGY FOR SUBMERGED ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTORS (ANMBR): A CASE STUDY	110
4.1	INTRODUCTION	111
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	113
4.2.1	<i>AnMBR plant description</i>	<i>114</i>
4.2.2	<i>AnMBR plant operation</i>	<i>114</i>
4.2.3	<i>AnMBR WWTP simulation</i>	<i>115</i>
4.3	DESIGN METHODOLOGY.....	116
4.3.1	<i>Biological process design</i>	<i>117</i>
4.3.2	<i>Filtration design</i>	<i>120</i>
4.3.3	<i>Total annual cost</i>	<i>122</i>
4.4	CASE STUDY.....	122
4.4.1	<i>Simulation results.....</i>	<i>124</i>
4.4.2	<i>Optimum design in winter conditions</i>	<i>127</i>
4.4.3	<i>Optimum operating strategy in summer conditions</i>	<i>132</i>
4.4.4	<i>Effect of sulphate levels in influent on AnMBR total cost</i>	<i>134</i>
4.5	CONCLUSIONS	135
4.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	136
4.7	REFERENCES	136
5.	FILTRATION PROCESS COST IN SUBMERGED ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTORS (ANMBRS) FOR URBAN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	139
5.1	INTRODUCTION	141
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	141
5.2.1	<i>AnMBR plant description</i>	<i>141</i>
5.2.2	<i>CAPEX/OPEX calculation</i>	<i>142</i>
5.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	142
5.3.1	<i>Effect of MLSS on filtration process cost</i>	<i>142</i>

5.3.2	<i>Effect of J_{20} on filtration process cost</i>	145
5.3.3	<i>Effect of SGD_m on filtration process cost</i>	146
5.3.4	<i>Optimum design and operation of filtration in AnMBR technology for UWW treatment</i>	147
5.3.5	<i>Effect of membrane and energy costs on filtration process cost</i>	148
5.4	CONCLUSIONS	148
5.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	148
5.6	REFERENCES	149
6.	DESIGNING AN ANMBR-BASED WWTP FOR ENERGY RECOVERY FROM URBAN WASTEWATER: THE ROLE OF PRIMARY SETTLING AND ANAEROBIC DIGESTION	151
6.1	INTRODUCTION	153
6.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	154
6.2.1	<i>AnMBR plant description and operation</i>	155
6.2.2	<i>AnMBR WWTP simulation</i>	155
6.2.3	<i>Design methodology</i>	157
6.2.3.1	<i>AnMBR design</i>	158
6.2.3.2	<i>Primary settler design</i>	159
6.2.3.3	<i>Anaerobic digester design</i>	159
6.2.3.4	<i>Total annualised cost</i>	160
6.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	161
6.3.1	<i>Optimum design values</i>	161
6.3.2	<i>Minimum energy demand when treating low-sulphate UWW</i>	163
6.3.3	<i>Minimum total cost when treating low-sulphate UWW</i>	165
6.3.4	<i>Minimum energy demand when treating sulphate-rich UWW</i>	166
6.3.5	<i>Minimum total cost when treating sulphate-rich UWW</i>	167
6.3.6	<i>Optimum treatment scheme for designing an AnMBR-based WWTP</i>	168
6.4	CONCLUSIONS	170
6.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	170
6.6	REFERENCES	170
7.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF SUBMERGED ANAEROBIC MBR (ANMBR) TECHNOLOGY USED TO TREAT URBAN WASTEWATER AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES	173
7.1	INTRODUCTION	175

7.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	176
7.2.1	<i>Scenarios.....</i>	176
7.2.2	<i>System boundaries.....</i>	177
7.2.3	<i>Description of AnMBR plant.....</i>	178
7.2.4	<i>AnMBR plant operation</i>	179
7.2.5	<i>Analytical monitoring.....</i>	180
7.2.6	<i>Overall energy balance description.....</i>	180
7.2.6.1	<i>Mechanical Energy Demands (W)</i>	180
7.2.6.2	<i>Heat Energy Demands (Q)</i>	182
7.2.6.3	<i>Energy from biogas capture</i>	183
7.2.7	<i>Life cycle inventory and life cycle impact assessment.....</i>	184
7.2.7.1	<i>Electricity consumption data</i>	184
7.2.7.2	<i>Wastewater effluent data</i>	184
7.2.7.3	<i>Sludge disposal data.....</i>	185
7.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	185
7.3.1	<i>OEB results.....</i>	185
7.3.1.1	<i>Energy consumption and energy from biogas capture.....</i>	185
7.3.1.2	<i>Impact of physical separation process.....</i>	187
7.3.1.3	<i>Impact of energy from capture of methane dissolved in effluent</i>	187
7.3.1.4	<i>Impact of sulphate content in influent</i>	187
7.3.1.5	<i>Comparison with other technologies.....</i>	188
7.3.2	<i>LCA results.....</i>	188
7.3.2.1	<i>Impact of the final effluent discharge</i>	190
7.3.2.2	<i>Impact of energy consumption.....</i>	192
7.3.2.3	<i>Impact of energy from biogas capture</i>	192
7.3.2.4	<i>Overall inventory results.....</i>	193
7.3.2.5	<i>Impact of sludge disposal</i>	194
7.4	CONCLUSIONS	195
7.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	195
7.6	REFERENCES	195
8.	NAVIGATING ENVIRONMENTAL, ECONOMIC, AND TECHNOLOGICAL TRADE-OFFS IN THE DESIGN AND OPERATION OF SUBMERGED ANAEROBIC MEMBRANE BIOREACTORS (ANMBRS).....	199
8.1	INTRODUCTION	201

8.2	METHODOLOGY	202
8.2.1	<i>Experimental AnMBR Plant.....</i>	202
8.2.2	<i>Design and Operational Decision-Making</i>	202
8.2.3	<i>Performance Modeling</i>	204
8.2.4	<i>LCA Implementation.....</i>	204
8.2.5	<i>LCC Implementation.....</i>	206
8.2.6	<i>Characterization of the Relative Importance of Design and Operational Decisions</i>	207
8.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	210
8.3.1	<i>Relative Importance of Design and Operational Decisions to AnMBR Sustainability</i>	211
8.3.2	<i>Navigating Trade-Offs Across Dimensions of Sustainability</i>	213
8.3.3	<i>Optimization of Submerged AnMBR</i>	216
8.3.3.1	<i>Optimizing the Construction of the Submerged AnMBR System</i>	217
8.3.3.2	<i>Optimizing the Operating Submerged AnMBR</i>	217
8.3.4	<i>Connecting Design and Operational Decisions to Sustainability Metrics.....</i>	219
8.3.4.1	<i>The Impact of SGD and MLSS on Membrane Filtration.....</i>	219
8.3.4.2	<i>The Impact of r and MLSS on the Bioprocess.....</i>	219
8.3.4.3	<i>The Impact of SRT and T on the Bioprocess.....</i>	220
8.3.4.4	<i>Energy, Nutrient, and Residuals Management.....</i>	221
8.4	THE ROLE OF ANMBR IN CARBON NEUTRAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT	222
8.5	CONCLUSIONS	223
8.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	223
8.7	REFERENCES	223
9.	ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY OF SUBMERGED ANAEROBIC MBR (ANMBR) COMPARED TO AEROBIC-BASED TECHNOLOGIES FOR MODERATE-/HIGH-LOADED URBAN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	227
9.1	INTRODUCTION	229
9.2	METHODOLOGY	230
9.2.1	<i>WWTP design and operation</i>	231
9.2.2	<i>LCC implementation.....</i>	235
9.2.3	<i>LCA implementation.....</i>	236
9.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	240
9.3.1	<i>Energy balance results.....</i>	240

9.3.2	<i>Life cycle cost results</i>	244
9.3.3	<i>Life cycle analysis results</i>	248
9.3.3.1	<i>Life cycle inventory assessment</i>	248
9.3.3.2	<i>Overall inventory results</i>	250
9.4	CONCLUSIONS	254
9.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	254
9.6	REFERENCES	254
10.	SUMMARY AND GENERAL DISCUSSION IMPLEMENTATIONS FOR FULL SCALE IMPLEMENTATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	257
10.1	RESEARCH WORK MOTIVATION	259
10.2	IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLANT-WIDE ENERGY MODEL	259
10.3	INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE AND SRT IN ANMBR SUSTAINABILITY	260
10.4	DESIGN AND OPERATION OF SUBMERGED ANMBRS AND OPTIMAL ANMBR-BASED CONFIGURATION	261
10.5	IMPACT OF INFLUENT SULPHATE CONTENT IN ANMBR SUSTAINABILITY	264
10.6	SUSTAINABILITY OF ANMBR COMPARED TO OTHER TECHNOLOGIES	265
10.7	ENERGY, NUTRIENT, AND SLUDGE MANAGEMENT IN ANMBR SYSTEM	266
10.8	THE ROLE OF ANMBR IN CARBON NEUTRAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT	267
10.9	REFERENCES	268
11.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	271